1	PLACE: Buncombe County Courthouse
2	Asheville, North Carolina
3	DATE: Tuesday, January 26, 2016
4	TIME: 7:00 p.m 11:55 p.m.
5	DOCKET NO: E-2, Sub 1089
6	BEFORE: Chairman Edward S. Finley, Jr., Presiding
7	Commissioner Bryan E. Beatty
8	Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland
9	Commissioner Don M. Bailey
10	Commissioner Jerry C. Dockham
11	Commissioner James G. Patterson
12	
13	
14	IN THE MATTER OF:
15	Duke Energy Progress, LLC
16	Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience
17	and Necessity to Construct a 752 Megawatt Natural
18	Gas-Fueled Electric Generating Facility in Buncombe
19	County near the City of Asheville.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	FOR DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC:
4	Lawrence B. Somers, Esq.
5	Deputy General Counsel
6	Post Office Box 1551
7	Raleigh, North Carolina 27602
8	
9	FOR NORTH CAROLINA WASTE AWARENESS AND REDUCTION
10	NETWORK and THE CLIMATE TIMES:
11	John Runkle, Esq.
12	2121 Damascus Church Road
13	Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27516
14	
15	FOR GRANT MILLIN, INTERVENOR:
16	Grant Millin, Pro Se
17	48 Riceville Road, B314
18	Asheville, North Carolina 28805
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	APPEARANCES CONT'D:
2	
3	FOR SIERRA CLUB and MOUNTAINTRUE:
4	Gudrun Thompson, Esq.
5	Southern Environmental Law Center
6	601 W. Rosemary Street, Suite 220
7	Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27516
8	
9	D.J Gerkin, Esq.
10	Southern Environmental Law Center
11	22 South Pack Square, Suite 700
12	Asheville, North Carolina 28801
13	
14	FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC
15	Dianna Downey, Esq.
16	Robert S. Gillam, Esq.
17	Public Staff
18	North Carolina Utilities Commission
19	4326 Mail Service Center
20	Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4326
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS:	
2	Opening Statement by Robert Sipes	21
3	Opening Statement by Grant Millin, Intervenor	24
4	BRUCE CLARKE Examination by Ms. Downey	27
5	BOB HANNA's	
6	Examination by Ms. Downey	,29
7	BILL MALONEY Examination by Ms. Downey	32
8	STEVE RUNHOLT	2.6
10	Examination by Ms. Downey	36
11	Examination by Ms. Downey	40
12	MAC SWICEGOOD Examination by Ms. Downey	43
13	JOAN WALKER Examination by Ms. Downey	Λ. IT
14	KATIE HICKS	45
15	Examination by Ms. Downey	49 52
16	XAVIER BOATRIGHT	
17	Examination by Ms. Downey	53 58
1.8	BRAD ROUSE	
19° 20	Examination by Ms. Downey	60 64 65
21	Cross Examination by Ms. Thompson	66
22	ROWDY KEELOR Examination by Ms. Downey	67
23	ALICE WYNDHAM	
24	Examination by Ms. Downey	70

		от боло об от от том от то
1	Cont'd.	
2	STEFFI RAUSCH Examination by Ms. Downey	
3		73
4	REBECCA BRINGLE Examination by Mr. Gillam	
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Millin	80
6	RANDY TALLEY Examination by Mr. Gillam	83
7	PAT MOORE	
, 8	Examination by Mr. Gillam	
9	KEN HUCK	0.5
10	Examination by Mr. Gillam	8 /
11	JANE LAPING Examination by Mr. Gillam	89
12	KEN BRAME	
13	Examination by Mr. Gillam	92
14	SARA LYNCH THOMASON Examination by Mr. Gillam	96
15	JUDY MATTOX	
16	Examination by Mr. Gillam	99
17	CATHY SCOTT Examination by Mr. Gillam	102
18	WILLIAM VINE	
19	Examination by Mr. Gillam	106 109
20	KENDALL HALE Examination by Mr. Gillam	
21		+ + +
22	KAREN RICHARDSON DUNN Examination by Mr. Gillam	114
23	CATHY HOLT	
24	Examination by Mr. Gillam	118

1	Cont'd.
2	SUSAN PRESSON Examination by Mr. Gillam
3	ASHLETCH HILLEN
4	Examination by Mr. Gillam
5	SCOTT HARDIN-NIERY Examination by Mr. Gillam
7	CAROLINA ARIAS Examination by Mr. Gillam
9	MARSTON BLOW Examination by Mr. Gillam
10	RICH WASCH Examination by Mr. Gillam
11	PHILIP BISESI Examination by Mr. Gillam
13	MARK THRELKELD Examination by Mr. Gillam
14 15	LEWIS PATRIE Examination by Ms. Downey
16 17	RICK BURT Examination by Ms. Downey
18	GABRIELLE WHITE Examination by Ms. Downey
19	HARVARD AYERS Examination by Ms. Downey
20	MACON VERTESKJALL Examination by Ms. Downey
22	KELLY MARTIN
23	Examination by Ms. Downey
24	

Section 1		
1	Cont'd.	
2	RACHEL SHOPPER Examination by Ms. Downey	
4	STEVEN NORRIS Examination by Ms. Downey	
5	KEITH THOMSON Direct Statement	
7	SABREY FRANKS Examination by Ms. Downey	
8 9	PHILLIP BROWN Examination by Ms. Downey	
10	KELLY GLOGER Direct Statement	
11 12	RONALD TAYLOR Examination by Ms. Downey	
13	RICHARD FIREMAN Examination by Ms. Downey	
14 15	BETH HENRY Examination by Ms. Downey	
16 17	GRAYDON NANCE Examination by Ms. Downey	
18	AVRAM FRIEDMAN Examination by Ms. Downey	
19 20		
21		
22		
23		

ž	
1	EXHIBITS
2	Identified / Admitted
3	Hicks Exhibit 1 52/
4	Laping Exhibit 1 92/
5	Vine Exhibit 1 109/
6	Taylor Exhibit 1 203/
7	Fireman Exhibit 1 208/
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

PROCEEDINGS

1.8

2.0

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Good evening. Let's come to order and go on the record. My name is Edward Finley and with me this evening are Commissioners Bryan E. Beatty, ToNola D. Brown-Bland, Don Bailey, Jerry C. Dockham and James G. Patterson.

The Commission now calls for hearing Docket
Number E-2, Sub 1089, the Application of Duke Energy
Progress, LLC, for a Certificate of Public Convenience
and Necessity to Construct an Electric Generating
Facility in Buncombe County, North Carolina.

On June 25, 2015, the North Carolina General Assembly passed the Mountain Energy Act which requires the Commission to make an expedited decision on whether to authorize Progress Energy to construct a natural gas-fired generating facility:

At the site of the coal-fired Asheville steam electric generating plant located in Buncombe County, which will result in closing down all of the coal-fired unit there.

The new natural gas-fired generating facility must have no more than twice the generation capacity as the coal-fired generating units to be retired.

The Act requires Progress to submit an Application that contains an estimate of the costs of construction of the gas-fired generating plant in such detail as the Commission may require. The Act states that many of the rules that the Commission otherwise would follow shall not apply, and that the Commission shall require the Applicant to publish a single notice of the public hearing in a newspaper of general circulation in Buncombe County. Finally, the Act requires the Commission to render its decision on the Application for a Certificate, including any related transmission facilities located on the site of the new generation -- let's cut off our phones please -within 45 days of the date that the Application is filed with the Commission and all of the above requirements -- if all of the above requirements of the Act have been met.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

On December 15, 2015, Progress filed its letter giving notice that it would file an Application on or after July 15, 2016. Progress plans to construct up to 752 megawatts of natural gas-fired (sic) electric generating capacity consisting of two natural gas-fueled 280-megawatt combined cycle units and a natural gas-fueled 190-megawatts (sic) simple

cycle combustion turbine, all with fuel back-up.

2.1

On December 18, 2015, the Commission issued an Order Scheduling Public Hearing and requiring the investigation and report by the Public Staff. The Order scheduled the required public hearing for today's date, time and place. Further, the scheduling Order directed Progress to publish notice of the public hearing as required by the Act. In addition, the Order requires the Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission to investigate the Application and present its findings, conclusions and recommendations to the Commission at the Commission's Regular Staff Conference on February 22, 2016.

On December 21, 2015, the North Carolina
Waste Awareness and Reduction Network (NC WARN) and
The Climate Times filed a Petition to Intervene in
this docket. In addition, these parties filed a
Motion for Evidentiary Hearing or, in the alternative,
to deny Progress' Application as being incomplete and
insufficient until an evidentiary hearing can be held.

The Commission allowed intervention of these parties on January 20, 2016.

On December 22, 2015, the Sierra Club and MountainTrue filed a Joint Petition to Intervene in

this docket.

2.0

2.2

On January 15, 2016, the Commission issued an Order granting the Petition of Sierra Club and MountainTrue to intervene.

On December 29, 2015, Carolina Industrial Group for Fair Utility Rates filed a Petition to Intervene. On December 30, 2015, the Commission issued an Order granting that party's Petition to Intervene.

On December 31, 2015, Progress filed a
Response to the Motion filed by NC WARN and The
Climate Times requesting that the Commission deny the
Motion for an evidentiary hearing.

On January 5, 2016, Progress filed an Affidavit of Publication.

On January 6, 2016, NC WARN and The Climate Times filed a Reply to Progress' Response regarding their Motion for an evidentiary hearing.

On January 14, 2016, Carolina Utility

Customers Association filed a Petition to Intervene.

On January 14, 2016, the Commission issued an Order granting that Petition to Intervene.

On January 15, 2016, the Commission issued an Order denying NC WARN and The Climate Times' Motion

for an evidentiary hearing. In summary, the

Commission concluded that the Commission does not have

the authority to ignore the General Assembly's mandate

that the Commission issue a decision on Progress'

Application within 45 days.

2.3

On January 15, 2016, Progress filed its formal Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to construct up to 746 megawatts of natural gas-fired electric generating capacity. In summary, Progress states that the two new combined cycle units will serve Progress' customers in North Carolina and South Carolina, and are planned for commercial operation in the Fall of 2019.

With regard to the 186-megawatt natural gas-fired -- fueled -- natural gas-fueled simple cycle generating unit, Progress states that construction of this unit is contingent upon Progress being able to develop an alternative -- alternative resources that will make the unit unnecessary, such as demand-side management and energy efficiency measures. In addition, Progress states that it will make a subsequent application to construct a new solar facility at the site, but that the size and details of

the solar facility are not yet known at present.

Further, Progress explains that the natural gas for the new generation units will be provided by a new pipeline and associated facilities being constructed by Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.

In its Application, Progress also explains the on-site transmission facilities that will serve the project. Further, Progress states that the total cost of the project is approximately \$1.1 billion.

On January 30, 2016, (sic) North Carolina Sustainable Energy Association filed a Petition to Intervene. That request was granted on January 20, 2016.

On January 26, 2016, Grant Millin, a resident of Asheville, filed a Petition to Intervene in this docket. That Motion was granted today.

The intervention and participation in this docket by the Public Staff is recognized and made pursuant to Statute.

On January 22, 2016, the Commission issued an Order on Procedure for Accepting Comments of the Parties. That Order provides three things: (1) that the parties may present brief opening statements at tonight's hearing; (2) the parties may file statements

of position or comments on or before April -February 12, 2016; and (3) that any party shall have
the opportunity to make comments at the Commission's
Regular Staff Conference on Monday, February 22, 2016,
when the Public Staff presents its findings,
conclusions and recommendations to the Commission.

In compliance with the requirements of the State Ethics Act, I remind all members of the Commission of their duty to avoid conflicts of interest, and inquire whether any member of the Commission has a known conflict of interest with regard to the matters coming before the Commission this evening?

(No response.)

There appear to be no conflicts so we will proceed. And I now call upon the parties represented by counsel to announce their appearances for the record, beginning with the Applicant.

MR. SOMERS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission. I'm Bo Somers, Deputy General Counsel, on behalf of Duke Energy Progress.

MR. RUNKLE: Good evening. I'm John Runkle representing NC WARN and The Climate Times.

MS. THOMPSON: Good evening, Mr. Chairman

and Members of the Commission. Gudrun Thompson with the Southern Environmental Law Center representing MountainTrue and the Sierra Club. With me this evening in the gallery is my co-counsel, D.J. Gerken, with SELC's Asheville office.

MS. DOWNEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
Members of the Commission, I'm Dianna Downey with The
Using and Consuming Public. With me is Bob Gillam.

MR. MILLIN: Grant Millin representing myself.

everyone. Before we get started there are a few preliminary matters that need to be brought to you all as to how we will proceed. But before we go, are there any matters that any party needs to bring to the Commission's attention before we get started?

(Counsel shakes head no.)

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Well, that brings us to the hearing before us this evening. The main purpose of tonight's proceeding is to hear from Progress' customers in response to this Application to build the new generating facilities. Tonight's hearing is not for the purpose of receiving expert witnesses, and the Commission will be unable to accept such testimony

tonight here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Thank you all for coming out tonight to voice your views on this important matter. We welcome your testimony and we are glad that you are here.

A few of the ground rules that we're going to have to follow -- there's a big crowd and there are a lot of people that have signed up and so we want to get through that and let everybody be heard to the extent that we're able to do that and take into account what you have to tell us. So conducting the hearing, the Commission functions as a court; we're in a courtroom, we do that pursuant to Statute. take sworn testimony, again, that's pursuant to Statutes that have been in effect by the General Assembly for many years, pursuant to the Rules of Procedure and evidence prescribed by the Legislature. We must apply the rules so that the hearing proceedings go forth in an orderly manner and I will go over those rules in a minute. I request you voluntarily agree and abide by them and trust that you If not, we have remedies available to us, such as striking testimony, removing those who are uncooperative, civil contempt, and other more severe remedies required by Statute, and we hope we're not

going to have to deal with any of that.

1.8

The Commission wants to ensure that everyone who desires to speak on the issue relevant to this docket has an opportunity to do so and make the points they believe that the Commission should consider. In order to facilitate a full and fair opportunity for all speakers to participate, the Commission has guidelines for public hearings. These guidelines are as follows:

- (1) Witnesses must register on witness sheets maintained by the Public Staff in order to speak. And we understand that a lot of people have already signed up to do that;
- amount of time, and to prevent cumulative, repetitive, irrelevant and unnecessary testimony, witnesses will be limited to five minutes each for their presentation. In lieu of oral testimony, witnesses may submit written statements. However, written statements must be submitted by the person under oath during the hearing, at which time the witness will be subject to cross examination by any party desiring to cross examine the witness. And General Counsel of the Commission, Mr. Watson, is sitting down here, and the

witnesses will be sitting over here, and he has a prompt to tell you when you're reaching five minutes so we can move the hearing along;

~4

officials or their representatives first. Those persons who are Progress customers will be called to testify next. Other persons called to testify according to the order in which they are registered on the witness sheet. However, we may decide to call a witness or witnesses in a different order if we determine that such a change would provide a more full spectrum of opinions and ideas.

I must have the witness swear or affirm prior to the witness providing testimony. Each witness will be sworn and must affirm in order to have that testimony received into evidence.

Only one witness may testify at a time.

Witnesses shall refrain from offering opinions on

matters not specified in the Notice of Hearing for

this docket. Also, witnesses should address their

testimony to the Commission and, therefore, should

refrain from addressing non-Commission participants in

the hearing room and from asking questions. This is

for you to tell us what you want us to know. The

testimony is being recorded by a Court Reporter.

Therefore, to ensure accuracy of the record, I may limit unconventional modes of testimony. Please do not sing. We can't take down the songs by the -- You can sing outside and we'll be happy to listen to any song you want to sing to us, but not in the hearing room.

2.0

2.2

To ensure that all witnesses are heard and their testimony is properly transcribed by the Court Reporter, the Commission will maintain hearing room decorum. We have the right to instruct security to remove any member of the audience who is attempting to participate out of order and any verbal or -- any verbal or visual manner. In addition, members of the audience shall refrain from bringing signs or other placards into the hearing room.

The parties' attorneys will have the opportunity to cross examine all witnesses, as will the Commissioners. But usually in a case like this that cross examination is limited so that we can move through the hearing expeditiously.

The Commission's goal is to receive information that will help the Commission make a decision in this docket. The Commission wants

everyone to feel welcome and comfortable in voicing their relevant views. Therefore, please do not disturb the hearing by clapping, booing, hissing or other such behavior. In addition, please refrain from personal criticisms or attacks on the participants, including Progress' attorneys, and representatives of the Commission and the Public Staff.

Also, please bear in mind that we have a lot of people that want to be heard. I think as many as 50 people have signed up to be heard tonight and maybe more than that by this time. If you are one of the early witnesses at the top of the list, we ask that you think about the number farther down, 45 for example, on the list waiting to be -- have their turn. They will appreciate it if you will get to your point quickly, be succinct as possible, and avoid repetition.

All right, Public Staff, let's call -- we're going to have opening statements, about a three-minute opening statement from any party who wants to make an opening statement. Progress, we'll hear you first.

MR. SOMERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Robert Sipes, Duke Energy's General Manager for
the Western Zone, will make a very brief opening

statement on behalf of the Company.

2.0

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Ladies and gentlemen, opening statements are not evidence in the case. This is just sort of a preview of the positions of the parties but it is not evidence.

Can you tell us -- give us your name, please and tell us your position and give your three-minute opening statement.

MR. SIPES: Yes, my name is Robert Sipes. I am the General Manager of Duke Energy's Western zone.

And, good evening, Mr. Chairman,

Commissioners, Public Staff and members of the public.

I'm responsible for operations here in Western North

Carolina, and I also have responsibility for the

Western Carolina's Modernization Project. Thank you

for coming to Asheville to hear about what we believe

is a transformational energy plan for Duke Energy

Progress customers and communities.

The Company has requested your approval for the Western Carolinas Modernization Project, which we reconfigured after we canceled plans for the foothills transmission line in early November. This project allows for the early retirement of the Asheville plant coal-fired units as prescribed in the Mountain Energy

Act Legislation passed last year. The coal units will be replaced with two new 280-megawatt efficient and clean burning, combined cycle natural gas-fueled units, one contingent natural gas-fueled 186-megawatt simple cycle unit in the 2023 time frame, and new solar generation of the Asheville plant site in which the Company will file a separate CPCN.

1.0

2.3

We're fortunate to live in a vibrant and fast-growing community. This plan enables Duke Energy Progress to reliably and affordably serve the electric needs of our customers where peak demand growth has tripled since 1970 and is expected to increase by 17 percent in the next 10 years based on current trends.

In addition to the specific generation changes requested, the Company is beginning to work with the community to transition the region to a cleaner and smarter energy future through active community engagement, deliberate investment in distributed energy resources including solar and storage, and greater promotion of and access to energy efficiency and demand-side management programs.

Transitioning to a smarter and cleaner energy future comes with a shared responsibility between Duke Energy, the local community and its

leaders. We are committed to engaging with the community to achieve our ultimate goal of eliminating, or at least delaying, the need for the contingent unit. I am confident that we can achieve significant progress toward controlling and reducing peak load growth through these collaborative efforts. However, it is our responsibility to serve customers with reliable electricity. To that end, the contingent unit is a critical aspect of this project.

7 7

This plan strikes the optimal balance between clean, reliable and affordable electric service for all Duke Energy Progress customers through a combination of traditional and emergent solutions.

This project will be a catalyst for enabling the energy future which we believe is eminent, and our customers respect.

We look forward to partnering with this community to make that future a reality.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Do other parties want to make an opening statement? Ms. Thompson.

MS. THOMPSON: No, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I think we'll waive our opportunity to make an opening statement and allow the public witnesses to speak.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you. Mr. Runkle.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ RUNKLE: We also will waive our opportunity to an opening statement.

- 4

1.0

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Mr. Millin.

I'm a long-time resident of Asheville. And I have developed a knowledge of enough of these electrical grid issues to be, I think, a fairly informed representative of ratepayers and I have bothered to look into these issues as much as possible.

I appreciate the Utilities Commission granting me my Petition to Intervene. My Petition to Intervene is very detailed so I don't need to repeat what's in it so much. It's very interesting that there's always something more to learn. I think I've looked at the Mountain Energy Act. It's very interesting to learn that it mandates a doubling, a new capacity that doubles what's being turned off in terms of existing coal units.

I have proposed a scenario where there is one 200-megawatt combined cycle natural gas plant accompanying the existing combustion turbines and filling out the rest with these great modernization ideas. Yea, renewable efficiency and efficiency but there is a whole lot going on that -- I have --

concerned citizens will collaborate with Duke Energy and the new program that the Department of Energy Quality is developing. Yes, it may improve with new political leadership in Raleigh. It all may improve after next November but, for now, we need to take advantage of this \$1.1 billion project and work with what comes out of this Certificate of Public Necessity and Convenience (sic). It may not be everything everybody wants but I recommend -- it's probably going to happen that everybody kind of got what they want with the transmission issues and substation issues in terms of public needs. So work with this and expect that a lot of other things are going to happen.

There is very important Supreme Court decision on demand response yesterday, I hope folks will look into; it may apply here. I encourage people to really open up there minds about this opportunity because we can set the bar higher on a lot of issues -- climate change, getting the North Carolina Clean Power Plan happening here in North Carolina -- so I'm looking forward to participating, and thank you.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Millin.

Let's call your first witness, Ms. Downey.

```
Are there any public officials, elected officials or
 1
 2
    other representatives in the room that need to testify
 3
    before we go to the customers? All right, Ms. Downey.
      MS. DOWNEY: Bruce Clarke.
 4
 5
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Mr. Clark, if you'll come
 6
    around and be sworn please.
 7
    BRUCE CLARK;
                           was duly sworn and
                           testified as follows:
 8
 9
                           EXAMINATION
    BY MS. DOWNEY:
10
          Would you please state your name and address for
11
12
          the record?
          Yes. Bruce Clarke, 416 Canonero Drive, Fairview,
13
          North Carolina.
14
15
         And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress,
16
          correct?
17
    \mathbf{A}
          I am, yes.
18
          And you're not affiliated with any of the parties
          in this case?
19
          No, I'm not.
20
21
          And you have a statement for the Commission?
    Q
          Do I what?
22
23
          Please proceed with your statement to the
24
          Commission.
```

Okay. By definition, a peaking unit is basically only needed during a heavy air conditioning usage. Fortunately, those are the longest days for solar-producing, peak sunlight. God gave us the ultimate peaking unit, the sun. So let's not block the gift of sunlight with more pollution from fossil fuels.

Α

The solar industry has created more jobs in North Carolina than the gas industry ever will. Why would we want to buy gas from out-of-state any more than we have to? Duke promised us solar in Arden so where are the plans. We haven't heard much about any solar plans at all.

