September 4, 2018

Ms. M. Lynn Jarvis, Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission Mail Service Center 4325 Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300

Re: Docket No. E-2, Sub 1176 - Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Application for Approval of Joint Agency Asset Rider

Dear Ms. Jarvis:

In connection with the above-referenced docket, I transmit herewith for filing on behalf of the Public Staff the Testimony of Darlene P. Peedin, Manager, Electric Section, Accounting Division.

By copy of this letter, we are providing copies to all other parties of record.

Sincerely,

/s/ Dianna W. Downey Staff Attorney dianna.downey@psncuc.nc.gov

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1176

TESTIMONY OF DARLENE P. PEEDIN ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC STAFF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

September 4, 2017

1	Q.	WILL YOU STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR NAME, ADDRESS,
2		AND PRESENT POSITION?
3	A.	My name is Darlene P. Peedin. My business address is 430 North
4		Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina. I am the Accounting
5		Manager – Electric Section of the Accounting Division of the Public
6		Staff.
7	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY STATE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND
8		DUTIES.
9	A.	My qualifications and duties are included in Appendix A.
10	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
11		PROCEEDING?
12	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the results of the Public
13		Staff's investigation of the application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC
14		(DEP or the Company), to revise the Joint Agency Asset Rider
15		(JAAR) rates approved by the Commission in Docket No. E-2,
16		Sub 1143, pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.14 and Commission

- Rule R8-70. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.14 allows DEP to recover the
 North Carolina retail portion of all reasonable and prudent costs
 incurred to acquire, finance, operate, and maintain the proportional
 interest in the generating units purchased from the North Carolina
- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PORTIONS OF GENERATION
 FACILITIES THAT FALL UNDER THE JAAR.

Eastern Municipal Power Agency, or NCEMPA (Joint Unit Costs).

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A.

A. The portions of the generating facilities that can be recovered through the JAAR are DEP's acquired percentages of NCEMPA's ownership interests of 18.33% in the Brunswick Nuclear Plant, 12.94% in Unit No. 4 of the Roxboro Steam Plant, 3.77% in the Roxboro Plant Common Facilities, 16.17% in Unit No. 1 of the Mayo Steam Plant, and 16.17% in the Shearon Harris Nuclear Plant.

14 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CURRENT JAAR RATES.

DEP's current JAAR rates were approved by the Commission in its Order Approving Joint Agency Asset Rider Adjustment, issued on November 17, 2017, in Docket No. E-2, Sub 1143, which provided for recovery during the period December 1, 2017, through November 30, 2018 of (a) estimated Joint Unit Costs applicable to or expected to be incurred during that period (including the three-year amortization of costs that were expected to be incurred from August

- 1, 2015, through November 30, 2015)¹, and (b) a Rolling Recovery
 2 Factor (RRF) true-up of applicable costs actually incurred as
 3 compared to JAAR revenues recovered.
- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S INITIALLY PROPOSED
 JAAR RATES.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Α.

The Company filed its initial application on June 20, 2018, and requested approval of prospective JAAR rates to recover the levelized acquisition costs and other estimated and annually apportioned costs that are (a) associated with the acquired ownership interests from NCEMPA and (b) applicable to or expected to be incurred during the period December 1, 2018, through November 30, 2019 (rate period). The application also requested approval of a proposed RRF to return the over-recovery of the same categories of costs as of December 31, 2017, which includes the cumulative unrecovered RRF balance as of December 31, 2016 plus the net over-recovery of costs that accrued during the test period in this proceeding (January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017). The specific rates requested by the Company, including the North Carolina regulatory fee (regulatory fee), to become effective for the rate period, are as follows:

¹ DEP is amortizing these deferred costs over a three-year period beginning December 1, 2015, and ending November 30, 2018.

Rate Class	Prospective Incremental Rate	Rolling Recovery Incremental Rate	Combined Incremental Rate
Residential (\$/kWh)	0.00456	(0.00029)	0.00427
Small General Service (\$/kWh)	0.00542	(0.00029)	0.00513
Medium General Service (\$/kWh)	0.00411	(0.00029)	0.00382
Seasonal & Intermittent Svc. (\$/kWh)	0.00412	(0.00029)	0.00383
Traffic Signal Service (\$/kWh)	0.00248	(0.00029)	0.00219
Outdoor Lighting Service (\$/kWh)	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Medium General Service (\$/kW)	1.35	(80.0)	1.27
Large General Service (\$/kW)	1.38	(80.0)	1.30

1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S SUPPLEMENTAL

2 TESTIMONY AND REVISED EXHIBITS.

A.

On August 31, 2018, the Company filed the Supplemental Testimony of Company witness Jiggetts with revised exhibits to reflect a change in the calculation of the RRF. Initially, the Company proposed spreading the RRF uniformly among the classes. The revised RRF rates are calculated on a class-specific basis utilizing the Company's approved customer allocation methodology. The revised rates requested by the Company, including the regulatory fee, to become effective for the rate period, are as follows:

Rate Class	Prospective Incremental Rate	Rolling Recovery Incremental Rate	Combined Incremental Rate
Residential (\$/kWh)	0.00456	(0.00015)	0.00441
Small General Service (\$/kWh)	0.00542	(0.00044)	0.00498
Medium General Service (\$/kWh)	0.00411	(0.00039)	0.00372
Seasonal & Intermittent Svc. (\$/kWh)	0.00412	0.00037	0.00449
Traffic Signal Service (\$/kWh)	0.00248	(0.00011)	0.00237
Outdoor Lighting Service (\$/kWh)	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Medium General Service (\$/kW)	1.35	(0.18)	1.17
Large General Service (\$/kW)	1.38	(0.02)	1.36

1 Q. DOES THE PUBLIC STAFF AGREE WITH THE COMPANY'S

2 METHODOLOGY UTILIZED IN MS. JIGGETTS' SUPPLEMENTAL

3 TESTIMONY AND REVISED EXHIBITS?

- 4 A. Yes. The calculation of the revised RRF on a class-specific basis
 5 conforms with N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.14(b)(5), which provides for
 6 the utilization of the customer allocation methodology approved by
 7 the Commission in the electric public utility's most recent general rate
 8 case in determining the amount of the rider.
- 9 Q. WHAT IS THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT UNDERLYING THE
 10 COMPANY'S PROPOSED RATES?
- 11 A. The prospective incremental rates reflected above were determined 12 by the Company based on an estimated North Carolina retail JAAR 13 revenue requirement of \$147,654,000 for the rate period, and the

1	RRF incremental rates were determined based on an over-recovery
2	of \$9,196,000 in test period Joint Unit Costs.

3 Q. HOW HAS THE PROPOSED PROSPECTIVE JAAR REVENUE

4 REQUIREMENT CHANGED AS COMPARED TO THE CURRENT

PROSPECTIVE REVENUE REQUIREMENT?

Α.

A. The Company's proposed prospective JAAR annual revenue requirement in the current proceeding of \$147,654,000 is a decrease of approximately \$3.9 million below the \$151,575,000 of costs estimated for the JAAR rate period of December 2017 through November 2018. Company witness Jiggetts states in her testimony that the primary drivers of the decrease are: (1) reductions in the levelized revenue requirement and (2) reductions in deferred costs.

13 Q. WHAT FUEL SAVINGS WERE EXPERIENCED IN 2017 AS A

14 RESULT OF THE ACQUISITION OF THE OWNERSHIP INTEREST

IN THE GENERATING UNITS FROM NCEMPA?

As required by Commission Rule R8-70(e)(1)(vi), Company witness Jiggetts' Exhibit L sets forth the fuel savings associated the repurchase of the NCEMPA undivided ownership interest for the twelve-month period from January through December 2017. The calculated North Carolina retail fuel savings set forth on Exhibit L (approximately \$41,287,000) have been or will be (through the fuel cost true-up process) flowed through to DEP's customers through its fuel and fuel-related cost rider. Although flowed through

1	in that rider, those fuel savings are effectively an offset to the JAAR,
2	as they represent a benefit of the Company's acquisition of
3	NCEMPA's undivided ownership interest

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PUBLIC STAFF'S INVESTIGATION OF THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED JAAR RATES.

Α.

The Public Staff's investigation in this proceeding included a review of DEP's application, testimony, and exhibits filed in this docket as well as the JAAR monthly reports. Additionally, the Public Staff's investigation included the review of responses to written and verbal data requests, as well as discussions with the Company.

The Public Staff reviewed the underlying capital additions and operating costs added to the calculation of the JAAR rider in this proceeding but did not perform a full-scale investigation of the prudence and reasonableness of all such additions or expenses. Commission Rule R8-70(b)(4) provides that the Commission is to determine the reasonableness and prudence of the cost of capital additions or operating costs incurred related to the acquired plant in a general rate proceeding. However, should the Public Staff discover imprudent or unreasonable costs in a JAAR proceeding, it will recommend an adjustment in that proceeding; in that case, it would also recommend that the impact of any disallowance also be reflected in the Company's cost of service in a general rate case.

1 WHAT ARE THE RESULTS OF THE PUBLIC STAFF'S Q.

2 **INVESTIGATION?**

3

4

5

6

7

Based on its investigation of the Company's original and revised Α. filings, the Public Staff has not found any adjustments that should be made to the calculations of either the prospective or RRF revenue Therefore, the Public Staff recommends that the requirement. revised rates requested by the Company, including the regulatory fee, to become effective for the rate period are as follows: 8

Rate Class	Prospective Incremental Rate	Rolling Recovery Incremental Rate	Combined Incremental Rate
Residential (\$/kWh)	0.00456	(0.00015)	0.00441
Small General Service (\$/kWh)	0.00542	(0.00044)	0.00498
Medium General Service (\$/kWh)	0.00411	(0.00039)	0.00372
Seasonal & Intermittent Svc. (\$/kWh)	0.00412	0.00037	0.00449
Traffic Signal Service (\$/kWh)	0.00248	(0.00011)	0.00237
Outdoor Lighting Service (\$/kWh)	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Medium General Service (\$/kW)	1.35	(0.18)	1.17
Large General Service (\$/kW)	1.38	(0.02)	1.36

DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY? Q.

10 A. Yes, it does.

APPENDIX A

DARLENE P. PEEDIN

I am a 1989 graduate of Campbell University with a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in Accounting. I am a Certified Public Accountant and a member of the North Carolina Association of Certified Public Accountants.

Since joining the Public Staff in September 1990, I have filed testimony or affidavits in several general and fuel clause rate cases of utilities currently organized as Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Duke Energy Progress, LLC, Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion Energy North Carolina), Nantahala Power & Light Company, Western Carolina University, and Shipyard Power and Light Company, as well as in several water and sewer general rate cases. I have also filed testimony or affidavits in other proceedings, including applications for certificates of public convenience and necessity for the construction of generating facilities and applications for the approval of cost recovery for Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (REPS) cases.

I was promoted to Accounting Manager with responsibility for electric matters in January 2017. I have had supervisory responsibility over the Electric Section of the Accounting Division since 2009.

Prior to joining the Public Staff, I was employed by the North Carolina Office of the State Auditor. My duties included the performance of financial, compliance, and operational audits of state agencies, community colleges, and Clerks of Court.