# PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NORTH CAROLINA, INC. DOCKET NO. G-5, SUB 565 

## TESTIMONY OF MICHELLE M BOSWELL ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC STAFF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

AUGUST 18, 2016
Q. WILL YOU STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND PRESENT POSITION?
A. My name is Michelle M. Boswell. My business address is 430 North Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina. I am an Accountant in the Accounting Division of the Public Staff. My experience and qualifications are provided in Appendix A.
Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to support the Partial Stipulation between Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc. (PSNC or the Company), the Public Staff, Carolina Utility. Customers Association, Inc., and Blue Ridge Paper Products, Inc. d/b/a Evergreen Packaging (Collectively, "Stipulating Parties") regarding certain issues related to the Company's pending application for a general rate increase. Specifically, I discuss the accounting and ratemaking adjustments to which PSNC and the Public Staff have agreed as set forth on Schedule 1 of Boswell Exhibit I attached to my testimony.
Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION FOR A RATE INCREASE.
A. In its application filed on March 31, 2016, PSNC requested $\$ 41,583,020$ in additional revenue requirement.
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SCOPE OF THE PUBLIC STAFF'S INVESTIGATION INTO THE COMPANY'S FILING.
A. The Public Staff's investigation included a review of the application, testimony, exhibits and other data filed by the Company, an examination of the books and records for the test year, and a review of the Company's accounting, end-of-period, other data, and after period adjustments to test year revenue, expenses, and rate base. It also included a review of the Company's responses to the Public Staff's data requests. At the conclusion of this investigation, PSNC and the Public Staff entered into settlement negotiations and have reached settlement of certain of the issues in this proceeding, including the revenue requirement. The Partial Stipulation between the Stipulating Parties is being filed contemporaneously with my testimony.

## Q. WHAT BENEFITS DOES THE PARTIAL STIPULATION PROVIDE FOR RATEPAYERS? <br> A. From the perspective of the Public Staff, among the most important benefits provided by the Partial Stipulation are as follows:

(a) A significant reduction in the Company's proposed revenue increase in this proceeding.
(b) The avoidance of protracted litigation by the Stipulating Parties before the Commission and possibly the appellate courts.

Based on these ratepayer benefits, as well as the other provisions of the Partial Stipulation, the Public Staff believes the Partial Stipulation is in the public interest and should be approved.
Q. ARE THERE ANY AREAS ABOUT WHICH THE PUBLIC STAFF AND PSNC DID NOT REACH AGREEMENT?
A. Yes. The Public Staff and PSNC did not reach agreement regarding two Public Staff revenue requirement adjustments: (1) the Pipeline Integrity Management (PIM) amortization, and (2) the Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) amortization. Public Staff witness Hoard presents the Public Staff's position on those issues. If the Commission approves the Company's requests related to the items above, the stipulated revenue requirement would be adjusted pursuant to Commission Order.
Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE STIPULATED REVENUE REQUIREMENT?
A. Yes. Schedule 1 of Boswell Exhibit I sets forth the rate base, net operating income, return, and revenue increase amounts agreed to
by the Stipulating Parties, excluding the effect of the MGP and PIM, which are addressed by Public Staff witness Hoard.

Based on the level of rate base, revenue, and expenses annualized and updated through June 30, 2016, the stipulated increase in revenue requirement is currently $\$ 19,377,942$, effective November 1, 2016.
Q.

PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ORGANIZATION OF YOUR EXHIBITS.
A. Schedule 1 of Exhibit I presents a reconciliation of the difference between the Company's requested increase of $\$ 41,583,020$, including the effect of supplemental adjustments, and the stipulated increase as well as the Public Staff's recommended amounts on two non-stipulated items, of $\$ 19,377,942$. The revenue requirement effect of the non-stipulated amounts total $\$ 2,986,064$, excluding any cash working capital impacts. Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 1-1 shows the supporting calculation of certain items, which are used to determine the amounts presented on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 1. Schedule 1 also sets forth the revenue requirements impact, based on adjusted fixed gas costs, net of secondary market credits, and lost and unaccounted for (LAUF) gas costs. Public Staff witness Perry addresses the fixed gas costs item in her testimony, and Public Staff witness Larsen addresses the LAUF item in his testimony. I have set out the fixed gas cost and LAUF items separately from the impact
of the other Public Staff revenue requirement adjustments, because these items are trued-up in the Company's Deferred Gas Cost Accounts to the actual amounts incurred by the Company and thus do affect the Company's margin (revenue less gas costs).

Schedule 2 presents the adjusted original cost rate base as recommended by the Public Staff. The adjustments made to the Company's proposed level of rate base are summarized on Schedules 2(a), 2-1, and 2-2, and detailed on backup schedules.

Schedule 3 presents a statement of net operating income for return under present rates as adjusted by the Public Staff. Schedule 3 summarizes the stipulated adjustments as well as the Public Staff's adjustments for the two non-stipulated items, all of which are detailed on backup schedules.

Schedule 4 presents the calculation of required net operating income, based on the Public Staff recommended rate base and stipulated cost of capital.

Schedule 5 presents the calculation of the required increase in operating revenue necessary to achieve the required net operating income.
Q. DOES BOSWELL EXHIBIT I, SCHEDULE 1, REFLECT ADJUSTMENTS SUPPORTED BY OTHER PUBLIC STAFF WITNESSES?
A. Yes. These adjustments are as follows:

1) The recommendations of Public Staff witness Larsen regarding end of period revenues adjusted for weather and growth and LAUF.
2) The recommendations of Public Staff witness Perry regarding the stipulated Integrity Management Tracker, the stipulated fixed gas costs, and the various reporting requirement changes reflected in the Partial Stipulation.
3) The recommendations of Public Staff witness Hoard regarding the non-stipulated PIM and MGP amortizations and related rate base amounts.
Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS SHOWN ON BOSWELL EXHIBIT 1, SCHEDULE 1.
A. These adjustments are described below.

## PLANT IN SERVICE AND RELATED ITEMS

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO PLANT IN SERVICE AND RELATED ITEMS.
A. The Company's exhibits reflect the actual December 31, 2015 plant and accumulated depreciation as well as an estimated June 30, 2016 amounts for these items. I have reviewed PSNC's process of booking plant and depreciation as well as audited several projects, and located no deficiencies. Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 1 reflects an adjustment to update plant and accumulated depreciation, and related depreciation expense and property taxes, for actual plant
additions through June 30, 2016. Depreciation expense reflects the depreciation rates PSNC filed in the depreciation study as part of this rate case. The Public Staff reviewed the depreciation study, found no issues with the new depreciation rates, and recommends approval of the proposed depreciation rates.

## WORKING CAPITAL

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO WORKING CAPITAL.
A. The Partial Stipulation includes three adjustments to working capital. First, PSNC included three deferred/prepaid items which were all due to be fully amortized within the next 12 months. Since these three items were current, they were removed from the calculation of working capital. Working capital was also updated to reflect the actual June 30, 2016 balances. Finally, I included the unamortized balances for MGP, PIM, and Distribution Integrity Management Plan (DIMP). As stated earlier the treatment of MGP and PIM is addressed by Public Staff witness Hoard, and I address the treatment of DIMP later in my testimony.

GAS IN STORAGE AND MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES
Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO GAS IN STORAGE AND MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES?
A. Gas in Storage, as well as Materials and Supplies, were updated to reflect a 13 -month average through June 30,2016 , as shown on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 2-2(a).
Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENTS TO ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES.
A. The Partial Stipulation includes two adjustments to accumulated deferred income taxes (ADIT). First, PSNC included an estimate for ADIT in its filing, which has been updated to reflect the actual balance of ADIT as of June 30, 2016. Additionally, the Partial Stipulation includes $\$ 1,897,985$ of ADIT related to the special contracts adjustment described below.

## CASH WORKING CAPITAL FOR PRESENT RATES

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO CASH WORKING CAPITAL FOR PRESENT RATES.
A. Cash working capital (CWC) has been computed by the Company using the lead-lag study method and the Company has adjusted CWC to fully reflect its proposed adjustments, before the amount of its proposed rate increase. The Public Staff has adjusted CWC to reflect all the stipulated adjustments, as well as the two nonstipulated Public Staff adjustments in accordance with the Commission's Order in Docket No. M-100, Sub 137. This $\$ 1,903,594$ working capital adjustment, which is reflected on Schedules 2-3, incorporates the effect on lead-lag study cash working capital of the Public Staff adjustments, before the rate increase.

## SPECIAL CONTRACTS

## Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO SPECIAL CONTRACTS?

A. The Company provides natural gas transportation service to a power plant located near Asheville, North Carolina, pursuant to a contract dated March 10,2000 . Though the contract has a 25 -year term, the customer paid demand charges over the initial five years of the contract for the actual cost of the facilities installed by PSNC pursuant to the contract. The contract also requires the customer to pay PSNC separate charges related to PSNC's ongoing fuel, O\&M expenses, and property taxes. No demand charge payments from the customer related to the plant have been reflected in the Company's revenues in the current rate case filing. The Partial Stipulation adjustment removes from the cost of service the amounts included by the Company for plant, accumulated depreciation, accumulated deferred income taxes, depreciation expense, and property taxes associated with the facilities installed by PSNC.