The General Assembly killed jobs in North Carolina when they killed the state tax credit. So now the solar installers will go to South Carolina to find work. So we'd like for Duke to keep their promise to build solar and put North Carolinians back to work.

The people of power in North

Carolina have let the people of North Carolina

down by making decisions to buy out-of-state coal
and gas.

1 I'm personally tired of paying Duke to pollute the air that I'm breathing. 2 3 want clean solar energy now! Please show us that you, with your power, can make a wise decision 5 for future generations. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Clarke. 7 Let's see if there are questions. 8 (Clapping in the Courtroom) 9 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Please not clap so we can 10 get through this. Are there questions of Mr. Clarke? 11 MR. SOMERS: No. 12 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you very much, 13 Mr. Clarke, for coming out tonight. 14 (The witness is excused.) 15 MS. DOWNEY: Bob Hanna. 16 BOB HANNA; was duly sworn and 17 testified as follows: 18 EXAMINATION 19 BY MS. DOWNEY: 20 Would you please state your name and address for 21 the record? 22 Α Bob Hanna, 16 Tarpon Avenue, Asheville. 23 And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress, 24 correct?

A Yes.

- Q And you're not affiliated with or a member of a party in this case?
- A I am not.
- Q Please proceed with your statement.
- A Thank you. I come here to speak as a father with two children who I'm raising in this wonderful community in Asheville, and I hope someday also to be a grandfather.

And I'm very concerned about the current plan of building and investing in more natural gas at this time because -- well, one of the things I'm coming -- I'm a psychologist. I'm a clinical psychologist and my training was based on science. And through science, we understand that there is a consensus on climate change that, through science, says that we have to keep the majority of carbon in the ground or its future generations will experience significant and serious problems. They estimate at this point that of all the known carbon reserves, three-fourths of that, needs to stay in the ground. At this point, we know by science that the planet has already warmed by .8 degrees

Celsius. And that we're currently on track to warm the planet by 4 degrees Celsius which equals about 7 degrees Fahrenheit, which any scientist would tell you is it's an inhospitable planet to live on at that temperature. So why, at this time are we making a choice to invest in more fossil fuel infrastructure is beyond me.

Asheville is a forward-thinking, progressive city. And I encourage you, in creating your plan, to consider how we can bring renewable clean energy. I don't consider natural gas to be a clean energy because it is requiring the use of carbon, and that we have the ingenuity in this city to be able to create solar, create wind, create other forms of truly clean renewable energy that doesn't pollute our environment, that doesn't affect other people in that we could become a model city at this point for other cities to follow across the country in investing in truly clean renewable energy. Thank you for this opportunity to offer these comments.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Mr. Hanna, hold your seat just a minute. Any questions? Thank you.

(The witness is excused.)

1 MS. DOWNEY: Bill Maloney. 2 BILL MALONEY; was duly sworn and 3 testified as follows: EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MS. DOWNEY: 6 Would you please state your name and address for the record? Bill Maloney, 100 Hawk Ridge, Asheville 28804. 8 9 And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress, 10 correct? 11 Yes, I am. Α 12 And you're -- but you're not affiliated with or a 13 member of a party in this case? 14 A No, I'm not. 15 Please proceed with your statement. 16 A Thank you. In particular, I'd like to thank 17 Mr. Sipes from Duke for being with us this 18 evening. I appreciate it. 19 I'd like to comment on the peaker, 20 not overbuilding, and moving forward with 21 renewables that benefit all of us. There are 22 many good solar panel stories in this community, 23 many of them - churches, buildings and so on and I would just like to name one. The price of 24

solar is dropping, storage technologies are coming online and here's a short story: This past year, Saint Eugene Catholic Church in North Asheville installed 147 panels on the roof.

Since November, the panels have saved a hundred -- pardon me, saved over 13,000 pounds of CO2, the equivalent of 340 trees planted. The church is taking care of the earth for all of us, as the Pope says, and it's saving about 22 percent of the churches' electric bill. I just named that because we can do this all over this city and county with projects like this. They can be duplicated everywhere. They pay off; they make sense.

Let's bring this into tonight's discussion. If you do the math and if you use solar and storage, you do not need the peaker. Take the current 379-megawatt capacity, add 15 percent increase -- I realize you said 17 percent -- subtract this from the 570 megawatts that we're going to build with the two new units, and you still have 124 megawatts or 22 percent in excess capacity without a peaker. This is actually 64 percent of what the 192-megawatt

peaker would produce.

Let's talk about this further and how Duke can help out. If I were the CEO of Duke, I would probably view renewable energy in this way: Number one, it's new technology. We understand coal and gas and we're invested in it. It's inevitable, however, that renewable is going to happen. Number 3 (sic), it's mandated. Duke, I believe, is supposed to have 12.5 percent of its power from renewables by 2021. And lastly, sitting here as the CEO, we're not getting our fair share on this. Solar users are using our power lines, we're crediting back what they produce, and they pay lower monthly bills.

So what can we about this? Well, here's something Duke can do. Duke needs to get into the new solar and storage technologies game with an abundance of effort and show some profit to stockholders and here's how you can do that. Our City of Asheville has done a good job of reducing costs with efficient lighting. Let's do it with solar systems and storage systems that get better each year. There's a lot of sunshine that's being wasted on roofs, businesses, public

buildings and homes that can be used to generate power.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

I'd like to propose a partnership where everyone wins and here's how it would work. What if Duke made a business case to go with solar systems on city and county buildings, businesses and homes? What if they borrowed the money from our local banks? And what if they went with our local solar companies to do the installation - Haynes, Sugar Hollow, Sundance, SolFarm and others - let these be the installers so everybody profits. Duke takes the tax incentives, sells back the power -- and there are many LLCs that do this -- it would get Duke into a whole new paradigm. It would be very profitable for them and their stockholders and help us. The systems would use new battery storage technologies to pick up the slack at night during high demand and when solar is not available. In summary, there would be no need for a peaker; Duke's stockholders would profit; Asheville would take the lead for their forward thinking and clean energy; local banks and solar installers would benefit; and the citizens, we,

```
would be very proud of all the parties for your
 2
         leadership. Thank you.
 3
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Maloney.
    Any questions?
4
 5
                 (Applause from the audience.)
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Please twinkle but please
 6
 7
    no clapping. (Twinkle Fingers) Thank you.
 8
                    (Laughter from audience.)
                    (The witness is excused.)
 9
               MS. DOWNEY: Steve Runholt.
10
11
    STEVE RUNHOLT;
                         was duly sworn and
                            testified as follows:
12
13
                           EXAMINATION
14
    BY MS. DOWNEY:
         Would you please state your name and address for
15
         the record?
16
17
         Steve Runholt, 114 Village Pointe Lane,
    Α
         Asheville.
18
19
         And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress,
         correct?
20
         I am indeed.
21
    Α
         According to your sign up, you're not affiliated
22
23
          with a party in this case?
24
          That's correct.
```

Q Please proceed with your statement.

2.1

A Chairman Finley and Commissioners, thank you for hosting us here tonight. I am the pastor of the Warren Wilson Presbyterian Church and it's partly in my role as a christian and partly in my role as a private citizen that I speak to you today.

I am sure you all exercise conscientious care in discharging your duty as members of this Commission of providing fair regulation of public utilities in the interest of the general public. That charge is ultimately informed by a commitment, which I believe is shared by everyone in this room, to create the best possible conditions for the citizens of North Carolina to prosper and flourish.

I also realize that in an advance industrial economy like ours, providing ample electricity is one of the essential components for creating such conditions. But here's another thing we all know for certain, carbon-based fuels are incompatible with the long-term interest of the citizens of this state and any state. Carbon emissions are the principal cause of the climate disruption we're all witnessing and experiencing

outside of highly partisan circles. There is simply no doubt about the causal connection here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

When it rains for 40 days and 40 nights, and I mean that literally, as it did here in Western North Carolina two summers ago, farmers are unable to harvest their crops and so suffered staggering losses. Rising sea levels, the risk of super storms, and dramatic flooding pose risks to homeowners along the coast and to hotel and restaurant owners and to everyone else whose fortunes are connected to the tourism industry. Soon, some of the iconic species for which this area is so well known and that draw thousands of visitors here - Northern Cardinals, mountain-laurel, brown trout to name a few these might disappear from these mountains altogether if they cannot adapt to increasingly hot summers and steadily warmer winters. where I speak to you as a christian person. Basic moral teaching tells us that if we know a specific action is harmful we must refrain from visiting that harm and others or be held morally accountable. We're grateful that Duke Energy has agreed to retire its coal-fired plant near Lake

Julian, but you replace that one plant with two, perhaps even three, that rely on carbon-based fuels is I believe morally untenable.

Given what science tells us about the cause of planet destruction, this proposal knowingly persists in doing harm to our environment and to the public interest, serious harm, possibly irreversible harm with devastating consequences. Which is why the question of where we get our energy is no longer just a political issue or even a scientific issue, it's a matter of vital moral urgency. The public interest, indeed, the very health of our planet is at stake.

I believe the better course of action is first to invest in fuel efficiency so that Duke Energy actually helps to decrease the carbon emissions we produce in North Carolina. But beyond that, I believe Duke Energy has an opportunity to set an example for the rest of the country to follow.

When JFK laid out his vision that America would be the first nation to go to the moon, it seemed like an impossible dream. But

the American people rose to that challenge and, 2 through investments and innovation, we made that dream come true in less than 10 years. 3 I would now like to think that 5 Duke Energy might lead the country in moving us away from our dependence on fossil fuels and to a 6 7 day where our energy is provided by clean, renewable sources. That will take innovation, 8 9 investment, and hard work, but it's the right 10 thing to do. And, looking at our long-term 11 future, I hope you will agree, as our state utility Commissioners, that denying the proposal 12 13 is the best way to protect the public interest. 14 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Are there questions? 15 Thank you. (The witness is excused.) 16 17 MS. DOWNEY: Steve Kaagan. 18 STEVE KAAGAN; was duly sworn and 19 testified as follows: 20 EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY: 21 Would you please state your name and address for 22 the record? 23 24 My name is Steve Kaagan, 45 Craftsman Circle,

1 Asheville, North Carolina.

Q And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress, correct?

A Yes, I am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And you're not affiliated with or a member of any of the parties in this case?
- A No, I'm not.
- Q Please proceed with your statement.
 - Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. is not a transitional fuel unless we count climate disruption as that to which it transitions. The biggest danger is methane The industry puts methane leakage at leakage. about 3 percent but then selected sites across the country in Utah and California, it's up to 17 percent. And we know that methane is between 25 and 75 times as volatile a fuel in terms of causing climate change. If one wants to ask whether there can be methane leakage, one only has to ask the people in Parker Ranch, California at the moment. Our situation has already been documented. 80 percent of fossil fuels has to stay in the ground. By 2050, we have to wean ourselves from fossil fuels if we're going to be

able to keep temperature rise to within two degrees by 2100.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

There are two sources of energy which really we have to deal with. One has to do with stationary energy generation facilities like Duke Power plants and the other with mobile, trucks and cars. It's likely that in terms of trucks and cars we will not be able to wean ourselves through fossil fuels as quickly as we will be able to do so from stationary sources like Duke Power plants. So it's really incumbent upon Duke, government leaders, and corporate leaders to take us through the massive cultural and psychological shift that will be necessary to wean ourselves from fossil fuels. So, along with other people who have testified, I argue that Duke, Duke's proposal should be denied, that Duke has to revamp its proposal to up its ante with regard to renewables and come in with a better proposal that will save not only the planet but its inhabitants. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you.

(The witness is excused.)

MS. DOWNEY: Mac Swicegood.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

MAC SWICEGOOD; 1 was duly sworn and 2 testified as follows: 3 EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. DOWNEY: 5 Would you please state your name and address for the record? 6 7 My name is Mac Swicegood. Address is 10 Round 8 Oak Road, Asheville, North Carolina 28804. 9 And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress, 10 correct? 11 Yes, ma'am. Α 12 You're not associated with or a member of a party 13 in this case? 14 No. A 15 Please proceed with your statement. 16 Α Thank you for allowing the public comment on 17 upgrades proposed by Duke Energy. My name is Mac 18 Swicegood representing the Board of Directors of the Council of Independent Business Owners. 19 20 CIBO, as the organization is known, represents 21 over 18,000 employees that are dependent upon 22 electricity generated by Duke Energy. 23 We appreciate the efforts that have been made to modernize the coal-fired 24

electric plant at Lake Julian. But now, our community has the opportunity to replace that plant with new natural gas-fired units built by Duke Energy. Additionally, there will be alternative solar energy generated on the site.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There will be some who do not want parts of this project and others who state that nothing needs to be built. The problem here though is that our area is growing at a rapid pace. Not only are we attracting new industry, we're also attracting retirees from around the world. Asheville, Buncombe County and the surrounding communities have been featured in numerous publications as the place to visit and a place to retire. When a community receives such accolades, it means enormous growth is coming. That growth cannot be stopped and we must prepare for it. Duke Energy is trying to meet our future needs. Our community cannot regress. move forward with available, reliable and affordable energy.

We appreciate Duke's efforts and request that the public Utilities Commission grant Duke's request. Thank you.

```
CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Swicegood.
 1
 2
     Questions? You read that verbatim; did you not?
 3
               THE WITNESS: Sir?
 4
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Did you read that
 5
     verbatim?
 6
               THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
 7
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you.
                    (The witness is excused.)
 8
 9
               MS. DOWNEY: Joan Walker.
10
     JOAN WALKER;
                          was duly sworn and
11
                           testified as follows:
12
                           EXAMINATION
    BY MS. DOWNEY:
13
14
          Would you please state your name and address for
15
         the record?
16
          Joan Walker, 63 Washington Avenue, Asheville
17
          28804.
18
         And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress,
19
         correct?
         Yes, ma'am.
20
         And according to your sign up, you're associated
21
    Q
22
          with MountainTrue --
23
         (Interposing) That's right.
    Α
24
          -- is that correct?
    0
```

A Yes.

1.3

- Q Please proceed with your statement.
- A Thank you. My name is Joan Walker and I'm the Campaign Director for MountainTrue.

For over 34 years, we have worked to protect the environment and natural heritage of Western North Carolina. We are, as stated before, formal intervenors, in the docket of these proceedings represented by the Southern Environmental Law Center.

Duke's Western Carolinas

Modernization Plan is a huge opportunity for our communities and for the ratepayers of Western

North Carolina. Already, we've all won the scheduled retirement of a coal plant that's seeping toxins into our rivers and emitting SO2 and CO2 into our atmosphere. Excuse me.

And Duke has listened to our communities and revised their plans by canceling a new transmission line that would have cut through the forests, farms and neighborhoods of Buncombe, Henderson and Polk Counties.

We also secured Duke Energy's commitment, stated in their November 4th Press

Release, to work with the community to reduce the region's peak power and ongoing demand through much more participation in energy efficiency programs, demand response and renewable energy and related technologies. They said this in the hope of eliminating the need for the 192-megawatt unit that they've included in their Application.

2.1

With the Mountain Energy Act, the Legislature has fast-tracked this approval process, but your responsibilities remain the same: To ensure that Duke Energy works in the best interest of the ratepayers and the residents of Western North Carolina. And, to that end, we encourage the honorable members of the Utilities Commission to consider the hopes and desires of those who give testimony today and to hold Duke Energy to the commitments that they have made to us.

We want a truly modern plan that doesn't just keep the lights on in Western North Carolina but also uses less climate-disrupting fossil fuels, invests more in energy efficiency programs that can lower all of our bills, and to create clean energy solutions that would bolster

our local economy. This isn't pie in the sky.

This is doable now.

2.1

There are plenty of examples from other power companies that are doing a better job. In the 2014 Report, Benchmarking Utility Clean Energy Deployment by Ceres, Duke Energy ranked only 22nd out of the 32 largest U.S. investor-owned utilities for a cumulative amount of annual energy efficiency savings as a percentage of retail sales and only 19th out of 32 for renewable energy sales. There's room for improvement and Duke Energy can do better.

MountainTrue and other community partners stand ready to work with Duke Energy toward that clean energy future. This Commission can help by requiring Duke Energy to be more aggressive with their energy efficiency programs, more innovative when it comes to renewable infrastructure, and more accountable to the public by only giving Duke Energy approval for the capacity that they need now, not for what they would need if our collective, collaborative efforts failed. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Ms. Walker.

1 (The witness is excused.) MS. DOWNEY: Katie Hicks. 2 3 KATIE HICKS; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 4 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MS. DOWNEY: 7 Would you please state your name and address for 8 the record? My name is Katie Hicks. Address is 263 Fairview Road, Asheville. 10 11 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 12 Yes. 13 According to the sign up, you are not affiliated 14 with a member of a party in this case, correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 Please proceed with your statement. 17 Thank you. In addition to being a customer of Duke Energy, I also work with the organization 18 Clean Water for North Carolina. We're a 19 20 state-wide environmental justice organization 21 founded in 1984. We have hundreds of supporters 22 here in Buncombe County and Western North 23 Carolina who care about our region's energy 24 choices and their effects on public health,

climate and communities both near by and those who have to buy the extraction and transportation of fossil fuels.

2.0

We're alarmed at Duke Energy's decision to invest an estimated \$1.1 billion in the construction of two 280-megawatt natural gas units and a 186-megawatt combustion turbine.

From an economic perspective alone, industry experts agree that natural gas for power generation is swiftly becoming obsolete, as the costs of battery storage, solar and wind power are being more competitive. Specifically, use of gas for peaking units, such as the one Duke is applying to build here, is becoming less and less cost effective as low-cost battery storage allows solar and wind to be used for peaking capacity.

Jon B. Wellinghoff, a former
Chairman of the Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission, has recently warned investors against
over-investment in gas pipeline infrastructure
for this very reason. In an article I have here
and would be happy to submit, taking on huge
costs to transition to natural gas makes no sense
when cleaner, renewable technologies are ready

now and will continue becoming more cost effective, and when there are so many ways to further reduce demand through energy efficiency. If this entire plant and the associated gas pipeline owned by PSNC become unnecessary within a few years, the stranded costs could fall to consumers.

2.0

2.2

In the same article, Duke Energy spokesman Tom Williams stated that the Company is investing in gas because it's a safe bet because gas is inexpensive right now and the regulatory environment, meaning Commissions like you all, favors gas over coal.

In the Company's Application, however, they admit that the landscape of the electric utility business is rapidly changing thanks to the emergence of new technologies that are quickly enabling alternatives to traditional generation resources. That's page 13, add in C. Decisions should be based on what's best for the consumers, not the Company's bottom line. And it's clear that dependence on gas is not in the interest of either one.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

It's the responsibility of this

Commission to review and act on these factors and 1 2 we urge you to deny Duke's Application. At the very least, the Commission should deny the 3 premature Application for an optional peaking 4 5 unit, instead requiring concrete commitments to the solar installation and utilities' stale 6 battery which Duke describes but does not commit 8 to in the Application. There is absolutely no reason for this Commission to rubber stamp a 9 10 peaking unit that's not even sure to be needed 11 years in advance, especially when the technology 12 is changing so quickly and it is likely to be a 13 very risky investment for Duke's shareholders and 14 its ratepayers. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: We'll mark the Wellinghoff 16 article as Hicks Exhibit Number 1. Hicks Exhibit 1 17 (Identified) 18 19 MR. SOMERS: I have a question. 20 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Yes, sir. Ms. Hicks, I 21 see you have a question by Mr. Somers. 22 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SOMERS: 23 24 Ms. Hicks, as I understood it, you said that Duke

```
is not committed in its Application to the new
 1
 2
          solar generation or the battery shortage.
 3
          do you mean by that?
          I just meant that you have not yet applied for
 4
 5
          permission from the Commission to construct
 6
          those.
          Do you understand Duke has made that commitment;
 7
 8
          correct?
 9
    Α
          Yes.
10
               MR. SOMERS:
                            Thank you.
11
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Other questions?
                                                     Thank
12
    you, Ms. Hicks.
13
                    (The witness is excused.)
14
               MS. DOWNEY: Xavier Boatright.
15
    XAVIER BOATRIGHT;
                            was duly sworn and
16
                             testified as follows:
17
                           EXAMINATION
    BY MS. DOWNEY:
18
19
          Would you please state your name and address for
          the record?
20
21
          Xavier Boatright, 1000 Aventin Drive, Arden,
          North Carolina.
22
          And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress,
23
24
          correct?
```

A Yes.

2.0

2.2

Q But you're not affiliated with or a member of a party in this case, correct?

A No

Q Please proceed with your statement.

A I live exactly 1.6 miles from the Arden Power
Plant site. I became extremely concerned when
Duke Energy applied for two 280-megawatt gas
units along with a 186-megawatt peaking unit.

One immediate concern with Duke Energy's trend towards independence on gas would be the increase of methane in the area and the possibility of methane leaks like the one in Porter Ranch, California, which is still leaking as we talk today.

record and increased methane emissions have been shown to be a large contributor to greenhouse gases. A personal account, I tell you all that for the first time my family -- for the first time ever my family this holiday had an outdoors cookout, because the temperatures were so warm this past December, instead of our traditional holiday dinner indoors. With that said, it felt

as though Duke Energy's idea of modernization is a dependence on gas. Duke Energy claims that it will need a peaking unit to meet winter peak demands in the western region. The actual gas plant Application states on page 8, Article 15, additionally, according to the application, the winter peak demand in the DEP Western Region outpaces that of the rest of the DEP system in North Carolina and South Carolina. With this said, after a statement from page 4, Article 4 of the gas plant Application again, the Asheville Combined Cycle units will provide base load generation for DEP's customers in North Carolina and South Carolina.

So in the case of the additional peaking unit, is it growth in Western North

Carolina minus demand or are we adding to the frack gas consumption in Western North Carolina just so we can provide energy to a growing demand in the sprawling South Carolina upstate region?

Why not relieve Asheville from some of the toxic burdens and hazards associated with gas plants?

Why not invest in a clean, modern power source, specifically solar or wind for upstate South

Carolina within the South Carolina borders and stop trying alternatives like running transmission lines down, or now, after that, make Asheville responsible for the burden of a gas plant that also supplies to a demand outside of Western North Carolina in the state's boundaries -- in this state's boundaries.

2.0

The entire project is expected to cost \$1.1 billion. Last year, Dubai started a project on a 200-megawatt solar plant as a part of the 1000-megawatt solar project in an effort to decrease total methane emissions by 5 percent by 2030. The 200-megawatt solar plant only costs \$327 million U.S. dollars.

In the Asheville gas plant

Application, there are some modern ideas thrown

about hinting to an on-site -- well commitment to

an on-site 15-megawatt solar farm in the

construction of the utility battery. These are

just outlined in the Application but only the two

gas units and the peaking unit were actually

applied for.

