1	PLACE: Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina
2	DATE: April 28, 2014
3	TIME: 7:00 p.m 8:00 p.m.
4	DOCKET NO: E-100, Sub 137
5	BEFORE: Commissioner Bryan E. Beatty, Presiding Chairman Edward S. Finley, Jr.
6	Commissioner Susan W. Rabon Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland
7	Commissioner Don M. Bailey Commissioner Jerry C. Dockham
8 9	Commissioner James G. Patterson
LO	IN THE MATTER OF:
L1	2013 Biennial Integrated Resource Plans
L2	and
L3	Related 2013 Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards
L 4	Compliance Plans
L5	
L6	FILED
L7	MAY 0 5 2014
L8	Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission
.9	
0.0	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	FOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC and DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC:	
4		
5	Lawrence B. Somers Deputy General Counsel Post Office Box 1551	
6	Raleigh, North Carolina 27602	
7	FOR DOMINION NORTH CAROLINA POWER:	
8	Brett Breitschwerdt McGuireWoods LLP	
9	2600 Two Hannover Square	
10	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601	
11	FOR SIERRA CLUB and SOUTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER:	
12	Gudrun Thompson	
13	Senior Attorney Southern Environmental Law Center	
14	601 West Rosemary Street, Suite 220 Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27516	
15	FOR NC WARN:	
16	John Runkle	
17	2121 Damascus Church Road Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27516	
18	FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:	
1.9	Lucy E. Edmondson	
20	Robert S. Gillam 4326 Mail Service Center	
21	Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4326	
22 22	Margaret Force NC Department of Justice	-
	Post Office Box 629	
23	Raleigh, NC 27602	· .
24		

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS:
2	
3	VICKI RYDER Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson 12
	Cross Examination by Mr. Somers 14
4	HARVEY RICHMOND
5	Direct Statement 16
6	ALLI KENLAN Direct Statement 20
7	BOB RODRIGUEZ
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson 21
9	Cross Examination by Mr. Runkle 32
10	JANE NORTON Direct Statement 33
11	JASON ENDRIES
12	Direct Statement 38
13	MADHURA DESHPANDE Direct Statement 39
14	BRIAN REMLER Direct Statement 42
15	MICHELLE ALLEN
16	Direct Statement 45
17	PETER K. HANSMA, II Direct Statement 46
18	KELLY MARTIN
19	Direct Statement 49
20	Cross Examination by Mr. Somers 53
21	
22	
23	
24	

PROCEEDINGS:

l

Я

1.7

COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Good evening. Let's come to order and go on the record please. My name is Bryan Beatty and I've been assigned to preside during this hearing this evening. With me are Commission Chairman Edward S. Finley, Jr.; and Commissioners Susan W. Rabon, ToNola D. Brown-Bland, Don M. Bailey, Jerry C. Dockham, and James G. Patterson.

I now call for hearing Docket No. E-100, Sub 137, in the matter of the 2013 Integrated Resource Plan Annual Update Reports and Related 2013 Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards Compliance Plans.

Integrated Resource Planning, or IRP, is intended to identify those electric resource options that can be obtained at least cost to the ratepayers consistent with adequate, reliable electric service. IRP considers conservation, efficiency and load management, as well as supply-side alternatives, in the selection of resource options.

North Carolina General Statutes Section 62-110.1(c) requires the Commission to "develop, publicize and keep current an analysis of the long-range needs" for electricity in this State.

To meet the requirements of G.S. 62-110.1

annual investigation into the electric utilities'
IRP's. In odd-numbered years, each of the electric
facilities must file an annual report updating its
most recently filed biennial report. Further,
Commission Rule R8-67(b) requires any electric power
supplier subject to Rule R8-60 to file a Renewable
Energy Portfolio Standard, or REPS, compliance plan as
part of its IRP report. Within 60 days after the
filing of each utility's annual report, the Public
Staff or any other intervenor may file its IRP
reports. Also, the Public Staff or any other
intervenor may identify any issue that it believes
should be subject of an evidentiary hearing.
On August 31, 2013, Virginia Electric Power

l

On August 31, 2013, Virginia Electric Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power, filed its 2013 annual update IRP report. On October 15, 2013, Duke Energy Progress, Inc. and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, filed their 2013 annual update IRP's. In addition, the companies filed their 2013 REPS compliance plans.

On October 11, 2013, the Commission issued an Order establishing February 4, 2014, as the date by which interested parties may file petitions to

intervene in this docket, and the Public Staff and other intervenors may file initial comments on the utilities IRP's. Further, the Order set February 18, 2014, as the date by which all parties may file reply comments.

1.6

1.9

On January 6, 2014, the Commission issued an Order scheduling a public hearing to be held on March 3, 2014, for the purpose of taking non-expert public witness testimony with respect to the filed annual updated IRP's and REPS compliance plans. Due to inclement weather on March 3rd the Commission canceled the public hearing. On March 6th the Commission issued an Order rescheduling the hearing for this date, at this time and in this place. The Commission's Order also required Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Dominion to publish notice of this hearing in newspapers having general circulation in their respective service areas.

All three companies have filed affidavits in this docket stating that the required notices were published.

The Public Staff's participation as a party in these proceedings is recognized pursuant to G.S. 62-15(d).

The following parties have been granted
intervenor status in these proceedings by Commission
Orders: North Carolina Waste Awareness and Reduction
Network; Southern Alliance for Clean Energy; North
Carolina Sustainable Energy Association; Carolina
Industrial Group for Fair Utility Rates I, II and III;
Carolina Utility Customers Association, Inc.; Blue
Ridge Environmental Defense League, Inc.; Mid-Atlantic
Renewable Energy Coalition; Greenpeace, Inc.; the
Sierra Club; Nucor Steel-Hertford; Invenergy Wind
Development, LLC; and Invenergy Solar Development,
LLC.

On March 7, 2014, Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress filed a supplement to their 2013 IRP's.

On March 10, 2014, NC WARN filed a Motion in this docket requesting the Commission to review costs of the Duke Energy Carolinas proposed Lee Combined Cycle Plant in South Carolina. On March 21, 2014, the Commission issued an Order denying that motion.

The office of the Attorney General filed a notice of intervention in this docket pursuant to G.S. 62-20 on March 14, 2014.