## PAYROLL AND RELATED EXPENSES

Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO PAYROLL AND RELATED EXPENSES?
A. The Company's payroll and related expenses have been adjusted to reflect the following items: (a) annualized actual PSNC payroll costs (both union and non-union) as of June 30, 2016, (b) updated
information regarding union progression pay increases scheduled to become effective after June 30, 2016, but before the December 31, 2016, end of the current union contract, (c) PSNC overtime pay based on the average number of overtime hours for the last three years, (d) the removal of the Company's proposed $2.5 \%$ increase to overtime dollars, (e) the O\&M portion of payroll for three positions hired subsequent to June 30, 2016 that will start employment by the hearing date in this case, and (f) the SCANA services payroll allocated to PSNC through June 30, 2016.


#### Abstract

BONUS ACCRUALS Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO BONUS ACCRUALS. A. PSNC included an estimate as of February 2016 for six incentive pay plans to calculate its adjustment to bonus accruals. Bonus accruals have been updated to reflect the actual accruals for the six incentive pay plans as of June 30, 2016. The decrease of $\$ 1,178,457$ to the bonus accrual adjustment is reflected in Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-2.


## PAYROLL BENEFITS

Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT HAS BEEN MADE TO PAYROLL BENEFITS?
A. The Company used the actual test year relationship of total SCANA payroll benefits to total SCANA payroll in computing the payroll benefits factor, and applied it to direct and allocated PSNC payroll.

The payroll benefits include 401 K , long-term disability, and shortterm disability. The payroll benefits factor was updated to reflect the actual ratio for the 12-months ended June 30, 2016, and it was applied to updated payroll to determine the updated amount of payroll benefits, as reflected on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-3.

## INCENTIVE PAY FOR EXECUTIVES

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO INCENTIVE PAY FOR EXECUTIVES.
A. PSNC's O\&M expenses reflect the allocation of $\$ 2,229,159$ of the salaries and bonus pay (short-term and long-term incentive plans) for nine SCANA senior staff persons. The percentage of bonus pay allocated to PSNC from SCANA was, however, significantly higher than the percentage of senior staff salaries allocated to PSNC. The stipulated adjustment reallocated the SCANA bonus pay for the nine SCANA senior staff persons to PSNC consistent with the allocation of senior staff salaries, calculating a decrease to O\&M expenses of \$869,580, as depicted on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-4.

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT HAS BEEN MADE TO EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION?
A. As reflected on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-5, the stipulated adjustment assigned $50 \%$ of the compensation charged to PSNC for the five top SCANA executives, as listed in its 2016 proxy statement,
to non-utility operations. Compensation for each executive is composed of the annual salary, long-term incentive pay, short-term incentive pay, and other compensation, as described in the 2016 SCANA proxy statement.

## RETIRED EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT HAS BEEN MADE TO RETIRED EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION?
A. The Company included $\$ 139,250$ in salary and bonus pay for a retired executive in its O\&M expenses. These dollars were removed as the executive compensation for his replacement has been included in the executive compensation describe early in my testimony.

## NON-UTILITY O\&M EXPENSES

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO NON-UTILITY O\&M EXPENSES.
A. PSNC applied its non-utility allocation factor to Administration \& General Salaries, Copier Paper \& Supplies, Building Services, Property Insurance, and some Miscellaneous General Expenses. I reviewed PSNCs non-utility allocation factors for both merchandising, jobbing, and CNG as well as non-utility equity investments, and found no errors within the calculations. The stipulated adjustment includes several additional accounts in the adjustment to non-utility O\&M expenses based upon the Public

Staff's general knowledge of PSNC's non-utility operations and consistent with adjustments made in previous rate cases. The additional accounts and amounts included, as well as the total adjustment to non-utility O\&M expenses are depicted on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-11.

## NON-UTILITY PLANT

Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT HAS BEEN MADE TO NON-UTILITY PLANT?
A. Non-utility plant was updated to reflect the updated plant and accumulated depreciation balances as of June 30, 2016.

## DISTRIBUTION INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT PLAN

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENTS TO DISTRIBUTION INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT PLAN.
A. PSNC adjusted O\&M expenses by $\$ 1,519,116$ to reflect an increase in ongoing distribution integrity costs to $\$ 2,000,000$, as the result of the US Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) distribution pipeline safety requirements. The Public Staff fully supports PSNC as it works to improve the safety across its system but proposes that the Company's distribution integrity management plan (DIMP) costs be treated in a manner similar to the transmission-related PIM program. Like PIM costs, which have been deferred and amortized, after review by the Public Staff and Commission, DIMP costs will vary from
year-to-year, depending on the type of work performed. Due to the similarities to the PIM, which is a similar program that is targeted towards transmission facilities, the stipulated adjustment reflects DIMP costs as a regulatory asset that would be amortized over a period of years. The Stipulation reflects the inclusion of the unamortized portion in rate base.

There are three adjustments to PSNC's proposed DIMP adjustment. First, the adjustment has been updated to reflect the Company's actual DIMP costs at June 30, 2016 of $\$ 1,501,093$. Next, the $\$ 1,501,093$ balance is amortized over 5 years to reflect an ongoing recoverable level of expenses for the distribution safety plans. Finally, the unamortized balance of $\$ 1,200,875$ was included in rate base as a component of working capital to reflect the regulatory asset treatment of the item.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO INFLATION.

A. This adjustment reflects the effects of inflation on O\&M expenses not otherwise updated or brought to an end-of-period level. The Company reflected an inflation adjustment by applying an inflation factor of $2.39 \%$ to test year O\&M expenses not specifically adjusted elsewhere. The Partial Stipulation reflects use of an inflation factor of $2.2 \%$ based upon updated CPI indices. O\&M expenses, such as payroll, that were adjusted elsewhere were deducted before applying
the inflation factor. The inflation adjustment was modified to deduct the additional adjustments recommended to non-utility O\&M expenses, the Company's non-utility O\&M adjustment, advertising expenses, SalesForce, and lobbying/political expenses. The stipulated adjustment also removed contributions and donations as well as expenses related to entertainment and gifts. The non-utility O\&M, SalesForce, and advertising costs were deducted because those items are specifically adjusted elsewhere to an ongoing level.

In its filing, the Company adjusted O\&M expenses for SCANA Services chargebacks. The Partial Stipulation reflects the removal of that adjustment. Because the SCANA chargebacks, minus payroll and employee benefits, have not been adjusted to an end-of-period level, the SCANA chargebacks should be added to the base amount used to determine the amount of the inflation adjustment. The adjustment to the SCANA Services chargebacks is discussed later in my testimony. These adjustments resulted in an increase for inflation of $\$ 449,153$, as shown on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-10.

## RATE CASE EXPENSE

Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT HAS BEEN MADE TO RATE CASE EXPENSES?
A. The Company proposed that estimated rate case expenses of $\$ 418,300$ be amortized over three years. The Partial Stipulation reflects the amortization of $\$ 312,036$ of rate case expenses,
including the cost of the Public Staff rate-of-return consultant, over a 5-year period.

## POSTAGE

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO POSTAGE.
A. The Company computed its postage adjustment by first applying a customer growth factor to actual test year postage expense, and then decreasing that amount by the percentage decrease in the postage rate. This new lower level of postage was then compared to the actual postage expense to compute a decrease in postage expense adjustment of $\$ 32,366$. The Company's growth adjustment was removed, as it was included in the adjustment to customer accounts. In addition, the amount includes a corresponding adjustment to remove postage related to disallowed advertising expense for account 913. I removed the same percentage of excluded direct mailing advertising from postage included in account 913 associated with these mailings. These adjustments decreased postage expense by $\$ 144,717$, as reflected in Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 38.

## UNCOLLECTIBLES EXPENSE

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO UNCOLLECTIBLES EXPENSE.
A. PSNC calculated a $0.25 \%$ uncollectibles factor based upon the uncollectibles and revenues for 2015 . This rate is utilized for the stipulated calculation of updated revenues from sales and transportation of gas recommended by Public Staff witness Larsen, net of gas costs to derive the recommended level of uncollectibles expense. This resulted in a decrease of $\$ 22,445$ in uncollectibles expense, as shown on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-9.

## REGULATORY FEE

Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT HAS BEEN MADE TO THE REGULATORY FEE?
A. As detailed later in my testimony, the regulatory fee decreased to $0.14 \%$ effective July 11, 2016. As shown on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-15, we updated PSNC's adjustment to regulatory fee to reflect this change, resulting in a decrease of $\$ 34,304$.

SALESFORCE LICENSE AMORTIZATION
Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO SALESFORCE LICENSE AMORTIZATION EXPENSE.
A. SalesForce is a subscription-based cloud computing customer relationship management tool that PSNC utilizes to streamline its engagement with customers from initial contact through meter and/or appliance installation. PSNC calculated its SalesForce License Amortization expense adjustment based upon the remaining amortization of the contract set-up, and implementation costs through the contract's current March 31, 2017, expiration date. PSNC has indicated that the contract will be extended. Boswell

Exhibit I reflects an adjustment to decrease O\&M expenses by $\$ 37,385$, to include an ongoing level for SalesForce of $\$ 123,420$, as compared to the Company's $\$ 160,805$ amortization. The adjustment
is computed based upon current contract costs of $\$ 370,260$, amortized over a 3 -year contract period. Finally, the SalesForce adjustment was included as part of the non-utility O\&M expense described above, because the Company also utilizes the system for non-utility appliance installations.

