

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Susan Mace
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Susan Mace
187 Sunset drive
Marion, NC 28753
(828) 448-8803

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Suzanne Middleton
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Suzanne Middleton
4510 Highcroft Lane
Charlotte, NC 28269
(704) 488-1629

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Cynthia King
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals. Encourage Duke to re-coop costs from the profits!

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Cynthia King
11416 Donnel Ct
Charlotte, NC 28273

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Terry Lucas
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Terry Lucas
9010 Elrose Place
Charlotte, NC 28277
(980) 227-7249

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Marilyn Brown
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Marilyn Brown
2901 Carding Place
Matthews, NC 28105
(704) 847-0070

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of David Radin
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:31 PM
To: Statements
Subject: In response to Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for my and my family as well as my neighbors, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back: Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. David Radin
7602 Summerchase Trail
Huntersville, NC 28078
(704) 453-3526

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Janice Berger
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

I know that nothing in life is fair. I am a retired person and increasing rates puts a burden on any household on a fixed income. To expect customers to pay for something that should have been handled all along until the issue becomes a crisis is not a best business decision and creates acrimony between customers and Duke Energy.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Sandra Williamson
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Sandra Williamson
7723 POPE FARM RD
CHARLOTTE, NC 28269-5234
(704) 900-8277

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Anthony Coats
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Anthony Coats
15116 Cavanshire Trail
Charlotte, NC 28278
(904) 405-8209

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Richard Jaquett
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Richard Jaquett
241 Coxe Ave
Charlotte, NC 28211
(704) 877-3044

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of STEVEN Sims
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. STEVEN Sims
15522 Northstone Drive
Huntersville, NC 28078-5757
(704) 490-5564

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of STEVEN Sims
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. STEVEN Sims
15522 Northstone Drive
Huntersville, NC 28078-5757
(704) 490-5564

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of STEVEN Sims
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. STEVEN Sims
15522 Northstone Drive
Huntersville, NC 28078-5757
(704) 490-5564

3706 Providence Ct.
Wilmington, NC 28412
(910) 799-1481
kathrynrajah1@gmail.com

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Ronald Marr
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Ronald Marr
18711 Ruffner Dr
Unit 2E
Cornelius, NC 28031

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of jorge vargas
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. jorge vargas
3018 longspur dr, Apt/Suite
Apt/Suite
matthews, NC 28105

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Joseph Whitehead
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Joseph Whitehead
8012 briardale dr
charlotte, NC 28212
(704) 713-0546

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Mary Valentine
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Mary Valentine
2711 Hillmont Drive
Charlotte, NC 28226
(704) 999-9694

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Rick Penninger
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Rick Penninger
15329 Altomonte Avenue
Mint Hill, NC 28227
(704) 806-3825
rpenninger3@carolina.rr.com

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Martin Smith
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Martin Smith
1805 Meadowood Lane
Charlotte, NC 28211-4066
(954) 444-3331

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Russell Millard
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Russell Millard
PO Box 1354
Icard, NC 28666-1354
(336) 624-2320

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Bonnie Watkins
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Bonnie Watkins
PO Box 691208
Mint Hill, NC 28227
(917) 538-1415

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Jason Felisbret
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

We are not receiving a 6.7% increase in our income!

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Jason Felisbret
10153 Elizabeth Crest LN

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Rose Marie Giglio Grasso
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Rose Marie Giglio Grasso
10625 Eddings Dr.
Apt. 103
Charlotte, NC 28270

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Luis F Valles
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dr. Luis F Valles
10396 Ambercrest Court NW
Huntersville, NC 28078
(781) 771-9802

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of michael connor
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. michael connor
18301 John Connor Road
Cornelius, NC 28031
(704) 892-7633

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Rafael Blanco
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Rafael Blanco
6212 Underwood Ave
Charlotte, NC 28213
(704) 701-7890

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Theresa Watley
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Theresa Watley
12706 Glowing Peak Rd
Huntersville, NC 28078
(704) 579-1069

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Shelley DeLux
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

It is time to allow the executives and stockholders to bear the cost of cleaning up our environment. It is one of the costs of doing business.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Shelley DeLux

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Juanita Sasser
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Juanita Sasser
2039 Glenkirk Drive
Burlington, NC 27215
(980) 621-1716

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of John Burnett
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. John Burnett
2458 GLENKIRK DR
BURLINGTON, NC 27215
(336) 350-8252

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Raymond Riley
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

I retired military veteran. We can take an increase... I'm voting no.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Raymond Riley
5710 Lachlan Hill Ln
Charlotte, NC 28278
(915) 588-3212
raymond_w_riley@yahoo.com

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of harvey phillips
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. harvey phillips
9225 Mountain Aire Circle
charlotte, NC 28214-7602
(704) 392-7377

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Mary Courtney
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Mary Courtney
4800 COPPALA DR
CHARLOTTE, NC 28216-0100
(586) 944-3375

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of harvey phillips
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. harvey phillips
9225 Mountain Aire Circle
charlotte, NC 28214-7602
(704) 392-7377

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Mary Sayler
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Mary Sayler
7306 Connan Lane
Charlotte, NC 28226
(980) 236-7890

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Patrick Tripi
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

Don't reward their irresponsible behavior! Fine their executives and board members to pay for their coal ash blunder and false denials. I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Patrick Tripi
6701 Charter Hills rd

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of WILLIAM AUTRY
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. WILLIAM AUTRY
8405 MARKET HOUSE LN
Charlotte, NC 28227
(704) 535-1449

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Ronald Standish
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:02 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Ronald Standish
3712 Skipper Court
Mint Hill, NC 28227-8800
(704) 573-1305

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Joseph Embrose
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 11:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Joseph Embrose
550 Rockwood Dr
Graham, NC 27253
(336) 512-8043

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of William McFadden
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 11:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. William McFadden
11219 Laurel View Dr
Charlotte, NC 28273-6692
(704) 607-2465

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Gale McEvilley
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 11:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Gale McEvilley
324 Edgemore Ct
Charlotte, NC 28270
(704) 575-8493

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Janice Kerr
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 11:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Janice Kerr
138 Dovershire Rd.
Charlotte, NC 28270
(704) 221-6202

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Stephen Huffstetler
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 10:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Stephen Huffstetler
4720
McAlpine Farm Rd
Charlotte, NC 28226

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Allen Grayson
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 10:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Allen Grayson
15501 Hugh McAuley Rd.
Huntersville, NC 28078
(704) 651-7010

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Ruth Nair
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 10:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

I personally believe Duke made the coal ash mess and should pay for the clean-up themselves instead of burdening the consumer with bill increases to cover their error.

Thank you for your consideration,

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Pauline Motrenec
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 10:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Pauline Motrenec
13016 Eastfield Rd #200-125
Huntersville, NC 28078
(352) 615-2591

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Nancy Jordan
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Nancy Jordan
3831 Sudbury Rd.
Charlotte, NC 28205
(704) 641-6813

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Katie Morrissey
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Katie Morrissey
813 Faircrest Drive
Charlotte, NC 28210-2939
(704) 441-6051

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Valerie Gachette
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Valerie Gachette
11405 COLONY GROVE LN APT 716
CHARLOTTE, NC 28277-3008
(980) 299-8845

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Diane Patch
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Diane Patch
2757 Silverthorn Dr
Charlotte, NC 28273
(704) 779-3169

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020