Initial comments on the electric utilities'

1.8

IRP's have been filed by the Sierra Club and Southern
Alliance for Clean Energy, NCSEA, Mid-Atlantic
Renewable Energy Coalition, the Public Staff and NC
WARN. NC WARN in its comments also requested the
Commission to hold an evidentiary hearing regarding
Duke Energy's growth forecasts. The Commission has
not yet ruled on that request.
On April 17, 2014, the Commission issued an
Order extending the time for the public utility

On April 17, 2014, the Commission issued an Order extending the time for the public utility -- public electric utilities to file reply comments until May 23, 2014.

Pursuant to North Carolina G.S. 138A-15(e),
I'll remind members of the Commission of our duty to
avoid conflicts of interest and appearances of
conflicts, and inquire at this time whether any
Commissioner has a known conflict of interest with
regard to these proceedings.

(No response.)

The record will reflect that no conflicts were identified. I'll now call on counsel for the parties to identify and make their appearances for the record beginning with the utilities.

MR. SOMERS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. I'm Bo Somers, Deputy

. Т	General Counsel, on behalf of buke Energy Carolinas
2	and Duke Energy Progress.
3	MR. BREITSCHWERDT: Mr. Chairman, members of
4	the Commission, Brett Breitschwerdt, McGuire Woods on
. 5	behalf of Dominion North Carolina Power.
б	MS. THOMPSON: Good evening, Mr. Chairman,
7	members of the Commission, Gudrun Thompson
8	representing the Sierra Club and the Southern Alliance
9	for Clean Energy.
10	MR. RUNKLE: John Runkle representing NC
11	WARN.
12	MS. EDMONDSON: Good evening. Lucy
13	Edmondson with the Public Staff representing the Using
14	and Consuming Public.
15	MS. FORCE: Good evening. I'm Margaret
16	Force with the Attorney General's office representing
17	the Using and Consuming Public.
18	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Thank you, Ms. Force.
19	Good evening to all of you. Ladies and gentlemen, I'd
20	like to take a couple of minutes and explain how the
21	hearing will be conducted particularly for those who
22	may not have attended one of these before. The
23	Commission is required by law to function like a
24	court. Decisions are based on the evidence presented

to the Commission during public hearings. And persons who wish to speak must be sworn and are subject to cross examination by attorneys for the utility, or by the Commissioners, or by other parties. If the attorneys or the Commissioners have questions, they are intended to clarify or better understand your comments, not to embarrass you.

1.

1.0

In a moment, the attorney for the Public Staff, Ms. Edmondson, who represents consumers, will call on persons who have signed up to speak one person at a time. When your name is called please come here to the witness stand, to the podium, there is a Bible there and I will swear you in or, if you prefer, you can ask to be affirmed to tell the truth. The attorney for the Public Staff will ask you to give your name and address for the record and then allow you to make your statement to the Commission. This is your opportunity to let the Commission hear what you have to say about the utilities' 2013 Integrated Resource Plans and REPS compliance plans.

Neither the Commission nor the utilities can answer questions during this hearing. But, if you have questions, the attorney for the Public Staff will be happy to speak with you following the hearing and

representatives for the utilities may also be willing to speak with you after I have adjourned the hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

As you can see there's a court reporter seated right here in front of me. She is recording everything that is said at the hearing. She will prepare a transcript of the hearing and it will be available for public review on the Commission's website.

While you're in the hearing room, we ask that you please remain silent so that the speaker at the podium can be heard. I'd also ask that you please be considerate of others who wish to speak and if someone has already made the same point you want to make you can simply adopt their statement or say that you agree with it.

Does counsel for either of the parties wish to be heard before we hear from public witnesses? (No response.)

If there's nothing further, Ms. All right. Edmondson, please call your first witness.

MS. EDMONDSON: Vicki Ryder.

MS. RYDER: Good evening.

COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Ma'am, if you'll please place your left hand on the Bible and raise

```
your right hand, I'll swear you in.
 1
 2
               MS. RYDER:
                            I'd like to be affirmed.
 3
     VICKI RYDER;
                            was duly affirmed and
 4
                            testifies as follows:
 5
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MS. EDMONDSON:
 6
 7
     O
          Please state your name and address for the
          record.
 8
          My name is Vicki Ryder. I live at 15 Glenmore
 9
10
          Drive in Durham.
7.7
          Do you have a statement to make?
          I do.
12
     Α
13
          Please qo ahead.
          I'm here today representing our older citizens
14
15
          who have tremendous concerns for the kind of
16
          world that our grandchildren will be inheriting;
17
          and while we may be old, we're not stupid.
          know that the standard here in North Carolina
18
          requires that 12.5 percent be invested in
19
          renewable energy sources while Duke Energy is
20
          presenting you with a plan for only three percent
21
          renewables and that's not going to happen soon.
22
          So they must think that we're stupid but we're
23
                And so (Singing begins.) We've come to sing
24
          not.
```

our testimony just for you, hoping that this granny message will get through because we think Duke Energy needs to know that unsustainability has got to go. Coal and oil in the ground will soon run out and digging for it makes us want to scream and shout. It poisons all the air we breathe, puts toxins in the soil, Duke's Integrated Resource Plan will kill us all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We need wind and solar, that's the way to go. They're safe and cheap renewables and you should know three percent renewables, that really does fall short, the health and future of the earth just can't be bought. We demand a better plan for all us here to save the earth for all the grandkids we hold dear. We demand Duke gives us at least our 12.5, that would be a start to keep us all alive. No more of their dirty coal ash, no more schemes, they're poisoning our rivers and our lakes and streams. Close those dirty coal plants, it's time to do what's right. We've had enough of their stinking stuff and we (Singing ends.) Thank you very much. will fight. (Applause.)

(Ms. Ryder left the witness stand.)

