

Fulmore, Janice

From: smtprelay
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2019 1:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Sherri Zann Rosenthal

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sherri Zann Rosenthal

Email

szrcoho@mindspring.com

Docket

Docket# E-7 Sub 1155

Message

North Carolinians would definitely benefit from expanded residential energy efficiency programs. As part of what should be a coherent program to increase the energy efficiency of NC homes, I urge the Commission to ask Duke Energy to expand the DEP residential energy efficiency program to DEC. In 2000, I received the Duke Energy's North Carolina Residential Energy Award for my 22-home development Eno Commons. With Duke Energy's support, I took the entire subdivision geothermal. In addition, all the homes were passive solar, with additional energy efficiency features. Duke Energy's support included money for advertising, support in gaining news coverage, support in gaining advantaged pricing from the geothermal heat pump company, and technical support from Duke's geothermal expert. Within a year, in anticipation of a market restructuring that never happened due to the faux California energy crisis--which Duke Energy helped Enron create--Duke Energy had ended all these programs, fired its residential builder support staff and fired its geothermal staff member. Fast forward to today, when Duke Energy collects an energy efficiency surcharge from every residential customer, but provides a portfolio of products and services with this money that often don't make much sense, like lightbulbs that can be purchased elsewhere. The Utility Commission stated when it decided that Duke Energy should be the entity to collect and administer the energy efficiency program that the utilities were the ones most knowledgeable about how to implement energy efficiency. Many organizations and localities instead advocated for creation of a separate, non-profit entity specializing in energy efficiency to oversee investment of the surcharge collected from electricity consumers. Surely, expanding residential energy efficiency programs is a good thing. However, I ask that it should not be the only action the Commission takes on this topic. A study should be done of the money Duke Energy has collected and the actual energy efficiency that has resulted, and who has benefitted. Though I have represented the City of Durham in some dockets at the NCUC, I am writing this letter in my personal capacity, and in the context of my prior experience as a developer of very energy-efficient homes that were also quite affordable. Respectfully submitted, Sherri Zann Rosenthal

Fulmore, Janice

From: smtprelay
Sent: Saturday, July 27, 2019 8:20 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by bryan d clayton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

bryan d clayton

Email

bryanclayton2269@gmail.com

Docket

E-7 Sub 1155

Message

This would be great around the whole state of nc

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.