In comparing a not-too-costly example of a 200-megawatt solar farm already

under construction in Dubai to the 186-megawatt peaking unit Duke Energy has applied for, as one of the largest utilities in the world, I think the gas plant proposal is a perfect opportunity for Duke to be a leader and show the world a true modernization plan for Western North Carolina.

In closing, Article number 6 of page 4 from the gas plant Application states, the power blocks for the Asheville Combined Cycle units be will be sited in the former "1982 ash pond" area, which is currently being excavated.

Would it really be such a bad thing if Duke Energy maximized its efforts and invested an investment to use -- to existing property from its coal -- from its retiring coal ash facilities to promote a huge leap forward in the modernization of energy with a peaking solar farm instead of another gas unit?

I think it's only fair that I say when talking about -- when referring to Dubai and talking about solar --

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: It's time to close, Mr. Boatright, please.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

A -- that land is a huge issue. But I feel as though this Company could WOW us with one heck of a solar project and say "take that, Dubai!" as a global competitor.

MR. MILLIN: I have a question.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Wait just a minute,

Mr. Boatright. Mr. Millin.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. MILLIN:

O Xavier --

A Yes.

I'm going to try and help you because that was very informed what you shared. If Duke Energy is, as established, going to add an energy storage, at least 5 megawatts -- and that's the beginning because the wording is a minimum of 5 megawatts of energy storage -- in this project and batteries, meaning batteries. If you knew that it was possible to add -- there is a six -- 66-megawatt fuel cell project approved for a spot called Beacon Falls, Connecticut; it was just approved recently -- yes, right now it's fuel cells use natural gas, but for that 66 megawatts of that base load power used to back up solar, if

```
1
          you knew that that kind of large, highly
 2
          efficient, low-carbon technology was possible for
          Asheville, would you consider adding a large
 3
 4
          amount of the fuel cell for even more energy
          storage to back up your 200-megawatt number of
 5
          solar to make it all work better, to make the
 6
          grid work better?
 7
          Well, really, to be honest with you, we just got
 8
    Α
          the Application about two weeks ago and I haven't
 9
10
          had the opportunity to do enough research --
11
    Q
          (Interposing)
                         Okay.
12
    Α
          -- to answer that question.
          We can talk about it, right?
13
14
     A
          Huh?
15
          We can talk about it, right.
16
          Oh, we could talk about it afterwards.
17
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Why don't we do that, talk
     about it afterwards so other people can testify.
18
19
     Thank you, Mr. Boatright.
2.0
               THE WITNESS:
                              Thank you.
21
                    (The witness is excused.)
                            Brad Rouse.
22
               MS. DOWNEY:
23
     BRAD ROUSE;
                           was duly sworn and
                           testified as follows:
24
```

1 EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. DOWNEY: 3 Would you please state your name and address for the record? 4 5 \mathbf{A} I'm Brad Rouse, 3 Stegall Lane in Asheville, North Carolina. 6 7 And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress, 8 correct? 9 I am. Α 10 And you left the space blank about your 11 affiliation with any member of a --(Interposing) Oh, I'm not affiliated with --12 Α 13 Okay. 14 A I mean, I'm a member of the Sierra Club but --15 That's a yes then. I think probably most people in here are. 16 A 17 Please proceed with your statement. Thank you. And thanks to the Chairman and all of 18 the Commissioners for making the trip out to 19 20 Asheville. We're really glad to have you here. 21 I'm speaking also as not only a 22 Duke customer, Progress customer, but also as a 23 shareholder of Duke. And I will say I've devoted 24 my career to economics-based planning,

principally, utility integrated resource planning. I've worked with over 100 utility companies on planning systems and issues including I led the development in the first economics-based load forecasting system for Duke Progress or originally Carolina Power & Light. And I worked with Duke Energy in Charlotte on capacity optimization and financial planning models. I closely follow developments in the utility industry.

2.1

I got an MBA in Finance from Chapel Hill and I had my BA in Economics from Yale University.

I have reviewed Duke's Application in detail and I conclude that the Commission needs to reject the Application. Duke is required to show that this plant is needed and they have not shown that, and I'll tell you why. The main reason is that the two 280-megawatt units are excessive versus what is needed and actually do harm -- they do harm -- to the electric reliability of this area.

And I want to just refer you -- you will probably have to go look at this later,

you might not have it right in front of you -- to Exhibit 1B, the Statement of Need, Table 1. Now that table calculates the NERC compliance reserves after a single contingency. And you can see from the table that the NERC compliance reserves are 90 in 2020, which basically means because they've canceled the plant Julian coal unit that they have to shut down -- they have to add additional capacity of 560 megawatts to get you at a 90 megawatts of reserve which is above zero and that's what they want to do. And Duke wants you to conclude that the only way to keep these reserves from going below zero is to install the two 280-megawatt combined cycle units, but there's more to the story.

I developed the spreadsheet that duplicated all of the results from this table.

And my analysis shows that Duke could just as easily satisfy that need with two 185-megawatt combined cycle units or a 185-megawatt combined cycle unit and a 185-megawatt combustion turbine.

And the magic number 185 is because what you don't want to do is, in a geographically constrained, transmission-constrained region, is

add to the size of the largest unit, and Duke is moving the size of the largest unit in our territory from 185 to 280. They are locking us into an excessive fossil fuel infrastructure by what they're doing and it's wrong, they shouldn't be doing that.

2.3

They could just as easily build 370 megawatts in two units and have the same reliability, exact same reliability as what they have with their current plan. So based on all of their assumptions, we could cut 190 megawatts off this system, have the same reliability and not be adding to the burden of additional fossil fuel, base load fossil fuel infrastructure in the territory.

Now, I've also examined Exhibit 1A which is the Integrated Resource Plan. Table 6A of that exhibit shows only 348 megawatts are renewable energy capacity in the system and they're claiming that that indicates a need in 2020 for more power. But Duke, in Table 4F, shows that they have forward that 3,800 megawatts of solar capacity waiting to be hooked up. So I don't get it. I don't know why they need power

for the system. And so my conclusion is they don't need power for the system. They don't need power for the local area. Yea, they need something based on their calculations but they don't need what they've proposed. Therefore, and I think secondarily, it's fool-hearted for Duke to pursue fossil investments beyond the minimum needed for reliability given all of the things that people are talking about here today. don't do more than what's required for reliability. So what I believe as a resident, a ratepayer, and a shareholder that I would request that you keep Duke from doing this and tell them to go back to the drawing board. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Rouse. MR. SOMERS: I have one.

Questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

CROSS EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. SOMERS:

I did.

Mr. Rouse, did you review Confidential Attachment A to Exhibit 1B to Duke Energy Progress' CPCN Application that discusses the NERC system planning and operations requirements?

- Q And who provided you with that?
- A One, I got an e-mail but I think it was out there on the -- I believe I went out to the website and pulled it up off the -- yea, I believe I pulled it right off of the -- off of the NCUC, the docket listing is what I recall.
- Q And so you understand this exhibit was filed under seal with the Commission and it's not on their website?
- A I don't recall that.
 - MR. SOMERS: Okay. Thank you.
- 12 A Yea.

CROSS EXAMINATION

- 14 BY MR. MILLIN:
 - Q If you -- I have looked at the Utilities
 Commission Fuel Consumption Reports for the
 actual plant, the triple coal units particularly,
 and it doesn't seem that in recent years the
 capacity factors for those two units have
 exceeded more than around 175 megawatts in the
 peak months of February and July. If you knew
 that, how would that figure into confirming what
 you found?
 - A Well, I believe that the NERC -- yea, that would

lend evidence, the fact that it's -- the four units that are currently there only run at a 26 percent capacity factor or so the last couple of years. Now they may be -- have been using a lot of import capacity during that time, which is probably true.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Rouse.

MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, just a question following up on Mr. Somers' question, if I may.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MS. THOMPSON:

- Good evening, Mr. Rouse. Gudrun Thompson representing Sierra Club and MountainTrue. Just to clarify, following up on Mr. Somers -- the question from Mr. Somers with Duke, the -- in all of the documents and information you were citing, you obtained on your own from the Commission's website, correct, or from an email and not from -- not by virtue of your affiliation with Sierra Club in any way, correct?
- A That's correct and there was no -- yea, that's correct.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Who did you get the email

from, Mr. Rouse? 1 THE WITNESS: I, honestly -- there was one 2 email that I might have gotten from someone related to 3 the NC WARN group and that's where -- that's where --.....4 5 that maybe where I got the table. Honestly, it -maybe there or it may be from the website itself; I 6 don't recall. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Mr. Runkle. 8 MR. RUNKLE: NC WARN and The Climate Times 9 did not sign the Confidentiality Agreement and so it 10 didn't come from us if it's confidential in nature. 11 12 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: All right. Thank you, Mr. Rouse. 13 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 15 (The witness is excused.) MS. DOWNEY: Rowdy Keelor. 16 17 ROWDY KEELOR; was duly sworn and 18 testified as follows: 19 EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY: 20 21 Would you please state and spell your name for 22 the Court Reporter, please? 23 Rowdy, R-O-W-D-Y, Keelor. My address is 24 9 Shannon Drive, Asheville.

```
Would you spell your last name for her?
    Q
         K-E-E-L-O-R.
 2
 3
         And you put a question mark -- and since you live
          in Asheville, are you a Duke Energy Progress
 5
          customer?
          I believe so. Yes, yes, I am.
 6
 7
         And, according to your sign up, you're not
 8
          affiliated with or a member of any party?
         That is correct.
 9
    A
10
         Please proceed with your statement.
11
         Hello, my name is Rowdy. I'm the Community
          Outreach Coordinator for Brother Wolf Animal
12
                   I'm also here for Vegans for Bernie
13
          Rescue.
14
          Sanders. And I'm also here on behalf of my
15
          one-year old niece, Lydia (spelling uncertain).
                         I find it very interesting and
16
17
          appropriate that we have to take an oath tonight
18
          before we speak. An oath to make sure that we
                           That word "truth".
19
          tell the truth.
                                               Well, let's
20
          tell some truths.
                             The truth is there is
          absolutely nothing about hydraulic fracturing
21
22
          that can be constituted as clean energy.
          truth is there is nothing modern about this plan.
23
24
          Fracking is already an archaic form of energy.
```

The truth is this power plant is an enormous waste of money that could be spent on actual, clean and renewable energy. The truth is the tide is turning. The digging, drilling, burning and exploiting our earth's resources are no longer seen as acceptable practices. The truth is this plan is more irreprehensible. And the truth is this is not our only option.

We have a serious obligation to one another as citizens of this earth to do what is right for the collective good and this plan is not it. How many fracking catastrophes do we have to bear witness to until we change? How many children need to be poisoned? Facts, laws money, I understand their importance, but an even better guide for how we can move forward on this is our heart.

So please take some time later on and close your eyes, take some deep breaths and look into that part of your heart where truth lives and see if this plan feels right. You have an amazing opportunity to be on the right side of history or the wrong side. Please make the right choice.

Thank you, Mr. Keelor. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: 1 (The witness is excused.) 2 3 MS. DOWNEY: Alice Wyndham. was duly sworn and ALICE WYNDHAM; 4 testified as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MS. DOWNEY: 7 Would you please state your name and address for 8 9 the record? My name is Alice Wyndham. I live at 2 Gilbert 10 Street, Asheville 28804. 11 And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress, 12 13 correct? I am, yes. 14 15 And you left a blank about your affiliation with one of the parties; you're not affiliated? 16 No, I'm not. 17 Please proceed with your statement. 18 I'm recently retired and spend much of my time 19 working on climate change issues. This helps me 20 to assuage the anxiety and concern that I feel 21 22 about climate change. I was heartened to follow the Paris climate talks and watched 195 countries 23 promise to go home and do what they could to 24

decrease their carbon emissions.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Would you please speak into the microphone a little more?

A This could be us right now.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Ms. Wyndham, why don't you pull it down to you -- pull it down a little bit.

That's right. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

This could be us right now trying to help fulfill the promises from the climate change part of it as we stand on this precipice. As we plan for the future energy needs we know that this is a time of transition. We know that Duke must rely on some fossil fuel. But let's see this as an opportunity to begin that transition and seriously look for ways to decrease our dependence on fossil fuel. That includes, of course, encouraging efficiency and energy alternatives. We need to be looking for alternative energies as if our lives depended on it or, at least, as if our children's lives depended on it.

As we stand on this precipice planning our energy future, we must look forward

not back. We must incorporate new methods, new technologies, new ideas into the mix. Duke's plan is heavy handed on fossil fuels and extremely light on alternative technologies. They have grossly over-estimated our future energy needs, even considering the booming growth in Asheville, as Mr. Rouse has pointed out. Their efforts to include anything but fossil fuel is minimum at best. Their hearts don't seem to be in it. They don't really seem to be trying to make that transition to a cleaner energy. Their plan includes references to carbon fees.

2.0

2.2

Carbon Pricing Leadership

Coalition came out of the Paris talks. Carbon pricing is considered the key strategy for steering the plan away from fossil fuels and toward cleaner energy in an effort to address climate change. Since Duke realizes carbon pricing is coming, transition is coming, change is coming. Let's help them rethink this plan; let's help them to find ways to limit the number and size of power plants they want; help them with ways to encourage solar, wind, geothermal and others; ways to encourage efficiency, the

true low-hanging fruit; ways to encourage energy 1 use during off-peak hours. Climate change is 3 The solutions are new but they're real. The plan shows that Duke is stuck in a dirty rut 4 from the past. Let's help them see a cleaner 5 future. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Ms. Wyndham. 7 (The witness is excused.) 8 9 MS. DOWNEY: Steffi Rausch. STEFFI RAUSCH; was duly sworn and 10 testified as follows: 11 12 EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY: 13 14 Would you please state your name and address for 15 the record? Steffi Rausch, 373 Lytle Cove Road, Swannanoa, 16 North Carolina 28778. 17 And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress, 18 19 correct? 20 Α Yes. And you're not affiliated with a member of a 21 22 party in this case? 23 No. 24 Please proceed with your statement.

As citizens, we feel frustrated because we are told we need to change our lifestyle drastically. We are told to stop using so much energy. We are told to buy a hybrid car that we can't afford. We are told to insulate our homes better, replace our light bulbs, be more efficient, and on and on and on. We need to get real!

Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Climate change is happening because we put things that trap heat into the atmosphere. When you're on a sinking ship, the first thing you do is plug the hole, so we need to stop putting greenhouse gases into our atmosphere. The fastest way to do that is to get renewables on the ground now. The fastest way to get them on the ground now is to make them cost-competitive or even cheaper than fossil fuels, and the only way to do that is to price carbon for its true cost to society and the environment. This is usually referred to as a carbon tax but can be in the form of what we call fee and dividend, where citizens get back this money via a monthly dividend check to offset our increased costs. There is both international support for this as well as bipartisan support in

the U.S. There are even oil and gas companies on board, big banks, large businesses, top economists and more. So basically carbon pricing is coming down the pike, which means if we get locked into paying for carbon-based fuels including natural gas then we, the citizens and consumers, will end up paying the cost of Duke's bad decisions. We need you, our Commission, to not let that happen and started plugging the hole that we will fall into if you do nothing.

- 4

So who is in support of carbon fee and dividend or revenue-neutral carbon tax?

Internationally, there are four countries Sweden, British Columbia in Canada, Chile, and

Ireland - who have implemented some form of a carbon fee with revenues given back to their citizens to offset the impact to the economy, and sometimes this is done in combination with regulation. The leaders of France, Germany,

Norway, Canada, Mexico and Ethiopia support a carbon tax.

According to the Editorial Board of the New York Times, nearly 40 countries around the world are engaged in some form of carbon

pricing already.

REBECCA BRINGLE;

In the U.S. there are

conservatives who support: George Schultz,
Arthur B. Laffer, Henry Paulson - these are all
Reagan's Secretary of State, Economic Policy
Adviser; George W. Bush's Treasury Secretary.
Liberals who support are Elizabeth Warren and
Bernie Sanders; Steven Chu, the longest serving
former Secretary of Energy; well-known climate
scientists Drs. James Hansen and Katherine
Hayhoe; even Obama was quoted as saying I urge
this Congress to pursue a bipartisan,
market-based solution to climate change before he

Carbon tax is going to happen and because of that we need you to get -- we need to get on board with renewables now. We, the citizens, can't do this alone. We need you to make this happen. Please make us proud.

was forced to implement a regulatory approach.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Ms. Rausch.

(The witness is excused.)

MR. GILLAM: Rebecca Bringle.

was duly sworn and

testified as follows:

1 EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. GILLAM: 3 Good evening. Would you state your name and address for the record, please? 4 5 My name is Rebecca Bringle. My address is 1005 River Ridge Drive, Asheville 28803. 6 7 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 8 Α I am. 9 Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in 10 this case? 11 Α No. 12 Please proceed with your statement. 13 Okay. I wanted to piggyback on what Steffi just 14 A carbon tax will be coming is what we said. 15 believe because -- well anyway, let me start 16 again. The tax on carbon will be a good thing 17 because that will force us all to think about our 18 energy usage and it will help us to restrict our 19 energy usage and will spur creative minds to find 20 alternatives. There are alternatives now, but --21 anyway there are many, many groups and 22 organizations that support this fee on carbon. 23 The World Bank produced a 24 statement putting a price on carbon and a call on

1 governments, companies and other stakeholders to sign up to it. 2 The International Monetary Fund's 3 Chief Christine Legarde advocates introducing a 4 carbon tax to generate more funds to sponsor poor countries' climate goals. Bill Gates supports a 5 rapid expansion of carbon pricing policies. 6 7 of the largest European oil companies - Shell, BP, and others - put out an open letter to the 8 United Nations requesting a carbon fee because 9 10 it's less costly than regulation, allows for more predictability in the market and their 11 projections, and allows them to move away from 12 carbon fuels into renewables. Six major U.S. 13 banks - the Bank of America, CitiGroup, Goldman 14 Sachs, JPMorgan, Chase, and Wells Fargo - wrote a 15 letter in support of climate change solutions 16 17 stating Policy frameworks that recognize the cost, the true cost of carbon are among many 18 19 important instruments needed to provide greater 2.0 market certainty, accelerate investment, drive innovation in low-carbon energy, and create jobs. 21 22 There are major tire company executives for --23 from Michelin, Bridgestone and others who call on 24 strong action on climate, who support carbon

pricing to level the playing field for clean energy.

According to a Yale climate opinion poll, 44 percent of adults nationwide here support a carbon tax if revenues are refunded back to households.

U.S. States already with carbon tax and/or a dividend legislation being proposed are Washington, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont and Massachusetts. Also supporting a carbon tax are Physicians for Social Responsibility; the Friends Committee on National Legislation; Tesla and SpaceX's CEO, Elon Musk; Bill Nunn; 350.org; and the Sierra Club.

The U.S. Congressional Budget
Office concludes that a carbon tax will be far
more effective than capping trade at reducing
greenhouse emissions and avoiding harm to our
economy. The World Economic Forum, with CEO's
from 78 companies in 150 countries, issued a
statement calling for prices on carbon; the
Organization of Economic Cooperation and
Development. So this list is long and this is
probably coming and we will bear the cost if we

continue with using only a fossil fuel and not 1 look forward to the development of clean energy. 3 So I urge us to look forward to another solution. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: So, Ms. Bringle, your 4 position, with respect to this case, is that we should 5 deny Progress' Application in anticipation of a carbon 6 tax; right? 7 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Okay. Thank you very much, but we can't -- we can't impose the carbon tax 10 and so --11 (Interposing) Oh no, of 12 THE WITNESS: But what I'm saying is --13 course not! CHAIRMAN FINLEY: I understand what you're 14 1.5 saying but let's sort of keep it -- keep it where 16 we're going here so all the other people can have a 17 chance. Thank you. I had a question -- question 18 MR. MILLIN: 19 real quick. THE WITNESS: 20 Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Quick please. 22 CROSS EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. MILLIN: I just wanted to reaffirm a little bit of what 24

the --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MILLIN: I can't remember what your title is tonight -- Utilities --

go ahead and ask your question.

MR. MILLIN: -- Chair or Administrator -- what you just said.

BY MR. MILLIN:

I know about the systems climate law -- and I approve of most of it. Actually Duke Energy is familiar with carbon trading at least such as part of the N.C. Clean Power Plan. And this is an opportunity to start with discussions for communities' sake and to set the bar higher in Asheville for the rest of the nation, so I agree with that. But as the Administrator just stated, if everybody who wants to talk about the systems climate law agenda and mentions, at least mentions something about either denying the Certificate for Public Convenience and Necessity, or convenience and necessity, modifying it somehow as I brought up or just straight out approving it, that will -- I want everybody to speak but it will help everybody who's coming up

tonight to be relevant to his point.

2.3

A Yes. And I think we need to modify it to include more clean energy.

(The witness is excused.)

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: We're going to take about a 15-minute break to let the Court Reporter stretch her legs and her fingers. We'll be back at -- it's twenty-five after eight -- twenty before nine.

(WHEREUPON, the proceedings were recessed at 8:25 p.m., to be reconvened at 8:40 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: All right, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to renew the hearing. First of all, the Commission expresses its appreciation to the decorum that the folks in the audience have displayed and we appreciate that very much. We appreciate the hospitality we've received in coming up here to Asheville and we're very appreciative of your cooperation.