1		COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Any questions? Ms.
2	Ryde	r, if you would, there's a question for you.
3		(Ms. Ryder returned to the witness stand.)
4		CROSS EXAMINATION
5	BY M	R. SOMERS:
б	Q	Hey there, Ms. Ryder. My name is Bo Somers. I
7	:	represent Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy
8		Progress. Thank you for coming tonight. I'm
9		going to apologize but I will not sing my
10		question to you. I would fail miserably
11	A	(Interposing) I will not sing my answer either.
12	Q	You talked in your song or your testimony about
13		12.5 percent requirement under North Carolina Law
14		for renewable energy or energy efficiency. Do
15		you know when that standard of 12.5 percent is in
16		effect by law.
17	A	Well, I know it's not in effect now. But I also
18		know that if your company doesn't begin to move
19		in that direction, we're fearful that it won't
20		happen in our lifetime, and we're fearful that
21		while we're waiting for it to happen more
22		tragedies like the one in the Dan River will
23		happen. We'd like to see you close your coal
24		plants now; not to wait for some future date that

1		might have been set by this Commission because we
2		know that in the interim too many accidents, too
3		many tragedies, too many lives are going to be
4		affected by what you're doing. There's no reason
5		why you can't begin a conversion to the 12.5.
6		You don't have to wait for a deadline. That will
7		be too late for many of us.
8	Q	So you're testimony is not that Duke Energy
9		Carolina or Duke Energy Progress are not in
10		compliance with North Carolina's REPS law?
11	A	What you're proposing to move to three percent is
12		simply not acceptable to those of us who have to
13		live with the consequences of what your coal
14	·	plants are doing to us.
15	Q	Did you know that in 2013 Duke Energy Progress
16		was the fifth largest solar developer in the
17		United States in loan utilities?
18	А	It's simply not enough. You're also responsible
19		for the third largest coal ash spill in this
20		nation's history and that's what
21		(Audience is snapping fingers.)
22		COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Ladies and gentlemen,
23	I ha	we to ask you to please be silent. This is not a
24	~-11	The court reporter has to hear what is being

```
1
     said. Please don't interrupt. I'm sorry, Ms. Ryder.
           (MR. SOMERS) I'm sorry. Were you done?
 2
     Q
          I'm done.
 3
              MR. SOMERS:
                            Thank you.
 4
               COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Any other questions
 5
     for Ms. Ryder? (No response.) Questions from the
 6
     Commission? (No response.) Thank you very much, Ms.
 7
     Ryder.
 8
 9
                         (WHEREUPON, the witness is
                         excused.)
10
               MS. EDMONDSON: Harvey Richmond.
11
12
               MR. RICHMOND: Can I request to be affirmed?
                         was duly affirmed and
13
     HARVEY RICHMOND;
                         testified as follows:
14
15
                        DIRECT STATEMENT
               MR. RICHMOND: My name is Harvey Richmond.
16
     I live at 106 Hebride Court in Cary, North Carolina.
17
               Thank you for the opportunity to address the
18
     Utilities Commission and Public Staff this evening.
19
     am a retired employee -- I'm a Senior Environmental
20
     Analyst from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
21
     who worked over 31 years on the development and review
22
     of national ambient air quality standards.
23
     active member and volunteer of the North Carolina
24
```

Chapter of the Sierra Club, but the comments I make today are those of my own.

б

1.4

First, I want to urge the Commission and Public Staff to start paying more attention to the public and to act in the public interest, not simply the interest of Duke Energy with its goal of maximizing profits.

All coal plants can be shut down, saving \$1.7 billion going out of state in coal costs, reducing carbon emission and reducing the amount of toxic coal ash in ponds next to drinking water supply. The debate should be over which coal plants should be shut down first and how soon they should be shut down. Duke Energy's massive coal ash spill into the Dan River is proof that this issue is urgent.

Duke Energy, as we just heard, has only planned for three percent renewable energy, including solar, in 2029, even though the North Carolina Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard requires in those future years 12.5 percent. This is outrageous and flies in the face of Duke Energy's advertisements which are designed to give the impression that Duke Energy supports development of clean energy sources. At the same time they are fighting to lower the amount

that they pay for rooftop solar which will only hurt solar development in this state.

1.0

11.

1.5

1.7

Distributed generation puts energy where it's needed. This includes rooftop solar and other renewable sources, industrial and commercial combined heat and power through cogeneration, and microgrid technologies, supported by pumped storage and batteries.

Duke Energy, as I said, needs to stop its campaign in North Carolina of attacking rooftop solar with its proposal to decrease the amount it will pay for solar generated energy. And instead get behind and support the rapid increase in solar energy and other forms of renewable energy as well as conservation efforts.

It's not in my testimony but I know recently the US Secretary of Energy was interviewed on NPR and stated that by 2030 that we could easily achieve 30 percent solar energy in this country. It's not a matter of technology, it's a matter of will.

Duke Energy is actively attacking solar because it can't meter the sun.

The Utilities Commission and the Public Staff of the Utilities Commission need to stop

righting the proposed RPA regulations that will limit
carbon emissions from new power plants and to end
their lobbying to weaken the upcoming EPA regulations
on carbon emissions from existing coal and gas-fired
plants. The health and well-being of all citizens,
our children and grandchildren, and the environment
depend on our society taking meaningful actions to
reduce carbon emissions to limit the damage that we
are already seeing from climate change. Instead of
sending letters to the EPA Administrator, which this
Commission and Public Staff did earlier this year,
arguing for the weakest possible interpretation of the
Clean Air Act, you need to get behind EPA and support
significant actions to address carbon emissions as
many of the northeastern states, California and
others, have done.

Thank you again for the opportunity to address the Commission. I hope this year you will actually listen to what the many voices here representing the public interest are saying instead of only paying attention to what Duke Energy is advocating. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Any questions for Mr. Richmond? (No response.) Thank you, sir.

1	(WHEREUPON, the witness is
2	excused.)
3	MS. EDMONDSON: Alli Kenlan.
4	MS. KENLAN: I'd like to be affirmed.
5	ALLI KENLAN; was duly affirmed and
6	testified as follows:
7	MS. EDMONDSON: Please state your name and
8	address.
9	MS. KENLAN: My name is Alli Kenlan. I live
10	at 228 Country Club Drive, Durham, North Carolina.
11	DIRECT STATEMENT
12	MS. KENLAN: I'm a first-year student at
13	North Carolina State University and today I am
14	representing the North Carolina Student Energy
15	Network. This year on February 22, 2014, all 17
16	student body presidents of the ASG signed a Clean
17	Energy Resolution that called upon Duke Energy to give
18 i	us 100 percent renewable energy and to decrease their
19	reliance on fossil fuels.
20	As students at one of the largest customers
21	for Duke Energy in North Carolina, we would really
22	like to work with Duke Energy. You guys are planning
23	on doubling solar in North Carolina and we really want

