

NORTH CAROLINA PUBLIC STAFF UTILITIES COMMISSION

May 26, 2020

Ms. Kimberley A. Campbell, Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300

Re: Docket No. W-218, Sub 526 – Application for General Rate Increase

Dear Ms. Campbell:

In connection with the above-referenced dockets, I transmit herewith for filing on behalf of the Public Staff the testimony and exhibit of Michelle M. Boswell, Staff Accountant, Accounting Division.

By copy of this letter, we are forwarding copies to all parties of record.

Sincerely,

/s/ Megan Jost Staff Attorney megan.jost@psncuc.nc.gov

MJ/cla

Attachment(s)

Executive Director (919) 733-2435

Accounting (919) 733-4279

Communications (919) 733-5610

10

Economic Research (919) 733-2267

Legal (919) 733-6110 **Transportation** (919) 733-7766

Consumer Services (919) 733-9277 Electric (919) 733-2267

Natural Gas (919) 733-4326

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. W-218, SUB 526

In the Matter of
Application by Aqua North Carolina,)
Inc., 202 MacKenan Court, Cary, North)
Carolina 27511, for Authority to Adjust)
and Increase Rates for Water and)
Sewer Utility Service in All Service)
Areas in North Carolina

TESTIMONY OF
MICHELLE M. BOSWELL
PUBLIC STAFF – NORTH
CAROLINA UTILITIES
COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. W-218, SUB 526

TESTIMONY OF MICHELLE M. BOSWELL ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC STAFF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

MAY 26, 2020

ı	Q.	PLEASE STATE TOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND
2		PRESENT POSITION.
3	A.	My name is Michelle M. Boswell. My business address is 430 North
4		Salisbury Street, Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina. I am a
5		Staff Accountant with the Accounting Division of the Public Staff -
6		North Carolina Utilities Commission.
7	Q.	BRIEFLY STATE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND DUTIES.
8	A.	My qualifications and duties are included in Appendix A.
9	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
10	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to supplement the prefiled direct
11		testimony of Public Staff witness Henry to present the accounting
12		and ratemaking adjustments I am recommending regarding federal
13		protected excess deferred income taxes (EDIT).

- 1 Q. MS. BOSWELL, PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SCOPE OF YOUR
 2 INVESTIGATION INTO THE COMPANY'S FILING.
- A. My investigation included a review of the application, testimony,
 exhibits, and other data filed by Aqua North Carolina, Inc. (Aqua or
 Company). The Public Staff has also conducted extensive discovery
 in this matter, including the review of numerous responses provided
 by the Company in response to data requests.
- 8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ORGANIZATION OF YOUR EXHIBITS.
- 9 A. Boswell Exhibit 1 presents the calculation of federal protected EDIT
 10 effects on the Company's rate base and income statement.
- 11 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS
 12 TO FEDERAL PROTECTED EDIT.
- 13 In the Company's previous rate case in Docket No. W-218, Sub 497, Α. 14 Agua and the Public Staff reached an agreement that the protected 15 EDIT would be flowed back following the tax normalization rules 16 utilizing the average rate assumption method (ARAM) required by 17 IRC Section 203(e), based on the reduction of tax rates due to the 18 passage of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, as outlined in Section II of the 19 Partial Agreement and Stipulation filed on September 17, 2018. In 20 the same docket, the Commission ordered "The Company's 21 protected federal excess deferred income taxes (EDIT) should be 22 flowed back to customers by amortizing the protected EDIT over a

period of time equal to the expected lifespan of the plant, property,					
and equipment with which they are associated, in accordance with					
the normalization rules of the United States Internal Revenue					
Service (IRS)." The protected EDIT are deferred taxes related to					
timing differences arising from the utilization of accelerated					
depreciation for tax purposes and another depreciation method for					
book purposes. These deferred taxes are deemed protected					
because the IRS does not permit regulators to flow back the excess					
to ratepayers immediately, but instead requires that the excess be					
flowed back to ratepayers ratably over the life of the timing					
differences that gave rise to the excess, per IRC Section 203(e).					
In the present case, the Company neglected to include the flowback of federal protected EDIT in determining the calculation of its					
proposed revenue requirement. I have made an adjustment to					
include the return of protected federal EDIT based upon the					
Company's calculation of the net remaining life of the timing					
differences, utilizing the Company's current composite tax rate to					
calculate the annual amortization, net of tax, to be flowed back to					
ratepayers. Boswell Exhibit 1 presents the impacts of the flow back					
of federal protected EDIT on rate base and the income statement.					
Public Staff witness Henry Exhibit I depicts the impact of the updated					
federal protected EDIT as shown on Boswell Exhibit 1					

- 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.

QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

MICHELLE M. BOSWELL

I graduated from North Carolina State University in 2000 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting. I am a Certified Public Accountant.

I am responsible for analyzing testimony, exhibits, and other data presented by parties before this Commission. I have the further responsibility of performing the examinations of books and records of utilities involved in proceedings before the Commission, and summarizing the results into testimony and exhibits for presentation to the Commission.

I joined the Public Staff in September 2000. I have performed numerous audits and/or presented testimony and exhibits before the Commission addressing a wide range of electric, natural gas, and water topics. I have performed audits and/or presented testimony in Duke Energy's 2010 REPS Cost Recovery Rider; the 2008 REPS Compliance Reports for North Carolina Municipal Power Agency 1, North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, GreenCo Solutions, Inc., and EnergyUnited Electric Membership; Duke Energy Carolinas LLC 2017 rate case, four recent Piedmont rate cases; the 2016 rate case of Public Service Company of North Carolina (PSNC), the 2012 rate case for Dominion Energy North Carolina (DENC, formerly Dominion North Carolina Power), Duke Energy Progress LLC 2013 and 2017 rate case, several Piedmont, NUI Utilities Inc. (NUI), and Toccoa

annual gas cost reviews; the merger of Piedmont and NUI; and the merger of Piedmont and North Carolina Natural Gas (NCNG).

Additionally, I have filed testimony and exhibits in numerous water rate cases and performed investigations addressing a wide range of topics and issues related to the water, electric, and telephone industries.

Aqua North Carolina, Inc. Docket No. W-218, Sub 526 ADJUSTMENT TO FLOWBACK PROTECTED EDIT DUE TO TAX CUTS AND JOBS ACT

For the Test Year Ended September 30, 2019

Line No.	Item	Amount
1	Income statement	
2	Annual amortization of protected EDIT - NC retail	(157,444) 1/
3	Income tax impact	36,173 2/
4	Annual amortization of protected EDIT - net of tax (L2 + L3)	(\$121,271)
5	Rate base	
6	Adjustment to regulatory assets and liabilities (L2)	\$157,444
7	Composite income tax rate	22.9750%
8	Impact to accumulated deferred income taxes (L6 x L7)	(36,173)
9	Adjustment to rate base (L6 + L8)	\$121,271

Boswell Exhibit 1

^{1/} Per Company.

^{2/} Line 2 times composite income tax rate on Line 7.