
From: smtprelay
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 9:26 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Angela

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Angela

Email

acrednour@gmail.com

Docket

E2 Sub 834

Message

We do not want smart meters.

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From: smtprelay
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 9:21 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Keith Valencourt

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 22 2018

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Keith Valencourt

Email

valencourtk@yahoo.com

Docket

E-2 Sub 834

Message

I am requesting that you deny Duke Energy the ability to punish those of us who recognize the safety hazards of smart meter technology and want to protect ourselves from it. My wife and I have been concerned about the effects of "electrosmog" for several years now. We have gotten rid of our microwave oven, our wifi internet service, and wireless telephone handsets, and leave our cell phones turned off when not being used. The risks of such technology is in my opinion far worse than eating highly processed junk food, which we also avoid. With smart meters, however, the magnitude of damage goes way beyond anything we have been subjected to up until now. Smart meters can be 10 to 70 times stronger, and more deadly, than cell phones, and they also create dirty electricity on every wire in your home. These emissions are a cancer risk just like cigarettes, and the damage they cause isn't limited to cancer. They also cause damage to the brain and other organs. Some people are far more sensitive to radiation than others, and people's hair has been known to fall out after installing a smart meter. In one woman's case, her hair loss stopped when the meter was removed. Even for those with no immediate symptoms, EMF radiation is accumulative, where the damage done becomes evident only at a later time. In this digital age, it is the electromagnetic equivalent to cigarettes. Duke Energy seems clueless about the safety risks they are forcing on millions of customers as they roll out their new pet project. They should not be permitted to punish those of us who recognize those risks and just want to protect our families from them. I would like for you to include the following in your final ruling: 1) please deny them their request to charge an outrageous opt-out fee, and then after that, a monthly fee of \$11.75, or \$20.00, or whatever amount they're currently throwing around. 2) we need an ANALOG option. Dirty electricity from the "radio-off" smart meter Duke is offering as an opt-out makes people sick. And "radio-off" smart meters are still an invasion of privacy. 3) we need NO notarization requirement for our doctor's statements. Doctors do not have notary publics on the premises, and this is an undue burden on patients and doctors to coordinate the notary being present when the doctor signs the statement. Furthermore, who gave Duke Energy the right to damage anyone's health? They must not be able to pick and choose whose health they can destroy. Would you not call that an attack on our right to "life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness" guaranteed in our Constitution? This must never be permitted. 4) we need for the statement that Duke can suspend its opt-out program if they deem it "not feasible"

to be eliminated from the language of the proposed program. They must ALWAYS provide an opt-out, because RF and dirty electricity will ALWAYS be a health problem. 5) we need for Duke Energy to NEVER be permitted to simply discontinue an individual's Opt-out status after the 1st year -- PERIOD!! FORGET the 30 days written notice! That implies that they are ENTITLED to force us to accept a smart meter at some point in the future just so long as they tell us a few days in advance. YOU MUST ENSURE THAT THEY WILL NEVER BE ABLE TO DO THAT!! We need to be secure in knowing that when we opt-out, it is FOR GOOD! 6) And finally, there must be a provision for those opting out in an apartment complex to not be subjected to nearby smart meters, which would completely void an individual's ability to opt out. Radiation exposure is dramatically increased with multiple smart meters in an array. Many people living in such a complex are elderly, sick or unable financially to move elsewhere, and their right to opt out must be protected. Thank you for your consideration of these reasonable demands,

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 22 2018

From: smtprelay
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2018 12:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Elizabeth J LaBar

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 22 2018

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Elizabeth J LaBar

Email

LABARART@GMAIL.COM

Docket

docket No: E-2, Sub 834

Message

I want to opt out for smart meters. An analog meter is just fine, thank you very much,

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From: smtprelay
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2018 6:28 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Agnes Crane

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 22 2018

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Agnes Crane

Email

junec611@aol.com

Docket

E-2 Sub 834

Message

It's unreasonable that I must pay my doctor to notarize a negative health impact statement to prevent the installation of a smart meter on my home. I'm environmentally sensitive to emf's and avoid wireless as much as possible to stop ringing in my ears and headaches. I want to keep an analog meter, don't let an electric company bully us into a product that hasn't been proved safe and causes negative health consequences for many.
Sincerely, Agnes J. Crane (resident, property owner in 27615 zip code)

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.