Thill Rebuttal Exhibit 6 W-218 Sub 526

PUBLIC STAFF RESPONSE TO AQUA NORTH CAROLINA, INC. DATA REQUEST NO. 8 TO PUBLIC STAFF

DOCKET NO. W-218, SUB 526

DATE OF REQUEST: FRIDAY, MAY 29, 2020 DATE OF RESPONSE: WEDNESDAY, JUNE 3, 2020	
	CONFIDENTIAL
Х	NOT CONFIDENTIAL

The individuals making the response and responsible for the subject matter addressed in herein are Windley Henry, Accounting Manager of the Water and Sewer/Communications Section of the Public Staff Accounting Division, and Charles Junis, Engineer with the Water, Sewer, and Telephone Division of the Public Staff.

<u>Subject of Data Request</u>: Joint Testimony and Exhibits of Windley Henry and Charles Junis

Request:

- 1. Page 7, lines 7-8 read "Ideally, the in service date will occur in the same month as the unitization date."
 - a. Is this ideal new since the Sub 274 rate case referenced later on that same page of testimony?
 - b. Are there reasons this is stated as an ideal rather than a rule?
 - i. If so, what factors might appropriately cause a delay in the unitization beyond the in-service date?
 - ii. Are these factors new considerations?
 - iii. Were there factors in the Sub 274 case that are no longer deemed worthy of consideration?

Response:

- a No.
- b. Yes. The reason the Public Staff utilizes ideal instead of rule is because there are circumstances or factors that could legitimately delay a complete and accurate unitization after the in-service date.
 - Such factors include, but are not be limited to, receipt of accounts payable from vendors, invoicing disputes, and mechanical, structural, and/or efficacy issues that develop upon start-up.
 - ii. No.
 - iii. No.