

Jack E. Jirak
Deputy General Counsel
Mailing Address:
NCRH 20 / P.O. Box 1551
Raleigh, NC 27602

o: 919.546.3257 f: 919.546.2694

jack.jirak@duke-energy.com

November 22, 2021

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. A. Shonta Dunston Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300

RE: Joint Petition of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy

Progress, LLC

Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 1283 and E-7, Sub 1259

Dear Ms. Dunston:

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's ("DEC") and Duke Energy Progress, LLC's ("DEP", and together with DEC, the "Companies") submit this letter to the North Carolina Utilities Commission ("Commission") to propose an updated procedural schedule in connection with the Companies' Joint Petition requesting that the Commission hold a joint proceeding with the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("PSCSC") to consider the initial Carbon Plan mandated by North Carolina Session Law 2021-165 ("HB 951") (such petition, the "NC Joint Petition").

On November 9, 2021, the Companies filed the NC Joint Petition with the Commission as well as a parallel petition with the PSCSC (the "SC Joint Petition"). Both the NC Joint Petition and SC Joint Petition recommended an expedited proposed procedural schedule for comments and respectfully requested the Commission and the PSCSC each issue an Order determining whether the two Commissions planned to undertake a joint proceeding on or before January 10, 2022.

In response to the SC Joint Petition, on November 18, 2021, the PSCSC issued Directive Order No. 2021-763 (the "Directive Order"), which instructed its Chief Clerk to set a deadline for intervention and dates by which comments on the SC Joint Petition must be filed. The Directive Order specified that the due date for initial comments should fall thirty (30) days following the deadline for intervention and the due date for any reply comments should be twenty (20) days thereafter. On November 22, 2022, the Chief Clerk

issued the PSCSC-directed Notice setting December 31, 2021, as the date for intervention and scheduling comments based upon the timeline contemplated by the Directive Order.

In light of the extended comment schedule in South Carolina, the Companies propose to adjust the comment schedule concerning the NC Joint Petition. Specifically, the Companies propose that the Commission require the filing of initial comments regarding the NC Joint Petition on or before December 20, 2021, reply comments on or before January 10, 2022, followed by issuance of the Commission's order by January 31, 2022. This proposed schedule would maintain more close procedural alignment of the two States' proceedings, while allowing the North Carolina process to move forward expeditiously given the accelerated statutory deadlines under which the Commission and the Companies must develop the Carbon Plan pursuant to HB 951.

Finally, the Companies emphasize that they are diligently proceeding with planning and analysis concerning the Carbon Plan filing requirements set forth in the Commission's November 19, 2021 order in Docket No. E-100, Sub 179, including development of stakeholder engagement plans as required in such order. The Commission's consideration of the NC Joint Petition in these dockets according to the Companies' revised proposed timelines will have no adverse impact on the Companies' planning and analysis of the required Carbon Plan filing.

Sincerely,

Jack E. Jirak

cc: Parties of Record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's and Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Proposed Change in Procedural Schedule, in Docket Nos. E-7, Sub 1259 and E-2, Sub 1283, has been served by electronic mail, hand delivery or by depositing a copy in the United States mail, postage prepaid to parties of record.

This the 22nd day of November, 2021.

Jack E. Jirak

Deputy General Counsel
Duke Energy Corporation
P.O. Box 1551/NCRH 20

Raleigh, North Carolina 27602 (919) 546-3257

jack.jirak@duke-energy.com