

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of James Benson
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dr. James Benson
15323 East Rock Court
Davidson, NC 28036
(704) 840-9133

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of h/a/ wheeler
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

Duke should not pass their mistake onto the citizens of the coal ash fiasco

If I drive my truck down the road and cause an accident I should not expect the person I damaged to pay for it. It was MY fault.

The entire coal ash problem was well known years ago and. Duke should have dealt with it THEN.

H.A. Wheeler
2810 Sharon road
Charlotte N.C. 28211

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. h/a/ wheeler
2810 sharon
charlotte, NC 28211
(704) 351-2411
geba6464@gmail.com

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Daniel Tindall
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

Moreover, retirees, such as myself are on fixed incomes that remain the same no matter what Duke Energy charges. This simply is not a fair rate increase and should not be allowed.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Daniel L. Tindall III
1215 Matthews Commons Dr, #25

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of William Starnes
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

I am retired and have limited income. How about reduced rates for over 65 and/or vets?

William Starnes
4623 Whispering Oaks Dr.
Charlotte, NC 28213

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Barbara Porter
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Barbara Porter
7957 Monfreya Court
Charlotte, NC 28212
(704) 563-1184

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of JOHNNY NICKS
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. JOHNNY NICKS
5201 GRAFTON DR
CHARLOTTE, NC 28215
(704) 536-5117

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Lloyd Harrison
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Lloyd Harrison
4327 Silo Lane
Charlotte, NC 28226-5503
(704) 367-5561

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Robert Yankle
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Robert Yankle
914 S. Ridge Rd.
Burlington, NC 27217
(336) 228-1865

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Gerard Sallard
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 5, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Gerard Sallard
8103 Lawyers Road
Charlotte, NC 28227
(704) 567-5166

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Phil Nesbitt
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 1:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Phil Nesbitt
4829-E Spring Lake Dr.
Charlotte, NC 28212
(704) 536-7371

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Janice Trnz
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 1:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Janice Trnz
9629 Arginon Lane
Apt. A
- Charlotte, NC 28262-4670

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Joyce Griffin
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 1:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Joyce Griffin
4400 ROSECLIFF DR
CHARLOTTE, NC 28277-8658
(704) 541-3404

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Gregory Clark
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 2:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Gregory Clark
PO box 25
Altamahaw, NC 27202
(919) 352-5693

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of samuel snyder
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 6:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dr. samuel snyder
10439 Passau Path Dr.
Huntersville, NC 28078-2298
(919) 618-6572

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Sebrina Rawley
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 6:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Sebrina Rawley
11027 Greenhead View Rd
Charlotte, NC 28262
(704) 441-3397

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Wilson
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 6:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Elizabeth Wilson
17417 Sail View Dr
Cornelius, NC 28031
(704) 975-7642

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Mary James
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 6:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Mary James
1218 Edgewood Rd
Charlotte, NC 28208-2546
(704) 399-5955

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Michele Wright
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 6:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Michele Wright
5624 Paces Glen Ave
Apt 1017
Charlotte, NC 28212-1638

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Joseph Felt
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 6:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup. As a senior on limited income, this becomes a hardship.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Joseph Felt
12920 Hamilton Rd
Charlotte, NC 28273-6806

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of salim benbahmed
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. salim benbahmed
1920 fairwinds dr
Graham, NC 27253
(925) 212-0938

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Raymond Bordeauxr
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Raymond Bordeauxr
12237 Savannah Garden Dr
Charlotte, NC 28273
(704) 877-0921

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Raymond Raymond
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Raymond Raymond
12237 Savannah Garden Dr
Charlotte, NC 28273
(704) 877-0921

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Deborah Bradshaw
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you,
Deborah Bradshaw

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Deborah Bradshaw

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Harold Sidebottom
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Harold Sidebottom
1019 Crestwell Dr
Burlington, NC 27215-9559
(719) 481-1767

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Ned Ritchie
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Ned Ritchie
2444 Kirkholm Dr
Matthews, NC 28105
(704) 607-5329

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of patrick gwinn
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. patrick gwinn
po box 58
saxapahaw, NC 27340
(804) 201-3009