## ADVERTISING

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENT TO ADVERTISING EXPENSE.
A. The Company proposed to include $\$ 1,034,103$ of advertising expense in O\&M expense in this proceeding. Based on Commission Rule R12-13 and prior Commission orders, the Stipulating Parties have agreed on an adjustment that reduces test year advertising expenses by $\$ 516,898$ to exclude image, promotional, and competitive advertising.

## CUSTOMER DEPOSITS AND THE RELATED

INTEREST EXPENSE
Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT HAS BEEN MADE TO CUSTOMER DEPOSITS AND THE RELATED INTEREST EXPENSE?
A. PSNC reflected interest on customer deposits as a reclassification to O\&M expenses based on the 13-month average of customer
deposits for the period ending December 2015. Boswell Exhibit I reflects an adjustment that updates both the amount of customer deposits and interest on customer deposits to reflect the 13-month average for the period ending June 30, 2016.

## SERVICE COMPANY CHARGES

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO SERVICE COMPANY CHARGES.
A. As shown on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-19, PSNC has proposed an adjustment that increases O\&M expenses for $\$ 3,216,283$ in Service Company charges. An adjustment was made to remove these unsupported 2016 SCANA Services budgeted amounts.

## GAS TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE FUNDING

Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO GAS TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE FUNDING?
A. PSNC has proposed an adjustment that includes funding for the Gas Technology Institute (GTI) as an O\&M expense. GTI is a not-forprofit research and development laboratory created to benefit natural gas consumers and to support the gas industry. Member utilities are. able to direct research efforts and funding through GTI's Operations Technology Development (OTD) program, as PSNC proposes. The Company determined the ongoing expense level based on an estimate of the number of meters as of December 31, 2016, multiplied by $\$ 0.50$ per meter. Boswell Exhibit I reflects an update to
include the Company's actual number of meters at June 30, 2016. This results in a decrease of $\$ 6,369$ to GTI charges, as shown on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-20.

## FUEL COSTS

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO FUEL COSTS.
A. The Company made an adjustment to the test period level of gasoline and diesel fuel costs using a historical three-year average. Boswell Exhibit I reflects an adjustment that utilizes a historical twoyear average, and excludes a year that included fuel prices at an alltime record high price. The adjustment is a decrease of O\&M expenses of $\$ 117,012$, as shown on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 321.

## LOBBYING EXPENSES

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT TO LOBBYING EXPENSES.
A. Stipulated O\&M expenses have been adjusted to remove lobbying costs incurred by the Company during the test year. The costs to be removed were determined by applying the "but for" test for reporting lobbying costs as used in a Formal Advisory Opinion of the State Ethics Commission dated February 12, 2010, and recognizing that lobbying includes not only employees' direct contact with legislators, but also other activities that would not have been conducted but for the lobbying itself. Applying this test resulted in the removal of a
portion of the payroll and related costs of the Economic Development and Government Affairs Department of SCANA. The total amount of the adjustment is to remove $\$ 167,760$ from the O\&M expenses, as shown on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-22.

## CHANGE IN RETENTION FACTOR

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO THE RETENTION FACTOR.
A. The stipulated calculations utilize the $0.014 \%$ regulatory fee, which took effect on July 11, 2016, in my computation. PSNC utilized the previously approved $0.148 \%$ regulatory fee in calculating the retention factor.

CASH WORKING CAPITAL EFFECT OF RATE INCREASE
Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO CASH WORKING CAPITAL FOR THE PROPOSED INCREASE.
A. The CWC lead-lag effect of the proposed revenue increase as recommended by the Public Staff has been calculated on Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 2-4. Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3A, reflects the income tax effects related to the interest synchronization impact of the Company's proposed rate increase, so as to properly separate the effects of the CWC adjustment of the rate increase from the other effects of the rate increase.
Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO EXCESS DEFERRED INCOME TAXES.
A. PSNC's filing originally proposed a refund of EDIT in the amount of $\$ 7.3$ million over a one year to reflect the effect of a decrease in the state income tax rate to $4 \%$.

On August 4, 2016, the North Carolina Department of Revenue issued a statement reflecting a decrease in the state income tax rate from 4\% to 3\%, effective January 1, 2017.

PSNC and the Public Staff agree to work together to determine the appropriate amounts to be refunded related to the change in state income tax rate from $4 \%$ to $3 \%$.

## TAXES ON CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PUBLIC STAFF'S POSTION REGARDING TAXES ON CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION.
A. On September 3, 2013, the Commission issued an Order on Motion for Clarification in Docket No. M-100, Sub 113A ("M-100, Sub 113A Order"). The Public Staff has not completed its investigation required by the $\mathrm{M}-100$, Sub 113A Order. The Public Staff found that PSNC is currently recording Contributions in Aid of Construction above the line but proposes to file a report by the end of the 2016,

1 regarding the treatment of gross-up amounts for the 2008-2012 time 2 period consistent with the Commission's order..

3 Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?
4 A. Yes, it does.

# MICHELLE M. BOSWELL 

## Qualifications and Experience

I graduated from North Carolina State University in 2000 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting and I am a Certified Public Accountant.

I joined the Public Staff in September 2000. I have performed numerous audits and/or presented testimony and exhibits before the Commission addressing a wide range of electric, natural gas, and water topics. I have performed audits and/or presented testimony in Duke Energy's 2010 REPS Cost Recovery Rider; the 2008 REPS Compliance Reports for North Carolina Municipal Power Agency 1, North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, GreenCo Solutions, Inc., and EnergyUnited Electric Membership; four recent Piedmont rate cases; several Piedmont, NUI, and Toccoa annual gas cost reviews; Piedmont and NUI's merger; and Piedmont and NCNG's merger.

Additionally, I have filed testimony and exhibits in numerous water rate cases and performed investigations addressing a wide range of topics and issues related to the water, electric, and telephone industries.

Publlc Service Company of North Carollna
Docket No. G-5, Sub 565

|  |  | Boswell Exhibit Schedule 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Publlc Service Company of North Carollna Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 |  |
| reconciliation of gross revenue increase requested by the company to the PUBLIC STAFF AMOUNT <br> For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015 |  |  |
| Line No. | Item | Amount |
| 1 | Increase in revenue requirement requested by the Company | \$41,583,020 |
|  | Public Staff Adjustments: |  |
| 2 | Change in equity ratio from $53.75 \%$ to $52.00 \%$ | $(1,614,203)$ |
| 3 | Change in cost of long-term debt from 5.66\% to 5.52\% | $(593,475)$ |
| 4 | Change in cost of short-term debt from $0.82 \%$ to $0.77 \%$ | $(16,056)$ |
| 5 | Change in retum on equity from $10.60 \%$ to $9.70 \%$ | $(7,125,357)$ |
| 6 | Plant in Service Updates and Related Items at June 30, 2016 | 51,324 |
| 7 | Working Capital Updates at June 30, 2016 | $(158,131)$ |
| 8 | Update gas in storage through June 2016 | $(563,311)$ |
| 9 | Update materials and supplies through June 2016 | 91,901 |
| 10 | ADIT - updated to June 30, 2016 | 174,724 |
| 11 | Adjust working capital for lead lag to reflect Public Staff adjustments | 202,043 |
| 12 | Adjustment to end of period revenue - weather, growth, and commodity costs | $(1,457,266)$ |
| 13 | Adjustment to other operating revenues | $(114,232)$ |
| 14 | Special Contract - remove PIS associated with facilities | $(517,243)$ |
| 15 | Payroll and Related Expenses | $(288,568)$ |
| 16 | Bonus accruals updated to actuals 6/30/16 | $(1,183,067)$ |
| 17 | Payroll Benefits Percentage - updated through June 30, 2016 | $(21,854)$ |
| 18 | Modify the allocation of incentive pay for certain executives to PSNC | $(872,982)$ |
| 19 | Executive Compensation Adjustment | $(280,405)$ |
| 20 | Remove retired executive compensation | $(139,795)$ |
| 21 | Nonutility Adjustment - O\&M expense | $(262,228)$ |
| 22 | Nonutility Adjustment - effect of change in plant additions | $(24,508)$ |
| 23 | inflation Adjustment - removed certain expenses and updated rate to $2.2 \%$ | 450,911 |
| 24 | Rate Case Expenses - updated through June 30, 2016 w/ 5 yr amortization | $(77,327)$ |
| 25 | Postage Adjustment - excluded growth since adjusted elsewhere \& removed adv. | $(145,282)$ |
| 26 | Uncollectibles - changes in revenue | $(22,533)$ |
| 27 | Regulatory Fee - change for $0.148 \%$ to $0.14 \%$ per Commission Order | $(34,439)$ |
| 28 | MGP Costs - update actual expenses @ 6/30/16 \& acct for amortization | $(1,970,392)$ |
| 29 | PIM Costs - update actual expenses @ 6/30/16, amortization | $(1,015,672)$ |
| 30 | DIMP Costs - deferral | $(1,096,208)$ |
| 31 | SalesForce Adjustment - ongoing jevel | $(37,532)$ |
| 32 | Advertising - remove promtional, image, competitive, \& non-recurring | $(518,919)$ |
| 33 | Update Interest on Customer Deposits through June 2016 | $(11,382)$ |
| 34 | Service Company Charges - removed unsuppported budgeted charges | $(3,228,865)$ |
| 35 | Update GTI to June 2016 actual meters | $(6,394)$ |
| 36 | Fuel Costs - adjust to test year fuel costs | $(117,471)$ |
| 37 | Adjustment to remove lobbying expenses | $(168,417)$ |
| 38 | Change in retention factor-reguiatory fee changes | $(3,332)$ |
| 39 | Adjust cash working capital for revenue impact of Public Staff adjustments | $(155,565)$ |
| 40 | Rounding | 43 |
| 41 | Public Staff Adjustments | $(22,871,463)$ |
| 42 | Recommended Change in Margin | \$18,711,557 |
| 43 | Fixed Gas Cost Adjustment | 643,643 |
| 44 | LAUF Rate Change | 22,742 |