The Public Staff tells me that there have been 64 people who have signed up to testify. We appreciate the fact that those who have testified so far have done so by keeping their testimony within the limits that we've established. We're getting the gist of what most of those people in this room believe and

```
1
    we know that you've worked hard on the statements that
    you've made so far, but if you have -- if you're able
 3
    to accept and conclude that you agree with somebody
    else's testimony, and we can shorten this thing up a
 5
    little bit, everybody will appreciate that.
 6
    Commission is not able to stay here into the wee hours
 7
    of the morning so let's try to do what we can and
    expedite matters as best we can.
8
9
               So, Mr. Gillam, call your next witness,
10
    please.
11
               MR. GILLAM:
                            Randy Talley and after him will
12
    be Pat Moore.
13
    RANDY TALLEY;
                            was duly sworn and
14
                            testified as follows:
15
                           EXAMINATION
16
    BY MR. GILLAM:
17
          State your name and address for the record,
18
          please.
19
          My name is Randy Talley, 48 Wild Cherry Road,
          Asheville, North Carolina.
20
         Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress?
21
22
    Α
          Absolutely.
23
          Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in
24
          this case?
```

1	A	I am.
2	Q	And what party would that be?
3	A	I'm a member of the Sierra Club and MountainTrue.
4	::** Q ' 1	Thank you. Do you have a statement to make?
5	A	I'm speaking on my own behalf.
6	Q	Please proceed.
7	А	Okay, thanks. Thank you guys for letting me
8		speak. I am the co-owner of Green Sage Cafe with
9		three restaurant locations in Asheville. We are
10		certified under the Green Restaurant Association.
11		We're committed to sustainable practices,
12		renewable energy, reducing our carbon footprint;
13		we have solar panels on all three locations; we
14		buy renewable energy certificates from Arcadia
15		Wind Power to offset our coal-powered
16		electricity. Recently, we started working with
17		Ripple to measure our sustainability matrix. We
18		scored really well in every area but energy, and
19		I was really shocked because of all the effort
20	NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	that I put into reducing the amount of energy
21	MENTAL TAKENCEMBER	that we consume. But most of our score most
22	ACCIPATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	of our poor score comes from the use of natural
23		gas.
24	No. and and an analysis of the second	For many years I thought natural

1 gas was a clean source but now I know better. 2 The production of natural gas releases methane 3 which is at least 23 times more harmful to the 4 atmosphere than carbon. So, for this reason -for this reason, I request that Duke Energy's 5 Application for building the new natural gas 6 7 electric generators be denied and that their plan for serving Western North Carolina be -- include 8 the significantly higher percentage of renewable 9 10 energy, maybe 10 times, maybe 100 times. 11 Renewable energy is what consumers want, it's what the small businesses want and it's what the 12 13 future of life depends on. 14 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Talley. 15 (The witness is excused.) Pat Moore and after him or 16 MR. GILLAM: 17 after her, sorry, will be Ken Huck. 18 PAT MOORE; was duly sworn and 19 testified as follows: 2.0 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILLAM: 21 22 Would you state your name and address for the 23 record, please? 24 Α I'm Pat Moore, 1571 Queens Road West, Charlotte.

```
Q
         Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress?
 1
 2
    Α
         Yes.
         Being from Charlotte, are you not a customer of
 3
       Duke Energy Carolinas?
 4
         Yes. I thought you meant Duke Energy or
 5
    Α
 6
         Progress.
              MR. GILLAM: Mr. Chairman --
 7
              CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Ms. Moore, you're going to
 8
 9
    have to wait until the Progress customers testify.
10
    I'm sorry. Stick around. But we're taking the
11
    Progress customers affected by this --
12
              THE WITNESS: I'll be here.
13
              CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, ma'am.
14
                   (The witness is excused.)
15
              MR. GILLAM: Ken Huck and next will be Jane
16
    Laping.
17
              THE WITNESS: May I stand?
18
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY:
                                Yes.
19
    KENNETH HUCK;
                        was duly sworn and
20
                        testified as follows:
21
              THE WITNESS: Thank you --
22
              MR. GILLAM: I need to ask some preliminary
23
    questions.
24
              MR. HUCK:
                          I'm sorry. Go ahead.
```

1	EXAMINATION	
2	BY MR. GILLAM:	
3	Q Would you state your name and address for the	
4	record?	
5	A Kenneth Huck, 103 North Bear Creek Road in	
6	Asheville 28806.	
7	Q Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress?	
8	A I certainly am.	
9	Q Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in	
10	this case?	
11	A No.	
12	Q Please proceed with your statement.	
13	A Thank you to the Commission member	
14	CHAIRMAN FINLEY: If you're going to stand	
15	up there, you're going to have to get a little closer	
16	to the mike.	
17	A Thank you to the Commission members for coming	
18	out to Asheville to hear our input on this Duke	
19	initiative. I see this proposal for a fossil	
20	fuel power plant. It appears to create barriers	
21	that will limit the use of other peak shaving	
22	technologies that dovetail well with solar and	
23	wind.	
24	Briefly, technology such as	

insulation or efficiency retrofits of existing buildings, incentivizing or continuing to incentivize the construction of more highly efficient new buildings; bi-directional, grid interactive, electric vehicles, plug-in hybrid vehicles with that same bi-directional or good heating capacity and pump storage.

Duke Power back to the planning round table to come up with solutions that serve the public interest by lowering carbon emissions from this facility by a factor of 10. It's clear to me that it can be done, and that it could be profitable to Duke, and that it could be cost-saving to the ratepayers of Western North Carolina. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, sir.

(The witness is excused.)

MR. GILLAM: Jane Laping and next will be

20 Ken Brame.

JANE LAPING; was duly sworn and

testified as follows:

EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. GILLAM: Please state your name and address for the 3 record? 4 5 Jane Laping, 14 Concord Place, Asheville. Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 7 Yes, I am. Are you a member of or are you affiliated with a party in this case? 9 I am a member of Sierra Club and MountainTrue, 10 Α but I am speaking on my own behalf. 11 Please proceed with your statement. 12 I feel obliged to speak today because I am a 13 person of faith and believe that we are not 14 15 caring for creation as God intended. Burning of fossil fuels has harmed our environment, our 16 climate and consequently all living things that 17 inhabit this earth. 18 I commend Duke Energy for making 19 20 the decision to retire the Asheville coal plant 21 in five years. However, until there is an established need for a third gas unit to be 22 installed in 2023, I request that the Utilities 23 Commission disapprove the third gas unit in this 24

Application.

1.0

I also applaud Duke Energy for including energy efficiency and solar power in the mix. However, if DEP is serious about its public announcement to reduce power demand across the region through renewable energy, the application for a new solar generation facility should be part of this Application and not subject to future CPCN application.

Secondly, 15 megawatts of solar power is just 1 percent of Duke Energy's projected need for this region in 2020. Again, if DEP is serious about renewable energy, this contribution to the needs of our community should be much, much larger. Energy efficiency is a low-cost, sustainable and achievable option to meet electricity demand. Duke currently offers some energy efficiency programs, but these will need to be improved greatly if DEP is serious about energy efficiency and to be competitive with the rest of the nation. Additionally, Duke efforts should be expanded beyond Buncombe County to all nine Western North Carolina counties in their service area.

22

23

24

On a personal note, I am on the Steering Committee of the Creation Care Alliance of Western North Carolina and for a year now I have been working to engage churches in energy efficiency and renewable energy. Churches and other houses of worship are notable for being inefficient, energy inefficient for several Their buildings are generally older and reasons: have high ceilings; the space is primarily used only one day a week; and there are insufficient budgets for maintenance. This not only places a financial burden on the faith community but also increases demand for available energy in the larger community. Duke Energy's announcement to work with the community to reduce power demand across the region through energy efficiency, demand response, renewable energy and other technologies is welcome news. The Creation Care Alliance is eager to partner with Duke Energy to make this happen in the multitude of churches and houses of worship in this region.

I have written -- further written comments. Who should I leave them with?

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: If you will give them to

```
the Clerk. If you will give them to the Clerk.
    Laden -- how do you pronounce your last name?
 2
 3
         Laping with a long A.
              CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Like Laping. We'll mark
 4
 5
    that as Laping Exhibit Number 1.
                        Laping Exhibit 1
 6
                          (Identified)
 7
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Ms. Laping.
 8
                    (The witness is excused.)
 9
10
              MR. GILLAM: Ken Brame and next will be Sara
    Lynch-Thomason.
11
12
    KEN BRAME;
                        was duly sworn and
13
                         testified as follows:
14
                          EXAMINATION
15
    BY MR. GILLAM:
16
         Would you state your name and address for the
         record, please?
17
         Ken Brame, 15 Morning Star Drive, Leicester,
18
         North Carolina.
19
20
         Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress?
21
    Α
         Yes, I am.
22
         Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in
23
         this case?
         Yes, I'm a member of both the Sierra Club and
24
```

MountainTrue, and I'm speaking today just my -- just for myself.

Q Please proceed with your statement.

Thank you. Well, first of all, I want to thank the Commission for sitting through all of our testimony and having the patience to hear us, we appreciate that. But I also want to thank Duke Energy for their decision to shut the coal-powered plant here in Buncombe County. So they deserve a thanks to that.

I was also very pleased to hear their commitment to 15 megawatts of solar power storage and also the commitment to working with the city and the county and other organizations and individuals to reduce demand so that there would not be a peaking unit. I was, however, disappointed to find that those initiatives were not part of this filing but yet the peaking unit, that hopefully will never need to be built, was included in this. That didn't make sense.

Secondly, I wanted to make sure that you, as the Commission, look at what the actual needs of our region are. They are building these two units that are substantially

greater in capacity than what's being replaced.

Do we need that much? Do they need to be that

big? Do ratepayers need to pay the extra money

for something that we may not even need? So that

would be the first question that I would hope you

would look at in making your decision.

Secondly, none of us knows what the price of natural gas is going to be seven or eight years from now when the peaking unit that they are proposing to build would be built? We also don't know at this point how much more solar, wind and battery storage the price of those are going to come down. To approve a peaking unit with those unknowns this far out doesn't make sense. We need to make that decision much closer to the time that it would need to be built if it needs to be built and when they can look at all of the economic factors to make this the right decision for the ratepayers of North Carolina.

Lastly, I'd also like to just make the point that in North Carolina we don't have any fracking jobs or gas generation jobs in this state. Here in Buncombe County and across the

state, we have thousands of clean energy jobs.

We have a thriving solar energy business here in

Western North Carolina. We've got a number of

people that could go to work and put insulation

in homes, weather stripping and things like that.

We would ask that we make a decision, or Duke

would make a decision, to invest in jobs in North

Carolina, not in jobs in Pennsylvania, Texas or

wherever else the gas is coming from.

2.1

And lastly, I would just leave by saying that please look at the amount of gas-powered electricity that Duke really needs to build and don't allow them to overbuild what's needed. And, most of all, delay - do not approve a peaker unit seven or eight years down the road when we don't know what the economic impacts are going to be.

And, lastly, we also don't know what the regulatory environment is going to be in the future. If we go with a lot of natural gas, we could end up in a regulatory environment 10, 15 years down the road where those would have to be shut down and we've wasted money. So that's another reason not to build more than we need.

Thank you, guys, for taking our testimony. 2 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Brame. (The witness is excused.) 3 4 MR. GILLAM: Sara Lynch Thomason and next 5 will be Judy Mattox. SARA LYNCH-THOMASON; was duly sworn and 6 7 testified as follows: 8 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILLAM: 9 10 Please state your name and address for the 11 record? Sara Lynch-Thomason, 40 Congress Street, 12 Α Asheville 28801. 13 14 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 15 I am. 16 Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in this case? 17 I marked yes on my form because I get Sierra Club 18 19 emails so you can decide whether that counts or 20 not. 21 Please proceed with your statement. 22 So thank you very much for having this 23 hearing. I believe that now is the time for new 24 solar installation and energy efficiency measures

in North Carolina. Today, the cost of investing in clean, renewable, reliable energy sources is dropping rapidly. But when I say now is the time, I really mean that a year ago was the time, and 10 years ago was the time, and 50 years ago was the time because oil and gas are not environmentally sustainable for our country or its people.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In considering the shift from coal to gas, we need to consider where this energy source is coming from and who is being affected. Hydraulic fracturing used for gas extraction is still very much in an experimental phase. like Maryland, Pennsylvania and New York, and countries like Canada, Scotland and Germany have banned and limited this practice. Washington D.C. itself has banned fracking in the George Washington National Forest because of concerns that the drinking supply for our nation's capital will be contaminated. All these bans are because of the long-term effects of extraction on the environment and on its citizens are, at best, unclear and, at worst, is dangerous.

As a documentarian in eastern Ohio and central West Virginia, what I have seen and heard are people who are literally sick from the effects of hydraulic fracturing and gas processing. Children who are getting rashes all over their bodies from the water they're drinking. Families who are suffering neurotoxin damage from living near compressor or cracker plants.

1.9

2.2

We can't let the term "natural" gas green wash the unnatural process of gas extraction. If we continue to let gas be one of our dominant energy sources, we continue to let ourselves, the rest of Appalachia and the south become more and more sick. Are we so low to allow our regional neighbors to suffer so that we, in Buncombe County, have this energy source.

I am so grateful for the work that MountainTrue and the Carolina's Land Coalition did to convince Duke Energy to abandon the transmission lines, trim down the scale of this project and delay the building of a portion of the natural gas plant. But as I said, now, yesterday, 10 years ago was the time to end our

reliance on fossil fuels altogether. 1 2 My heart hurts what I hear the 3 stories of people whose health is deteriorating 4 simply because they drink the water from their own streams, from their own wells, from their own 5 sinks. I don't want this to be the legacy of our 6 7 region. North Carolina and Duke have a responsibility to change this legacy now and 8 there is no time to wait. This Application needs 9 10 to be revised. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you. 11 12 (The witness is excused.) MR. GILLAM: Judy Mattox and next will be 13 Cathy Scott. 14 15 JUDY MATTOX; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 16 17 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILLAM: 18 Would you state your name and address for the 19 20 record, please? Yes. I'm Judy Mattox, 15 Morning Star Drive, 21 Α Western North Carolina. 22 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 23 Yes, I am. 24

- Q Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in this case?
- A I'm a member of Sierra Club. I'm a member of
 MountainTrue. I'm also a volunteer member of our
 local Sierra Club who are 6,000 volunteer members
 and supporters just locally, volunteers. I'm
 speaking on my own.
- Q Please proceed with your statement.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α Thank you. I was very interested in November of last year when Duke announced replacement for coal plant. But most notably in this announcement was, and I quote from Asheville Citizen-Times, a stepped up effort to work with customers and interested groups to expand participation in programs to reduce peak power demand; this is November 4th. For Duke to apply now to you guys, the Utility Commission, to build a third peaking unit in 2023 time frame for the peak loads, this is premature and it belies its offer to work with us, the customers and the interested groups, in order for us to reduce the peak power demand. So this is not the time for such application. This is not the time for you guys to approve.

We are all hoping with the Paris 1 climate talks, with the nation's Clean Power Plan 2 to mitigate the effects of climate change. 3 requires, of course, energy efficiency, renewable --- 4 energy, innovative breakthroughs in storage. 5 can do this. We can mitigate climate change but 6 we have to work together. We would like to see 7 8 Duke Energy create comprehensive plans to work with us, the community, for energy efficiency. 9 10 Thirty percent of our energy is just leaked out through our buildings. We would be like to see 11 12 significant investments in renewable energy far 13 and above this 15 megawatts of solar power 14 offered. So, right now, we'd like to see this 15 current Application for a future third gas plant 16 for peaking roads to be denied respectfully. Thank you. 17 18 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Ms. Mattox. (The witness is excused.) 19 20 MR. GILLAM: Cathy Scott and next will be William Vine. 21 22 CATHY SCOTT; was duly affirmed and testified as follows: 23

24

1 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILLAM: 3 Would you state your name and address for the record, please? 5 Α My name is Cathy Scott. My address is 53 Mount 6 Olive Church Road, Asheville. 7 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? Yes, I am. 8 Α 9 Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in 10 this case? 11 No, I am not. Α 12 Please proceed with your statement. 13 Thank you. Welcome to the Commissioners. 14 you for coming here braving the possible bad 15 I wonder if you are aware of the unique weather. 16 nature of this hearing. By that I mean the 17 contortions that the Legislature went through to 18 ensure that this hearing is rushed, inadequate to 19 the task of ensuring a full accounting of the Application, and biased in favor of the 2.0 Applicant. 21 22 I would like to use my time here 23 to let you and other members of the audience know 24 what I believe are the shenanigans that went on

in the Legislature.

2.0

2.2

The Assembly, the North Carolina

General Assembly, passed a Statute in June of

last year, 2015, about a year and a half ago that

dictated how this very hearing would be

advertised to the public and how quickly you, the

Members of the Utility Commission, would make a

ruling on the Application before you.

So let me start at the beginning. Before that 2015 Statute was passed, this is how things were handled. Whenever an application such as this one is filed, the Commission shall require the Applicant in this case, Duke Energy Progress, to publish a notice once a week for four weeks to let we the people know about this event and plan for it and plan to be heard; and now we have one week, one notice, and this was scheduled two weeks after our being aware of what the filing had.

Also, before that Statute was passed, the Commission was allowed up to three months to call this hearing after the Application was filed. Again, that would give we the people plenty of time and also time for expert testimony

and cross examination so that you, the Commissioners, would have a full understanding. Now, this hearing is being called two weeks after the filing and all citizen and expert witness testimony is being gathered in this one evening and you are to make a ruling within a month from now.

I'm going to skip ahead and say that basically this amounts to fast tracking.

And there are many things that, if you weren't being asked to fast track, you would be considering that you are not considering.

So what exactly does the fast tract Statute direct you, as Commissioners, to do? Well, it says that you must okay the process unless certain conditions exist and those conditions are three very important things.

approve the Application if the new generation unit being considered has no more than twice the generating capacity than the one being replaced. Well, that seems fair enough. The law is saying that you can go ahead and okay the Application if this proposed facility isn't totally, totally

oversized.

The second thing that you must consider is if the utility is committed to stopping burning coal at the site of the new proposed units. Well, fair enough, you know, if they say they won't burn coal and you believe them, then sure go ahead and okay the unit.

But the third thing is, I find very curious, and that is that you should rush your consideration of this Application through for a new generating facility if and only if it is being built in Asheville, Buncombe County, North Carolina.

So what we have here is a Statute, a state law that was written and passed exclusively for the benefit of one project. That twists these proceedings so that the outcome is most likely to achieve the will of Duke Energy Progress. To me, that is a customized state law. It applies to only one facility in this one city and somehow delivers exactly what one corporation, Duke Energy Progress, wants for itself.

Is it too much to call this

Is it too much to call it customizing pandering? legislation to benefit one party over all others? 2 I believe that unless you are willing to be 3 called a tool of Duke Energy Progress, you, the 4 members of the North Carolina Public Utility 5 Commission, must reject this fast tracking, this 6 rush to ruling this bogus proceeding. 7 8 (Applause and comments from the audience.) CHAIRMAN FINLEY: We're going to be here all 9 night. Please be nice. Thank you, ma'am. 10 (The witness is excused.) 11 12 MR. GILLAM: William Vine and next will be Kendall Hale. 13 14 WILLIAM VINE; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 15 EXAMINATION 16 17 BY MR. GILLAM: Would you state your name and address for the 18 record? 19 20 William Vine, 28 Buttons Drive, Hendersonville. Α 21 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 22 Α Yes. Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in 23 24 this case?

A No.

2

- Q Please proceed with your statement.
- 3 Thanks to NCUC for this hearing and, more importantly, for ensuring the reliability of the power grid in North Carolina. Thus, the NCUC 5 must be concerned with the effects on reliability 6 7 of Duke's recently submitted Western Carolina Modernization Project. In nature of future 8 9 reliability is the operational redundancy of power sources. Duke provides an estimate of 10 11 redundancy for DEP Western Region and its Statement of Need in Confidential Exhibit 1B. 12 13 Fortunately, or unfortunately, depending on how you read the benefits of transparency, only 14 15 portions of this document are available to the 16 public. In contrast to a previous speaker, I 17 would like to emphasize that portions of this document are public even though it's entitled 18 "Confidential". Duke would benefit from greater 19 2.0 transparency especially given its recent history 21 in North Carolina. My presentation will utilize the limited amount of public data, provide an 22 23 alternative analysis of redundancy in our area of Western North Carolina, and raise concerns. 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Duke summarizes its estimate of redundancy in Table 1 on page 7 of Exhibit 1B of Duke's submission. An alternative analysis notes the improvement of redundancy in terms of power produced at Lake Julian but raises serious concerns about redundancy for the single greatest source of power to the region, which is the imported power through the Pisgah transmission system. Thus, the largest single source of power at the Lake Julian plant is the 680-megawatt of power transmitted by the Pisgah transmission This source must be accounted for in any redundancy calculations for the reliability Currently, the actual power available for this source is 600 megawatts as a result of transformer limitations at Lake Julian.

For the year 2020, if the power import via the Pisgah line is lost, then the usable transmission decreases from 470 megawatts to 150 megawatts, and NERC compliance reserves decreases from 90 megawatts to minus 230 megawatts. Thus, additional, independent power sources and/or demand reduction are needed in 2020 to meet NERC compliance reserves.

1 Again, this analysis is limited by 2 the lack of access to all necessary data and the 3 consequent assumptions about the configuration, 4 the power generation and transmission lines in 5 the region. Fortunately, NCUC has the resources 6 for unfettered analysis following the process 7 suggested herein. Thank you for your time. I 8 have documentation of the numbers if I may submit 9 that. Thank you. 10 Thank you. We will submit CHAIRMAN FINLEY: that exhibit of Mr. Vine as Vine Exhibit Number 1. 11 12 Vine Exhibit 1 13 (Identified) 14 MR. SOMERS: I have a question please. 15 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Mr. Vine, you have a 16 question. 17 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 18 CROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. SOMERS: 20 Mr. Vine, during the break you approached me and talked about this confidential exhibit that you 21 22 just referred to; is that correct? 23 Yes, sir. 24 And that's the -- the confidential portion is

Attachment A of Exhibit 1B which is about three 1 and a half pages long. Have you seen the 2 confidential attachment? 3 No, I have not seen the confidential portion of 4 $^{-1}$ 5 the Exhibit 1B which is claimed to be fully confidential but in reality it's not. 6 7 So you claim it's not confidential? It's available in the documentation Duke provided 8 Α in terms of public access. 9 10 I'm not going to argue with you. I just want to ask two questions. So do you understand that 11 12 Duke is prohibited by Federal Regulation from producing publicly critical energy infrastructure 1.3 14 information? I would -- not understanding what Duke defines as 15 critical infrastructure information, I do not 16 17 know what should and should not be publicly available. 18 19 Fair enough. Are you aware that the Utilities 2.0 Commission, the Public Staff, Sierra Club, 21 MountainTrue, and all the other parties who 22 signed a Confidentiality Agreement and agreed to keep that information confidential have access 23 24 and have had that confidential exhibit?

1	A.	I am not aware since it's confidential
2	·	information who has access to it and who does
3		not.
4	i. Harriya — in	MR. SOMERS: Thank you.
5	_ ·	(The witness is excused.)
6		MR. GILLAM: Kendall Hale and next will be
7	Karen Richardson Dunn.	
8	KEND	ALL HALE; was duly sworn and
9		testified as follows:
10		EXAMINATION
11	BY M	R. GILLAM:
12	Q	Would you state your name and address for the
13		record, please?
14	Α	Kendall Hale, 372 Sharon Road, Fairview, North
15		Carolina.
16	Q '	I'm sorry state the town again, please?
17	А	Fairview.
18	Q	Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress?
19	$\mathbf{A}_{_{\perp}}$	Sadly yes.
20		(Laughter from audience.)
21	Q	(MR. GILLAM) Are you a member of or affiliated
22		with a party of this case?
23	A	I'm a proud member of the Sierra Club and NC
24		WARN, but I'm speaking for myself.

Q Please proceed with your statement.