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Gwynn Vereen
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Gwynn Vereen
PO Box 7782
Charlotte, NC 28241
(000) 000-0000

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Wendy Vereen
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Wendy Vereen
PO Box 7782
Charlotte, NC 28241
(000) 000-0000

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Janene Morschauser
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 8:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Janene Morschauser
19228 Lake Norman Cove Drive
Cornelius, NC 28031
(973) 697-2815

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Lynn Alberts
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 8:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Lynn Alberts
13725 Dutch Fork Dr
Huntersville, NC 28078
(704) 614-6199

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Ramona Katz
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 8:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

We should not give Duke Power or any large company the impression that they can poison our environment and profit from it. Duke can not make its customers pay for its mistakes and their senior leadership continue to make enormous profits. This is unfair to the many citizens of NC who have to drink water filled with chemicals and have no recourse for it. We must stop these polluters and if we continue to enable them to charge us for their mistakes then they will continue to be careless with our environment and our health.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Ramona Katz
3918 Canterbrook Drive
Charlotte, NC 28269
(704) 351-1944
rakatz94@gmail.com

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Sylvia Sing
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 8:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Sylvia Sing
5837 RUPERT LANE
Charlotte, NC 282152347
(704) 537-5355

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of R Rocque Williams
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 8:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. R Rocque Williams
13009
May River Lane
Charlotte, NC 28278

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Sylvia Sing
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 8:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Sylvia Sing
5837 RUPERT LANE
CHARLOTTE, NC 282152347
(704) 537-5355

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Ken Schoolmeester
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 8:04 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dr. Ken Schoolmeester
520 East Martin Luther King Blvd.
Unit 901
Charlotte, NC 28202

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of L Grooms
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 8:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. L Grooms
11400 Chestnut Hill Dr
Matthews, NC 28105
(704) 771-9777

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Shirley Ramey
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 9:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 12145

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Shirley Ramey
16636 AMBERSIDE RD E
CORNELIUS, NC 28031-5759
(910) 639-4073

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Alvin Hall
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 9:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Alvin Hall
1447 Little Rock Road
Charlotte, NC 28214
(704) 622-1321

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Laurie Paione
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

It is imperative and I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup. Duke must be accountable for a disaster they created and I re was impending. The stockholders who invest must pay the price as well for their investment by being more proactive in the company's environmental impact.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity. They also may need to make choices between comfort/health.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals and protect the citizens of North Carolina.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Laurie Paione

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of linda freeman
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. linda freeman
11805 Hookston lane
charlotte, NC 28273
(704) 299-5273

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Aleksandra Schwendener
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Aleksandra Schwendener
18842 Cloverstone cir
Cornelius, NC 28031
(704) 677-5193

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of James Ewing
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

My wife and I are retired and on a fixed income. We can't afford these increases.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. James Ewing

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Omega and Brenda Wilson
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Omega and Brenda Wilson
PO Box 661
Mebane, NC 27302
(336) 675-1608

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Deborah Bryant
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 11:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

I approve of this message because it accurately reflects my exact feelings about the proposed rate hike but with facts behind the feelings I have. Basically, I am struggling to keep my roof over my head, food on the table, gas in my car, etc., so I'm stretched past my means already!!

Thank you for your consideration,

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Catherine Molligi
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 11:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Catherine Molligi
8820 Goldfields Drive
Charlotte, NC 28227
(336) 520-3588

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Catherine Molligi
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 11:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Catherine Molligi
8820 Goldfields Drive
Charlotte, NC 28227
(336) 520-3588

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Pamela Boardman
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 11:04 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Pamela Boardman
4615 General Pershing Dr
Charlotte, NC 28209
(704) 909-9860

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Sue Dolan
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 11:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Sue Dolan
9762 old gate drive
Matthews, NC 28105
(704) 567-8700

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Sue Dolan
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 11:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Sue Dolan
9762 old gate drive
Matthews, NC 28105
(704) 567-8700

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Karen Ryan
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 11:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Karen Ryan
6330 Farmingdale Drive
Charlotte, NC 28212
(704) 451-1549

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020