

October 7, 2019

Ms. Kimberley A. Campbell, Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300

Re: Docket No. E-2, Sub 1206

Dear Ms. Campbell:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the Commission with the results of the Public Staff's review of the costs of the portfolio of demand-side management and energy efficiency (DSM/EE) programs of Duke Energy Progress, LLC, (DEP or the Company), incurred during the 12-month period ended December 31, 2018 (2018 program costs).

In his testimony filed on August 19, 2019, Public Staff witness Maness stated that the Public Staff's investigation included a review of the Company's filing, relevant prior Commission proceedings and orders, and workpapers and source documentation used by the Company to develop the proposed DSM/EE billing rates. The review included the selection and review of samples of source documentation for test year program costs included by the Company for recovery through the DSM/EE Rider. Evaluation of this sample was intended to test whether the actual costs included by the Company in the DSM/EE EMF billing rates were either valid costs of approved DSM and EE programs or administrative costs supporting those programs. Mr. Maness stated that the Public Staff was continuing to review certain data responses received from the Company prior to the date his testimony was prefiled, including documentation of costs selected for review in the Public Staff's samples; should this review result in any further issues, the Public Staff would file additional information with the Commission.

**Executive Director** (919) 733-2435

(919) 733-5610 **Consumer Services** Accounting (919) 733-4279 (919) 733-9277

Communications

**Economic Research** (919) 733-2267

Legal (919) 733-6110 Transportation (919) 733-7766

Electric (919) 733-2267

**Natural Gas** (919) 733-4326

Ms. Kimberley A. Campbell, Chief Clerk October 7, 2019 Page Two

The Public Staff has now completed its review of test year program costs and has found no material differences between the program costs as filed by the Company and the costs as reflected in the supporting documentation examined. As a result of the review, the Public Staff is of the opinion that the Company has done a good job overall preventing inappropriate costs from being recorded as DSM/EE program costs. The Public Staff did identify immaterial amounts, recorded as part of certain accounting entries, which it plans to discuss further with the Company, with the objective of obtaining a better understanding of accounting methods used.

The Public Staff's recommended DSM/EE EMF billing rates and forward-looking DSM/EE rates remain as set forth in the Supplemental Testimony of Carolyn T. Miller and Supplemental Exhibits of Carolyn T. Miller and Robert P. Evans, filed by DEP in this proceeding on September 5, 2019.

Sincerely,

Electronically Submitted
/s/ Lucy E. Edmondson
Staff Attorney
lucy.edmondson@psncuc.nc.gov

cc: Parties of Record