Recommended Change In Revenue Requirement per Public Staff


# Public Service Company of North Carolina Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 <br> ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE 

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

[1] Boone Exhibit 6, Column (5), as adjusted.
[2] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 2(a).
[3] Column (a) plus Column (b).
[4] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 2-4, Column (k), Line 50
[5] Column (c) plus Column (d).
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| Line <br> No． | nem |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Prant inserice |
| 2 | Accurruated depreciaton |
| 3 | Net plant in senice（Sum of L 1 tru L2） |
| 4 | Working Capital－Other |
| 5 | Working Capital－Lead Lag |
| 6 | Detered Reguditor Alsset |
| 7 | Deter ed hcome Taxes |
| B | Original cost fate base（Sum of L3 thrs L6） |
| 9 | Revenuo requiemeritimpat |
|  |  |



| $\qquad$ | Company <br> Ratemaking <br> Adjustments | After <br> Company Adjustments | Public Staff Working Captial Adjustments | Amount Per Public Staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (f) |
| \$10,012,305 | 2,993,183 | \$13,005,488 |  |  |
| 9,465,900 |  | 9,465,900 | \$865,868 | \$10,331,768 |
| 38,067,356 |  | 38,067,356 | (5,307,372) | \$32,759,984 |
| 47,533,256 | 0 | 47,533,256 | $(4,441,504)$ | 43,091,752 |
| $(8,295,675)$ |  | (8,295,675) | 258,805 | $(8,036,870)$ |
| $(185,385)$ |  | $(185,385)$ | $(64,354)$ | $(249,739)$ |
| $(582,236)$ |  | $(582,236)$ | $(13,301)$ | $(595,537)$ |
| $(712,278)$ |  | $(712,278)$ | 421,078 | $(291,200)$ |
| $(324,842)$ |  | $(324,842)$ | $(40,943)$ | $(365,785)$ |
| 968,023 | 430,345 | 1,398,368 | $(266,648)$ | 1,131,720 |
| 18,358 |  | 18,358 | $(18,358)$ | 0 |
| $(258,000)$ |  | $(258,000)$ |  | $(258,000)$ |
| $(24,423,034)$ | 136,967 | $(24,286,067)$ | $(486,195)$ | $(24,772,262)$ |
| (15,925,419) |  | (15,925,419) | $(1,427,237)$ | $(17,352,656)$ |
| $(265,991)$ |  | $(265,991)$ | 147,285 | $(118,706)$ |
| $(49,986,479)$ | 567,312 | $(49,419,167)$ | $(1,489,868)$ | (50,909,035) |
| \$7,559,082 | \$3,560,495 | \$11,119,577 | (\$5,931,372) | (\$7,817,283) |

Average materials and supplies:
Operating and construction supplies
Natural gas stored
Totals (L2 + L3)
Cash working capital:
Average Customer deposits
Average Accrued Interest on Customer Deposits
Average Accrued Vacation Liability - PTO
Average State Sales Tax
Average of Deferred Credit Treasury A Account Average prepayments
Average deferred SalesForce Cloud License Fees Cost-Free Capilal - Transco refunds
Cost-Free Capital - Postretirement Benefits
Cost-Free Capital - Pension Accrual
Cost-Free Capital - LT Disability
Totals cash working capital (Sum of L5 thru L15)
17 Total working capital (L1 + L4 + L16)
\$7,559,082
$\$ 3,560,495$
$11,119.577$
(\$5,931,372)
(\$7,817,283)

1] Per Boone Exhibit 4
[2] Boswe!l Exhibit I, Schedule 2-2(a)
[3] Boswell Exhibit I,Schedule 2-2(b).
4] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 2-2(d).
[5] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 2-2(c).
[6] Boswell Exhibit I Schedule 2-2(i)
] Boswell Exhibit Schedule 2-2 (h)
[B] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 2-2(k)
[9] Boswell Exhibit 1, Schedule 2-2(i).
(10] Boswell Exhibit 1, Schedule 2-2(e).
[11] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 2-2(f)
12] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 2-2(g)
[13] Sum of Column (a) through Column (c).









Public Service Company of North Carolina
Docket No. G-5, Sub 565

## ADJUSTMENT TO COMPANY FILED COST OF SERVICE

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line No. | Description | Company Before Increase [1] | Interest <br> Synch on CWC Increase | $\begin{gathered} \text { Company } \\ \text { Without } \\ \text { Rate Increase } \end{gathered}$ | Company Without Rate Increase New Tax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Operating Revenues: |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Sale and transportation of gas | \$426,062,649 |  | \$426,062,649 | \$426,062,649 |
| 2. | Special Contract Revenues | 792,254 |  | 792,254 | 792,254 |
| 3. | Other operating revenues | 3,413,176 |  | 3,413,176 | 3,413,176 |
| 4. | Total operating revenues (Sum of L1 thru L3) | 430,268,079 | 0 | 430,268,079 | 430,268,079 |
| 5. | Cost of gas | 177,117,745 | 0 [2] | 177,117,745 | 177,117,745 |
| 6. | Margin (L4-L5) | 253,150,334 | 0 | 253,150,334 | 253,150,334 |
| 7. | Operation and maintenance | 112,901,063 | 0 [2] | 112,901,063 | 112,901,063 |
| 8. | Depreciation | 56,496,731 |  | 56,496,731 | 56,496,731 |
| 9. | General taxes | 15,460,053 |  | 15,460,053 | 15,460,053 |
| 10. | State income taxes | 1,794,393 | 2,958 [2] | 1,797,351 | 1,348,011 |
| 11. | Federal income taxes | 15,072,898 | 24,846 [2] | 15,097,744 | 15,254,990 |
| 12. | Amortization of investment tax credits |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 13. | Amortization of EDIT |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 14. | Total operating expenses (Sum of L7 thru L13) | 201,725,138 | 27,804 | 201,752,942 | 201,460,848 |
| 15. | Net operating income | 51,425,196 | $(27,804)$ | 51,397,392 | 51,689,486 |
| 16. | Interest on customer deposits |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 17. | Net operating income for retum (L6 less L14) | \$51,425,196 | (\$27,804) | \$51,397,392 | \$51,689,486 |


| $\circ$ |
| :--- |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ |
| $\infty$ |
|  |

[^0]Publie Service Company of North Carolina Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
NET OPERATING INCOME FOR RETURN
For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015


Operating Revenues:
Sales and transporiation of gas
Special Contract Revenues
Other operating revenues
Total operating revenues (L1-L3)
Cost of gas
6 Margin (L4-1.5)
Operating Expenses:
Operating Expenses:
Depreciation
General taxes
State income tax (3\%)
11 Federal incomo tax ( $35 \%$ )
12 Amorization of investment tax credits
14 Total operating expenses (Sum of L4 thru L10)
15 interest on customer deposits
16 Net operating income for retum ( $\mathbf{L} 3-\mathrm{L} 12+\mathrm{L} 11+\mathrm{L} 12$ )
Revenue Requirement Effect


Public Service Company of North Carollina Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
NET OPERATING INCOME FOR RETURN
For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

[^1]
[9] Boswell Exhibit IL Schedule 3-5
[10] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-6.
[11] Boswell Exhibiti $I$, Schedule 3-7.
[12] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-8.
[14] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-9.
[15] Boswell Exhibit $I$, Schedule 3-11.

Public Service Company of North Carolina Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
NET OPERATING INCOME FOR RETURN For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

Line
liem
Operating Revenues:
Operating Revenues:
Special Contract Revenues
Other operating revenues
Other operating revenues
Total operating revenues (L1-L3)
Cost of gas
6 Margin (L4-L5)
Operating Expenses:
Operating and maintenance
Depreciation
9 General taxes
10 State income tax (3\%)
1 Federal income tax (35\%)
12 Amorization of investm
14 Total operating expenses (Sum of L4 thru L10)
15 Interest on customer deposits
16 Net operating income for return (L3-L.12+L.11+L12)
Revenue Requirement Effect
Gas Plant


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 449,153 | $\begin{array}{r} (257,961) \\ (5,652) \\ (941) \end{array}$ | $(2,407,104)$ | $(2,049,363)$ | (1,218,897) | $(34,304)$ | $(516,898)$ | (37,385) |
| $\begin{array}{r} (17,966) \\ (150,915) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,582 \\ & 88,890 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 81,975 \\ 688,586 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48,756 \\ 409,549 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,372 \\ 11,526 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,676 \\ 173,678 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,495 \\ 12,561 \end{array}$ |
| 280,272 | $(165,082)$ | (1,502,033) | $(1,278,802)$ | (760,592) | (21,406) | $(322,544)$ | (23,329) |
| ( 5280,272 ) | \$165,082 | \$1,502,033 | \$1,278,802 | $\stackrel{\text { 5760,592 }}{ }$ | \$21,406 | \$322,544 | \$23,329 |
| ( $\$ 450,911$ ) | \$265,589 | \$2,416,520 | \$2,057,379 | \$1,223,666 | \$34,439 | \$518,919 | \$37,532 |

[16] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-12.
[18] Boswell Exhibit 1 , Schedule 3-14.
[19] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-45.
[20] Boswell Exhibit 1 , Schedule e-16
[21] Boswell ExhibitI, Schedule 3-17.
[22] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-18.

Public Service Company of North Carolina Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
NET OPERATING INCOME FOR RETURN
For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line |
| :--- |
| No. |

Hem
Operating Revenues:
Sales and transportation of gas
Special Contract Revenues
Total operating revenues (L1-L3)
Cost of gas
Margin (L4-L5)
Operating Expenses
Operating and maintenance
Depreciation
Depreciation
General taxes
10 State income tax (3\%)
11 Federal income tax (35\%)
13 Amorization of EDIT
14 Totai operating expenses (Sum of L4 thru L10)
15 Interest on customer deposits
15 Net operating income for retum ( $13-L 12+L 11+L 12$ )

Revenue Requirement Effect


## Service

 Company| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\$ 430,126,449$ <br> 792,254 <br> $3,52,954$ <br> 434,455667 <br> $180,388,055$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 254,057,612 |
| $(11,337)$ | $(3,216,283)$ | $(6,369)$ | $(117,012)$ | (167,760) |  | $\begin{array}{r} 100,315,708 \\ 56,055,279 \\ 15,288,097 \end{array}$ |
| 453 | 128,651 | 255 | 4,680 | 6,710 | (21,583) | 2,340,010 |
| 3,809 | 1,080,671 | 2,140 | 39,316 | 56,368 | $(181,296)$ | 19,656,079 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| (7,075) | (2,006,961) | $(3,974)$ | (73,016) | (104,682) | (202,879) | 193,655,173 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| \$7,075 | \$2,006,961 | \$3,974 | 573,016 | \$104,682 | \$202,879 | \$60,402,439 |
| \$11,382 | \$3,228,865 | \$6,394 | \$117,471 | \$168.417 | \$326,398 |  |

Boswell Exhibit 1
Schedule 3 Page 4 of 4 After Public Staff Adjustments (ee)
${ }^{[23]}$ Boswell Exhibiti, Schedule 3-19.
[24] Boswell Exhibit t, Schedule 3-20.
[25] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-21.
[26] Bosweli Exhibit , Schedule 3-22.
[26] Bosweli Exhibit 1 , Schedule e-22.
[27] Boswell Exhibit 1 , Schedule 3-23.
[28] Sum of columns (a) through (cc)

## Public Service Company of North Carolina

## Docket No. G-5, Sub 565

## SUMMARY OF REVENUE AND

COST OF GAS ADJUSTMENTS
For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line <br> No. | Item | Sales and Transportation Revenues | Special Contract Revenues | Other Operating Revenues | Cost of Gas | Regulatory Fee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (g) |
| 1 | Company amount per application | \$426,062,649 | \$792,254 | \$3,413,176 | \$177,117,745 |  |
| 2 | Operating Revenues EOP - Public Staff Volumes | 4,063,800 |  |  | 2,606,522 | 5,689 |
| 3 | On-going level of cost of gas - fixed gas costs |  |  |  | 641,134 |  |
| 4 | Lost \& Unaccounted For change |  |  |  | 22,654 |  |
| 5 | Adjustment to other operating revenues |  |  | 113,788 |  |  |
| 6 | Public Staff Amount (Sum of L1 thru L5) | \$430,126,449 | \$792,254 | \$3,526,964 | \$180,388,055 |  |

[1] Per Item G-1, Item 4, Workpaper 1, page 1 of 5.
[2] Per Public Staff EOP Operating Revenues, Exhibit A.
[3] $11,584,540$ therms multilpied by $\$ .225 /$ therm.
[4] Public Staff fixed gas costs of $\$ 67,928,619$ minus Company amount of $\$ 67,287,485$.
[5] Per Public Staff.
[6] Column (a) + Column (b) multiplied by regulatory fee rate.

## Public Service Company of North Carolina Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 <br> CALCULATION OF PUBLIC STAFF PAYROLL ADJUSTMENTS

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line No. | Item | Company | Public Staff | Public Staff Change [6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (a) | (b) | (c) |
| 1 | Union Employee Salaries as of 12/31/15 | \$14,075,422 [1] | \$14,527,885 [4] | \$452,462 |
| 2 | 2016 Union Progression Increases | 82,502 [1] | 127,298 [4] | 44,796 |
| 3 | Union Merit Increase | 353,948 [1] | 366,380 [4] | 12,431 |
| 4 | Non-Union Salaries as of 12/31/15 | 21,509,399 [1] | 22,034,930 [4] | 525,531 |
| 5 | Non-Union Merit Increase effective 2/16 | 645,282 [1] | [4] | $(645,282)$ |
| 6 | PSNC Overtime Pay | 5,367,940 [1] | 4,937,227 [5] | $(430,713)$ |
| 7 | Overtime Increase | 134,199 [1] | [6] | $(134,199)$ |
| 8 | Total Regular PSNC Payroll (sum L1 through L7) | 42,168,692 | 41,993,719 | $(174,972)$ |
| 9 | Percent of Payroll to O\&M | 70.88\% [2] | 70.88\% [2] |  |
| 10 | Total O\&M Portion of PSNC Payroll (L8 * L9) | 29,889,169 | 29,765,148 | $(124,020)$ |
| 11 | SCANA Services Payroll Allocated to PSNC | 9,806,157 [3] | 9,858,625 [4] | 52,468 |
| 12 | Additional Headcount ( O M M only) | 294,185 [8] | 98,770 [6] | $(195,415)$ |
| 13 | Total Payroll Expense, Excluding Bonus \& Incentive Pay | 39,989,511 | 39,623,773 | $(266,967)$ |
| 14 | Payroll Tax Percent |  |  | 7.67\% ${ }^{\text {[8] }}$ |
| 15 | Public Staff Adjustment to Payroll Taxes |  |  | (\$20,476) |

[1] MFR Item 4, Workpaper 3-A, p. 2.
[2] MFR Item 4, Workpaper 3-A, p. 5.
[3] MFR, Item 4, Workpaper 3-A, p.9.
[4] Company updates to June 30, 2016.
[5] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-1(a), Line 10.
[6] Recommended by Public Staff based on review of documentation.
[7] Column b minus Column a.
[8] MFR, Item 4, Workpaper 3-A, p.1.

## Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.

Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
Adjustment for Bonus Accruals For the 12 Months Ended December 31, 2015

| Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | PSNC | SC to PSNC | PSNC | SC to PSNC |  |
|  | Bonus Accruals |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | PSNC 3-5-7 | \$1,921,673 |  | \$1,660,721 |  |  |
| 2 | PSNC officer's short-term | 435,794 |  | 401,542 |  |  |
| 3 | PSNC officer's long-term | 473,300 |  | 484,717 |  |  |
| 4 | Service Company 3-5-7 |  | \$1,248,915 |  | \$1,054,271 |  |
| 5 | Service Company officer's short term |  | 1,414,311 |  | 1,216,275 |  |
| 6 | Service Company officer's long term |  | 5,584,682 |  | 5,000,052 |  |
| 7 | Total Bonus accrual (Sum L1 thru L6) | \$2,830,767 | \$8,247,908 | \$2,546,980 | \$7,270,598 |  |
| 8 | Test year bonus accrual | 3,212,610 | 5,539,957 | 3,212,610 | 5,539,957 |  |
| 9 | O\&M Expense \% | 70.88\% | 100.00\% | 70.88\% | 100.00\% |  |
| 10 | Total | $(270,651)$ | 2,707,951 | $(471,799)$ | 1,730,641 | (\$1,178,457) |

[1] Per Company MFR, Item 4a, Workpaper 3-S.
[2] Per Company response to email $7 / 29 / 16$.

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line No. | Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Proforma Payroll Adjustment |
| 2 | Updated Other Benefits Percent |
| 3 | Adjustment to Proforma Other Benefits (L1*L2) |


| Per Company | Per Public Staff | Adjustment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$2,456,256 | \$2,189,289 |  |
| 7.60\% [1] | 7.53\% |  |
| \$186,675 | \$164,906 | (\$21,770). |

[1] Per MFR, Item 4a, Workpaper 3F, Page 1.
[2] Per information provided by the Company.