to be a part of that but some of those plans make it

1	difficult for students to be a part of that. Our
2	school, university, just wants to work with Duke
3	Energy to get renewable energy.
4	The Resolution that was passed by those 17
5	student body presidents was on behalf of all 220,000
6	students in the UNC system. That means that all of us
7	want clean energy. Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Just a minute, Ms.
9	Kenlan, let me see if there are any questions. There
10	appear to be none. Thank you very much for being here
11	this evening.
12	(WHEREUPON, the witness is
13	excused.)
14	MS. EDMONDSON: Bob Rodriguez.
1 5	BOB RODRIGUEZ; was duly sworn and
16	testifies as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. EDMONDSON:
19	Q Please state your name and address.
20	A Yes, my name is Bob Rodriquez. I'm at I live
21	at 2400 Countrywood Road, Raleigh 27615 is the
22	zip code.
23	Q You may proceed with your statement.
2 4	A Thank you. Mr. Bailey, Chairman Finley, members

of the Commission, members of the counsel, both sides, I wanted to address you tonight as a concerned citizen, a Steering Committee member of the North Carolina Interfaith Power and Light, a program of the NC Council of Churches, as a businessman, a shareholder and utilities customer, I am asking you and the Commission to consider the moral imperative and impacts of the latest Integrated Resource Plan from Progress and Duke Energy although they're really one.

In some ways, what I am asking for today is no different than what I've asked in the past, one year, two years, five years ago. I think what has changed significantly is the scope, scale and pace of implementing energy efficiency and conservation as our fastest least cost methods for being able to obtain power, championing the use of combined heat and power in our state and integrating more renewable power into the generation mix.

I think one of the things that has come to light, especially in the last two months, has been all you have to do is look north at the Dan River and the coal ash spill to realize we

cannot continue business as usual and continue in our usual trajectory. The cost of clean up on the Dan River plus the other 33 coal sites has now been projected to be around \$10 billion and it could take up to 30 years to complete.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It is from this perspective that I'm asking you all that we need to start implementing strategies and technologies that do not leave a harmful, toxic and deadly waste as part of their enduring legacy. And the other portion of the costs that's not included in the IRP are the externalized costs that our continued reliance on coal places on ourselves, our customers, your customers (nodding at Duke counsel), and our communities.

I also will reference another report that I did last year for the Annals of the New York Academy of Science and I give reference here titled the Full Cost of Accounting for the Life Cycle of Coal. In that report which conservatively estimates the cost of coal burning, mining, disposal, and all the components of coal extraction to be somewhere between \$333 and \$500 billion a year with impacts on the east

coast primarily in the area of the Appalachian communities. I think you'll also want to highlight the impacts in that report about the --what it means to communities in terms of watershed and biodiversity.

б

Finally, I'll reference the latest IPCC report, which was just released in March, which brings home the point that we have to start taking carbon pollution seriously and do it at a pace as if our long-term survival really mattered.

So going -- reviewing the Progress Energy IRP, since I'm a Progress Energy customer, I can see that they're having some improvements made and I do want to encourage them to go further. I think of the things though in reviewing the information and using the information they gave, especially from their aspirational graph which was on page 34, graph 8-G, this is what I found and I was somewhat under, well, underwhelmed I'm afraid. I think we have a long way to go, but let me say what I found. This is from the 2028 IRP generational plan which basically had energy efficiency at

seven percent, renewable energy five percent, hydroelectric one percent, demand-side management six percent, nuclear 19 percent, combustion turbine 16 percent, coal 18 percent and combined cycle of 27 percent. So, if my math is correct, this is for the 2028 level. This means that we're still getting about 61 percent of our capacity from fossil fuels which also means that we're still relying on coal and the coal -- and the waste stream that we're going to continue to get from the pollution from those plants.

As a converse, I'd like to offer that the State of Vermont through Efficiency
Vermont in 2013 was able to obtain 13.1 percent of generating capacity from energy efficiency alone. Germany, as a country obtains around 24 percent of its power from renewables with peaks this past fall of around 61 percent, and 59 percent recorded in October and I believe
November of this year. And Denmark today uses or obtains 52 percent of its power from combined heat and power with wind making up the additional 20 percent. And I won't go into the mix of the other things, along with its continued commitment

to higher percentages for efficiency and renewal. I have other countries; I could go on and on. I will not do that. I'm sure other folks here will do that. But I think the key point here is that we see the rest of the world leading in this area and I think that we can as well. I think there's a lot of head way; a lot of head room for us to improve even beyond what has been mentioned and what has been agreed to with the IRP with the REPS and I think that's the key point that I make here.

I also want to say in 2012 there was a National Academy of Science Report that stated between 25 and 31 percent of savings can be accomplished through 2030 through energy efficiency. And so they gave examples there in terms of industrial, governments, commercial customers using CHP for hospital campuses, other industrial customers and sites. And one of the things they also cited that this is probably the safest type of investment because you already have an existing plant that is up and running. You have a motivated customer who wants to make sure things continue and the fact that we have

examples around the world of this working; and it's probably about 100 years old because this go back to the time of Edison for a long, successful track record.

Я

1.5

by the Oak Ridge National Labs, that said that
North Carolina gets about 5 percent of its total
power from CHP; it recommended that, from a
national standpoint, that that could be feasibly,
technically feasibly moved up to 20 percent. And
then for North and South Carolina they identified
about 3 gigawatts of power which would raise our
current 5 percent level closer to 17 percent.

As a footnote, I will mention that for Germany -- and, for the record, I do work for a German company so I do know this from my colleagues from Germany who remind me of this all the time -- that they actually are committed to an 80 percent generation of renewables by 2050. In fact, the northern states of Germany obtain a much higher percentage than 24 percent. And that this effort was viewed, from a long-term view, their view is very much like that of First Nations of Seven Generations; what are we leaving

our children? And also viewed as an opportunity, a tremendous opportunity, for business for them to move forward to do two things at the same time, ensue the blessings to their posterity but also to help them moving forward in the world economy.

letting ourselves undershoot, underscore, not pushing ourselves to the level that needs to be done. I think I also want to mention two last things and that's going to be that the impacts of the IRP are not just on human communities but the natural community as well. We depend upon the natural world for our very existence and I think we have a strong moral responsibility to the care of creation, our impact of the natural world and our contribution to climate disruption to ourselves and these communities.