Α

A I was planning to sing but apparently it's not legal to sing so I will read what I had planned to sing.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, ma'am.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

Wherever I go people want to know who we are and so I tell them we're a energy democracy, the peoples' utility, wind and solar efficiency, sensible electricity. We are the people of North Carolina. We demand that you do your job. We demand rejection of gas-fired power. We demand wind, solar and energy efficiency. We demand that you restore energy democracy to this state. There is no democracy in this state. There is no energy competition.

The Utilities Commission's Mission
Statement says the Utilities and I quote, the
Utilities Commission must provide fair regulation
in the interest of the public. Instead, the
Utilities Commission now sees its mission to
serve the interest of Duke and Duke alone. You
have been captured by industry. You are Duke
Energy's candy store in my opinion and in many

others opinion. Instead of regulating industry, 1 you attempt to regulate the public. You attempt 2 to give us the illusion of democracy, but not 3 real power and not any control. 4 We, the people of North Carolina, 5 challenge you to do the right thing. Listen to 6 the people, not to Duke and its big money. 7 Please restore democracy. North Carolina is a 8 It's a shame to the rest of the country! 9 shame! Reject Duke's modern ener- -- modern -- Energy's 10 Modernization Plan because it's actually 11 Embrace true modernization. 12 retrograde. wind, solar and energy efficiency. Please don't 13 be Duke's stooges. We're tired of it! 14 you can be heroes and you can do your job. 15 Who's next? 16 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: 17 Thank you. (The witness is excused.) 18 MR. GILLAM: Karen Richardson Dunn and next 19 be will be Sheila Maphet. 20 21 KAREN RICAHRDSON DUNN; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 22 23

24

1 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILLAM: 2 3 Would you state your name and address for the record, please? 4 My name is Karen Richardson Dunn, and I live at 5 Α 72 Gracelyn Road, Asheville 28804. 6 7 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? Yes. 8 Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in 10 this case? 11 Α No. 12 Please proceed with your statement. Thank you. I am a Minister with the United 13 14 Church of Christ and so I'm here speaking before you as a person of faith. But I'm also here to 15 16 speak on behalf of my children and all of our 17 children who are not able to speak on behalf of themselves. 18 The author of the Book of 19 20 Ecclesiastes has said that there is nothing new 21 under the sun. So yes I'm going to preach at 22 But in 2010, the proceedings of the y'all now. National Academy of Sciences noted that a 23

remarkable 97 percent of all world climate

24

under the sun, and that is global climate change which is largely created by carbon dioxide emissions which is largely created by the activities of human beings and which, left unchecked, will lead to never before imagined consequences for all of creation.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There are so many issues that surround Duke Energy's proposal for a Western North Carolina Modernization Project. And excuse me for being repetitious because I know many of these issues have already been addressed. this new gas-fired plant too large? Is a third peaking unit really necessary? Is this plan necessary at all? Will consumers have to foot an unnecessary large bill for this facility? Duke Energy truly committed to incorporating a strong clean energy component into this plan? And, finally, is this plan really modern at all These are or is this simply an ironic misnomer? all relevant questions and they deserve to be I think that there is one larger question here that overshadows all of these. would not only Duke Energy but also all of us

respond to the warning by 97 percent of the world's climate scientists that we are possibly standing on the brink of an unprecedented eco crisis. Certainly, we all know the change and especially change of the magnitude required to reverse global warming is difficult. The easiest path is to simply deny the problem or to take a cautious approach and make incremental changes in the hopes that somehow that will mitigate this crisis.

This is the response that I see

Duke Energy taking in its proposed modernization

plan, that their stance replace an old paradigm

that we can no longer afford. Duke's proposal

also represents a tipping point for all of us in

Western North Carolina. We can respond to the

climate crisis by clinging to the old ways and

business-as-usual and face the inevitable

consequences of doing so or we can choose to see

this as an opportunity to act with courage and

imagination and faith together and community to

address this crisis head on and to begin to do

the work to try to reverse it. In other words,

we have the choice right now in front of us

1 tonight right here to choose cynicism or -- I believe the word I heard was pandering -- and 2 what I see as radical irresponsibility or we can 3 choose hope and radical integrity. 4 The Bible also tells us that what 5 we do unto others and do unto this earth we do 6 7 unto ourselves and to our children. So I please ask you, the Commission, and I ask Duke Energy, 8 and I ask everyone here to please make the right 9 choice because we are running out of 10 opportunities to make the right choice. 11 12 you. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you. 13 14 (The witness is excused.) MR. GILLAM: Sheila Maphet and next will be 15 16 Lisa Shoemaker. Sheila Maphet. (No response.) We'll 17 call Lisa Shoemaker and next will be Cathy Holt. UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Lisa left, sir, so Kathy 18 19 Holt is next. 20 MR. GILLAM: Okay. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: She's coming. Cathy Holt 21 is coming. 22 23 CATHY HOLT; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 24

1 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILLAM: Would you state your name and address for the 3 record, please? 4 Kathy Holt, 386 Kennelworth Road, Asheville 5. 6 28805. And, yes, I am a customer of Duke 7 Progress because, by definition, I live in Asheville and Duke Progress is a monopoly. 8 9 Are you affiliated --10 (Interposing) I am not. 11 -- with or a member of a party in this case? You're not? 12 Well, a member but not -- I am speaking for 13 14 myself. But you are -- which party are you a member of? 15 Sierra Club and MountainTrue. 16 17 Okay. Please proceed. 18 Thank you, Commissioners, for hearing this testimony. And I understand that your mission 19 20 includes fairness to the public, protection of the public interest, promotion of reliable energy 21 22 at the least possible cost and energy 23 conservation. Unfortunately, Duke's current plan 24 to build three natural gas plants does not meet

any of these criteria. It is a business-as-usual plan rather than a progressive plan.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Duke is the biggest energy monopoly in the United States, if not the world. Now, in that position, Duke could either be one of the world's greatest villains or it could be one of the world's greatest heroes if it were to change its policy to become truly progressive. For example, if energy efficiency and conservation were truly instituted there would be no need to expand the number of megawatts. Second, the world is in a climate crisis already. It is incumbent upon all utilities to cut back on fossil fuel use through conservation and vigorous promotion of renewable energy even to meet the agreed limits established in Paris. Even sharper reductions are needed to take true, compassionate leadership in a world where the Marshall Islanders and others will be climate refugees in the near future. Building more natural gas plants, as Duke proposes, with all the pipeline infrastructure that's entailed, locks us into a future that guarantees climate disaster for our children and grandchildren, and untold suffering

for humanity. Radical change is called for, not business as usual. It is a moral imperative.

2.0

2.2

2.4

So natural gas may burn cleaner than coal but we know that, as a global warming greenhouse gas, it is far more potent than CO2.

And with all -- even just the routine fugitive emissions, this is not even including the disastrous leak that's currently spewing out vast quantities of methane near Porter Ranch,

California amounting to over two million cars in its impact.

Reliable energy at the least possible cost can best be achieved through a mix of renewable sources and energy efficiency.

These also have the co-benefits of creating more jobs and a cleaner and safer environment. Costs need to include the health and environmental costs, sometimes known as the external costs, incurred by allowing corporations to pollute.

As has been previously noted by other speakers, a carbon tax is a high probability in the future since major banks, energy companies, and insurance companies, tire companies, the International Monetary Fund, the

United Nations and even Exxon have now endorsed it. And since carbon is likely to be taxed in the future we, as energy consumers, need to make sure our energy providers make the right choices to move us away from fossil fuels as much as possible instead of locking us into ever increasing prices.

So, in closing, if you would like to encourage harmony between Duke Power and its customers, please require that Duke invest in energy efficiency, solar and wind as its primary sources of energy. Thank you.

(The witness is excused.)

MR. GILLAM: Susan Presson and next will be Ashleigh Hillen.

I think the witness may have wanted to introduce an exhibit.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Ms. Holt. Ms. Holt, is that a verbatim copy of what you said on the stand there or is it something extra there? What you gave the Court Reporter, is it something extra there or is it a --

MS. HOLT: No, it's exactly what I just said.

1 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you. 2 SUSAN PRESSON; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 3 4 EXAMINATION ***** 5 BY MR. GILLAM: Would you state your name and address for the 6 7 record, please? I'm Susan Presson. I live in Montford. 8 78 Rosewood, Asheville 28801. 9 10 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? Yes. 11 Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in 12 this case? 13 Well, I'm in Creation Care Alliance in Asheville, 14 15 I'm caring for creation at my church, I'm part of Sierra Club and also MountainTrue. 16 17 Okay. Please proceed with your statement. 18 I'm a nurse practitioner and I've worked in Buncombe, Madison and Henderson Counties with 19 20 people who are underserved and have few financial 21 I hear many stories of how people resources. 22 struggle to heat their homes. One family I 23 remember turned on the oven and opened it to heat 24 their house. Another man is always struggling to get back a few bucks to buy kerosene to heat his room. His house is like a sieve, leaking heat.

I wonder what Duke Power is doing to help these low income people weatherize their homes. Many do not have the know how nor the financial means to do this themselves.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I understand Duke Power does have a program for helping people to weatherize their homes but how are they advertising this program, particularly to people who don't read newspapers regularly. Wouldn't it be so beneficial if all of these families as well -- if all of these families and also beneficial to Duke Power if they would have a publicity blast on TV and popular radio that Duke will come to peoples' houses and weatherize them. It would save families money, provide jobs, be great for Duke's public image and help to save our earth. would be a smarter, more conservative move than to build gas-powered power stations that are not yet needed. Let's give conservation a chance.

Secondly, Duke is planning for the future needs of North Carolina in thinking ahead with specific plans to build nuclear and

gas-powered plants. Duke talks about building 1 2 renewable sources of energy - solar, wind, et cetera - but I wonder whether Duke has put the 3 same amount of resources into actual plans to 4 5 build alternative sources of energy as it has devoted to creating fossil fuel power plants. 6 7 As a nurse practitioner, as a 8 mother, and as a christian, I believe that investing in these alternative sources of 9 10 electricity, as well as helping people weatherize their homes and businesses, will be helpful to 11 peoples' health and to the health and beauty of 12 13 God's creation. I ask that you reject Duke's petition. Thank you. 14 (The witness is excused.) 15 MR. GILLAM: Ashleigh Hillen and next will 16 be Scott Hardin-Niery. 17 18 ASHLEIGH HILLEN; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 19 EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. GILLAM: 21 Would you state your name and address for the 22 23 record, please? 24 My name is Ashleigh Hillen. I live at 55 Д

Conestee Street and that's 28801 Asheville. 1 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 2 3 Yes. Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in 4 this case? 5 6 Α No. Please go ahead with your statement. 7 I'll keep it short because a lot of things I have 8 to say a lot of people have already said. 9 someone who's a customer of Duke Energy and as 10 someone who will face a lot of the predictions 11 12 that people have made about the irreversible 13 climate change at any number of degrees, whether it be 1.5 or 4 degrees, I urge Duke Energy to 14 15 spend their money on investments and true, long-term sustainable energy instead of 16 short-term false solutions that continue to 17 support the extrapolative economy that only 18 19 exploits the land and the people who live upon 20 it. So thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, ma'am. (The witness is excused.) 22 23 Scott Hardin-Niery and next MR. GILLAM: will be Carolina Arias. 24

1 SCOTT HARDIN-NIERY; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 2 3 EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. GILLAM: 5 Would you state your name and address for the record, please? 6 7 Scott Hardin-Niery, 32 Lamar Avenue, Asheville 28803. 8 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 9 10 Α Yes, sir. 11 Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in this case? 12 13 Yes. Creation Carolinas of Western North Carolina is a program of MountainTrue. 14 15 speaking on my own behalf. 16 Okay. Please proceed. 17 Thank you all for being here and for Thank you. 18 listening, it's important and I appreciate that, and for promoting harmony among utility companies 19 and their customers. 2.0 Several weeks ago I walked with 21 22 people from many backgrounds in faith. We walked 23 along the French Broad River because of our love for the river, for the mountains. 24 We walked in

solidarity with those business leaders,
politicians and indigenous folks, community
leaders, delegates and people of faith were
negotiating our future in Paris at the climate
talks. Here in Asheville people named why they
walked in solidarity. Some walked for their
grandchildren, some for their birds, some for
clean rivers or air, some walked for the
abandonment of asthma inhalers or for the
restoration of polluted land. People walked for

family and for their love of God.

2.2

We stopped along the French Broad River listening to stories of faith and love for our creation around us. We used our bodies to pray. Walking our prayers in support of a collaborative effort in Paris to slow the impacts of climate change.

We celebrated together the decision from Duke Power to retire the coal burning plant and we still do. We celebrated the people and faith communities in power to seek ways to save energy, to plant gardens, to walk more, to change their diets. We walked for peace and justice and collaboration to prevail in

Paris.

In the end, the Paris Agreement was historical. As you know, over 190 countries agreed that we are facing a man of challenge and agreed to act on our behalf, on behalf of the entire planet. And so my walk along the French Broad River continues into this room tonight.

Not because I am an expert on policy or population growth, power distribution, or methane versus carbon dioxide, but because for me this is a faith issue. It's a heart issue and my prayers involve walking into this room and listening a lot.

In my role with the Creation

Carolinas, I get to listen to faith communities

doing great things, from Mars Hill to

Hendersonville, from Sylva to Black Mountain and

to so many right here in Asheville who are

leading their communities by reaching out with

healthy food grown in their yards, or by offering

classes about caring for creation, or

retrofitting their houses of worship to being

more energy efficient, and then those like you

heard about Saint Eugene's and others who are

placing solar panels on their roofs for all to bear witness. Some faith communities are becoming more energy efficient to lighten the load on Duke's power grid to free up resources to serve their communities - the sick, the homeless, the hungry - to reduce their participation in our warming planet by reducing their carbon footprint.

1.0

In Black Mountain, it started with a church picnic where people began to name the flowers and trees around them. They asked questions like 'how do we take care of this land' and then 'how do we take care of this community' and then 'how do we take care of this planet' and it ended up with solar panels to where they have 113 percent of their energy over the summer was provided by solar panels. Faith communities like First Christian and Black Mountain have chosen to be creative and collaborative in their efforts. And there are so many more of us out there trying to support the common good.

Today I'm asking the Utilities

Commission to continue to partner with

communities of faith and consumers in Western

North Carolina to ask Duke to be more creative in their leadership in the southeast. I'm asking you to invite Duke to trust their ability to invest and produce more energy from clean power—like solar, hydro and wind; to trust in their collaborative efforts that they have been working with cities and counties and faith communities.

I believe together we can build a future that depends more on renewable energy and energy efficiency than this plan currently offers. We are seeking a future where the economy, society and ecology are not threats to one another and we believe that reality is close. Thank you.

MR. RUNKLE: Question.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Go ahead.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. RUNKLE:

- Q Pastor, what church are you affiliated with?
- 19 A With a Christian Church (Disciples of Christ).
 - O Where is it located?
 - A My particular church is -- I'm a pastor of the Christian Church (Disciples of Christ), and my church is Land of the Sky United Church of Christ.

```
MR. RUNKLE:
                            Thank you.
                    (The witness is excused.)
 2
               MR. GILLAM: Carolina Arias and next will be
 3
    Marston Blow.
    CAROLINA ARIAS;
 5
                           was duly sworn and
 6
                            testified as follows:
 7
                           EXAMINATION
    BY MR. GILLAM:
 8
          Would you state your name and address for the
 9
         record, please?
10
11
               My name is Carolina Arias. I live at 84
         Green Oak Road in Woodfin.
12
         And are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress?
13
14
    A
          I am.
15
         Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in
          this case?
16
17
          I'm not.
         Please proceed.
18
19
    A
          Okay. I have decided to speak against Duke
20
          Energy's short-sightedness in proposing two
21
          natural gas-fired plants to the Utilities
22
          Commission. Duke Energy has used the closure of
          a coal plant as yet another opportunity to brand
23
24
          itself as a progressive leader of energy. Yet
```

this only happened after years of tireless organizing of activist and community members pouring so many hours and resources until Duke Energy could not say no.

Regardless of whom to contribute this victory to, this opens up an amazing opportunity to actually live up to Duke's commitment to ensure a stable and responsible energy future for North Carolina.

We will not find stability in the natural gas industry. As yet this is -- as this is yet another -- simply another non-renewable industry -- excuse me, my notes are frozen -- as this is simply another non-renewable source with a better marketing team.

Let us remember that the natural gas industry is a poisonous one. It uses massive quantities of water, leaks methane - which is a notoriously, powerful greenhouse gas exacerbating climate chaos. The natural gas industry destroys communities through toxic fracking practices. It sends the message that you, as North Carolina's energy monopoly, are looking for the easy way out instead of building infrastructure that will

actually benefit the state in the long run. 1 Although a natural gas plant may 2 be a better alternative to a coal plant, it 3 perpetuates Western North Carolina's dependence 4 5 on a fuel source that is poisonous to our environment, our communities and our future. 6 want real energy solutions. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, ma'am. 8 9 (The witness is excused.) MR. GILLAM: Marston Blow and next will be 10 11 Rich Wasch. was duly sworn and 12 MARSTON BLOW; testified as follows: 13 14 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILLAM: 15 Would you state your name and address for the 16 record, please? 17 Marston Blow, 69 Grandview Drive, Asheville, 18 North Carolina 28806. 19 Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 20 21 Yea, I have to be. Are you a member of or affiliated with a party in 22 this case? 23 24 Α No, I'm not.

Q Please proceed.

1

My name is Marston Blow. I'm a member of the 2 East West Asheville Association. I'm a long time 3 member of Asheville and a founder of the River 4 Arts District and I'm -- I love this planet. 5 air, the water, and the earth are mostly clean 6 The weather, you know, it's getting warmer but it's kind of pleasant and that's now. 8 9 And I ask everyone in here just to take a deep breath and realize that global climate change is 10 11 happening now. It's happening now. It's going on now in our life time. And what we do now 12 affects the future of life on the planet. 13 14 know, coming up soon there's going to be super storms and flooding, drought, massive 15 16 extinctions, life system going down, seas rising, 17 and when the methane that's trapped in the Arctic and the oceans is released, we're cooked. 18 19 cannot adapt if we go above four degrees or five. 20 We've got to start planning now, change our 21 motives now. Like we have profit driven entities 22 deciding our whole future and the way we do things and they don't take into consequence, they 23 24 don't take in the common good for all of us. But

if you read Duke's mission it sounds great like this is what we want. I'm going to read from their mission statement that's online. At Duke Energy, we make people's lives better by providing gas and electric services in a sustainable way - affordable, reliable and clean. This requires us to constantly look for ways to improve, to grow and to reduce our impact on the environment. And that's what we're asking Duke to do is to hold to this mission statement that they say. And let's make them accountable for this and please deny this proposal.

· 4

And my friend, Lisa Shoemaker, left and she gave me her statement and I'd like to read that because I believe it.

No to the first plant. No, no to the second. And no, no, no to the third. We don't want your dirty coal. We don't want your noxious gas. We are all completely fed up with fossil fuels for energy. We have endless resources of clean, renewable energy and we want them delivered now. We have brilliant working models of homes and businesses. They use mere fractions of the energy consumption of the

standard American home. We want help making 1 these conversions for all buildings now. 2 don't want more spin about clean energy from 3 fossil fuels. We want the future simply to be 5 alive because we made real, clean energy choices right here right now. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you. 7 (The witness is excused.) 8 9 MR. GILLAM: Rich Wasch and next will be Philip Besesi. 10 11 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Do you want to affirm? 12 MR. WASCH: I will affirm, yes. was duly affirmed and 13 RICH WASCH; testified as follows: 14 15 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILLAM: 16 Would you state your name and address for the 17 18 record, please? 19 A Yes. My name is Rich Wasch. I live at 637 Upper Herron Cove Road in Asheville, North Carolina 20 21 28804. I'm a customer of Duke Energy Progress. 2.2 I'm a volunteer and a member of the Sierra Club, but I'm speaking on my on behalf. 23 24 Please go ahead.

My comments are simple and straight Thank you. 1 I have four points. The first, before 2 forward. the Commission approves more gas generation 3 capacity than required, Duke Energy should be required to disclose its models on the Western 5 6 North Carolina future energy needs. Otherwise, how can an informed decision be made on capacity 7 Number two, it is premature to requirements. 8 include a third natural gas unit in the 9 Application since this unit is not needed until 10 2023 and may not be needed at all if energy 11 12 efficiency programs that Duke has promised are Number three, Duke's proposed 15 13 successful. megawatts of solar and 5 megawatts of utility 14 scale battery storage is welcome but the solar 15 capacity needs to be much larger. 16 Even more troubling is that Duke's current Application does 17 not request permission to install a solar. 18 Number four, we need to focus on reducing per 19 person energy demand and Duke should include 20 21 concrete energy efficiency programs in its Therefore, I urge the Commission to 22 scale back the natural gas generation Application 23 and require Duke to simultaneously apply for and 24

build more solar capacity and commit to the --1 2 implement an energy efficiency program. 3 you very much. (The witness is excused.) 45 **4**5 5 MR. GILLAM: Philip Bisesi and next will be Mark Threlkeld. 6 was duly sworn and 7 PHILIP BISESI; testified as follows: 8 MR. BISESI: My testimony is being given out 9 of my head. I left my notes home --10 MR. GILLAM: Excuse me. 11 MR. BISESI: -- so I hope I speak the truth 12 but it's based upon a lot of impressions I've gotten 13 in 50 years of engineering. 14 15 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILLAM: 16 Mr. Besesi, would you state your name and address 17 for the record, please? 18 Yes. Philip Besesi --19 Α 20 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Talk in the microphone. Philip Besesi, 15, one five, High View Drive, 21 Α 22 Black Mountain, North Carolina. Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 23 They eat up 10 percent of my social security 24

check.

(Laughter from audience.)

- Q And are you a member of or affiliated with a party in this case?
- A I've been a member of many organizations through the years. I'm a charter member of the Association of Energy Engineers, et cetera. Yet I have a strong sense of engineering ethics, that is, public service comes first, and you don't bias your opinions by being a member of this or that or something. You run it through your head and then you speak it the way you really understand it. You don't read a handbook or follow a building code or anything else, you just do what you thought about and that you know is right.
- 17 O Go ahead.
 - A Okay. I'll start with a little history. I guess
 I was traumatized by Commonwealth Edison with a
 couple of stories. One, electric heat is clean
 heat. Gas heat is dirty heat. Nuclear power is
 cheap. On and on and on they put on the big
 sales campaign at the dawn of the nuclear age.
 I'm still a fan of gas. It makes no sense to

burn fuel, generate steam, drive a turbine, send electricity out on the grid, put it through some resistance element and heat again. The efficiency is terrible. It's just an absolute ridiculous process that we have. And why do we have such a big, high demand? Because we've been suckered into using electric heat at night in the winter. If we were to be using natural gas in furnaces at home instead of buying electric heat from Duke, we wouldn't be peaking Duke in the winter.

2.2

So my vision is this -- griping about all of the misunderstandings -- look at this, let's go to Lake Julian and what do we see in this ecological park? We see, instead of smokestacks, we see a wind turbine and we see on that wind turbine something that Duke can be really proud of - a big LED sign that announces that this is Duke's ecological park and Lake Julian should be 100 percent renewable energy. Let them do their gas if they have to somewhere else but Asheville is a progressive place. We need to be on the cutting edge.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yea!

22

23

24

last 40 years and be obsolete by United Nation standards in 20. So I'd like to see a park I'd like to see the coal ash all gotten out of there so it's not leaching into the lake. I'd love to be able to fish in the lake. really think that we have a wonderful city park down there on the south side of town that should be a gem, not a garbage stone. So that's my hope Like I learned from for the future that we see. Doc Sulock in the course 'Renewable Energy Around The World', in India and other nations, they don't have an electric grid worth a damn but what they do have is solar collectors on every house; hot water solar collectors. It doesn't make any sense to heat with fuel when the sun gives us --I don't know the numbers exactly but it's orders of magnitude, 10 times or 100 times, how much energy we actually need to consume everyday comes in with the sun. So let's stop fighting nature and love creation and live with it.

So my hope with what I'm saying is to possibly change attitudes so people will stop their dualistic thinking. Bottom line, it has to

be a good ROI and start thinking about the common 1 good and it has to be good for humanity. mindset is going to make our world sustainable. 3 The dualistic thinking, being counted mindset, legalistic and so on, is going to see our 5 grandchildren growing up in poverty and probably 6 7 dying an early life. Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, sir. 8 (The witness is excused.) 9 MR. GILLAM: Mark Threlkeld and next --10 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: We'll have Mr. Threlkeld 11 and then we'll have our little recess. Come on, 12 Mr. Threlkeld. 13 MARK THRELKELD; was duly sworn and 14 testified as follows: 15 EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. GILLAM: 17 Would you state your name and address for the 18 record, please? 19 20 Α Mark Threlkeld. I live at 119 Domeno Drive, 21 Swannanoa. And are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 22 23 Α Yes. And are you member of or affiliated with any 24

party to this case?

A Not directly. I'm a volunteer with the Sierra Club.

Q Please proceed.

A Thank you for the opportunity to address this group and thank you guys for listening to us all.

Listening is really hard work and you've been doing a great job. It's really -- as time goes on it's just really hard to keep listening so I appreciate that and I know that the speakers yet to come really appreciate your continued diligence in doing that.

There's no question that closing the coal plant is a great thing, right? I don't think anybody in this room is going to disagree with that. But replacing an old, carbon-based coal plant with an oversized carbon-based gas plant, simply isn't reasonable.

Duke's requested you to approve a third generation unit beyond the tandem unit that they say will be the backbone of their system is premature. Buy some time. Tell them no. Ask them to come back with a new application. Ask them to come back with an application that

They're
for so
for so
sounds
any at
kilowa
solar l
even a
putting

includes in one filing the provision of solar. They're going to come back with a second filing for solar the way it sounds to me. To me that sounds like the poor stepchild who doesn't get any attention. They're talking about 15 kilowatts -- or kilowatts, megawatts of power for solar but how serious can they be if it's not even a part of the main element that they're putting in place here. This is going to be a gas plant. If you give them approval now for this provisional, maybe in the future, third plant, we all know what's going to happen. They're going to build it. It's that's power companies do.

If we want to be serious about solving all of the problems that have been enumerated here tonight and all through the media in terms of warming and all the rest, if we want to be serious about it we have to do something and we have to do it now.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yea!

We can't wait. So let's go ahead with the plans that they have to make sure that we have sufficient energy in our current situation. But we can't overbuild now and think we're going to

solve the problem later. It's not going to work 1 that way. It's going to be too late. Please 2 deny this Application and ask them to come back 3 and do better. Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Threlkeld. 5 (The witness is excused.) 6 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: We're going to take a 15 7 minute break. We'll be back at 10:15. 8 (WHEREUPON, the proceedings were recessed at 9 10:00 p.m., to be reconvened at 10:15 p.m.) 10 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: All right, ladies and 11 12 gentlemen, we'll resume the hearing. We have been listening. We have heard some recurring things. And 13 I'll repeat that if your statement reiterates 14 something that some of the other witnesses have said 15 16 before you, you can say that you agree with those statements and truncate your testimony so that the 17 witnesses later down the list will have an opportunity 18 to testify before the night is through. 19 Call your next witness. 20 MS. DOWNEY: Lewis Patrie and then it will 21 22 be Rick Burt. was duly affirmed and 23 LEWIS PATRIE; testified as follows: 24

EXAMINATION 1 BY MS. DOWNEY: 2 Would you please state your name and address for 3 the record? -4 I'm Dr. Lew Patrie, living at 26 Wesley Drive, 5 Asheville 28803. My wife and I moved to 6 Asheville in 1989 and we had our own home until 7 October of this year. We were Duke customers all 8 9 the time ever since the merger that Duke and Progress Energy became this large corporation. 10 11 And we now live in Givens Estate and I fear that 12 we use more electricity than we did in our larger So we are still consumers of Duke. 13 Duke Energy Progress? 14 15 Duke Energy. Α Duke Energy Progress, right? 16 17 Duke Energy Progress, yes. And are you affiliated with or a member of a 18 19 party in this case? Am I a member of what? 20 21 Any of the parties in the case, Sierra Club, MountainTrue, NC WARN? 22 23 I don't know what you mean by a member of a 24 party.

Go ahead, Mr. Patrie, and 1 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: 2 make your statement. 3 Go ahead. I'm deaf as a post. 5 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Go ahead and make your 6 statement. (Laughter from audience.) 7 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: My wife says I am, too, so 8 9 go ahead and make your statement. 10 (Laughter from audience.) 11 All right. I'm Dr. Lew Patrie, a member of 12 Physicians for Social Responsibility. 13 retired physician, certified in public health and 14 preventative medicine and psychiatry. Physicians for Social Responsibility's major goals are to 15 16 provide for a world that will sustain human 17 existence well beyond our lifetimes with these goals. Consistent with today's announcement by 18 19 the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, humanity's two most pressing existential threats stem from 2.0 21 nuclear weapons and climate change, both of which 2.2 are top priorities for Physicians for Social Responsibility. 23

Climate change from increased

24

human created green home -- house gases is a 1 major factor. Duke Energy's plan to retire its 2 coal-fired power plant would be a major improvement if it weren't for their plan to 4 create a methane gas -- create methane gas-fired 5

plants as a replacement.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

As has been repeatedly pointed out, methane is a 100 times more destructive as a greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide and, thus, the risks of climate would considerably increase from gas extraction and transport. The proposed plants which contribute to demand for domestic earth-fractured gas, causing increased harm to water, air, and land for the communities wherever shale gas production is taking place.

Is it not valid that Western North Carolina's energy needs could be met without new natural gas units, through a combination of solar, nearby hydro plants and other sites? it not true that Duke plans to build these plants with the associated impacts on our region, in part, to provide power out of state?

There is also a question about whether Duke is withholding information critical

to their contention that they claim provides 1 justification to these gas-fired power plants. 2 This allegation requires investigation as 3 suggested just recently by North Carolina WARN 4 and The Climate Times. 5 As a member of Physicians for 6 Social Responsibility, it appears to be uncertain 7 this plant would be consistent with the goal of 8 providing for a sustainable environment for the 9 future inhabitants of our planet when renewable 10 options are preferable. Thank you. 11 (The witness is excused.) 12 MS. DOWNEY: Rick Burt and then next will be 13 14 Gabrielle White. was duly sworn and RICK BURT; 15 testified as follows: 16 17 MR. BURT: My name is Rick Burt. I live at 1070 Greenwood Road in Hendersonville. And I'm a 18 member of MountainTrue and a proud volunteer for 19 MountainTrue but I'm speaking on my own behalf. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY MS. DOWNEY: 22 And are you a Duke Energy Progress customer? 23

Yes, I am.

24

A

Q Go ahead.

1.8

2.0

I first would like to thank Duke Energy for changing its original plans for its Western

Carolina's Modernization Project by deleting the proposed 45-mile, high-voltage transmission line across Henderson County. I also praise Duke Energy for proposing to replace the old coal-fired power plant in south Asheville with two natural gas-fired combined cycle electric generating units and installing new solar power generating units.

I encourage Duke Energy to fully commit to continue to work with the community and to commit to the highest priority towards increasing energy efficiency and decreasing future demand for electrical power so that a third natural gas-fired unit will not be needed. Duke Energy should take advantage of the abundant sunshine available in the Asheville area to maximize the years of solar-powered electric generating units. Deleting the pollution from the burning of fuel coal and minimizing the use of natural gas fuel will greatly improve the air and water quality of the Asheville area. These

```
1
          positive upgrades will help preserve the
 2
          valuable, high quality of life this area enjoys
 3
          for residents and visitors. So please reject
          their request for the third natural gas-fired
4
 5
          unit.
                 Thank you.
 6
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, sir.
                    (The witness is excused.)
               MS. DOWNEY: Gabrielle White and then it
 8
 9
     will be Harvard Ayers.
10
     GABRIELLE WHITE;
                            was duly sworn and
11
                             testified as follows:
12
                           EXAMINATION
    BY MS. DOWNEY:
13
          Please state your name and address for the
14
15
          record.
16
          Gabrielle White, 322 Riverview Drive, Asheville
17
          28806.
18
          Are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress?
19
    A
          Yes.
20
          Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in
21
          this case?
22
    Α
          I'm a member of MountainTrue speaking on my own
23
          behalf.
24
    Q
          Please proceed.
```

Thanks, Council, for coming and hearing us speak. I really do appreciate it. I would like to state that I deeply oppose the building of this natural gas plant as I oppose the fracking and drilling industry and the harm that it does to our communities, our people, our environment and, most of all, our atmosphere. I want to note that as a member of and a customer of Duke that I don't agree with the types of affiliation that we're becoming a part of if we choose to be building these natural gas plants instead of renewable energies.

I'd like to note that fracking is exempt from key federal government regulations. The Federal Energy Policy Act of '05 contained a provision that came to be known as the Halliburton Loophole, an exemption for gas and drilling and extraction from requiring us to disclose chemicals that they inject into the ground. This was a part of the safe -- they were able to make loopholes through the Safe Drinking Water Act, the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act and, as I understand, 12 different otherwise important clean mandates.

I'd like to express as a customer that I need Duke's energy -- I need Duke Energy to use as a corporate influence to support the needs of the people and to adhere to efficiency conservation through wind and solar.

I'd like to note that Senator

Terry Van Duyn wrote to me today that North

Carolina has eliminated their renewable energy

tax credit and is getting ready to roll back

standards that require Duke Energy to invest in

renewable sources of energy. I'd like to make a

statement to Duke that this is not the type of

thing that I would like to see. I would like to

see us investing in renewable energies.

There is a statement that

Republicans have passed a bill that hinders our

ability to comply, North Carolina, to comply with

the administration of CO2 standards and instead

instructs our Department of Environmental Quality

to sue the EPA. Again, this is a place where

Duke could intervene and use its political

corporate perilous to speak on behalf of

Asheville and its citizens.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

It's been stated that we're at

about .8 or 1 degrees Celsius change in global temperature right now. We have about .5 degree Celsius right now to maneuver with. This is really important that we act now and don't comply with this plan and we don't have this plant built.

I personally draw the line here and now. I oppose the natural gas plant. What I do support is that we create solar power, wind power and that we rapidly adopt a strategic plan for efficiency. And what we haven't yet talked about is conservation of energy much like in World World II where lights were shut off at night. Everyone was in supportive of one goal --was in support of one goal and I think we can still do that and I encourage our Asheville citizens to be looking strongly at doing that ourselves.

I do oppose this natural gas plant locking us into 50 years of pollution that we don't have. I demand what big energy has been asked by an environmental activist since before I was born. Their echoes burning in my ears as my mouth resonates the resounding request: We, the

people of this planet demand the rights to live on it. We shall no longer tolerate the sacrifice zones. We will not be your sacrifice people. We demand renewables. We demand a revolution that includes the safety of all people. We demand a cap on carbon that will make climate chaos impossible. We demand state and national policies that include the scientific findings and force the corporate polluters to be held accountable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I would like to end with Biologist Sandra Steingraber of the New York -- the New Yorkers Against Fracking. She puts the stark choice like this: We are standing in an energy crossroad. One signpost points to a future powered by digging fossil fuels from the ground and lighting them on fire. The other points to renewable energy. You cannot go in both directions at once. Subsidizing the infrastructure of one creates disincentives for the other. I advise Duke -- I advise the Council to turn down this proposal for the gas-fired power plant. Thank you.

(The witness is excused.)

MS. DOWNEY: Harvard Ayers and then next 1 will be Macon Verteskjall. 2 was duly sworn and 3 HARVARD AYERS; testified as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MS. DOWNEY: 7 Would you please state your name and address for the record? 8 9 Okay. My name is Dr. Harvard Ayers, 346 Fieldspring Drive, Boone, North Carolina 28607. 10 11 I'm a customer of Duke Energy Progress and I 12 represent two of the parties, NC WARN and The Climate Times. 13 14 Please proceed. 15 Thank you. I am Scientist Dr. Harvard Ayers and I represent The Climate Times and NC WARN, two of 16 17 the parties in this case. Thanks for your attention. Our organizations are glad that Duke 18 19 is closing the 51-year old Asheville coal plant 20 especially as coal is becoming the fuel of the 21 past, as Arch Coal and Alpha Natural Resources, two of Duke's suppliers, have declared 22 bankruptcy. Where is it coming from? I don't 23 But anyhow, The Climate Times is 24 know.

2 risky
3 clima
4 acros
5 far a
6 a 30

8

7

1

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

concerned, also, that Duke is heading in a very risky direction, planning to build massive, new, climate damaging natural gas generating capacity across the Carolinas with fuel imported from as far away as Louisiana and Texas. Gas plants are a 30 to 40-year investment in a gas market that is likely to be highly volatile as the expected depletion of especially the fracking gas resource occurs. Let me say that again. The fracking gas resource is becoming depleted.

The latest and best science tells us that natural gas is like a Trojan horse. it burns it produces less CO2 than coal and that's true. But when you count in the methane leakage during this production and mining cycle that is consistently worse than coal because methane is 86 times as strong a greenhouse gas as carbon dioxide in its first two decades of According to the most respected existence. scientific body in the world on climate concerns, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change - a group of almost 1000 scientists from the world, very respective scientists including the United States of America - solar, wind and energy

efficiency are the answer over the four or five 1 2 years before the gas plant is set to come on 3 line, the cost of solar is expected to drop about 40 percent. Enough solar to replace the coal 4 5 plant and then some could easily be constructed within a year or so. And the best thing of all 6 7 is that the fuel is free. Natural gas fuel will cost as much or more over the 30 years that the 8 9 plant's projected life and more, more than the 10 \$1.1 billion construction costs and the customers are going to pay for that gas. I think they'd 11 rather be paying nothing for solar, quite 12 13 frankly. But Duke Energy has a wonderful 14 forward-looking array of opportunities if it 15 would only look beyond its 19th and 20th Century 16 mindset, but old habits die hard. 17 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, sir. (The witness is excused.) 18 19 MS. DOWNEY: Macon Verteskjall and then next will be Kelly Martin. 20 21 MACON VERTESKJALL; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 22

23

24

1		EXAMINATION
2	BY MS	DOWNEY:
3	Q V	Would you please state your name and spell it for
4	Active prot	the Court Reporter, please?
5	A N	Macon, M-A-C-O-N, Verteskjall,
6	7	<i>J-</i> E-R-T-E-S-K- <i>J-</i> A-L-L.
7	Q I	And what's your address, please?
8	A 4	11 Lovin Cove Road, Weaverville, North Carolina
9	2	28787.
10	Q A	And you're a customer of North Carolina Duke
11	F	Energy Progress, correct?
12	A 3	I am and I'm not affiliated with any of the
13	Q	(Interposing) Any of the parties.
14	A	parties.
15	Q (Okay. Go ahead and proceed.
16	A .	Thank you so much. First of all, thank you for
17	7	your attention over the course of this evening
18	á	and for everyone here and for all our attention
19	. 6	and care for this process and wanting to be a
2/0	I	part of it because it's very important for our
21		region.
22		I don't think we can have this
23		conversation without the presence of some people
24	t	that are unable to be here tonight so I want to

call them in, and those people are the children of our children and their children and our grandchildren and their grandchildren. Because the decisions that come forth from this night are going to deeply impact the world and that is

2.0

2.2

real.

I'm a North Carolina resident for my entire life. I was borne in Siler City. I spent the first 18 years of my life as a customer of Duke. The next three and a half years as a customer of Progress and now a customer of both. There is some words that I'd like to say fracking, methane, greenhouse gases, global warming, climate change, sea level rises - these are all things that we can see and in reality is looked at, but they are very real and they're scary. It can be said and it has been said tonight that we are poised on a precipice. Every step that we take over the course of the next few years will be deeply impacting those children.

I want to ask everyone here, if they're willing to picture a child, be it your own child or a child that you know. If you're willing to close your eyes and call them here to

this space, they need to be here because this is a decision that they need us to make.

Two 280-megawatt natural gas

plants is already too much. A third-is simply
incomprehensible. And only 15 megawatts of
solar, I'm afraid, that this is shortsighted.

I'm asking for the heart of Duke Progress to take
a step back and ask if this is as creative as
you're willing to be. I think I can speak for
the residents of Western North Carolina in saying
that we ask you to be more creative.

The big picture, by 2100, the sea level will be rising 20 feet, that is a peer reviewed article recently published by University of Florida Geochemist, Andrea Dutton. That leaves the homes of 150 million people around the world under water. That's a world where our children are coming of age where Miami, New York City, other islands in the South Pacific are no longer above the sea.

Fracking, there's a peer review of the EPA article stating that 72 percent of wells have been documented to be contaminated. This is not where we need our energy coming from. There

are hundreds of unpronounceable chemicals in each 1 2 of those wells going into the bodies of the residents of those lands, and we don't know what 3 they are because they are proprietary blends. So 4. A. 4. as the sea level rises to meet the water runoff 5 6 coming from these toxic fracking sites, I want to 7 ask you, well, I want to empower the Commission, I want to empower all of us here, in our hearts, 8 9 to look at people over profit. I want to ask for healthy water over cheap and shortsighted quick 10 energy solutions. This is not going to be easy. 11 12 And I want to ask for a future worth living for 13 our children. Thank you so much. (The witness is excused.) 14 15 Kelly Martin and then next will MS. DOWNEY: be Kit Cramer. 16 What number are we on? 17 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Ms. Martin is number 42. 18 MS. DOWNEY: 19 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Thank you. 20 KELLY MARTIN; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 21 22 EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY: 23 24 Would you please state your name and address for

the record?

- A It's Kelly Martin. I leave at 86 Deaver Street in Asheville 28806.
- Q And are you a customer of Duke Energy Progress?
- 5 A I am.

- Q It says here you're affiliated with or a member of a party in this case. Which one would that be?
 - A I am. I work with the Sierra Club and I'm speaking on my own behalf.
- Q Please proceed.
 - A So, Chairman, Members of the Commission, thanks so much for coming out here, for staying so late and for the opportunity to speak tonight. I'm Kelly Martin and I have worked with the Sierra Club's Beyond Coal Campaign. So I've been advocating the past several years for the retirement of the Asheville coal plant and for increased investments in clean energy. So undoubtedly, I'm thrilled that the coal plant will be retired and that our community will be relieved from the impacts of the pollution associated with burning coal. Yet, I also wholeheartedly agree with a lot of the concerns

that have been raised tonight, that the continued reliance on fossil fuels and, specifically, a large or an oversized natural gas plant is not the vision that this community has for powering our region.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

So as y'all are, I imagine, very aware that the electricity market is changing really rapidly right now. The cost for solar and the cost for winds are plummeting, energy efficiency remains the least cost resource, and the utilities and the grid operators are already successfully integrating renewables and efficiency into the grid. They're planning for these as a resource. And so battery storage has also arrived on the market. I recently saw that, that NextEra CEO -- the utility giant NextEra says that by 2020, he predicts, there won't be another peaker plant built in this country because of the widespread deployment of the storage as a resource.

So I urge you to really carefully consider this decision that you're making and to be prudent. Because clean energy can really act as a hedge against the volatile fuel cost of

23

24

natural gas. And if you allow this oversized natural gas infrastructure to be built, you potentially edge out the opportunity for clean energy, and all of the jobs, and consumer, and environmental benefits that go along with it so this meeting here in Asheville is filled with entrepreneurs and investors and in the clean energy sector, developers in the clean energy sector, and we have the political will to make clean energy succeed. And so I, for one, am really excited about the announcement from Duke about storage and solar for the clean energy partnership. I think together we can develop really successful demand reduction programs and power this community with clean energy. fear that, if this Application is approved as it is and without being scaled back, that there will be little incentive for these clean energy partnerships to work.

So, lastly, I'll just say that like you all, I imagine, I follow the news about the compounding risks of climate change and I know that the stakes are really high. And so sometimes when we sit in these public hearings or

make these decisions about one's need determination. Maybe it doesn't seem like the stakes are as high but they really are. Because the decisions that you all are making, that are being made in commissions all over the country, are shaping our energy future and are deciding whether or not we can avoid the worst impacts of

So I'm a mom and I worry about the future for my kids. I know that they live in a world that has warmer temperatures and more severe storms and higher coast lines and potential disruption to a lot of the food and transportation systems that we rely on but I also -- I have hope. And I have hope for what the world can look like and I especially have hope that regulators, like you all, can make sound decisions that protect our air and water, that help stabilize our climate and that allow clean energy to thrive so please act carefully. And thank you very much for your attention.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: I believe Mr. Somers has a question for you, Ms. Martin.

climate change.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. SOMERS:

- Q First of all, thank you, Ms. Martin, for some of the supportive statements you made about Duke Energy's plan to retire the coal plant. In your testimony you stated that the gas plant was oversized.
- A Uh-huh.
 - Q Have you read Duke Energy's CPCN Application that was filed with the Commission?
 - I have read it and I'll definitely defer to our lawyers that represent the Sierra Club and the other parties on the more specific and technical aspects of the Application, and I more wanted to speak on my own behalf tonight, and my concerns more generally.
 - Q Thank you. I understand you're testifying in your individual capacity, but do you understand from reading Duke's Application that even, if all of the plants are built that are part of this Application, that the Asheville and Western North Carolina region will still be a net importer of power on peak days?
 - A I think the concern that I raise when I use the

word "oversized" is the premature need for the 1 peaker plant included in that Application. 2 what I'm concerned about is all this momentum 3 methate is being built in our community around the clean energy partnership, around storage and 5 demand response and a lot of investment from our 6 7 community and our local elected leaders that are 8 excited about that. And that the intent, as stated by Duke Energy, is to avoid the need for 9 10 that third peaker unit. And so my concern about 11 it being oversized is that potentially you're betting against your own success. And that if 12 you're getting that approval now that potentially 13 14 is premature and hopefully we can work together to avoid the need for that size a plant being 15 built. 16 So you support the two 280-megawatt 17

- Q Thank you. So you support the two 280-megawatt combined cycle units?
- A I would not say that. I think that the project should be scaled back to more accurately reflect the need of this community and I'll leave it to the expert testimony to be able to identify exactly what that size is.

MR. SOMERS: Thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Yep.
 1
    Α
 2
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Ms. Martin.
 3
                    (The witness is excused.)
               MS. DOWNEY Kit Cramer and then after that
· 4
 5
    it will be Rachel Shopper.
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Go on to the next one.
 6
 7
               MS. DOWNEY: Rachel Shopper.
 8
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Ms. Shopper is on the way.
 9
               MS. DOWNEY: And then after Rachel Shopper
    will be Sam Harben.
10
11
    RACHEL SHOPPER;
                           was duly sworn and
                            testified as follows:
12
                           EXAMINATION
13
    BY MS. DOWNEY:
14
15
          Would you please state your name and address for
          the record?
16
         My name is Rachel Shopper. I live at 5 Green Oak
17
18
         Road in Asheville, North Carolina.
         And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress?
19
20
    A
         Unfortunately I am.
         Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in
21
22
          this case?
23
    Α
         No.
          Please proceed.
24
```

I'm here because I oppose the building of the three natural gas power plants and I wish for the committee to reject Duke Energy's proposal. And while I am grateful that Duke Energy will be phasing out the coal plant, I feel that to propose three natural gas plants in its stead is insidious inasmuch as it posits the excess improvements.

Α

I'm not going to go over all the evidence that has already been shared about the destruction that the fracking industry causes, or about the threats to human survival, or the continual burning of fossil fuels. I think what I really want to say in my time up here is that this shouldn't be about the money and I can't see any other reason why these decisions keep being made in this way. And it's about life, and that I'm a shareholder in life, and that my children are shareholders in life, and that our great grandchildren are shareholders in life, and if we propose to continue living on a liveable planet half step measures are inadequate.

I wish that I could have all of the people making these decisions in such a time

machine and I want to send them to the future and I want them to look into the eyes of all the 2 great, great grandchildren. I want you to 3 picture those eyes and I want to ask do they are condemn you or do they condone your adherence to 5 6 a bottom line that in itself is a fallacy, that so many already pointed out this evening. As a Duke Energy customer, I 8 9 demand accountability for externalized costs of 10 power production. I demand measurable commitments to energy efficiency and solar power. 11 12 Thank you for your time. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Yes, ma'am. 13 (The witness is excused.) 14 15 MS. DOWNEY: Sam Harben and then will be Helen Hyatt. 16 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: 17 18 MS. DOWNEY: Helen Hyatt. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: 19 Next. 20 Maureen, starts with an L. MS. DOWNEY: 21 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: She's not here. MS. DOWNEY: She's not here. 22 23 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Can I speak in her place? MS. DOWNEY: Steven Norris. And after 24

Steven Norris will be Mason McMonegal. 1 2 STEVEN NORRIS; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 3 EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY: 5 Would you please state your name and address for 6 7 the record? 8 My name is Dr. Steven Norris. I live at 372 Sharon Road in Fairview. I am a Duke customer 9 and I have no formal affiliation with any of the 10 parties to this quester. 11 12 Please proceed. Since I've been sworn to tell the truth I would 13 like to focus on the truth here. The truth here 14 is that we are talking about life and death 15 matters. We are talking about, as the woman who 16 just preceded me, the lives and deaths of our 17 18 grandchildren, our great grandchildren. I happen to have three great 19 grandchildren. I happen to lie awake at night 20 worrying about their futures because the science 21 says that if we proceed on the course that we are 22 23 on presently, with the extraction and burning of 24 fossil fuels, that my great grandchildren will

not have a future anywhere near as pleasant as 1 the life that I have been able to live and that 2 3 the people in the room have been able to live and you, the Commissioners, have been able to live on 4 The planet will become 5 this planet. progressively less habitable. That is the truth. 6 7 There is no denying that truth. The scientists 8 around the world, 97 - 98 percent of the scientists, say that. So the truth is, the truth 9 is that we must change the course we are on. 10 truth is that by giving up the coal-fired power 11 plant at Lake Julian, Duke Energy has made a 12 13 significant step in that direction. The truth, however, is that this energy modernization plan, 14 15 which they are proposing presently, is a step backward. It is not a modernization plan. 16 truth is that the wording of that modernization 17 18 plan is a lie. It is not a modernization plan. It is a plan that is anachronistic and dangerous 19 to the world and to the people of the world, to 2.0 my children, my grandchildren and my great 21 grandchildren, and that is unacceptable. 22 Now, there are other truths in 23

this room which I cannot speak to because I don't

24

know and because the rules here do not allow me to ask the questions that we're doing. But I would like to at least put the question on the table, even though I can't get an answer, is what are the truths that you, the Commissioners, that you, the Commissioners, use to make the decisions you have to make? Do you really take into consideration the ethical, moral and all the technical questions that have been raised tonight by people in this audience about this energy modernization plan, quote, unquote?

Now, I read through the mission statement of the Utility Commission. Another truth is that you are bound by law -- I assume this is the mission statement is part of the law -- to reflect the public interest in your decision making. I challenge you to do that. I challenge you to consider my grandchildren, my great grandchildren as part of the public whose interest you must take into consideration.

And honestly, as someone who's studied this very carefully, I do not understand how possibly you could accept a fossil fuel future, a commitment that Duke wants to make, to

another 40 years of burning fossil fuels at Lake 1 Julian, how you could possibly do that and be 2 giving the priority to the public interest of my 3 grandchildren and great grandchildren. So I leave that challenge to you. I thank you for 5 your attention. I thank you for your 6 7 conscientiousness in looking at these things. 8 But you damn well better protect by grandchildren and great grandchildren. Thank you. 9 (The witness is excused.) 10 MS. DOWNEY: Mason McMonegal and then Keith 11 12 Thomson. Mr. McMonegal. was duly sworn and 13 KEITH THOMSON; testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT STATEMENT BY MR. THOMSON: 16 17 My name is Keith Thomson. I live at 61 North Merrimon Avenue, Number 309 in Woodfin. 18 Box is on the list. And I am not affiliated with any 19 20 of the groups, strangely enough. 21 MS. DOWNEY: And you are a Duke Energy 22 Progress customer? 23 MR. THOMSON: I am a Duke Energy Progress 24 customer and was a CP&L customer many, many moons ago.

First, let me say thank you to the

Commissioners for your service to our state. I know

you sacrifice a lot to do the right thing and to be

able to come all the way out here and to be in our

community. And just so you know, we often feel

neglected and sometimes abused by Raleigh and so when

y'all come out here we like it when y'all listen to us

because we don't get too much listened to out here.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I moved to Buncombe County in 1980. I'm a small business owner. For 21 years I've been in IT serving small businesses and professional offices and nonprofit groups. I make my living off of continuous improvement. I work in an industry that did not exist when I moved to Buncombe County. And I -- my father and grandfather taught me to measure twice, cut once. \$1.1 billion requires a least cost energy plan to optimize avoided costs. The North Carolina Public Utilities Commission is the pioneer in the United States in avoided cost applications to energy strategy. That's why we're \$0.03 a kilowatt hour lower in our electric rates than South Carolina Thank you very much for your service. Electric & Gas.

I am the father of two grown children. One is a U.S. Coast Guardsman who served on a Cutter in

2014 on patrol above the Arctic Circle where, in this last decade for the first time since Christopher Columbus was trying to find a water route to China, there is a northwest passage that has been opened for up to five months a year. This is new. This is different. This is real.

My daughter is a tech at Underwriters

Laboratory in RTP testing smart grid equipment in an industry in North Carolina that did not exist very recently. We are a leader in the United States.

Convergence and optimizing systems is how I make a living. So I ask Duke Progress in Western

North Carolina to join us and our community in, what

Duke Energy calls, a coalition of the willing -- I

hope most of you know what that is because you're

probably more informed about it than I am -- to

promote battery energy storage systems such as those

that are emerging from the Alevo giga-factory in

Concord, North Carolina that beats Tesla to the punch
in output of grid scale batteries. These are very

important. They've already shipped some to China.

It's a lot closer to deliver them to Asheville.

(Laughter from audience.)

They will be glad to help to grow the

employment and the partnership with Duke Energy from whom many of their corporate executives have come over. So Alevo is a very good company to help us provide the solution to this. We need to reduce the peak and optimize. We need to promote investment in LED lighting and displays, energy efficient appliances, time of date and usage, and distributed solar electricity with storage.

I heard one of the -- the Vice President for Distributed Resources for Duke Energy say that their goal is to become a grid of microgrids. We would like to be part of that in your coalition of the willing. If you cannot find a partner, a better -- you will not find a better partner in any region in which Duke Energy serves customers than this community. We will embrace you. We will help you. You can help us. We will be like this if you want to cooperate with us. We will also fight you and you won't like it because we are -- we know how to make your life miserable and we will, but we don't want to. We want to be your friend. We want to be on your team but we want you to do the right thing.

So cooperation with -- for advanced energy solutions in cooperation with small and large

```
businesses, homeowners and renters, to create jobs,
 1
    jobs, jobs, clean air and water, and homeland security
 2
    and resiliency in the face of extreme weather which we
 3
    are seeing. If anybody can deny that, then I don't
4
    know what planet you've been living on but I don't --
 5
 6
    I think y'all are smart enough to know what I'm
 7
    talking about.
               So in nearing the conclusion --
 8
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Let's wrap it up,
 9
    Mr. Thomson, please.
10
                                   So go back to the
              MR. THOMSON:
                             Yes.
11
12
    drawing board, deny this permit, measure it twice, cut
    once. Don't waste this opportunity to do the right
13
    thing. It's always the right time to do the right
14
    thing. North Carolina is the clean energy capital of
15
    the world right now. Let's keep it that way.
16
17
    you, sir.
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY:
                                 Thank you.
18
                    (The witness is excused.)
19
               MS. DOWNEY: Brady Preyss and Sabrey Franks
20
    will be after that. Brady, no. Sabrey Franks.
21
22
     SABREY FRANKS;
                            was duly sworn and
                            testified as follows:
23
24
```

EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MS. DOWNEY: 3 Please state your name and address for the record? 4 5 My name is Sabrey Franks. I live at 300 Long 6 Shoals Road, Arden, North Carolina 28704. Do you mind spelling your first name for the 7 Court Reporter? 8 9 S-A-B-, as in boy, R-E-Y. And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress? 10 11 Α I am. 12 Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in 13 this case? No but after tonight I feel like I should be. 14 Α 15 Please proceed with your statement. Okay. So a lot of people have said the things 16 17 that I wanted to cover tonight, so I'll skip down 18 to the ones that I really want to hit on. One, 19 the Mountain Energy Act of 2015, I think it 20 didn't favor us in a way. It shortened the time 21 that the public had to prepare and come out for It shortened the time that the Council 22 this. members had to prepare and study up on it. 23 can't do anything about that now but I encourage 24

everybody to vote in local and state elections; they're just as important.

-4

I think it's sad. I think a lot more people would be out here tonight but we did have a great turn out. And I think a lot of people are in opposition to the current energy proposal as am I. I don't think we need to prolong our dependency on fossil fuels. I think a lot of other energy production places in the U.S. have considered natural gas and I think a lot of people are jumping on natural gas and I think it's going to deplete it a lot faster than we expect and then we're going to have to outsource to other countries for natural gas after that.

I'm 20 years old. I'll be 21 next week. I don't have kids yet but I plan to. I don't want to ever have to look them in the eye and tell them that I knew that we were going to have these problems and I didn't do more to stop it. I am a UNCA Student. I'm in my third year. I am an environmental science management and policy major, economics minor, so I've done my research. I know where it's profitable. I think

it is going to be very profitable for you to 1 invest in solar and wind technologies. 2 I think 3 it's a good idea to try and put solar panels on the buildings that already exist in and around - 4 Asheville. I don't know that we'll meet our 5 energy demand, but I think it's worth a shot, and 6 I think that's a good starting place. think if you came back in here with a proposal to 8 9 do that first you will have a lot less resistance 10 from the community. I think it's a very good 11 idea to start there and then if it doesn't meet 12 our energy demands then definitely bring natural 13 gas back on the table, but I think it's worth a 14 shot to try first. And especially because with 15 the increase in demand for renewable energy 16 sources it gests more efficient, the costs 17 decrease; you see that with everything that we've 18 done in the past and we've come a long way and there's so much further to go. 19 20 I think when we, as mankind, get 21 put in a corner where there's a big problem, I

put in a corner where there's a big problem, I think we lean on technology to solve it for us and I would like to see that done sooner than later; sooner before we see so many disastrous

22

23

24

effects. I think we're already seeing a lot of the global climate change happening and I think it affects a lot of people already at the moment, especially with weather patterns changing.

Agricultural lands are not productive as they once were.

2.2

I'm from Wilmington, North

Carolina, born and raised in the Carolinas. I

came from the beach and I now live in the

mountains and I appreciate these geographical

features that are so pristine in ways. I

appreciate them so immensely that I would invest

my own money to save them. And I work 36 hours a

week as a General Manager at Cold Stone Creamery

and I take 17 credit hours and I sat here for

four hours just to speak so I wouldn't be up here

if I didn't care. I know you can hear it in my

voice that's cracking, I'm very emotional about

this.

Another thing that I wanted to hit on that I don't think other people have mentioned is the risk of having a very centralized power structure. If a well-placed terrorist attack were to ever fall on this particular location,

2.0

250,000 people would be without power for how long? And I know that there are backups and there are things in place but I think it's a huge vulnerability. If we put wind and solar panels out and every building has one, buildings like this in the event of an emergency could have a great portion of the community in it, if ever such condition were to arise. Especially with the storms, with the snow that we just had, with the hurricanes we're expected to have, weather patterns get much more intense and I think there is a lot to be fearful of.

I'm 20 years old. I'm going to be around to see all of this, and my kids will, and I'm scared. It scares me to think of what that will bring on me, my friends, my family. And I know that a lot of people in here won't be here to see it but I know that they care, and I appreciate everybody coming out and voicing that tonight. And I hope that when you guys make your decision you weigh all of this heavily. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Happy Birthday,

Ms. Franks.

1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	(The witness is excused.)
3	MS. DOWNEY: Garnet Fisher. Phillip Brown.
•4	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Garnet is not here.
5	MS. DOWNEY: Phillip Brown.
6	CHAIRMAN FINLEY: He's coming.
7	MS. DOWNEY: After that is Kelly Gloger.
8	PHILLIP ABERT BROWN; was duly sworn and
9	testified as follows:
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. DOWNEY:
12	Q Please state your name and address for the
13	record.
14	A Phillip Abert Brown, and it's 26 Independence
15	Lane in Mills River, North Carolina.
16	Q And you're a customer of Duke Energy Progress?
17	A Every time my kids leave the lights on, I pay a
18	little bit more, so yes.
19	Q Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in
20	this case?
21	A No, ma'am.
22	Q Please proceed with your statement.
23	A Well, I do have to say that I'm really
24	appreciative of y'all staying out here as long as

y'all have tonight, doing this for us.

Ultimately, you all are public servants and that takes an awful lot of work whether elected or unelected and sometimes it makes you burn the late hours. Thank y'all for doing that.

And I also have to thank you all from Duke to a point; not to a point, that sounds shortsighted so I apologize. But had it not been for a letter that our family got in the mail back in June, I probably would not be sitting here today having this conversation with you all.

You know, I grew up -- and it's still in my mind the CP&L plant, more or less in the shadow. My granddad had a little place here on Miami Circle. And Long Shoals has those big -- used to have big, tall pine trees on one side of it. We never really saw the power plant, you knew it was there, and I remember my first memory of knowing that that power plant was there and what it did. My granddad and I -- my granddad was Chief of the fire department; my nana kind of made him retire, there was a kind of there -- and so he would take me fishing. And then one day all the small mouth were gone and

when you put your foot in the water it was warm, and it wasn't wonderful. And then they tried to put tilapia in it and then down on the TC Roberson side of it all of the tilapia died off because the water was too warm for them. God awful stench. You couldn't go down there to the water for months, a full summer. And that's how I knew that there was kind of something going on but for so many of us, especially those of us who were born and raised here, it's not something we thought about. We didn't think, well, you know environmentalism is a thing. Let's be quite honest, it wasn't what you did, it wasn't what you thought about. But the older I got I kind of came back to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

My wife and I -- I went to
Appalachian, went to a grad school and came home.

It wasn't until I spent about a decade out of the
area living and working around D.C. that I really
got to appreciate what we have.

In Western North Carolina, we have got something that is unique and is important and obviously it's pretty desirable because we have folks from all over the world, not just all over

the country, that move here, and they move here for our mountains. And as I was sitting back in the back for -- God, has it been four hours? -- and I was trying to think of what I was going to say when I got up here, and I kept looking at my boots, and with all the snow I've got a lot of dirt on them. That dirt came from a place that I spent 10 years to get back to. I spent 10 years to get back home to that place where my family has got over seven generations. And that little farm of ours -- my youngest, my boy, was born this July -- I wanted to keep.

And so this summer I wanted nothing to do with politics. I got right in the middle of it and I will let y'all know that we did not want a power line coming through our place, not mine, not my cousins, and not anybody else's, and y'all listened and we appreciate that. But in the greater sense, that was a small part of what we all have to do.

When I came home I realized that lots of things in this area have changed irrevocably -- our mountains have changed, hilltops were different -- change is going to

come and progress is going to come but it is not something that we must rush into. This republic was founded on the idea that while we adapt to change we do it slowly and we do it purposely and we do it via the law. And that law is the holy thing to all of us and that is why we are here this evening. And so, within that, all of these folks have made valid points. I see another option that we all have and you all have at your disposal, the Utilities Commission. Lots of these folks in the audience have questions about demand, about need, all of these things, and Duke is trying to answer them but things are going to be in partisan, and that's to be expected.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

jun 4

I would propose that you all, as the Utilities Commission, consider a third party to examine what Western North Carolina may need for power; what are the needs; answer a constituency, they have those questions. If you all put your minds to that and let that third party have an independent rank over what they would study and present their findings to the populace, that could better inform whatever changes need to be made to the plant. Do that,

then approve only if it is a necessity. Do not 1 do things because there maybe ifs, ands, or buts, 2 but rather because they are needed, necessary and 3 proper. Thank you for your time. 4 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Brown. 5 (The witness is excused.) 6 MS. DOWNEY: Kelly Gloger and Ron Taylor. 7 And then after that, Mr. Chairman, that 8 would conclude all of the Duke Energy Progress 9 10 customers. I think we have eight other witnesses 11 then. 12 MR. GLOGER: Okay. My name is Kelly --CHAIRMAN FINLEY: I'll need to swear you in. 13 14 KELLY GLOGER; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT STATEMENT 16 17 BY MR. GLOGER: My name is Kelly Gloger. I'm a Duke Energy 18 Progress customer at 106 West Street here in Asheville 19 and I'm not affiliated with any of the parties in this 20 21 matter. I am in the solar business and have been for 22 23 10 years. I have solar on my home. And certainly a proponent of some of the things that really should be 24

driving the decisions of Duke and the community and the Commission here are the economics of what's been talked about here. I brought a few figures for the public to listen to tonight which are sort of industry standards about the different types of energy production systems and what they cost.

Now there's a type of -- there's an engineering analysis called levelized cost of energy. It's a way to compare apples-to-apples even though the apples are different types of energy systems. And just to put a few things in perspective for you, solar today ranges, depending on where you are in the country, and this is stated in dollars per megawatt-hour. All of these numbers are similar that way. Solar PV is \$86 to \$177 per megawatt-hour. Wind is even cheaper yet at \$55 to \$81 per megawatt-hour. A gas peaker plant is \$179 to \$230 per megawatt-hour. Utility scale storage today is about \$265 to \$325 per megawatt-hour, roughly equivalent to what a gas peaker plant is costing here for installation.

So the question is, you know, Duke has come forward with a plan, heavy on the gas peaker meter by my estimation in terms of solar. Just to give you a perspective on their solar commitment here, they're

Well, 15 megawatts will drive about half a dozen supermarkets and 8 megawatts of storage, which is worth about \$800,000 to about \$1.2 million depending on how much battery technology you're looking at, that would drive a supermarket maybe through the night. So the kindest thing I can say is that's a meager PR sort of presentation for the community. We certainly could see, I think, a much, much higher percentage of renewable energy and storage in their proposal. So I would certainly recommend that the Commission not approve this plant, have them go back to the table and come back.

Now, I would suggest to Duke, who is by the way a monopoly, that they consider opening up the books. Let's see your model for assessing what you think the need is going to be. What's the secret here? You're a monopoly, you don't have a competitor. It's not like you're going to let some competitor know what you're thinking. Why not let the community really know what these folks over here know, all the details, because truthfully they're trying to help you from making a bad mistake which could affect your shareholders and also it could certainly affect the

economies of all of the communities that you serve, okay.

2.3

We're heading down a very serious road, as you've heard tonight, in terms of the consequences of the actions that we take here. So I'm going to suggest that if you want to have the support of community, and the support of the Commission, and the support of these folks over here, you need to be a little more open with everybody and you need to get on the table, spread out all of the data, let's all have a look at it -- I think the suggestion the fellow made just before me to have a third-party expert look at this -- so we get a complete overview of who's telling -- whose information is accurate and whose isn't. So I'm suggesting to you here, the Commission, that you not approve this particular Application.

Now some of the things that I failed to say here a minute when I was talking about utility scale storage, which by the way you should know is moving very rapidly in terms of the technology and the cost. Right now, just to give you some numbers out there in the marketplace, Tesla's battery at the utility scale is about \$250 per kilowatt-hour of storage. Its competitors are anywhere from that up to \$300 to \$400

per kilowatt storage. But there are competitors in the market who are coming out this year with containerized 40-foot containers of batteries with a zinc battery -- this is the Eos battery company -they are coming out at \$160 of kilowatt-hour storage. That's ground breaking. And if your engineers aren't aware of that they should be because the technology, as far as the industry is concerned, is going to be seeing the same kinds of reductions that I, in the industry for the last 10 years, have seen in the solar area. My cost from suppliers has been reducing by up to 70 to 80 percent from eight or nine years ago. That same cost curve is expected from industry experts in the battery area. So, if you invest in this gas peaker that you're proposing here, your commitment -and these things don't pay off quickly because it's a 30-year investment -- if you back up on that and say okay look, let's really invest heavily in storage Storage systems, these battery technologies, most of them are good for 10 to 15 years right now, but in that 10 to 15 year period of time the economics will change drastically -- you can change or not, you don't have to commit to Tesla's battery or somebody else's battery for 30 years in terms of their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
efficiency and their cost. In five to 10 years, you
 1
    could commit to something that would be half as
 2
    expensive, probably smaller compact and energy density
 3
    greater. So you really need to look at that and also
    you need to --
 5
 6
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY:
                                 Let's wrap it up.
 7
               MR. GLOGER: Yes, sir. One last thing.
                                                         The
    thing you need to value as well is batteries have,
 8
    beyond their cost per megawatt-hour storage, they have
 9
    other benefits which have value: Frequency
10
    regulation, smoothing, voltage support, peak shaving,
11
    peak shifting, reduction of line loss, distributed
12
    generation allowed not in one centralized plant.
13
14
    leave it at that.
                        Thank you very much.
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, sir.
15
                    (The witness is excused.)
16
17
               MS. DOWNEY: Ron Taylor.
                                 Is he a Progress customer?
18
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY:
19
               MS. DOWNEY: Yes.
20
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: He's the last Progress
21
    customer?
2.2
               MS. DOWNEY: He's the last one I have on my
    list.
23
                                 All right.
24
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY:
```

1 RONALD TAYLOR; was duly sworn and 2 testified as follows: 3 EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY: 4 5 Would you please state your name and address for the record? 6 7 My name is Ronald Taylor. I live in 8 Hendersonville at 638 Spartanburg Highway, Suite 9 70 is my address, mailing address. 10 And you're a Duke Energy Progress customer? 11 Α I am. 12 Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in 13 this case? 14 A Not directly. I'm an enthusiastic supporter of 15 MountainTrue, however. 16 Please proceed with your statement. Q 17 The last comments I thought were exceptional. 18 All of the comments tonight I think were very 19 exceptional and I hope they were well taken by 20 all of the members here. I will stick to my 21 written comments because otherwise it would 22 probably take even longer and I know you all want 23 to go home. 24 So I want to correct the record

though -- I'm a professional geologist -- oil and gas are not fossil fuels contrary to public opinion, okay. They are carbon-based fuels but they are created by a chemical process at the crust mantle boundary by a process that is named after two chemists called Fischer-Tropsch Process. We're not going to run out of oil. We have no peak oil. We're going to run out of the oxygen to burn it with before we run out of oil, okay. That's my -- the best of my understanding. But let me go into this a little bit.

2.2

Thank you for the members of the Public Utility Commission here to come and listen to us tonight and also the folks from Duke Energy.

My name again is Ronald Taylor.

I'm a retired geological consultant and utility employee of 20 years plus. I have considerable experience working in the nuclear power industry in New York State with Niagara Mohawk Power

Corporation, now known as National Grid, as a quality assurance specialist. That's pretty much what I was doing a lot of the time in geology. I

was trained as a rational process consultant by Kepner Kregoe in the Application of all rational process to all phases and decision making in the utility industry. The purpose of this was to help ensure transparency and expose hidden agendas in the decision making process. the training was required by the New York State Public Service Commission. This was their method to make sure that Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation was spending the ratepayers, or the customers, money prudently by properly assessing all of their business decisions and making good decisions and analyzing problems properly. people here have presented suggestions of the third party, okay, well that's similar to it. So before I go into some of my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So before I go into some of my other comments I think it should be made clear why a Public Service Commission or the Public Utility Commission is here at all. I believe that the duty of the Public Service Commission is to make sure that Duke Energy is, as a regulated public monopoly, that they are making proper decisions and spending the money of ratepayers prudently, and not wasting it. It's my belief

that society and technology has actually advanced to the point now that access to energy should no longer be considered as a monopoly business or allowed to be a monopoly such that it needs to be regulated by a Commission. I will explain further.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

First of all, I've always been what I refer to as a paradigm pioneer and I'm looking forward instead of backwards as to how to solve our problems. My first recommendation to you tonight would be that Duke Energy implement some form of rational process analysis in all of their planning, their assessment, their decision making, and their problem analysis throughout the company so that they document those decisions in the same manner in which Niagara Mohawk was required to do so years ago by their New York Public Service Commission. There are numerous systems of rational prices available today. system that we used was provided by Kepner Tregoe, a consulting firm out of Princeton, New They're still in business today and they offer an exceptionally good program.

As a paradigm pioneer, I would

like to propose a concept that the proposal to build a natural gas-fired plant is obsolete technology, and that the money would be much better spent to develop and build a 21st century electric power system for the residents of North Carolina. And by this -- by gas technology, I refer to anything that would be what I call a steam-driven turbine generator system. I mean, my God, we've got Sam's Club selling 3D printers today, okay. We've moved way beyond this technology that is almost a century old now.

2.3

I would like to add that my belief is that the electrical grid system is also obsolete technology. My view, by looking over the horizon and understanding what is really already available to us, I believe that we should be building power systems to provide power on demand at the point of use. Having large power producing plants and then distributing that power with a very vulnerable grid is, in my opinion, not a very good choice today. My reasoning behind this belief is that, first, we are on the verge of major earth changes which will include earthquakes and other disasters and catastrophes

21

22

23

24

which will take down our power grid in and of themselves. I take a much broader look at climate change than many people who have testified here today. Secondly, I recognize that our power grid today is extremely vulnerable not only to human terrorism and false flag events, but also the ravages of an electromagnetic pulse, or an EMP, from the sun. Anyone who knows the history of our planet getting hit by coronal mass ejections, also called CMEs, will have heard of the 1859 Carrington event which basically put so much power into the telegraph wires at that time that it actually caught on fire the paper upon which the Morse Code operators were writing down the messages. We almost got hit by a CME of such magnitude this last summer that it could have taken down our electrical grid entirely. believe that it's only a matter of time before our electrical grid is severely damaged by an electromagnetic pulse from the sun. Therefore, I think we should be much safer as a society to have the power produced at the point of use through very small units which are owned by the people individually or by local organizations or

neighborhoods, and not produced by a massive 1 generating system which requires distribution of 2 the power over a very fragile grid. 3 Next -- -4 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: You've used up your time, 5 6 Mr. Taylor. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm going to pursue 7 this. And now speaking to the question of whether 8 9 such power generation approach --CHAIRMAN FINLEY: How much more do you have 10 there? 11 THE WITNESS: I've got another page. 12 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Please submit that -- no, 13 I'm going to ask you to submit that to the Clerk and 14 15 we'll put it in the record. THE WITNESS: I have. I've already 16 submitted it to Diane (sic). You're going to miss the 17 best part but if that's what you want, okay. 18 (Laughter from audience.) 19 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: We've got some other 20 21 people that want to testify. THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, sir. 23 24

Taylor Exhibit 1
(Identified)
(The witness is excused.)
CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Ms. Moore, I believe,
comes next.
MS. DOWNEY: Yes, sir. I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Ms. Moore, I believe,
comes next.
MS. DOWNEY: Ms. Moore?
CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Yes.
MS. MOORE: Shall I re-swear?
CHAIRMAN FINLEY: No, you've been sworn
already.
MS. MOORE: And my address, you have that?
CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Just go ahead.
PAT MOORE; having been previously sworn
returns to the stand and
testified as follows:
DIRECT STATEMENT
BY MS. MOORE:
I will be brief. Good evening. Remember
those titans of the American landscape, the railroads?
Remember Ma Bell with landlines and telephone books?
Duke is using its monopoly powers to drive itself down

```
that same road to irrelevance. Commissioners, do your
 2
    duty. We are counting on you to require Duke to move
    toward renewable energy for all. Thank you.
 3
           CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Ms. Moore.
                   (The witness is excused.)
 5
              CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Who's next?
 6
 7
              MS. DOWNEY: Richard Fireman. After that
    will be Beth Henry.
 8
 9
              CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Note the name there, come
10
    on up, Mr. Fireman.
11
                  (Laughter in the courtroom.)
12
              MR. FIREMAN: My leg fell asleep.
13
    RICHARD FIREMAN;
                           was duly affirmed and
                            testified as follows:
14
15
                          EXAMINATION
16
    BY MS. DOWNEY:
         Please state your name and address for the
17
18
         record?
         Richard Fireman, 374 Laughing River Road, Mars
19
20
         Hill, North Carolina 28754.
21
         Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in
         this case?
22
23
         I'm a member of all parties but I'm speaking on
         my own behalf.
24
```

Q Okay. Go ahead.

A And for the Alliance for Energy Democracy.

Q Okay.

2.0

speak to them directly. We understand, as other people have said tonight and quoted the North Carolina Constitution and the General Statutes, that your decisions are -- we suffer the consequences of your decisions. And the government was founded on the will of the people so energy democracy is something that really means power by the people and for the people. And we hope that you pay attention to the North Carolina Constitution that says that your actions are instituted for the good of the whole.

In your General Statutes,
paragraph 6, you're to provide fair regulation of
the public utilities in the interest of the
public. In paragraph 13, to protect our public
health, public safety, and promotion of the
general welfare. What this means in the
practical sense is that you're responsible -responsibility has both moral and practical
implications.

1 2

- **1**

I'm a retired medical doctor. As
Chairman Finley knows, I've spoken many times
here. I practiced emergency medicine for about
30 years. And as a physician my first duty was
to the patient, the patient's family, that's it;
a tremendous responsibility. And that
responsibility is yours now for our welfare, the
welfare of our children and grandchildren as many
of the people have testified here in the public.

The Application of Duke Energy to build a natural gas facility is, both on the face of it and in substance, not in the interest of the public, its general welfare or its health, and the Application should be denied as written.

The climate scientists has told us that it's very likely that we're going to see the worst consequences of climate change if we don't do something different. And burning fossil fuels is like natural gas, it's not doing something different.

So what does "very likely" mean?

"Very likely" is a scientific term that means a

probability of 95 percent or greater. So in

practical terms, if we build a natural gas-fired

power plant or if we submit to this Application as written, it's 95 percent certain that we're going to suffer the worst consequences of climate As a practical matter in North Carolina, it's predicted that the average summer temperature will be 92 degrees. We know the other consequences that people have spoken about. What would that mean if you or your family were to get in a car, or get on a train -- this is a Dr. Seuss analogy -- a plane or a boat? 95 percent probability would mean that you would not arrive safely. There's a 1 in 20 chance, 1 in 20 chance that this is going to happen, so you have the responsibility for all of us. It's risky business to accept this bad bet. Application as written.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There are other alternatives.

Many people have spoken of those alternatives.

I've known Robert Sipes for over eight years now and I trust that he and his company and this community have the expertise to do something different. So by rejecting this Application as written we're not saying we shouldn't do something, we're saying there are many other

opportunities available.

Mark Jacobson is a Professor at the Atmosphere Energy Program in the Department of Civil and Electric Engineering at Stanford University and he recently made a report about transferring to 100 percent non-fossil fuel energy in the electric sector by 2050. It's possible. I'm going to submit this as evidence.

One of the things that we have in North Carolina is hydropower and Duke Energy has already been offered 380 megawatts of hydropower from the western region in Eastern Tennessee. So when we go back to the drawing table we should be putting that in the mix. I see your stop sign and I thank you. It's late. And please, please do what's right for the people of North Carolina.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Mr. Fireman, we'll make that an exhibit. It will be Fireman Exhibit Number 1.

Fireman Exhibit 1

(Identified)

(The witness is excused.)

MS. DOWNEY: Beth Henry then Maren Poitras.

BETH HENRY; was duly sworn and

testified as follows:

2.0

EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. DOWNEY:

-4

- Q Would you please state your name and address for the record?
- A Beth Henry, 3066 Stoneybrook Road, Charlotte,
 North Carolina 28205.
- Q Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in this case?
- A I'm a member of NC WARN but I'm speaking on my own behalf.
- Q Please proceed.
 - I've read most of the docket but key information is blacked out. I don't understand why a public utility does not have to justify to the public the need to spend over a billion dollars that the public will end up having to pay. I guess Mr. Rouse, who's left, figured out how to get some of that blacked out information which he then thought -- suggested that Duke had not really substantiated the need for these plants.

 But I really don't understand how a public hearing can be meaningful when so much information is kept secret from the public. I quess we have to trust the Commission to review

the secret materials and act in the public interest. But when so much is secret and you have such a tight deadline with no evidentiary hearing, how can you possibly act in the public interest.

In years of observing the Commission, I've sometimes disagreed with decisions but I thought you really considered different interests and tried to be fair.

Admittedly at times it seemed like Kabuki Theatre with Duke asking for twice what it needed - two power plants, a 50 percent rate increase - and then after evidentiary hearings getting maybe half of what they asked for or maybe what it really expected in the first place. But at least the process seemed like all sides were considered.

In law school, we learned why the adversarial process makes finding the truth likelier. Hearing from all sides and cross examining witnesses lead to better decision making, but now you say the Legislature's 45-day deadline rules out an adversarial evidentiary hearing. Now there's not even the pretense of

1 Kabuki The
2 which is D
3 North Caro
Legislatur

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Kabuki Theatre to distract from the real show which is Duke, the number one thunder of the North Carolina Legislature, goes straight to the Legislature for what it wants eviscerating the role of the Utilities Commission. If they get away with that this time, why don't they just do that every time.

Others have said why we shouldn't build gas plants -- methane is worse than carbon dioxide, leakage is worse than previously thought; the cost of gas that Jim Rogers called the crack cocaine of fossil fuels is volatile and will surely rise -- and many say Duke doesn't really need the plants and certainly there are safer alternatives. Expert witnesses stand ready to testify about these issues. Intervenors are prepared to cross examine Duke about the alleged demand projections and other options to meet the needs, but these witnesses will not be heard and Duke's witnesses will not be cross examined. Legislature with Duke, its biggest financial sponsor, has made a mockery of due process and of the role of the Commission.

I reckon I'm one of the folks

1 2

4 5

A

mentioned in the order denying an evidentiary
hearing as having a special agenda. My agenda is
to do everything I can to stop the destruction of
our only world --

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Right.

-- by Duke and others bent on continuing a profit from climate-wrecking fossil fuels. Duke's agenda is making money and they would say providing cheap electricity. But all who believe in science understand that cheap fossil fuel electricity is actually very expensive. It's costing us a livable planet where we leave our children a world full of droughts, floods, tropical diseases, food shortages, climate refugees, and the terribly expensive financial burdens those problems will bring so we can have cheap electricity.

This decision is far too important for the Commission to approve Duke's plans with no cross examination or live expert testimony. Out of respect for the public, for yourselves, for the role of this Commission, if you cannot hold a meaningful evidentiary hearing please deny Duke's Application and send them back to the

```
drawing board.
 1
 2
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY:
                                  Thank you, Ms. Henry.
             (Applause and comments from audience.)
 3
 4
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY:
                                 Ms. Henry, there's a
 5
     question of you, please.
 6
               THE WITNESS: Sure.
 7
                        CROSS EXAMINATION
     BY MR. SOMERS:
 8
 9
          Good evening, Ms. Henry.
10
         Hey.
          You've certainly testified many times before this
11
12
          Commission, haven't you?
13
          Yes.
14
          And you understand from your involvement in many
15
          of these past proceedings that, if the Commission
16
          approves Duke building any of these plants, that
17
          ultimately Duke's customers will pay those costs,
18
          correct?
19
          I do.
    A
2.0
          And so you would agree that Duke should work as
21
          hard as it can to make sure those costs that its
          customers would pay are as low as possible,
22
          wouldn't you?
23
          Yes, but not if it means keeping everything
24
     A
```

```
secret and I don't believe that's really
 2
         necessary.
               MR. SOMERS: Thank you.
 3
                                 Thank you, Ms. Henry.
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY:
                    (The witness is excused.)
 5
               MS. DOWNEY: Maren Poitras and Graydon
 6
    Nance.
 7
               MR. NANCE:
                           I'm Graydon.
 8
               MS. DOWNEY: Oh, go ahead.
 9
                            was duly sworn and
10
    GRAYDON NANCE;
                            testified as follows:
11
12
                           EXAMINATION
    BY MS. DOWNEY:
13
          Would you state your name and address for the
14
15
          record?
          Graydon Nance, 187 Mountain Maple Drive,
16
     Α
          Zirconia, North Carolina 28790.
17
18
          Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in
19
          this case?
          I am a member of Sierra Club and MountainTrue,
20
          but I'm speaking on my own behalf.
21
          Please proceed.
22
     0
                 I thank the Commission for giving me the
23
          Okay.
                                  It's late and I'm glad
          opportunity to speak.
24
```

you're staying this late. I've worked as a

Manager of Detroit Edison's Strategic Planning
and Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency

Departments where I learned much about the

Integrated Resource Planning, energy efficiency

programs, demand-side management programs,
customer load profiles, and solar and wind
energy. Detroit Edison is the 11th largest
electric utility in the United States.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

The \$1.1 billion modernization project has the potential to generate over \$1 billion of Duke's pre-tax profits that will be funded by customer payments. The size and configuration of this investment is very dependent on the demand forecast and the NERC reliability assessments. As it is in the financial interest of Duke for a high-capital, high-profit investment solution, the public needs full transparency from Duke on the development of its forecast, reliability assessments and the strategic planning process to determine if there is a smarter way forward than Duke's proposed high-profit and high-capital investment alternative.

24

The Commission should require Duke to release to the public its detailed analysis of Duke Energy Progress' and -- it's also for Duke Energy Progress West's peak and total demand forecast including the historical hourly demand data by customer segment -- that's very important for looking at the demand profile and reducing peak demands -- and also the data related to the NERC reliability assessments including disclosure of winter and summer capacities related to each power import and export route to and from DEP This full disclosure is necessary to fully understand the current and future situation. example, how many hours are the peak demand? minute, 10 minutes, 20 minutes, 30 minutes. customer segments contributed the most to the I request that the Commission hire independent experts to review these data and report to the public with its independent view on the future needs for additional power generation in DEP West including recommendations for specific goals for reducing peak and total demand and actions that Duke or the Commission can take to achieve these goals.

I appreciate that Duke has already 2 implemented certain programs but these programs may not be adequate to impact the need for the 3 additional combustion turbine. With the results 4 5 of the independent review, the Commission should require Duke to implement programs that will 6 7 significantly impact the need for the additional 8 combustion turbine. These programs should have specific targets with rewards and penalties for 9 Duke to reduce demand and peak demand. 10 11 Commission should disapprove the request for the Why approve a major capital combustion turbine. 12 13 expenditure before it's necessary? Duke should submit the Application for additional capacity 14 15 when approval is actually needed. 16 efficiency, demand-side management, time of use 17 pricing programs, the result of the review of 18 Duke's demand forecast, and NERC's reliability analysis or other factors may significantly 19 20 impact the need for the requested combustion 21 I thank you for the opportunity to turbine. 22 speak. 2.3

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, sir.

(The witness is excused.)

24

MS. DOWNEY: Jim Senter. Avram Friedman. 1 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Jim Senter is not here. 2 MS. DOWNEY: He's not here. After 3 Mr. Friedman will be Eliza Lowbach. 4 5 AVRAM FRIEDMAN; was duly sworn and testified as follows: 6 7 EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY: 8 Would you please state your name and address for 9 10 the record? It's Avram Friedman. I live at 1346 Dillsboro 11 12 Road in Sylva, North Carolina, although I wrote the Post Office Box on that page there. 13 14 Are you affiliated with or a member of a party in 15 this case? 16 I'm not a member of a party. I represent the 17 Canary Coalition. 18 Please proceed. Good evening, Commissioners. The real question 19 20 confronting the Utilities Commission this evening is what is the best way to ensure that future 21 energy demand is met in a manner that's most 22 23 economical and the way that best serves the public interest in Western North Carolina. 24

24

Duke Energy's proposal to build two or more centralized natural gas-fired units in Western North Carolina is shortsighted. rational is that natural gas burns more cleanly than coal and is currently far less expensive. But this fails to take into account the entire fuel cycle that's not favorable to natural gas due to its impact on the environment. gas is relatively inexpensive right now because the regulatory process nationwide hasn't caught up to the environmental damage caused by fracking and storage, such as thousands of small earthquakes in Oklahoma and Ohio, severe water contaminations, severe air pollution, including the devastating increase in greenhouse gas production from the leakage of raw methane gas in the extraction process. As we speak, at the Porter Ranch storage facility in California there's an estimated 100,000 pounds of methane pouring into the atmosphere every hour and I want to just expound on that a little bit. leak at the Porter Ranch storage facility was ignored by the regulatory agency in California for weeks and the government didn't act on it for months. And the only reason it came to light was because of the number of hospital visits that were taking place in a very highly populated area, where children were coming in with nose bleeds and nausea, and we have to all wonder how many other gas leaks; there are thousands of these facilities throughout the country that are leaking and aren't being reported because they're not in as highly populated areas and they're not being detected.

1.5

Equally important as an issue with Duke Energy's proposed new power plants is the investment they represent at this point and time. A new infrastructure meant to perpetuate a highly centralized system of energy production that ignores the climate crisis and the transition that's needed toward energy efficiency and renewable energy technologies. This needs to be the moment when we recognize an opportunity for a major transitional decision. We need to now realize and understand that Duke Energy's business models and North Carolina's public utility system of regulated monopoly is antiquated, obsolete, and obstacle to addressing

climate change on a scale necessary to preserve 1 2 the world for future generations. We need to move quickly to adopt the policies that will ease 3 away from decentralizing the utility industry 5 while incentivizing investment and energy efficiency and rooftop solar energy systems. 6 7 need to end Duke Energy's monopoly on selling energy in North Carolina, and open up the market 8 to third-party sales of solar-produced power, and 9 10 to implement the programs outlined in the proposed Efficient and Affordable Energy Rates 11 Bill which is Senate Bill 483 and House Bill 377. 12 And that would be the decision of the Utilities 13 Commission if it were to fulfill its mandate of 14 15 providing for future energy demand in the most 16 cost-effective and beneficial way for the public 17 interest. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Friedman. 18 (The witness is excused.) 19 MS. DOWNEY: Eliza Lowbach. 2.0 21 Is Ms. Lowbach here? CHAIRMAN FINLEY: 22 MS. DOWNEY: That's the last name I have, Mr. Chairman. 23 (Applause and comments from audience.) 24

```
CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, ladies and
 1
    gentlemen for coming out tonight. I thank you all.
 2
     (Twinkle fingers.)
 3
      (Applause, laughter and comments from audience.)
 4
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: We will be adjourned.
 5
      (WHEREUPON, the proceedings adjourned at 11:55 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE

I, KIM T. MITCHELL, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that India report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Court Reporter II

 a_1 , A

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION