#### KRJ, INC. D/B/A KRJ UTILITIES DOCKET NO. W-1075, SUB 12

#### TESTIMONY OF GINA Y. CASSELBERRY ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC STAFF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

#### June 7, 2018

# 1Q.PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR NAME, BUSINESS2ADDRESS, AND PRESENT POSITION.

A. My name is Gina Y. Casselberry. My business address is 430 North
Salisbury Street, Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina. I am a
Utilities Engineer with the Public Staff's Water, Sewer and
Communications Division.

Q. BRIEFLY STATE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE
RELATING TO YOUR PRESENT POSITION WITH THE PUBLIC
STAFF.

I graduated from Michigan Technology University receiving a Bachelor 10 Α. of Science Degree in Civil Engineering. Prior to joining the Public Staff, 11 I worked for McKim and Creed Engineers, PA, as a Project Engineer 12 designing water and sewer systems. I have been with the Public Staff's 13 Division since February, 1992. have presented 14 Water recommendations in rate increase proceedings, new franchise and 15 transfer applications and other matters before the Commission for the 16 17 past twenty-six years.

#### 1 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR DUTIES IN YOUR PRESENT POSITION?

A. My duties with the Public Staff are to monitor the operations of
regulated water and sewer utilities with regard to service and rates.
Included in these duties are field investigations to review, evaluate, and
recommend changes, when needed, in the design, construction, and
operations of regulated water and sewer utilities; presentation of expert
testimony in formal hearings; and presentation of information, data,
and recommendations to the Commission.

## 9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SCOPE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION IN 10 THIS CASE.

11 Α. On January 10, 2018, KRJ, Inc. d/b/a KRJ Utilities (Company or KRJ) 12 filed an application with the Commission to increase its rates for 13 providing water utility service in Southern Trace Subdivision and water 14 and sewer utility service in Rockbridge Subdivision in Wake County, 15 North Carolina. My investigation included review of customer 16 complaints, contact with the Division of Water Resources (DWR), 17 Public Water Supply Section (PWSS) and Water Quality (WQ), review 18 of company records, analysis of revenues at existing and proposed 19 rates and site inspection of the three KRJ utility systems. I have also 20 assisted Public Staff Accountant Iris Morgan in reviewing expenses 21 and plant in service.

#### 1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE KRJ'S SERVICE AREAS.

A. Southern Trace Subdivision is located on NC 50, just north of the
Wake County and Johnston County line. The water system consists
of three wells, a 5,400-gallon and a 5,000-gallon hydropnuematic
tank with an air compressor, 6-inch and 4-inch distribution lines, and
other related appurtenances.

Rockbridge Subdivision is located in eastern Wake County, south of
Knightdale and US64/US264, between Poole Road and
Grasshopper Road.

10 The water system consists of three wells, a treatment building with a 11 chlorination system, a caustic soda and lime slurry chemical feed 12 system, a 150,000-gallon elevated storage tank, water mains, 13 valves, and other related appurtenances. Due to issues arising from 14 elevated concentrations of uranium in wells nos. 1 and 2, a uranium 15 removal system was installed in 2016, which includes four 42-inch 16 by 72-inch composite fiberglass reinforced plastic vessels filled with 17 anion exchange resin, a brine resin regeneration system, a resin 18 backwash system, and other related appurtenances. The liquid 19 waste generated from the regeneration system and backwash 20 system is discharged and treated in the Rockbridge wastewater 21 treatment plant (WWTP). Loaded and spent resin is hauled off site 22 by Chase Environmental of Oak Ridge, a Tennessee licensed 23 conveyor and disposal contractor for radioactive materials.

1 The WWTP consists of an influent station; a 116,000 gallon per day 2 (gpd) reclaimed water generation system, consisting of a 49,400-3 gallon aerated flow equalization basin, a mechanically cleaned bar 4 screen, two 92,700-gallon aeration basins, two 25,890-gallon 5 clarifiers, 54,000-gallon and 20,000-gallon sludge holding basins, a 6 chlorine contact basin, a dechlorination basin, dual ultraviolet 7 disinfection system, pumps, aerators, blowers, mains, a generator, 8 and other appurtenances. The reclaimed water is conveyed to a 12.8 9 million gallon synthetic lined storage pond with a 685,000-gallon 10 synthetic lined upset pond. The treated effluent is then sprayed on 11 39.84 acres of spray irrigation area.

## 12 Q. WHAT ARE KRJ'S PRESENT AND PROPOSED RATES?

A. KRJ's present and proposed rates for water and sewer utility service
are shown below:

#### 15 Southern Trace Subdivision

16		Present	Proposed
17		<u>Rates</u>	<u>Rates</u>
18	Monthly Metered Water Rates:		
19	Base charge, zero usage (minimum)	\$19.12	\$ 34.82
20	Usage charge, per 1,000 gallons	\$ 2.66	\$ 4.84

The proposed rates would increase the average residential monthly water bill from \$32.81 to \$59.72, an 80.02% increase, based on a monthly usage of 5,145 gallons.

### 1 Rockbridge Subdivision

2		Present	Proposed
3		<u>Rates</u>	<u>Rates</u>
4	Monthly Metered Water Rates:		
5	Base charge, zero usage	\$14.40	\$ 34.55
6	Usage charge, per 1,000 gallons	\$ 1.49	\$ 3.57
7	Monthly Flat Sewer Rates:		
8	(Per REU)	\$68.33	\$105.37

9 The proposed rates would increase the average residential monthly 10 water bill from \$22.07 to \$52.92, a 139.78% increase, based on a 11 monthly usage of 5,145 gallons, and the monthly flat sewer rate from 12 \$68.33 to \$105.37, a 54.21% increase.

# Q. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC STAFF'S POSITION REGARDING KRJ'S TEST YEAR?

15 Α. It is the Public Staff's position that the test year ending June 30, 16 2016, which was filed by the Company, is out dated and does not 17 reflect current operations and customer growth in Rockbridge 18 Subdivision. Therefore, the Public Staff updated the end of the test 19 year to the 12-month period ending March 31, 2018. Revenues 20 calculated at present and proposed rates reflect actual customers at 21 the end of the test-year period, March 31, 2018, and plant serving 22 them.

# Q. HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE OPERATIONAL STATUS OF THE WATER SYSTEM WITH PWSS AND WQ?

1 Α. Yes. I contacted the Raleigh Regional Office. Regional office 2 personnel expressed no concerns with water quality or the operation 3 of the water systems or sewer system. On May 15, 2018, I inspected 4 the three systems with Mr. Rod Butler and other members of the 5 Public Staff. The water systems in Southern Trace and Rockbridge 6 were in good condition and adequately maintained. The new 7 uranium removal system in Rockbridge was installed and 8 operational. All of the chemical feed pumps used for treatment were 9 operating and the containers were approximately 85 percent full. 10 The WWTP in Rockbridge was in good condition. I did not notice 11 anything unusually about the operation of the plant nor did I detect 12 any odor, other than next to the intake and bar screen which is 13 normal. The ponds were well maintained and had plenty of free 14 board. The spray fields located near the entrance to Rockbridge 15 were adequately maintained. It is the Public Staff's opinion that the 16 water and sewer systems in Southern Trace and Rockbridge are 17 adequately maintained and operating properly.

## 18 Q. HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY CUSTOMER COMPLAINTS AS A 19 RESULT OF CUSTOMER NOTICE IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. Yes. The Public Staff received two written complaints. The hearing
was held as scheduled and eleven customers testified. Three
customers testified at the hearing from Southern Trace Subdivision.
The customers testifying were: Thomas D. Rains, Jacqueline Walker

1 and Shelley Iverson. Eight customers testified from Rockbridge 2 Subdivision. The customers testifying were: Craig E. Buzak, Pat 3 Foran, Robert C. Herbert, Jr., Taunia Teel, Brian Maxwell, Gerald 4 Daniel, Kathleen Kendzierski and Ginger Rodgers. All of the 5 customers objected to the magnitude of the increase. The two 6 primary concerns in Southern Trace were water pressure and 7 coloration of the water. Mr. Rains had concerns with the design of 8 the system and operations. The primary complaints in Rockbridge 9 Subdivision were; response time to water leaks, system outages, 10 water pressure, chlorine levels and the safety of the water. KRJ was 11 required to file a report addressing customer complaints and 12 concerns.

13 On May 30, 2018, KRJ filed its Report on Customer Comments from 14 Public Hearing in Raleigh, North Carolina as required. I have read 15 the report and commend KRJ for its thorough response concerning 16 customer complaints. The Public Staff is satisfied with the 17 Company's response to customer concerns, the implementation of 18 its new procedures and policies to improve response times to leaks 19 and customer complaints, and its commitment to install supervisory 20 control and data acquisition (SCADA) control systems in Southern 21 Trace and Rockbridge Subdivisions. I have no further 22 recommendations.

## 1 Q. BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR BILLING ANALYSIS?

2 Α. As I previously testified, the end of the test year period was updated 3 to the 12-month period ending March 31, 2018. I reviewed the 4 number of customers and the consumption for each month for 5 Southern Trace and Rockbridge Subdivisions based on the updated 6 billing data provided by the Company. Based on my review, I 7 determined 190 end-of-period (EOP) metered water customers in 8 Southern Trace Subdivision, 327 EOP metered water customers and 9 324 flat-rate sewer customers in Rockbridge Subdivision.

## 10 Q. DO YOU RECOMMEND A CUSTOMER GROWTH FACTOR (CGF) 11 FOR SOUTHERN TRACE OR ROCKBRIDGE?

A. I do not recommend a CGF for Southern Trace, because the growth
was less than one percent. I recommend a CGF of 1.1106 for water
and sewer in Rockbridge Subdivision. My calculations are based on
growth for residential water and sewer customers as shown below:

#### 16 <u>Southern Trace</u>

17 Water: 190 EOP customers x 12 months/2273 bills = 1.00308

## 18 <u>Rockbridge</u>

Water 323 EOP res. customers x 12 months/3490 bills = 1.1106
Sewer 323 EOP res. customers x 12 months/3490 bills = 1.1106
I recommend applying the CGF of 1.1106 to chemical expenses and
purchased power for water operations; and to chemical expenses and

purchased power associated with pumping and sludge removal for
 sewer operations.

#### 3 Q. HAVE YOU RECOMMENDED ANY **ADJUSTMENTS** TO 4 **EXPENSES RELATED TO WATER AND SEWER OPERATIONS?** 5 Α. Yes, I have provided Public Staff Accountant Morgan with 6 recommendations for adjustments to contract operating services, 7 chemical expenses, purchased power, testing expenses, maintenance 8 and repair expenses, and sludge removal.

## 9 <u>CONTRACT SERVICE OPERATIONS</u>

10 KRJ has a contract with M&M Water Services (M&M) for the operation 11 and maintenance of the water treatment, storage, and distribution 12 systems serving Southern Trace and Rockbridge Subdivisions, and 13 the wastewater, collection, treatment, and re-use irrigation system 14 serving Rockbridge Subdivision. M&M is responsible for the day-today operations of the water and sewer facilities which includes: 15 16 providing certified Operators in Responsible Charge (ORC), daily 17 inspections, chemical treatment, maintaining chemical inventories, 18 collecting samples and delivering them to a certified laboratory, 19 regulatory reporting, investigating customer complaints in regard to 20 water quality, weekly testing of the generator at the WWTP and 21 providing 24-hour, seven-days-a-week emergency service when 22 necessary. The contract amounts do not include maintenance and 23 repair, which are billed separately. The contract amount is \$1,416 per month for each water system, and \$5,027 per month for the
 wastewater facility at Rockbridge.

## 3 <u>Southern Trace</u>

The Company made a pro-forma adjustment of \$8,400, an increase of
\$700 per month, for the addition of Well No. 3. The Public Staff does
not oppose the increased amount. I recommend \$25,392 (\$2,116 x 12
months) for contract operating service.

8 <u>Rockbridge - Water</u>

9 The Company made a pro-forma adjustment of \$1,080 for additional 10 labor expenses. I reclassified the \$1,080 in labor expenses to 11 maintenance and repair expenses. I recommend \$16,992 (\$1,416 x 12 months) for contract operating service.