I also know that no decision made does not come with some sort of price. I know that every time we use coal that there's probably a community in Appalachia that feels the effects of mountaintop removal; or in our own communities the fact that I can't take my nieces and nephews

fishing because of fear for mercury contamination of fish; or the fact that in other communities, in the indigenous community primarily, uranium mining and the impacts there for nuclear are felt and having felt in the past and are continued to be felt. And lastly, that natural gas does not come free; that there are a number of communities who are having to feel the effects of hydraulic fracturing in our air and water and quality of life.

б

So, I think what this all comes down to is what areas can I see us continue to improve? I must say that there is a number of new things that's been added in terms of the IRP from the Progress Energy side to encourage energy conservation, demand-side management, and also encourage builders to be taking a proactive approach as to not be using energy to begin with; and I think that's something that needs to be decided.

I do say though that we really do need to add solar hot water to that. It's already in other parts of the world, solar hot water is an easy, low-hanging fruit way of being

able to reduce energy consumption, electricity consumption, and yet that is not part of the mix currently by the IRP. I think the other thing, too that -- that may not be taken into account is that renewable power generation, by and large, does not require water. A great number of it does not. And as we have all experienced droughts in the past, we know that it's -- water levels drop -- this is a major issue for coal generation; not so much for natural gas combined cycle, but definitely for nuclear where the temperature of the water, level of the water, can make a tremendous impact or actually curtail production.

1.

б

1.0

So I think, in summary, the one last thing and deep concern I have here is that if we continue on a path of business as usual, and that from comments that have been given in the past by Duke senior executives needing to capture costs early through CWIP, financially through a version called Super CWIP, where the -- the scrutiny of the public, a major construction project such as a nuclear power plant would be significantly reduced. And I'll give you an

example of what happened in Florida with Progress Energy with the canceling of two nuclear reactors and in the end the ratepayers -- the customers were ending up having to pay I think approximately \$1 billion. And, if I can quote Senator, Florida Senator Mike Fasano, he said if he'd ever known this was going to be the result, he never would have voted for the bill. It was the worst thing he'd ever done as a legislator.

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So Chairman, members of the Commission, I want to urge you to be bold, to ask more of our utility. The fact is that we need to redouble our efforts in champion energy efficiency and conservation. We have so many other examples of this. One other thing I'll leave for an example of a building is the Empire State Building which was retrofitted three years ago where 40 percent of the energy was previous operating capacity; this was done through the Rocky Mount Institute. They were able to do through a deep retrofit, they permanently removed 40 percent of the energy that was needed through heating and cooling in that building. So, and I think essentially they will be paying back in the

1	third year. So these retrofits make sense;
2	they're fast; they pay back; and I think that
3	we're selling ourselves short. So I think
4	there's an opportunity to break new ground.
5	You'll be serving the people of North Carolina
6	for this generation and in the future. Thank you
7	for your time.
8	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Any questions for Mr.
9	Rodriguez?
10	CROSS EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. RUNKLE:
12	Q Mr. Rodriguez, about halfway through your
13	testimony you talked about CHP. For the record,
14	what did you mean by that?
15	A Thank you, Mr. Runkle. Combined heat and power.
16	So for those who are not familiar with that that
17	is where you take waste heat and basically you've
18	already paid for the fuel and you generate power
19	from that again and sometimes again.
20	Q And we used to call that cogeneration?
21	A That is correct.
22	MR. RUNKLE: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Other questions? (No
24	response) Thank you wery much Mr. Rodriguez

1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, sir. And I'll
2	leave a copy for you.
3	(WHEREUPON, the witness is
4	excused.)
5	MS. EDMONDSON: Jane Norton.
б	MS. NORTON: I'd like to be affirmed.
7	JANE NORTON; was duly affirmed and
8	testified as follows:.
9	MS. EDMONDSON: State your name and address.
10	DIRECT STATEMENT
11	MS. NORTON: My name is Jan Norton and I
12	live at 5605 Mount Sinai Road in Durham 27705.
13	Thank you very much for giving us the
14	opportunity to speak to you tonight. I am a small
15	business owner; I direct a non-profit; I am a mother,
16	a grandmother of children and grandchildren that live
17	in North Carolina; and a Duke Energy customer. I've
18	worked with several leadership organizations over the
19	years coaching business executives to creatively
20	respond to changing times. We do not counsel them to
21	stick with business as usual but, instead, to
22	anticipate change and to find ways to innovate and
23	lead in their industry.
24	In a Bloomberg Businessweek article recently

David Crane, who is the CEO of NRG Energy, a wholesale power company based in Princeton, New Jersey, states that the current model of energy production and distribution, which Duke uses, hasn't changed much since Thomas Edison evented the lightbulb and it's doomed to obsolescence. The industry executive says that in about the time it has taken cell phones to supplant landlines in most US homes, the grid will become increasingly irrelevant as customers move toward decentralized homegrown green energy. Rooftop solar, in particular, is turning tens of thousands of businesses and households into power producers. distributed generation to give the industry terms of power produced outside the grid is certain to grow; that was his quote. And his company is choosing to be a leader by changing their business model to embrace this.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In January, the Charlotte Business Journal reported that North Carolina jumped to second place behind California in terms of solar power capacity added in 2013 which makes us a national leader. But we stand to lose this leadership position if Duke continues their old model of energy generation and gets the IRP that they are requesting.

The clean energy industry in North Carolina is a huge contribution to our state economy. It has approximately 1100 operating firms located throughout the state. NCSEA, the Sustainable Energy Association, calculates that these firms generated \$3.6 billion in revenue and employed more than 18,404 full-time equivalent positions in 2013. We need to keep supporting this clean energy industry, not the fossil fuel energy.

1

2

3

4

5

б

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Bob Rodriguez mentioned several examples of other places, and other states, and other countries that are generating a good percentage of their energy from renewables. I think they're inspiring initiatives all over the country and I'm just going to mention a few. The utility, Xcel Energy, last month submitted a proposal to Colorado regulators that identifies 170 megawatts of solar and 450 megawatts of wind as the most cost-effective resources. first time that Xcel Energy, which serves eight states in the west and midwest, chose solar and wind in its planning process strictly for economic reasons, not just to meet the state's renewable energy standard. The cost of renewable energy is now so low that coal is uncompetitive even before taking into account the

huge environmental cost of burning coal.

2

3

4

5

б

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Colorado's carbon dioxide emissions are being slashed at no cost to consumers. In Minnesota, an administrative law judge concluded that Xcel Energy should invest in several large solar power arrays rather than natural gas generators in order to meet projected power needs in Minnesota. The reason, the solar power arrays would offer ratepayers a better It is the first time a state has used a competitive bidding process for a major power generation project. The Commission ordered the proceeding to force energy companies to compete on price. They also realized that for homeowners who go solar in Minnesota, I mean, it's not the south, they're expected to get a 10 percent internal rate of return on their investment which beats the standard, of course, over the last 50 years.

Google has not only invested in wind power for their own energy, a few weeks ago announced that they would buy much of their energy from a wind farm in Iowa. But now, along with \$150 million investment from solar panel maker, SunPower, they will provide \$100 million to contribute to lease financing for thousands of American homeowners to add solar panels

```
to their rooftops and clean energy to their mix.
Google has already managed to run its megacorporation
with 34 percent cleaner energy then surely Duke can do
better than three percent.
          Duke Energy, as the largest utility company
in the country, has the opportunity to be a
game-changing leader and innovator by dramatically
increasing the percentage of renewables they commit to
in their IRP. And the Utilities Commission has the
opportunity and the obligation to serve all North
Carolinians by requiring that Duke revise their
business model to meet 21st century demands.
future of North Carolina, as a leader, depends on your
           I was really proud to tell people in other
decision.
states that North Carolina was second in solar
production. Let's keep us at the top. Let's be as
proud of our ranking in renewable energy production as
```

COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Thank you, Ms.

Norton. Questions for Ms. Norton? (No response)

MS. NORTON: Thank you.

Thank you.

we are in our sports team rankings.

COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Thank you very much,

23 | ma'am.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

OFFICIAL COPY

1	(WHEREUPON, the witness is
2	excused.)
3	MS. EDMONDSON: Jason Endries.
4	MR. ENDRIES: I'd like to be affirmed.
5	<u>JASON ENDRIES</u> ; was duly affirmed and
6	testified as follows:
7	MS. EDMONDSON: Please state your name and
8	address and proceed with your statement.
9	MR. ENDRIES: My name is Jason Endries and I
10	live at 1140 Carton Avenue in Raleigh.
11	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Sir, can I ask you to
12	spell your last name, please?
13	MR. ENDRIES: E-N-D-R-I-E-S. You said it
14	right. (Indicating to Ms. Edmondson)
15	DIRECT STATEMENT
16	MR. ENDRIES: I'm a student at NC State
17	University studying meteorology. My goal is not to be
18	a weather man on TV but to work for the wind energy
19	industry pursuing work my passion for the weather
20	and making the world a better place. According to the
21	Institute for Energy and Environment research,
22	offshore wind can generate 120 percent of our current
23	electricity use in North Carolina. But Duke Energy's
24	plan for the next 15 to 20 years plans to add only two

Τ	more percent of all renewable energy in the state.
2	This is not enough if we hope to bring jobs to our
3	state and ensure the safety of our environment.
4	I want to graduate next year to a state
5	that's working to be safer, more sustainable, and full
6	of clean jobs. The current plan just isn't good
7	enough. You have the power to change that. Please
8	require Duke Energy to increase the amount of
9	renewables they are going to bring online. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Thank you, sir. Any
11.	questions? (No response) Thank you very much for
12	coming this evening.
13	(WHEREUPON, the witness is
14	excused.)
15	MS. EDMONDSON: Madhura Deshpande.
16	MS. DESHPANDE: I'd like to affirm.
17	MADHURA DESHPANDE; was duly affirmed and
18	testified as follows:
19	MS. EDMONDSON: Please state your name and
• •	
20	address and proceed with your statement.
20 21	address and proceed with your statement. COMMISSIONER BEATTY: And please spell it.
:	
21	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: And please spell it.

D-E-S-H-P-A-N-D-E. My address is 222 Glenwood Avenue, Raleigh, North Carolina. I work in town with Greenpeace but my statement is on behalf of myself as a resident here in North Carolina that pays Duke Progress.

I appreciate that there have been quite a few facts presented so I will keep mine short.

Normally, if I wanted a different product, I should be able to go to the store and choose the product that I want to support the views that I believe in and just pay more and vote with my dollar. Right? Capitalism at its best. Unfortunately, there isn't an option like that here in North Carolina for me right now with my energy. If I want solar I either have the option of paying Duke Energy or have the option of not getting energy which really doesn't work out, or buying a separate panel which I really can't afford right now.

The point that I want to make here is that if the residents that this utility company is servicing want a different kind of energy, and we're here telling the Commission that we want a different kind of energy, but we legitimately have no other option to get that kind of energy then the system

```
doesn't work very well. So the only option is for the
 1
     Utilities Commission which can act in the public's
 2
     best interest to actually tell Duke Energy to come up
 3
     with a better Integrated Resource Plan.
               Fossil fuels are not going to last forever,
 5
     and although none of us will be in the forever that
 6
     I'm talking about, it should come to some point that
     we start looking to that future and start acting in
 9
     its best interest. As somebody that pays this
     utility, I think it should be enough that I can come
1.0
     here and say I want renewable energy, more renewable
11
12
     energy than they're currently providing, and for them
     to say yes we can figure something out because it's
13
14
     not that the technology doesn't exist, it's just that
     they haven't been pushed to do it yet. So that's it.
15
     Thank you. Any questions? I'm sorry.
16
                                     Any questions?
               COMMISSIONER BEATTY:
17
     response) Thank you very much.
18
                          (WHEREUPON, the witness is
19
                         excused.)
20
                               Brian Remler.
                   EDMONDSON:
21.
                            I'd like to affirm.
               MR. REMLER:
22
                         was duly affirmed and
23
     BRIAN REMLER;
                         testified as follows:
24
```

MS. EDMONDSON: Please state your name and address.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DIRECT STATEMENT

Brian Remler, last name is MR. REMLER: R-E-M-L-E-R. I live at 2550 Glenwood Avenue, Apartment R, that's right here in Raleigh, 27608.

I work for Greenpeace here in Raleigh but my comments are as a ratepayer of Duke Progress and as a very concerned resident of the great State of North Carolina.

I recall being in this room speaking to many of you a year ago when myself and close to 100 people testified against Duke's sturdy and dangerous IRP and it's over-reliance on energy resources like coal.

What have we seen since then? Well, I'm sure all of you will recall the chemical spill in West Virginia about four months ago that poisoned the drinking water of thousands of people. Though the chemical that spilled into there was actually a chemical that is used to clean coal ash, if you will.

One thing I'm sure all of you will remember is something that's been referenced a couple of times, the crisis out in Eden. 80,000 tons of coal ash seeping into the Dan River poisoning people's drinking water, surrendering, you know, the fish are inedible there. All of this goes to show that there is no way to clean coal and that ultimately to prevent catastrophes like these from happening we need to move towards wind and solar.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Duke Progress makes a, like a lot of rhetorical statements in support of wind and solar. In fact, on their website they have a tab that's an FAQ about distributing solar here in North Carolina. There's about 28 or 30 tabs but I'm only going to mention two of these tabs that I think are incredibly relevant. One of them is who will install and maintain the solar PV system? Duke Energy will install and maintain the solar PV system. Who can participate in Duke Energy's solar program? exceptionally high interest in the program, we have reached our limit for applicants. So it just goes to support the remarks of Madhura earlier that as residents we -- like residents who are demanding solar don't have the option to do so. Like who does have the power to make that a reality? The seven of you sitting before me. At the end of the day your commitment is to the residents and ratepayers of North Carolina; not to the benefits of the shareholders and

1 executives at Duke Energy.

. 18

We saw this last year in Arizona, like a battle kind of like the one that's unfolding now, unfolding there where the utilities over there were pushing for I believe it was a \$100.00 tax on ratepayers who want to use solar. But the public commission there was bold and they struck that down to \$5.00 which is much more reasonable and makes solar viable. You have the power to do something like that here today and here in our state.

I urge y'all to be bold and reject this TRP.

Make Duke go back to the drawing board and

ultimately -- like help ensure the health of our

planet and ultimately the health of our environment

here in North Carolina.

COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Questions for Mr. Remler? (No response) Thank you very much.

(WHEREUPON, the witness is

excused.)

MS. EDMONDSON: Michelle Allen.

MS. ALLEN: I'd like to be affirmed.

MICHELLE ALLEN; was duly affirmed and

testified as follows:

MS. EDMONDSON: Please state your name and

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

address.

MS. ALLEN: Michelle Allen, 3227 Warm Springs Lane, and that's in Raleigh.

MS. EDMONDSON: Please proceed with your statement.

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. ALLEN: So I wasn't born in North
Carolina, I was born in Georgia. But two summers ago
I came -- I was visiting North Carolina, and I met a
woman in Charlotte and she was a cancer survivor. And
her doctor told her that her cancer was caused by
environmental pollution and most likely the coal plant
that she lived five minutes from. It was the
Riverbend Coal Plant which luckily now has been shut
down but there are still many other coal plants in
North Carolina that other people are suffering from.

I'm here because Duke needs to lead the way for renewable energy in the south and not just the south but the entire United States. Duke Energy has the ability to do that, and so I want to see Duke get its priorities straight and start putting its ratepayers first. The ones who are suffering from the rate hikes and the coal pollution, the air and water pollution, those people need to come first; not your

1	payenecks.	
2	So I'm here to urge you to increase, greatly	
3	increase, the three percent over the next 20 years	
4	because that's not enough. We need more renewable	
5	energy than three percent in the next 20 years. Thank	
6	you.	
7	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Questions for	
8	Ms. Allen? (No response) Thank you very much.	
9	(WHEREUPON, the witness is	
10	excused.)	
11.	MS. EDMONDSON: Peter Hansma.	
12	MR. HANSMA: I'd like to be affirmed.	
13	PETER HANSMA, II; was duly affirmed and	
14	testified as follows:	
15	DIRECT STATEMENT	
16	MR. HANSMA: My name is Peter Hansma. I	
17	live at 3010 Walnut Creek Parkway, Apartment E,	
18	Raleigh, North Carolina.	
19	First off I'd like to say that I've been a	
20	resident of North Carolina since birth and a ratepayer	
21	for the last 20 years, and I'd like to thank you all	
22	for allowing me to speak with you. I'd also like to	
23	begin by saying I agree with every person who has	
24	spoken before me.	

I have had the privilege of spending my life enjoying the Carolina coast, its rolling countryside, and its majestic mountains. I want our children to be able to enjoy that same privilege.

I'm concerned with the aggressive suppression of alternative and renewable energy sources. Specifically, Duke Energy plans minimal amounts of renewable energy and energy efficiency within the next 15 years according to the IRP.

In effect, Duke Energy is stating that global warming and climate change does not matter to them; they're only making it profit matters.

For many in our state fresh air, clean streams and serene mountains are not worth sacrificing for Duke Energy's grief. We've lost over 540 named mountains in the Appalachian trail. Ground water, rivers, and wildlife has been threatened, and air quality is creating more cases of respiratory conditions every day. We cannot continue down this road. We cannot accept the risks to our environment and we will no longer tolerate Duke Energy's excuses.

Instead of using ratepayers' money to build more coal, natural gas and eco-power plants, Duke

Energy needs to step into the sunlight of the 21st

OFFICIAL COPY

1	century and invest in renewable energy sources for the
2	good of our planet. Solar and coastal wind energy are
3	abundant in North Carolina and can provide large
4	amounts of electricity with no fuel costs and minimal
5	health risks to the communities and the environment.
6	We are jeopardizing our children and
7	grandchildren's future health, environment and
8	economic livelihood. Our planet's future is in their
9	hands. Please don't let Duke squander it for
LO	shareholders' temporary financial comfort. Please
1.1	reject the Integrated Resource Plan.
L2	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Questions for Mr.
L3	Hansma? (No response) Thank you very much, sir.
L4	(WHEREUPON, the witness is
15	excused.)
Lб	MS. EDMONDSON: The last name I have is
L7	Kelly Martin.
L8	KELLY MARTIN; was duly sworn and
19	testifies as follows:
20	MS. MARTIN: Nothing like being the last one
21	standing before sitting
22	MS. EDMONDSON: If you could give your name
23	and address.
24	

DIRECT STATEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm Kelly Martin and I live at MS. MARTIN: 86 Deaver Street in Asheville, North Carolina. Duke Energy Progress customer. And for purposes of identification, I work with the Sierra Club in our Asheville Office.

Thank you, Chairman and members of the Commission, for the opportunity to speak here tonight. I spend -- in my day job I look a lot at the energy and electricity markets and I spend a lot of time looking at analyst reports and the economics, the rapidly changing economics of electricity generation in our state and across the southeast. And what I see, just like many of you also see I'm sure, is that the cost of burning coal and the cost of reliance on fossil fuels is going up and the cost of renewables are plummeting rapidly.

And so as someone who is concerned from an environmental point of view and from a concern about climate change, it is kind of a treat to actually finally see the economic winds changing and the renewables competing in their own right.

And so, since many people have already spoken on some of these issues today, I want to just

underscore a couple of thoughts as you all make your deliberations on the long-range planning of Duke Energy and Duke Energy Progress. And specifically, since I live in Asheville, I'll offer that the Asheville coal plant is one of the most expensive plants to operate in all of Duke Energy's fleet. Ιt is a cost to the ratepayers in addition to being the largest contributor to climate change in the western part of the state and causing water pollution through its coal ash discharge. And so what I would offer here is that even -- I would share with you if you haven't seen it, is that the CEO of Duke Energy, Lynn Good, responded to the most recent coal ash disaster with the game plan for what will happen with the coal ash ponds.

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

One of the considerations for the Asheville plant is to consider retiring that plant as an option instead of converting to handling the ash dry and installing additional controls in that way. And I would offer that this is an important consideration for the ratepayers because continuing to rely on expensive coal, even as Duke Energy stated, may not be the best option for ratepayers and certainly not be the best option for the environment.

And, in addition to that, a similar thing at the Allen coal plant where it's one of the most expensive plants to operate in the state, it's barely operating. And so what is the cost to ratepayers to continue to rely on this? And when we look at -- I think that's relevant right now because as we're looking at the long-range planning we see a continued reliance on coal. And as many people have mentioned before a fairly small reliance on renewable energy. And as Duke comes into compliance with clean air and clean water laws it also will become an even more economical decision to reduce our reliance on burning coal.

1.0

So I also -- a lot of people have talked about solar tonight and I share some of those concerns, but I want to just speak to wind because not as many people have talked about renewable -- about wind energy. So wind makes up a very minuscule part of the Integrated Resource Plan yet we have an abundant coastal wind resource, and in many parts of the country right now wind is actually outperforming. It's cheaper to install a new wind farm than it is to build a new natural gas plant. And with natural gas rates as low as they are right now, that's really

saying something. And yet in the long-range planning, there is hardly any new winds that will be installed or any wind at all installed in this state. As many of you all know, we have no industrial wind turbines installed in this state and there is hardly a look at doing more. Yet I would argue that that's a good thing, not only for the climate and for our air and water, but also for ratepayers.

1.8

And so finally I would offer that I come at this as a mother of three small children. I am gravely concerned about the impacts of climate change and I -- what I see is that we are the last generation of people that can act to address climate change. The recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Report says that by the time my youngest daughter, my four-year old, is my age that the temperatures that we experience right now as the hottest temperatures will indeed feel like some of the coolest temperatures and with that comes rising sea levels. A dramatic change in the way we need to grow our food and agriculture business comes with economic insecurity, food insecurity; and these are real issues, and we are the last generation of people that can act.

So I know sometimes in these rooms and in

1	these proceedings it doesn't feel like we have	
2	something global at stake. And I will encourage you	
3	all through this deliberation and through your future	
4	deliberations about North Carolina's energy future to	
5	really take seriously the concerns that people have	
6	raised here tonight. And I think it is absolutely	
7	possible to balance economic concerns with clean air,	
8	clean water, and a secure climate for our future. So	
9	thank you again, Chairman and members of the	
10	Commission, for the opportunity to speak.	
11	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Are there any	
12	questions for Ms. Martin? Mr. Somers.	
13	MR. SOMERS: I have one.	
14	CROSS EXAMINATION	
15 .	BY MR. SOMERS:	
16	Q Ms. Martin, I appreciate that you drove three or	
17	four hours down here so I feel like I should at	
18	least ask you one question.	
19	A Okay.	
20	Q You talked about the potential for offshore wind	
21	in North Carolina	
22	A (Interposing) Actually, I was speaking about	
23	coastal wind. So offshore wind is a huge	
24	resource as well that I understand the economics	

OFFICIAL COPY

1		aren't quite there yet, and so I'm actually
2		speaking about land-based coastal wind.
3	Q	Okay. Thank you very much.
4	A	You don't have a question on that?
5	Q	Sure I will. Based on your you testified that
6		you work with the Sierra Club and that you look
7	İ	at financial information about different
8	<u> </u> 	generation options. Based on your most recent
9		research, what would you say the install or
10		capacity costs for coastal wind in North Carolina
11		would be on our dollars
12	A	(Interposing) Well, I can't speak to North
13		Carolina specifically because my understanding
14		is, I mean, we don't have any yet so we don't
15		have those real numbers. About \$3.00 a megawatt
16		is what wind is coming in at out in a lot of
17		places like in the most central part of the
18		country right now.
19		MR. SOMERS: Okay. Thank you.
20		MS. MARTIN: Yep.
21		COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Other questions for
22	Ms. i	Martin? (No response) Thank you very much for
23	comi	ng down from Asheville.
24		MS. MARTIN: Thanks.

1	(WHEREUPON, the witness is
2	excused.)
3	COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Is there anyone else
4	in the hearing room who has not already testified and
5	who wishes to testify this evening? If you would just
6	stand up and let yourself be known. All right. It
7	appears that no one else wishes to testify. I want to
8	thank all of you who came to testify and all of those
9	who came to observe and support them. If there's
10	nothing else from counsel, we are adjourned.
11	(WHEREUPON, the hearing is
12	, adjourned.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
L9	
20	
21	
: 22	
23 :	
24	

CERTIFICATE dersigned Court Reporter certifies that this is the transcription of notes taken by her during this proceeding and that the same is true, accurate and correct. -Kim T. Mitchell Court Reporter II

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION