

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Para Mohanan
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 11:34 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Para Mohanan
3020-1 Prosperity Church Rd
256
Charlotte, NC 28269

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Vivian Brenner
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Vivian Brenner
439 Alexander St
Matthews, NC 28105
(704) 858-7687

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Evan O'Donnell
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Evan O'Donnell
831 Stanhope Lane
Matthews, NC 28105
(704) 771-0968

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Marva Waller
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Marva Waller
6312 Waterford Hills Dr Apt 1111
Charlotte, NC 28269
(610) 574-0921

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Charles Exum
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Charles Exum
2421 TORY OAK PL
MATTHEWS, NC 28105
(704) 814-9800

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Albert Knofsky
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Albert Knofsky
13411 BLANTON DR
Huntersville, NC 28078-0098
(714) 679-1654

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of paul kozlow
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 1:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. paul kozlow
15304 country lake dr
pineville, NC 28134
(980) 819-8033

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Philip Kozimer
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 1:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dr. Philip Kozimer
4904 Benthaven Lane
Charlotte, NC 28269-8976
(614) 505-6056

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of David Magee
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 1:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. David Magee
1546 Riverwalk Dr
Graham, NC 27253
(423) 767-9560

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Jim Edwards
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 1:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Jim Edwards
537 Ellsworth Rd
Charlotte, NC 28211
(704) 519-8787

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Jonathan Nadel
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 2:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Jonathan Nadel
P.O. Box 851
Mebane, NC 27302-0851
(919) 563-8428

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Lawrence Cartin
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 2:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Lawrence Cartin
7923 Ashby Glen Drive
Huntersville, NC 28078
(980) 417-9205

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Joel Platts
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 2:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214. Enough is enough. We didn't make this mess, Duke energy did. If they lose money because of thei...

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Joel Platts
8358 Cotttsbrooke Dr.
Huntersville, NC 28078

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Marilyn Addison
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 3:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Marilyn Addison
2012 Atherton Heights LN
Charlotte, NC 28203
(704) 608-3596

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Andrea Huff
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 3:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Andrea Huff
6011 Crossbow Court
Charlotte, NC 28216-2244
(704) 596-2420

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Andrea Huff
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 3:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Andrea Huff
6011 Crossbow Court
Charlotte, NC 28216-2244
(704) 596-2420

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Olga Lowder
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 3:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Olga Lowder
4015 Mintwood Dr.
Mint Hill, NC 28227
(980) 579-1256

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Olivia Woods
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 3:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Olivia Woods
911 Sandy Cross Road
Burlington, NC 272178943
(336) 639-6059

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Victoria Lemonds
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 3:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Please don't raise the rates!

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Victoria Lemonds
17201 Royal Court Drive
Davidson, NC 28036
(704) 997-5176

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Lavern Weathers
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 3:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

I am on a fixed income. Price increases could have a significant impact on my already strained budget and quality of life.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Lavern Weathers

Thank you for your consideration,

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Renea Kirkpatrick-Killian
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 3:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

My name is Renea Kirkpatrick-Killian and I am a widow, a native of Charlotte, NC and I am urging the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration
Renea Kirkpatrick-Killian
3425 Winchelsea Drive
Charlotte, NC 28212

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Thomas Mecir
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Thomas Mecir
6400 Cedar Croft Dr
Charlotte, NC 28270
(718) 888-9899

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Helena Kopczynski
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 11:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Helena Kopczynski
1821 BIRCH HEIGHTS CT
CHARLOTTE, NC 28213
(704) 905-4178

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Moujab Jaafari
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 11:19 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 6, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Moujab Jaafari
2532 Crescent View Drive
Charlotte, NC 28269
(980) 256-9271

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Glenn Wakefield
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 1:04 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Glenn Wakefield
3535 PINEY RD
MORGANTON, NC 28655-9781
(828) 391-1710

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Glenn Wakefield
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 1:04 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Glenn Wakefield
3535 PINEY RD
MORGANTON, NC 28655-9781
(828) 391-1710

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Rhetta Schmidt
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 5:05 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Rhetta Schmidt
3927 COLONY CROSSING DR
CHARLOTTE, NC 28226-4812
(704) 643-1327

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Ken Nanney
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 7:35 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Ken Nanney
11311 sir Francis drake dr
Charlotte, NC 28277
(704) 846-2103

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Sally Rogers
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 12:20 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Sally Rogers
6514 Kentdale t
Charlotte, NC 28270
(044) 424-2800

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Trudy Caplan
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 1:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Trudy Caplan
9619 Kestral Ridge Dr
Charlotte, NC 28269
(704) 948-5498

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Carlotta Faulkner
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 3:35 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Carlotta Faulkner
6076 Bobcat Place
Charlotte, NC 28269
(704) 806-2743

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of david sherwin
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 5:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. david sherwin
1336 ROCK POINT RD
CHARLOTTE, NC 28270-1046
(704) 847-3291

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Kim Wisener
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 6:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Kim Wisener
1812 main street east
Valdese, NC 28690
(828) 525-1661

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Judy Rice
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 8:06 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Most seniors can not afford and increase. Please take that into consideration.

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Judy Rice
6005 Lillyshire Place
Charlotte, NC 28213
(704) 615-1959

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Judy Rice
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 8:06 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Most seniors can not afford and increase. Please take that into consideration.

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Judy Rice
6005 Lillyshire Place
Charlotte, NC 28213
(704) 615-1959

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Judy Rice
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 8:06 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Most seniors can not afford and increase. Please take that into consideration.

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Judy Rice
6005 Lillyshire Place
Charlotte, NC 28213
(704) 615-1959

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Judy Rice
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2020 8:06 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Most seniors can not afford and increase. Please take that into consideration.

Mar 7, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Judy Rice
6005 Lillyshire Place
Charlotte, NC 28213
(704) 615-1959

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Theresa Guy
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Sunday, March 8, 2020 12:07 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 8, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Theresa Guy
19220 CHANDLERS LANDING DR
APT 301
CORNELIUS, NC 28031

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of David Warren
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Sunday, March 8, 2020 12:17 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 8, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. David Warren
PO Box 2161
Davidson, NC 28036
(704) 987-0811

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Joon So
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Sunday, March 8, 2020 2:07 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 8, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Joon So
2029 Hopedale Ave
Charlotte, NC 28207
(980) 307-0099

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Susan Papazian
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Sunday, March 8, 2020 6:08 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 8, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Susan Papazian
17629 DELMAS DR
CORNELIUS, NC 28031-8208
(704) 765-5429

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Loren Wennerwten
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Sunday, March 8, 2020 7:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 8, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Loren Wennerwten
9841 Bayview Pkwy.
Charlotte, NC 28216-3726
(704) 886-8302

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Leonard Warren
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Sunday, March 8, 2020 8:08 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 8, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Leonard Warren
4315 Barnside Ln
Charlotte, NC 28216
(704) 491-2528

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Joseph Anderson
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2020 11:52 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 9, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Joseph Anderson
12312 Lookout Point Dr
Charlotte, NC 28269-8521
(980) 237-0208

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020

Conyers, Tamika

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Joseph Anderson
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2020 11:52 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 9, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Joseph Anderson
12312 Lookout Point Dr
Charlotte, NC 28269-8521
(980) 237-0208

OFFICIAL COPY

Mar 09 2020