## Boswell Exhibit |

Schedule 3-4
Public Service Company of North Carolina
Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
ADJUSTMENT TO REALLOCATE SCANA SENIOR STAFF COMPENSATION For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| LineNo. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Item | Amount |
| SCANA Senlor Staff Compensation Charged to PSNC O\&M: |  |  |
| 1. | Company Amount | \$2,229,159 |
| 2. | Public Staff Amount | 1,359,579 |
| 3. | Publlc Staff Adjustment to Decrease O\&M Expenses (L1-L2) | \$869,580 |

[1] Company responses to Public Staff Accounting DR 48.
[2] Allocation of all compensation items for each executive based on the allocation of the executive's regular pay.

Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.
Docket No. G-5, Sub 595
For the 12 Months Ended December 31, 2015
Computation of Public Staff Adjustment to Executive Compensation

|  | Annual Compensation | ST Incentive | LT Incentive | Other | Total Compensation | PSNC \% | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KB Marsh | \$1,202,590 | \$1,364,220 | \$2,763,823 | \$402,625 | \$5,733,258 | 5.4904\% | \$314,779 |
| JE Addison | 624,112 | 590,070 | 1,004,157 | 187,080 | 2,405,419 | 9.3624\% | 225,205 |
| RT Lindsay | 456,209 | 344,261 | 544,044 | 143,893 | 1,488,407 | 9.6543\% | 143,696 |
| SA Byrne | 624,112 | 531,063 | 1,004,157 | 154,706 | 2,314,038 | 0.0000\% | - |
| WK Kissam | 383,739 | 265,767 | 387,644 | 83,658 | 1,120,808 | 0.0000\% | - |
| Total | \$3,290,762 | \$3,095,381 | \$5,703,825 | \$971,962 | \$13,061,930 |  | \$683,680 |


| Executive Compensation Charged BTL per Public Staff | $50.00 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Public Staff PSNC BTL Executive Compensation | 341,840 |
| [2] |  |
| PSNC BTL Executive Compensation Before Executive Compensation Adjustment | $(62,528)$ |
| Public Staff Adjustment to Decrease O\&M Expenses | $\$ 279,312$ |

$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Public Staff Adjustment to Decrease Payroll } & 70,369 \\ \text { Staff Adjustment to Decrease Incentive Plan } & 208,944\end{array}$
[1] Company Response tp PSNC DR 48-2.
[2] Determined by the Public Staff
[3] Per Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-5(a).

## Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.

Docket No. G-5, Sub 495
For the 12 Months Ended December 31, 2007
Computation of Executive Compensation Charged to Below the Line and Non Utility Activities by Company

| Line |  | Annual | ST | LT |  | Total | Total PSNC | Total PSNC BTL \& Non |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | Compensation | Incentive | Incentive | Other | Compensation | Non Utility \% | Utility |
| 1 | KB Marsh | \$1,202,590 | \$1,364,220 | \$2,763,823 | \$402,625 | \$5,733,258 | 0.69\% | \$39,532 |
| 2 | JE Addison | 624,112 | 590,070 | 1,004,157 | 187,080 | 2,405,419 | 0.81\% | 19,565 |
| 3 | RT Lindsay | 456,209 | 344,261 | 544,044 | 143,893 | 1,488,407 | 0.23\% | 3,431 |
| 4 | SA Byrne | 624,112 | 531,063 | 1,004,157 | 154,706 | 2,314,038 | 0.00\% | - |
| 5 | WK Kissam | 383,739 | 265,767 | 387,644 | 83,658 | 1,120,808 | 0.00\% | - |
| 6 | Total | \$3,290,762 | \$3,095,381 | \$5,703,825 | \$971,962 | \$13,061,930 |  | \$62,528 |

Allocators Used to Assign Executive Compensation:

|  | PSNC O\&M \% | Non Utility \% <br> 11 | PSNC O\&M to Non Utility \% | PSNC $\text { BTL } \%{ }^{21}$ | Total PSNC Non Utility \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total PSNC } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KB Marsh | 5.4904\% | 1.13\% | 0.06\% | 0.63\%. | 0.69\% | 6.1799\% |
| JE Addison | 9.3624\% | 1.13\% | 0.11\% | 0.71\% | 0.81\% | 10.1758\% |
| RT Lindsay | 9.6543\% | 1.13\% | 0.11\% | 0.12\% | 0.23\% | 9.8849\% |
| SA Byrne | 0.0000\% | 1.13\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.0000\% |
| WK Kissam | 0.0000\% | 1.13\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.0000\% |

1/ MFR Item 4a, Workpaper 3N.
2/ Company Response to Public Staff DR 48-2.

Public Service Company of North Carolina
Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 ADJUSTMENT TO REMOVE RETIRED EXECUTIVE'S COMPENSATION

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

## Line

No.
1.
2.
3. Public Staff Adjustment to Decrease O\&M Expenses
4. Public Staff Adjustment to Decrease Payroll
5. Public Staff Adjustment to Decrease Incentive Plan

Total Compensation for retired executive included in cost of service Compensation to be included per Public Staff

Amount
\$139,250 [1]
$(\$ 139,250)$
$(10,032)$
[1] Company responses to Public Staff.
[1]

# Public Service Company of North Carolina Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 <br> ADJUSTMENT TO RATE CASE EXPENSE <br> For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015 

| Line <br> No. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rate case expenses per Public Sta |
| 2 | Amortization period in years |
| 3 | Annual amortization per Public Staf |
| 4 | Less: Annual amortization per Com |
| 5 | Public Staff adjustment to O\&M exp |
|  | [1] Per Company update. <br> [2] Recommended by Public Staff. <br> [3] MFR Item 4a, Workpaper 3-J. |

# Public Service Company of North Carolina <br> Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 <br> ADJUSTMENT TO POSTAGE EXPENSE 

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line No. | Item |  | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Test Year Postage Expenses |  | \$2,104,270 [1] |
|  | Less Disallowed Advertising Postage |  |  |
| 2 | Account 913 postage | 95,223 [1] |  |
| 3 | Percentage Acct 913 direct mail disallowed | 99.88\% [2] |  |
| 4 |  |  | \$95,109 |
| 5 | Test Year Postage per Public Staff (L1-L4) |  | \$2,009,161 |
| 6 | Percent decrease in rate effective 04/16 |  | -4.08\% |
| 7 | Postage per Public Staff (L5 x L6) |  | 1,927,187 |
| 8 | Postage per Company |  | 2,071,904 [1] |
| 9 | Adjustment to postage expense (L7-L8) |  | (\$144,717) |

[1] G-1 Filing, Item 4a, Workpaper 3-Q.
[2] Direct mailings disallowed in advertising.
[3] The $\$ .02$ postage decrease from $\$ .49$ to $\$ .47$, equates to a $4.08 \%$ decrease.

Public Service Company of North Carolina
Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
ADJUSTMENT TO UNCOLLECTIBLES
For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line <br> No. |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |


| Item | Provision |
| :---: | :---: |
| Uncollectibles percentage | 0.2500\% [1] |
| Revenues from sales and transportation of gas, net of gas costs | 253,150,334 [2] |
| Updated revenues from sales and transportation of gas, net of gas costs | \$254,057,612 [3] |
| Uncollectibles per Public Staff (L1 x L3) | 635,144 |
| Uncollectibles per Company | 657,589 [3] |
| Adjustment to uncollectibles (L4-L5) | (\$22,445) |

[1] Based on the information provided in the G-1. Item 10.
[2] Per Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3, Column a, Line 6.
[3] Per Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3, Column aa, Line 6.
[4] Per Item 4a, Workpaper 3-G.
Boswell Exhibit I
Schedule 3-10

## Public Service Company of North Carolina

Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
ADJUSTMENT TO INFLATION EXPENSE
For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Item |  | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Non-adjusted O8M expenses per the Company |  | \$92,766,693 [1] |
| 2 | Less adjusted expenses per Company filing |  | 86,266,755 [1] |
| 3 | Total expenses subject to inflation per Company |  | 6,499,938 |
|  | Less: Additional Expenses adjusted elsewhere |  |  |
| 4 | Nonutility Expense Adjustment | 257,961 [2] |  |
| 5 | Company Nonutility Expense | 40,019 [3] |  |
| 6 | Correct Company SalesForce | $(116,776)[4]$ |  |
| 7 | Remove lobbying/political expenses | 94,200 [6] |  |
| 8 | Remove Contributions and Donations | 127,324 [7] |  |
| 9 | Remove Entertainment and Gifts | 24,592 [7] |  |
| 10 | Test Year Advertising Expenses | 1,034,104 [5] |  |
| 11 | Adjusted expenses not subject to inflation adjustment |  | 1.461,424 |
|  | Addition: Expenses not adjusted elsewhere |  |  |
| 12 | SCANA Chargebacks | 23,177,489 |  |
| 13 | Adjustment for employee benefits | (738,638) |  |
| 14 | Adjusted expenses to be included in inflation adjustment |  | 22,438,851 |
| 15 | Non-adjusted O\&M expenses per the Public Staff (L3-L10+L14) |  | \$27,477,366 |
| 16 | Inflation index |  | 2.20\% [5] |
| 17 | Inflation adjustment per Public Staff (L15 x L16) |  | 604,502 |
| 18 | inflation adjustment per Company |  | 155,349 [1] |
| 19 | Adjustment to nor-adjusted O\&M expenses increased for inflation (L17-L18) |  | \$449,153 |

[1] G-1, Item 4a, Workpaper 3L
[2] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-11, Column c, Line 27.
[3] Per Company response to DR 14-1.
[4] Per Company response to DR15-1
[5] Recommended by Public Staff.
[6] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 3-22.
[7] Per query of response to DR49-1.


Public Service Company of North Carolina
Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 AMORTIZATION OF PIPELINE INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT COSTS For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line No. | Item | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Income Statement Effect: |  |
| 1 | Balance of Deferred PIM Costs @ June 30, 2008 | \$2,287,037 |
| 2 | Add: Incremental deferred PIM expenses 7/1/2008-12/31/2015 | 15,920,144 |
| 3 | Add: Updated deferred PIM expenses 1/1/2016-6/30/2016 | 4,389,641 |
| 4 | Total Deferred PIM Costs through June 30, 2016 (sum L1- L3) | 22,596,822 |
| 5 | Less: Sub 495 Amortization expense 11/1/2008 to11/1/2016 | $(6,098,768)$ |
| 6 | Deferred PIM Cost Balance per Public Staff (L4-L5) | 16,498,054 |
| 7 | Amortization period in years | 5 |
| 8 | Amortization of PIM Costs per Public Staff (L6/L7) | 3,299,611 |
| 9 | Amortization of PIM Costs per Company | 5,706,715 |
| 10 | Public Staff Adjustment to O\&M Expenses (L8-L9) | (\$2,407, 104) |
|  | Rate Base Effect: |  |
| 11 | Unamortized Pipeline Integrity Costs @ December 31, 2015 | \$16,498,054 |
| 12 | Less: Onoging amortization reflected in O\&M expenses | 3,299,611 |
| 13 | Unamortized PIM balance per Public Staff (L11-L12)) | 13,198,443 |
| 14 | Deferred Pipeline Integrity Costs per Company | 0 |
| 15 | Unamortized Pipeline Integrity Costs per Public Staff | \$13,198,443 |

[1] Per Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 G-1 and data request responses. .
[2] Per Docket No. G-5, Sub 495. Annual PIM amortization approved $\$ 762,346$ times 8 years.
[3] Recommended by Public Staff.

## Public Service Company of North Carolina <br> Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 <br> AMORTIZATION OF MANUFACTURED GAS PLANT COSTS

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| No. |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
|  |
| 4 |
|  |
| 5 |
|  |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
|  |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |


| Item | Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| Balance of Deferred MGP Costs @ June 30, 2008 | 3,494,563 [1] |
| Add: Incremental deferred PIM expenses 7/1/2008-12/31/2015 | 3,692,766 [1] |
| Add: Incremental environmental expenses 1/1/2016-6/30/2016 | 3,155,963 [1] |
| Total Deferred PIM Costs through June 30, 2016 (sum L1-L3) | 10,343,292 |
| Less: Sub 495 Amortization expense 11/1/2008 to11/1/2016 | $(9,318,832)[2]$ |
| Deferred Deferred MGP Cost per Public Staff (L4 -L5) | 1,024,460 |
| Amortization period in years | $5[3]$ |
| Amorization of Deferred MGP Costs per Public Staff (L6/L7) | 204,892 |
| Amorization of Deferred MGP Costs per Company | 2,254,255 |
| Public Staff Adjustment to O\&M Expenses (L8-L9) | (\$2,049,363) |
| Rate Base Effect: |  |
| Unamortized Pipeline Integrity Costs @ December 31, 2015 | \$1,024,460 |
| Less: Annual amortization reflected in O\&M expenses | 204,892 |
| Unamortized PIM Balance per Public Staff | 819,568 |
| Deferred Pipeline Integrity Costs per Company | 0 |
| Unamortized Pipeline Integrity Balance per Public Staff | \$819,568 |

[1] MFR Item 4, Workpaper 3-I and response to DR 11.
[2] Per Docket No. G-5, Sub 495. Annual PIM amortization approved \$1,164,854 times 8 years.
[3] Recommended by Public Staff.

## Public Service Company of North Carolina <br> Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 AMORTIZATION OF DISTRIBUTION INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015
Actual test year DIMP costs Item
DIMP costs incurred January - June 2016 updates
Total DIMP costs at June 2016

Balance of DIMP costs to be deferred
Amortization period
Annual amortization (L4 / L5)
Adjustment per Company
Public Staff adjustment (L6 - L7)

Amount

Rate Base Effect:
Unamortized Pipeline Integrity Costs @ December 31, 2015
Less: Onoging amortization reflected in O\&M expenses
Unamortized PIM balance per Public Staff
Deferred Pipeline Integrity Costs per Company
Unamortized Pipeline Integrity Costs per Public Staff

| Amount |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| $\$ 480,884$ |  |
| $1,020,209$ |  |
| $1,501,093$ |  |
|  |  |
| $1,501,093$ |  |
| 5 |  |
| $\$ 300,219$ |  |
| $\$ 1,519,116$ |  |
| $(1,218,897)$ |  |$\quad[1]$

\$1,501,093
300,219
1,200,875
$\begin{array}{r}0 \\ \hline \$ 1,200,875 \\ \hline \hline\end{array}$
[1] MFR Item 4, Workpaper 3-P and Company updates.
[2] Per Public Staff.

Public Service Company of North Carolina
Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
ADJUSTMENT TO CHANGES FOR REGULATORY FEE
For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

Line

## No.

 Less:
CIAC Tax Gross-up
Uncollectibles
Amount Subject to Fee
Fee Percentage
Adjusted Fee per Public Staff (L4 x L5)
Adjusted Fee per Company
Public Staff Adjustment to Regulatory Fee (L6 - L7)

Amount
$\$ 430,268,985$ [1]

416,022 [1] 635,144 [2]
( $\$ 34,304$ )
[1] MFR, Item 4a, Workpaper 3-C.
[2] Boswell Exhibit 1, Schedule 3-9, Line 9.
[3] Per Commission Order on July 11, 2016.

Pubile Service Company of North Caroilna
Docket No. 6.5 . Sub 565



## Public Service Company of North Carolina <br> Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 <br> AMORTIZATION OF SALESFORCE LICENSE

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Item | Amount |
| 1 | 2015 Amortization - Q4 | \$45,730 [1] |
| 2 | 2015 - Catch-up Entry | 243,759 [1] |
| 3 | Total 2015 Amortization (L1 +L2) | 289,489 |
| 4 | Adjustment of Salesforce per Company | $(128,685)$ [1] |
| 5 | Cost of 3-year contract | \$370,260 [2] |
| 6 | Annual Cost (L5/3) | 123,420 |
| 7 | Public Staff ongoing level of O\&M Expense | 123,420 |
| 8 | Public Staff Adjustment to O\&M Expenses (L7-L3-L4) | $(\$ 37,385)$ |

[1] MFR Item 4, Workpaper 3R.
[2] Per Company response to DR 21-3.

Boswell Exhibit I
Schedule 3-18

## Public Service Company of North Carolina <br> Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 <br> Customer Deposits and Interest

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

Line

| No. | Item | Customer <br> Deposits | Interest [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | June 2015 | (\$7,959,233) | \$57,239 |
| 2 | July 2015 | $(7,801,018)$ | 43,902 |
| 3 | August 2015 | $(7,720,266)$ | 44,541 |
| 4 | September 2015 | $(7,693,842)$ | 49,863 |
| 5 | October 2015 | $(8,026,795)$ | 49,554 |
| 6 | November 2015 | $(8,225,758)$ | 47,078 |
| 7 | December 2015 | $(8,282,424)$ | 41,438 |
| 8 | January 2016 | $(8,443,668)$ | 47,493 |
| 9 | February 2016 | $(8,465,852)$ | 46,156 |
| 10 | March 2016 | $(8,367,242)$ | 55,340 |
| 11 | April 2016 | $(8,116,095)$ | 45,778 |
| 12 | May 2016 | $(7,806,164)$ | 49,977 |
| 13 | June 2016 | $(7,570,958)$ | 48,641 |
| 14 | Total sum of Lines 1 through 13 | (104,479,314) | 569,759 |
| 15 | Number of Months | 13 | 13 |
| 16 | 13 Month Average (Line 14/ Line 15) | $(8,036,870)$ | 43,828 |
| 17 | Average calculated per Company | $(8,295,676)$ | 44,700 |
| 18 | Public Staff Adjustment to O\&M Expenses (L16-L17) | \$258,806 | (\$872) |
| 19 | Adjustment required in Customer Deposits per PS |  | 569,759 [2] |
| 20 | Adjustment required in Customer Deposits per Company |  | 581,096 |
| 21 | Difference (Line 19-Line 20) |  | (\$11,337) |

[1] MFR Item 4a, Workpaper 3-B, updated per Company.
[2] Per Line 14.

## Boswell Exhibit I Schedule 3-19

Public Service Company of North Carolina
Public Service Company of North Carolina ADJUSTMENT TO SERVICE COMPANY CHARGES

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line No. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| No. |  |
| 12 |  |
| 3 |  |


| Item | Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Company increase in Service Company charges |  | $\$ 3,216,283$ |
| Public Staff calculated Service Company charges | 0 |  |
| Public Staff adjustment to Service Company charges (L2-L1) |  | $(\$ 3,216,283)$ |

[1] MFR Item 4, Workpaper 3M.
[2] Per Company response to Public Staff inquest.

# Public Service Company of North Carolina 

Public Service Company of North Carolina
GAS TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE CHARGES
For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line <br> No. |
| :---: |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |

Meters billed June 2016
GTtem rate per meter
Public Staff calculated O\&M Charge (L1 $\times$ L2)
Company requested O\&M Charge
Adjustment to GTI O\&m Charge (L3-L4)
[1] Per Company response and monthly revenue report.
[2] MFR Item 4, Workpaper 3-W.

## Public Service Company of North Carolina

Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
ADJUSTMENT TO FUEL COSTS
For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line <br> No. | Item | Amount |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unleaded | Diesel |  |
|  |  | (a) | (b) |  |
| 1 | 2014 Average Price | 3.32 [1] | 3.77 [1] |  |
| 2 | 2015 Average Price | 2.32 [1] | 2.67 [1] |  |
| 3 | 2 year average price | 2.82 | 3.22 |  |
| 4 | Gallons in test year | 542,511 [1] | 137,035 [1] |  |
| 5 | Average calculated fuel costs (L3 * L4) | 1,529,881 | 441,253 |  |
| 6 | Cost in test year | 1,256,377 [1] | 366,482 [1] |  |
| 7 | Public Staff fuel costs (L5-L6) | 273,504 | 74,771 | 348,275 [2] |
| 8 | Increase to O\&M fuel costs per Company | 396,033 [1] | 108,258 [1] | 504,291 [2] |
| 9 | Adjustment to fuel costs (L.7-L8) | $(122,529)$ | $(33,487)$ | $(156,016)[2]$ |
| 10 | O\&M percentage |  |  | 75.00\% [1] |
| 11 | Public Staff adjustment to fuel costs (L9 * L10) |  |  | $\underline{(\$ 117,012)}$ |

[1] MFR Item 4, Workpaper 3-T.
[2] Column a plus Column b.

|  | Boswell Exhibit I <br> Schedule 3-22 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Public Service Company of North Carolina <br> Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 <br> ADJUSTMENT TO LOBBYING EXPENSE <br> For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015 |

[1] MFR, Item 13.
[2] Per Company Response.
[3] Recommended by Public Staff
[4] Per review of Company response to DR49.

## Public Service Company of North Carolina <br> Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 INTEREST SYNCHRONIZATION ADJUSTMENT <br> For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line <br> No. | Item |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Interest expense assigned to rate base per Company |
| 2 | Interest expense assigned to rate base per Public Staff |
| 3 | Difference in interest expense tax deduction (L2 - L1) |
| 4 | Adjustment to state income taxes (L3 $\times 4 \%)$ |
| 5 | Adjustment to federal income taxes (L3 + L4 $\times 35 \%)$ |
| 6 | Total adjustment to income taxes |

[1] Boone Exhibit 6, Page 2.
[2] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 4, Column (e), Line $1+$ Line 2.

Public Service Company of North Carolina
Docket No. G-5, Sub 565
RETURN ON EQUITY AND ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line No. | ltem | $\frac{$ Capitalization  <br>  Ratios }{ (a) } |  | Original Cost Rate Base <br> (b) |  | Before Recommended increase |  |  |  | After Recommended Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Embedded Costreturn \% <br> (c) | Weighted <br> cos $\ell$ Return $\%$ <br> (d) | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Net Operating } \\ \text { Income } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { (e) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Rate Base | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Embedded } \\ \text { Cost/Retum } \% \end{array} \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ |  | Weighted <br> Cost/Retum $\%$ <br> $(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Net Operating } \\ \text { Income } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { (h) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Long term debt | 44.62\% |  | \$428,414,394 | [2] | 5.52\% [1] | 2.46\% [5] | \$23,648,475 | [7] | \$429,221,584 | 5.52\% |  | 2.46\% [10] | \$23,693,031 |
| 2 | Short term debt | 3.38\% |  | 32,452,726 | [2] | 0.77\% [1] | 0.03\% [5] | 249,886 | [7] | 32,513,872 | 0.77\% |  | 0.03\% [10] | \$250,357 |
| 3 | Common equity | 52.00\% |  | 499,272,714 |  | 7.31\% [4] | 3.80\% [5] | 36,504,078 | [8] | 500,213,411 | 9.70\% |  | 5.04\% [10] | \$48;520,701 |
| 4 | Totals | 100.00\% |  | \$960,139,834 |  |  | 6.29\% [6] | \$60,402,439 |  | \$961,948,867 |  |  | 7.53\% [11] | \$72,464,089 |

[1] Per Public Staff Witness.
[2] Column (a) $\times$ Column (b), Line 4
[3] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 2.
[4] Coiumn (e)/Column (b).
5] Coiumn (a) $\times$ Column (c).
[6] Coiumn (e), Line $4 /$ Column (b), Line 4.
[7] Coiumn (b) $\times$ Column (c).
[8] Column (e), Line 4-Line 1-Line 2.
[10] Coswell Exhibit l, Scheduie
[11] Coiumn (h), Line 4/Column (b), Line 4
[12] Coiumn (b) $\times$ Coiumn (f)
[13] Sum of Line 1 thru L3.

## Public Service Company of North Carolina <br> Docket No. G-5, Sub 565 <br> CALCULATION OF INCREASE (DECREASE) IN <br> REVENUE REQUIREMENT <br> For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line No. | Item | Debt |  | Equity(c) | $\frac{\text { Total }}{(\mathrm{d})}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Long Term | Short Term |  |  |
|  |  | (a) | (b) |  |  |
| 1 | Required net operating income | \$23,693,031 | \$250,357 [1] | \$48,520,701 [1] | \$72;464,089 |
| 2 | Net operating income before proposed increase | 23,648,475 | 249,886 [2] | 36,504,078 [2] | 60,402,439 |
| 3 | Additional net operating income requirement (L1-L2) | 44,556 | 471 | 12,016,623 | 12,061,650 |
| 4 | Retention factor | 0.996104 | 0.996104 [3] | 0.6215686 [3] |  |
| 5 | Additional gross revenue requirement (L3 / L4) | \$44,730 | \$473 | \$19,332,738 | \$19,377,941 |

[1] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 4, Column (h).
[2] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 4, Column (e).
[3] Boswell Exhibit I, Schedule 5 (a), Column (d), Line 13.

Public Service Company of North Carolina
Docket No. G-5, Sub 565

## CALCULATION OF GROSS REVENUE EFFECT FACTORS

For The Test Year Ended December 31, 2015

| Line No. | Item | Capital Structure | Cost Rates | Retention Factors | Gross Revenue Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |
| Rate Base Factor: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Long-term debt | 44.62\% | 5.52\% | 0.9961035 | 0.02472659 |
| 2 | Short-term debt | 3.38\% | 0.77\% | 0.9961035 | 0.00026128 |
| 3 | Common equity | 52.00\% | 9.70\% | 0.6215686 | 0.08114953 |
| 4 | Total (Sum of L1 thru L3) | 100.00\% |  |  | 0.1061374 |
| Net Income Factor: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Total revenue |  |  |  | 1.0000000 |
| 6 | Uncollectibles |  |  |  | 0.0025000 |
| 7 | Balance (L5-L6) |  |  |  | 0.9975000 |
| 8 | Regulatory fee (L7 $\times$ current regulatory fee rate) |  |  |  | 0.0013965 |
| 9 | Balance (L7-L8) |  |  |  | 0.9961035 |
| 10 | Less: State income tax (L9 $\times 4 \%$ ) |  |  |  | 0.0398441 |
| 11 | Balance (L9-L10) |  |  |  | 0.9562594 |
| 12 | Less: Federal income tax (L11 $\times 35 \%$ ) |  |  |  | 0.3346908 |
| 13 | Gross up factor (L11-L12) |  |  |  | 0.6215686 |


[^0]:    [1] Boone Exhibit 6, Page 1 of 5 , "After Adjustments" column.
    [2] Interest synchronization effect of increase in CWC due to rate increase
    [3] Column (a) plus Column (b).

[^1]:    Operating Revenues:
    ion of gas
    Opecial Contract Revenues
    4 Total operating revenues (L1-L3)
    Cost of gas
    6 Margin (L4-L5)
    Operating Expenses:
    Operating and maintenance
    Depreciation
    General taxes
    10 State income tax (3\%)
    11 Federal income tax ( $35 \%$ )
    12 Ammorization of investment tax credits
    ${ }_{13}$ Amortization of EDIT
    14 Total operating expenses (Sum of L4 ihru L.10)
    15 Interest on customer deposits
    16 Net operating income for return (L3-L12+L11+L12)
    Revenue Requirement Effect

