## STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1213 DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1214 DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1187

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1213	)
In the Matter of Petition of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, for Approval of Prepaid Advantage Program	) ) )
DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1214	)
In the Matter of Application by Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Utility Service in North Carolina	) ) ) )
DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1187	)
In the Matter of Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for an Accounting Order to Defer Incremental Storm Damage Expenses Incurred as a Result of Hurricanes Florence and Michael and Winter	/ ) ) )

Storm Diego

ORDER GRANTING SECOND MOTION OF DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC, TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF **TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS** PRESENTED BY WITNESSES IN DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC, HEARING

BY THE CHAIR: On October 13, 2020, in response to a motion by Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (DEC), the Commission issued an order in the above-captioned dockets (DEC dockets) that, among other things, established guidelines for the parties to request that the Commission take judicial notice of information pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 62-65.

)

)

On October 21, 2020, the Commission issued an order granting DEC's motion that the Commission take judicial notice in the DEC dockets of testimony and one exhibit of witnesses Marcia Williams and James Wells (Williams/Wells evidence), presented during the expert witness hearing in the rate case application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC (DEP), in Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219 (DEP hearing). In addition, the order directed that the Court Reporter include the Williams/Wells evidence in an addendum to Volume 29 of the transcript in the DEC dockets and an addendum to Volume 29 of the Official Exhibits in the DEC dockets. Further, the order directed that the Court Reporter wait until

October 27, 2020, to file the addendum to Volume 29 of the DEC hearing transcript that includes the Williams/Wells evidence, and to wait until the same date to file the addendum to Volume 29 of the Official Exhibits to include the exhibit referenced in the Williams/Wells evidence. Finally, in a subsequent order issued in the DEC dockets the Commission directed that the Court Reporter file the addendum to Volume 29 of the DEC hearing transcript and the addendum to Volume 29 of the Official Exhibits as soon as reasonably practicable after any other testimony and exhibits were approved by the Commission for inclusion in said addendum to Volume 29 of the transcript and addendum to Volume 29 of the Official Exhibits.

On October 23, 2020, DEC filed a second motion requesting that the Commission take judicial notice in the DEC dockets of certain testimony and exhibits presented during the DEP hearing. DEC stated that the evidence was presented by DEP witnesses Jessica Bednarcik, James Wells, Marcia Williams, David Doss, Sean Riley, and John Spanos. DEC provided the chart below as a list of the specific testimony and exhibits and the corresponding transcript volumes and page numbers for the testimony and exhibits.

Witness	Volume	Page Numbers	Description
Bednarcik (direct)	12	319, l. 17 through 320, l. 7	Testimony regarding reasonableness and prudence of historical coal ash pond operations applicable to DEC and DEP.
Bednarcik (direct)	13	41, l. 5 through 43, l. 15	Testimony responding to questions about cost impacts of historical decisions and addressing whether costs would be lower under various hypothetical scenarios. Responses translate to historical decisions for both DEC and DEP.
Bednarcik (direct)	13	<ul> <li>52, I. 9 through 65, I. 15, along with exhibits:</li> <li>Bednarcik Direct DEP Redirect Exhibit 1</li> <li>Bednarcik Direct DEP Redirect Exhibit 2</li> <li>Bednarcik Direct DEP Redirect Exhibit 3</li> <li>Bednarcik Direct DEP Redirect Exhibit 4</li> </ul>	Testimony on redirect covering topics relevant and material to both DEC and DEP, including DEQ policy, the Sutton Settlement, and witness Bonaparte's analysis of peer utility closure planning practices.
Bednarcik (direct)	13	67, l. 17 through 70, l. 4	Testimony on redirect covering topics relevant and material to both DEC and DEP regarding location restrictions and industry standards.

Witness	Volume	Page Numbers	Description
Bednarcik	17	477, l. 15 through p. 481,	Testimony covering industry
(rebuttal)		1.3	standards and witness Bednarcik's
			knowledge and discussion with
			industry peers regarding coal ash
			closure practices pre-merger and
			post-merger.
Bednarcik	18	43, l. 12 through p. 44, l. 9	Redirect Testimony addressing
(rebuttal)			witness Bonaparte report and
			industry standards.
Bednarcik	18	48, l. 4 through p. 50, l. 15	Redirect testimony applicable to
(rebuttal)			both DEC and DEP addressing
			compliance boundary costs.
Wells / Williams	19	700, l. 12-24	Redirect testimony applicable to
panel			both DEC and DEP addressing
			EPA policies and practices,
			industry standards, and EPA
			decision-making.
Wells / Williams	19	701, I. 1 (note: Objection	Redirect testimony applicable to
panel		here that interrupts	both DEC and DEP addressing
		testimony) through 710,	witness Quarles'
		I. 11	recommendations, the 2004 EPRI
			decommissioning report, and EPA
			Report to Congress on basin
	10		closure.
Wells / Williams	19	712, I. 8 through 717, I. 15	Testimony applicable to both DEC
panel		(721, l. 17 through 723,	and DEP regarding perched
		I. 1	aquifer; groundwater monitoring
			and level of knowledge during the
			1980s. In addition, this testimony addresses witness Wells'
			expertise, research, and ability to testify regarding DEC and DEP
			historical decisions.
Doss / Spanos /	16	408, I. 18 through 413,	Testimony on cross examination
Riley panel		I. 16	responding to several broad
			accounting topics applicable to
			DEC and DEP including witness
			Maness' recommendations, ARO
			accounting classifications.
Doss / Spanos /	17	38, l. 17 through 48, l. 3	Redirect testimony applicable to
Riley panel			both DEC and DEP, including ARO
			accounting impact on ratemaking
			and GAAP classifications and
			requirements.
Doss / Spanos /	17	49, l. 1 through 50, l. 20	Testimony applicable to DEC and
Riley panel			DEP regarding coal plant
			retirements.
	I		

DEC stated that the above described testimony and exhibits present information generally applicable to both the DEC and DEP dockets, that DEC consulted with the other parties to this proceeding about its motion, and that DEC is authorized to represent to the Commission that the other parties do not object to its motion.

Based on the foregoing and the record, the Chair finds good cause to take judicial notice of the DEP testimony and exhibits provided in the above chart and to accept said testimony and exhibits into evidence in the DEC dockets. Further, the Chair finds good cause to direct the Court Reporter to as soon as reasonably practicable include the above described testimony and exhibits in the aforesaid addendum to Volume 29 of the DEC hearing transcript, and Volume 29 of the Official Exhibits in the DEC dockets, respectively, as well as all other testimony and exhibits previously or subsequently approved by the Commission for inclusion in said addendum to Volume 29 of the transcript and addendum to Volume 29 of the Official Exhibits.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED as follows:

1. That the Commission hereby takes judicial notice of the testimony and exhibits of the DEP witnesses as shown in the above chart in the body of this Order, and such testimony and exhibits are hereby admitted into evidence in the DEC dockets; and

2. That the Court Reporter shall file an addendum to Volume 29 of the DEC transcript and an addendum to Volume 29 of the Official Exhibits in the DEC dockets, as directed in the body of this Order.

ISSUED BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION.

This the 2nd day of November, 2020.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

Kincherley A. Compbell

Kimberley A. Campbell, Chief Clerk