13 <u>Rockbridge - Sewer</u>

14 The Public Staff does not oppose the contract amount. I recommend

- 15 \$60,324 (\$5,027 x 12 months) for contract operating service.
- 16

#### CHEMICAL EXPENSES

17 <u>Southern Trace</u>

18 I updated chemical expenses to reflect the 12-month period ending
19 March 31, 2018. Based on my review of invoices provided, I
20 determined \$714 is appropriate.

#### 1 <u>Rockbridge - Water</u>

2 I updated chemical expenses to reflect the 12-month period ending 3 March 31, 2018. Based on my review of invoices provided, I 4 determined \$4,451 is appropriate. I made an upward adjustment of 5 \$216 by recalculating the cost for caustic soda, using Water Guard's 6 new price of \$2.29 per gallon. This recalculation resulted in chemical 7 expenses of \$4,667. I also adjusted chemical expenses for customer 8 growth (\$4,667 x 1.1106). I recommend \$5,184 for chemical 9 expenses.

10 <u>Rockbridge - Sewer</u>

11 I updated chemical expenses to reflect the 12-month period ending 12 Based on my review of invoices provided, I March 31, 2018. 13 determined \$10,492 is appropriate. I made an upward adjustment of 14 \$965 by recalculating the cost for caustic soda, using Water Guard's 15 new price of \$2.29 per gallon. This recalculation resulted in chemical 16 expenses of \$11,457. I also adjusted chemical expenses for customer 17 growth (\$11,457 x 1.1106). I recommend \$12,724 for chemical 18 expenses.

19

#### PURCHASED POWER

20 <u>Southern Trace:</u>

I updated purchased power expenses to reflect the 12-month period
ending March 31, 2018. Based on my review of invoices provided, I

- determined \$6,874 is appropriate. I recommend \$6,874 for purchased
   power expenses.
- 3 <u>Rockbridge:</u>

The three wells at Rockbridge are within the same fenced compound as the WWTP, lift station, and reclaimed water storage ponds and has one power source that provides power to the entire facility. As a result, the Company allocated purchased power expenses for the wells in Rockbridge based on the total amount of purchased power expenses for the wells in Southern Trace.

10 The Public Staff does not object to this methodology since the wells do 11 not have their own power source, both service areas have three wells, 12 and Duke Energy Progress provides power to Rockbridge and 13 Southern Trace. I recalculated the portion of purchased power 14 expense that should be allocated to water operations based on 15 invoices for the updated test year, ending March 31, 2018 as shown 16 below:

17	\$6,874	cost of power for Southern Trace
18	11,658,659	gallons sold in Southern Trace
19	\$589.60	\$6,874/11.658659
20	16,039,461	gallons sold at Rockbridge
21	\$9,457	\$589.60 x 16.039461

I also adjusted for customer growth purchased power expense for
water operations (\$9,457 x 1.1106). I recommend purchased power
expense of \$10,503 for water operations.

1 I determined \$37,919 for purchased power expense for the WWTP site 2 based on my review of invoices for the updated test year. I deducted 3 \$9,457, which was allocated to water operations, resulting in \$28,462 4 for power at the WWTP plant site. As I previously testified, the WWTP 5 operates based on timers and not consumption. Therefore, I did not 6 adjust for customer growth, purchased power expense for the WWTP. 7 However, I did adjust purchased power for pumping and the spray 8 fields. Based on my review of invoices for the updated test year, I 9 determined \$708. My adjustment for customer growth is \$786 (\$708 x 10 1.1106). I recommend \$29,248 (\$28,462 + \$786) for purchased power 11 expense for sewer operations.

12

#### TESTING EXPENSES

13 <u>Southern Trace</u>

My recommendation for testing expenses reflects current testing costs, represented over the required frequency (monthly, annually, and every three, six, or nine years) for each test under the Safe Drinking Water Act. I recommend testing expenses of \$2,310 for water operations. My calculations are shown in Casselberry Exhibit No. 1.

#### 20 <u>Rockbridge</u>

21 My recommendation for testing expenses reflects current testing 22 costs, represented over the required frequency (monthly, annually, 23 and every three, six, or nine years) for each test under the Safe

Drinking Water Act and KRJ's wastewater permit. I recommend testing expenses of \$1,396 for water operations and \$1,476 for sewer operations. My calculations are shown in Casselberry Exhibit No. 2.

5

### MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR EXPENSES

#### 6 <u>Southern Trace</u>

The Applicant expensed \$19,141 for maintenance and repair expenses. The invoices KRJ provided did not match the amount expensed on the application. Based on my review of invoices provided, I determined \$27,417 as the appropriate amount before adjustments. I made the following downward adjustments:

12	Removed, payments for capital project	\$10,000
13	Removed, boom truck used in capital project	\$ 4,213
14	Removed, repair outside of test year	\$ 344
15	Removed, repair outside of test year	\$ 904
16	Removed chemical expenses were updated	\$ 196
17	Reclassify, meter, Well No. 1 capital project	<u>\$ 1,064</u>
18	Total	\$16,721

19 I recommend \$10,696 for maintenance and repairs expenses.

20 <u>Rockbridge</u>: Water

The Applicant expensed \$55,297 for maintenance and repair expenses associated with water operations. The invoices KRJ provided did not match the amount expensed on the application. Based on my review of invoices provided, I determined \$63,895 as the appropriate amount before adjustments. I made the following downward adjustments:

60	
ž	
6	
5	

Removed, repair outside of test year Removed, repair outside of test year Removed, repair outside of test year Reclassified, amortized uranium disposal	\$ 1,339 \$ 527 \$ 1,517 \$49,830
Reclassified, amortized uranium disposal	<u>\$49,830</u>
Total	\$53,213

As I previously testified, I reclassified 1,080 from contracting services.
I agree with the additional expense of \$762 for Rosemont filter bags
and \$7,500 for repairs on mains and service lines. I added \$9,966,
which is the amount for uranium disposal (\$49,830) amortized over five
years. I recommend \$29,990 for maintenance expenses associated
with water operations (\$63,895 - \$53,213 + \$1,080 + \$762 + \$7,500 +

12 \$9,966).

13 <u>Rockbridge</u>: Sewer

14 The Applicant expensed \$18,866 for maintenance and repairs 15 expenses associated with sewer operations. The invoices provided 16 did not match the amount expensed on the application. Based on my 17 review of invoices provided, I determined \$23,928 as the appropriate 18 amount before adjustments. I made the following downward 19 adjustment:

20	Removed, payment outside of test year	\$ 1,000
21	Removed, repair outside of test year	\$ 1,339
22	Removed, repair outside of test year	\$ 1,074
23	Remove, payment on capital project	\$ 1,774
24	Remove, outside of test year	\$ 1,512
25	Remove, outside of test year	. \$ 2,761
26	Remove, payment on capital project	\$ 1,774
27	Remove, double bill	\$ 617
28	Remove, outside of test year	\$ 3,160
29	Removed, unrelated to sewer	<u>\$ 262</u>
30	Total	\$15,273

1	I agree with the pro-forma adjustment of \$3,900 for cleaning 10 percent
2	of the gravity mains and \$16,400 for maintaining the spray fields. I
3	recommend \$28,955 (\$23,928 - \$15,273 + \$3,900 + \$16,400) for
4	maintenance and repair expenses associated with sewer operations.

#### SLUDGE REMOVAL

6 I updated expenses related to sludge removal to reflect current costs 7 for the 12-month period ending March 31, 2018. Based on my review 8 of invoices provided, I determined \$6,008 for sludge removal. I 9 adjusted the cost of sludge removal for customer growth in the amount 10 of \$6,672 (\$6,008 x 1.1106). I also included Grandville Farm's annual 11 permit fee of (\$250) and Soil Plus, LLC's annual cost for bio-solids 12 samples (\$1,275). I recommend \$8,197 for sludge removal (\$6,672 + 13 \$250 + \$1,275).

# 14 Q. HAVE YOU MADE ANY RECOMMENDATIONS TO PLANT IN 15 SERVICE?

16 A. Yes.

5

#### 17 <u>Southern Trace</u>:

I recommend \$44,603 for new plant in service. The amount listed for
Well No. 2 includes the \$10,000 payment and the \$4,213 boom truck
rental, which I removed from maintenance and repair expenses. My
additions are as follows:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		<u>Item</u> Pump replacement Well No. 1 Pump replacement Well No. 3 Replace pump/repair Well No. 2 Water meter, Well No. 1 Meters PRV replacement Total	Amount \$ 6,073 \$ 7,859 \$26,213 \$ 1,064 \$ 1,675 \$ 1,719 \$44,603	Date in <u>Service</u> 8/16/2016 6/2/2016 8/7//2015 5/5/2016 2016/2017 4/23/2018	Life <u>Ext.</u> 7 7 20 25 10
10		Rockbridge: Water			
11		l recommend \$9,174 for new plan	it in service.		
12 13 14		<u>Item</u> Meters	<u>Amount</u> \$ 9,174	Date <u>in Service</u> 2017/2018	Life <u>Ext.</u> 25
15		Rockbridge: Sewer			
16		I recommend \$15,988 for new pla	ant in service	e. The amount	includes
17		the two payments of \$1,774 each	, which I remo	oved from mai	ntenance
18		and repair expenses. My addition	is as follows:		
19 20 21 22 23		<u>Item</u> Replace Blower Replace Blower Total	<u>Amount</u> \$ 7,098 <u>\$ 8,890</u> \$15,988	Date <u>in Service</u> 4/29/2015 4/2018	Life <u>Ext.</u> 10 10
24	Q.	WHAT ARE THE ANNUAL	SERVICE	REVENUES	UNDER
25		PRESENT AND PROPOSED RA	ATES?		
26	A.	My calculations for service rever	nues at prese	ent and propos	sed rates

27 are shown below:

1	Southern Trace Subdivision	
2	Water Utility Service	
3	Revenue at Present Rates:	
4 5	190 EOP customers x \$19.12 x 12 months = 11,658,659 gallons x \$2.66/1,000 gallons =	\$ 43,594 <u>\$ 31,012</u> \$ 74,606
6	Revenue at Proposed Rates:	
7 8 9	190 EOP customers x \$34.82 x 12 months = 11,658,659 gallons x \$4.84/1,000 gallons =	\$  79,390 <u>\$  56,428</u> \$135,818
10	<b>Rockbridge Subdivision</b>	
11	Water Utility Service	
12	Consumption adjusted for customer growth:	
13 14 15	Residential 15,409,941 gallons x 1.1106 = 17 HOA Total gallons 17	7,114,280 <u>629,520</u> 7,743,800
16	Revenue at Present Rates:	
17 18 19	327 EOP customers x \$14.40 x 12 months = 17,743,800 gallons x \$1.49/1,000 gallons =	\$ 56,506 <u>\$ 26,438</u> \$ 82,944
20	Revenue at Proposed Rates:	
21 22 23	327 EOP customers x \$34.55 x 12 months = 17,743,800 gallons x \$3.57/1,000 gallons =	\$135,574 <u>\$63,345</u> \$198,919
24	Sewer Utility Service	
25	Revenue at Present Rates:	
26	324 EOP customers x 68.33 x 12 months =	\$265,667
	Revenue at Proposed Rates:	
27	324 EOP customers x \$105.37 x 12 months =	\$409,679

# 1Q.WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING KRJ'S2PROPOSED RATES?

- 3 A. The Public Staff's recommended service revenues are listed below:
- 4 <u>Service Revenues</u>:

5		Water	Sewer
6	Southern Trace	\$106,983	N/A
7	Rockbridge	\$159,888	\$226,451

8 The Public Staff recommends a partial rate increase for water utility 9 service in Southern Trace and Rockbridge Subdivisions and a rate 10 decrease for sewer utility service in Rockbridge Subdivision.

## 11 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR RATE DESIGN AND RECOMMENDED

## 12 **RATES?**

A. I have designed rates for water service such that approximately 60
percent of the service revenues is applied to the consumption charge
and 40 percent is applied to fixed costs. This approach promotes water
conservation and still allows the Company a reasonable cash flow. My
calculations are shown below.

## 18 SOUTHERN TRACE SUBDIVISION

19 <u>Water Utility Service</u>

20	Service revenue	\$106,983
21	40.7%	\$ 43,542
22	Difference	\$ 63,441

1	60
	Ř
	5

5

•							
2	\$43,542/190 EOP customers x 12 months = \$19.10						
3	Estimated usage charge:						
	\$63,441/11,658,659 gallons x 1,000 = \$5.44						
4	Revenue at Public Staff's Recommended Rates:						
5 6 7	190 EOP customers x \$19.12 x 12 months = \$ 43,594 11,658,659 gallons x \$5.44/1,000 gallons = <u>\$ 63,423</u> \$107,017						
8	ROCKBRIDGE SUBDIVISION						
9	Water Utility Service						
10 11 12	Service revenue\$159,88840%\$ 63,955Difference\$ 95,933						
13	Estimated base charge:						
14	\$63,955/327 EOP customers x 12 months = \$16.30						
15	Estimated usage charge:						
	\$95,933/17,743,800 gallons x 1,000 = \$5.41						
16	Revenue at Public Staff's Recommended Rates:						
17 18 19	327 EOP customers x \$16.30 x 12 months = \$63,961 17,743,800 gallons x \$5.41/1,000 gallons = <u>\$95,994</u> \$159,955						
20	Sewer Utility Service						
21	Estimated flat rate						
22	226,451/324 EOP customers x 12 months = \$58.25						
23	Revenue at Public Staff's Recommend Rate						
24	324 EOP customers x \$58.25 x 12 months = \$226,476						
25	KRJ's present and proposed rates and the Public Staff's						
00							

Estimated base charge:

1

26 recommended rates are shown on Casselberry Exhibit No. 3.

# Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING OTHER CHARGES?

3 Α. The Public Staff's does not oppose increasing KRJ's reconnection 4 charge from \$23.91 to \$25.00, if water service is cut off by utility for 5 good cause, and from \$19.12 to \$20.00, if water service is 6 disconnected at customer's request; or increasing the returned check 7 charge from \$23.96 to \$25.00 for Southern Trace Subdivision. The 8 Public Staff does not oppose increasing KRJ's reconnection charge 9 from \$14.40 to \$15.00 if water service is cut off by utility for good cause 10 and if water service is disconnected at customer's request; or 11 increasing the returned check charge from \$23.96 to \$25.00 for 12 Rockbridge Subdivision. The Public Staff opposes adding a new 13 disconnection charge and/or collection charge in addition to the 14 reconnection charge.

#### 15 DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

16 A. Yes.

## KRJ, Inc. d/b/a KRJ Utilities Docket No. W-1075, Sub 12 Test Year Ending March 31, 2018

Casselberry Exhibit No. 1

	# of samples						
Water Testing	Required	Frequency	factor	Cos	t per Test	Ar	nnual Cost
Bacteriologial	1	Monthly	12.000	\$	40.00	\$	480.00
Lead & Copper	5	Every 3 Years	0.333	\$	30.00	\$	49.95
TTHM & HAA5	1	Annually	1.000	\$	150.00	\$	150.00
Inorganics/Secondaries	3	Every 3 Years	0.333	\$	275.00	\$	274.73
Nitrate	3	Annually	1.000	\$	15.00	\$	45.00
VOC	3	Every 3 Years	0.333	\$	110.00	\$	109.89
SOC	3	Every 3 Years	0.333	\$	750.00	\$	749.25
Gross Alpha Well #1	1	Every 6 Years	0.167	\$	45.00	\$	7.52
Combined Uranium Well #1	1	Every 6 Years	0.167	\$	75.00	\$	12.53
Combined Radium (226/228) Well #1	1	every 3 years	0.333	\$	180.00	\$	59.94
Gross Alpha Well #2	1	Every 9 Years	0.111	\$	45.00	\$	5.00
Combined Uranium Well #2	1	Every 9 Years	0.111	\$	75.00	\$	8.33
Combined Radium (226/228) Well #2	1	Every 9 years	0.111	\$	180.00	\$	19.98
Gross Alpha Well #3	1	Every 6 Years	0.167	\$	45.00	\$	7.52
Uranium Well #3	1	Quarterly	4.000	\$	75.00	\$	300.00
Combined Radium (226/228) Well #3	1	Every 6 years	0.167	\$	180.00	\$	30.06
Total						\$	2,309.67

Southern Trace Subdivision

KRJ, Inc. d/b/a KRJ Utilities Docket No. W-1075, Sub 12 Test Year Ending March 31, 2018

## Casselberry Exhibit No. 2 Page 1 of 2

OFFICIAL COPY

#### Rockbridge Subdivision

	# of samples						
Water Testing	Required	Frequency	factor	Cos	st per Test	A	nnual Cost
Bacteriologial	1	Monthly	12.000	\$	40.00	\$	480.00
Lead & Copper	10	Every 3 Years	0.333	\$	30.00	\$	99.90
TTHM & HAA5	1	Every 3 Years	0.333	\$	150.00	\$	49.95
Inorganics/Secondaries	1	Every 3 Years	0.333	\$	275.00	\$	91.58
Nitrate	1	Annually	1.000	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
VOC	1	Annually	1.000	\$	110.00	\$	110.00
SOC	1	Every 3 Years	0.333	. \$	750.00	\$	249.75
Gross Alpha	1	Annually	1.000	\$	45.00	\$	45.00
Uranium	1	Annually	1.000	\$	75.00	\$	75.00
Combined Radium (226 & 228)	1	Annually	1.000	\$	180.00	\$	180.00
Total						\$	1,396.18

	# of samples						
Wastewater Analysis	Required	Frequency	Tests per Year	Cos	t per Year	An	nual Cost
BOD	1	Monthly	12	\$	20.00	\$	240.00
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	1	Monthly	12	\$	10.00	\$	120.00
Ammonia, Nitrogen	1	Monthly	12	\$	15.00	\$	180.00

KRJ, Inc. d/b/a KRJ Utilities						Casse	lberry
Docket No. W-1075, Sub 12						Exhib	it No. 2
Test Year Ending March 31, 2018						Page	2 of 2
			40	<u>,</u>	40.00	~	246.00
TKN	1	Monthly	12	\$	18.00	•	216.00
Nitrate, Nitrite Nitrogen	1	Monthly	12	\$	15.00	\$	180.00
Phosphorus, Total (as P)	1	Monthly	12	\$	12.00	\$	144.00
Fecal Coliform	1	Monthly	12	\$	18.00	\$	216.00
Courier Service	1	Monthly	12	\$	15.00	\$	180.00
Total						\$	1,476.00

.

KRJ, Inc. d/b/a KRJ Utilities Docket No. W-1075, Sub 12 Test Year Ending March 31, 2018

Casselberry Exhibit No. 3

#### KRJ's Present and Proposed Rates and the Public Staff's Recommend Rates

#### SOUTHERN TRACE SUBDIVISION

	KJR's	KRJ's	Public Staff's
	Present	Proposed	Recommended
	<u>Rates</u>	<u>Rates</u>	<u>Rates</u>
Monthly Metered Water Rates:			
Base charge, zero usage	\$19.12	\$34.82	\$19.12
Usage charge, per 1,000 gallons	\$ 2.66	\$ 4.84	\$ 5.44
Average bill (average usage 5,115 gallons)	\$32.73	\$59.58	\$46.95
Percent increase		82.03%	43.45%

#### ROCKBRIDGE SUBDIVISION

	KJR's	KRJ's	Public Staff's
	Present	Proposed	Recommended
	<u>Rates</u>	<u>Rates</u>	<u>Rates</u>
Monthly Metered Water Rates:			
Base charge, zero usage	\$14.40	\$ 34.55	\$16.30
Usage charge, per 1,000 gallons	\$ 1.49	\$ 3.57	\$ 5.41
Average bill (average usage 4,520 gallons)	\$21.13	\$ 50.69	\$40.75
Percent increase		139.90%	92.85%
Monthly Flat Sewer Rate:	\$68.33	\$105.37	\$58.25
Percent increase/decrease		54.21%	(14.75%)
Combined Water and Sewer:	\$89.46	\$156.06	\$99.00
Net percent increase		74.45%	10.66%