# "Quarterly Review"

# Selected Financial and Operational Data:

### Re:

## Electric Companies

- Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.
- Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
- Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power

## Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies

- Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.
- Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.

## **Telecommunications Companies**

- Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC, d/b/a CenturyLink
- Central Telephone Company, d/b/a CenturyLink
- Citizens Telephone Company
- Mebtel, Inc., d/b/a CenturyLink
- North State Telephone Company, d/b/a North State Communications
- Verizon South Inc.
- Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.
- Windstream Lexcom Communications, Inc.
- Windstream North Carolina, LLC
  - **u** Quarter Ending December 31, 2009 **u**



# State of North Carolina

## **Htilities Commission**

4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-4325

COMMISSIONERS EDWARD S. FINLEY, JR., CHAIRMAN LORINZO L. JOYNER WILLIAM T. CULPEPPER, III COMMISSIONERS BRYAN E. BEATTY SUSAN W. RABON TONOLA D. BROWN-BLAND LUCY T. ALLEN

June 28, 2010

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Chairman Edward S. Finley, Jr.

Commissioner Lorinzo L. Joyner

Commissioner William T. Culpepper, III

Commissioner Bryan E. Beatty Commissioner Susan W. Rabon

Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland

Commission Lucy T. Allen

FROM: Donald R. Hoover, Director DRH

Operations Division

The Operations Division hereby presents for your consideration the *Quarterly Review* for the calendar quarter ending December 31, 2009. Such report, which has been prepared by the Operations Division, presents an overview of selected financial and operational information and data for 14 major investor-owned public utilities regulated by the Commission.

On October 5, 2009, in Docket No. P-55, Sub 1013M, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina filed its notice of election of a Subsection (h) price plan as provided for in House Bill 1180, which was signed into law on June 30, 2009, as set forth in Session Law 2009-238, entitled, "An Act Establishing the Consumer Choice and Investment Act of 2009". As a result of such election, AT&T North Carolina is no longer required to provide an annual report to the Commission as directed by Commission Rule R1-32. Consequently, information related to AT&T North Carolina is no longer presented in this report.

Should you have questions concerning the report, Freda Hilburn, Bliss Kite, or I will be pleased to be of assistance.

Thank you for your consideration.

DRH/FHH/BBK/kah

## Table of Contents

Part				Page
I	Intr	oductio	on .	1
H	Revi	iew of I	Key Financial Ratios:	8
		Con Reti Equ	nmary Statement of Key Financial Ratios For Six Selected npanies For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2009 — urns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return, Common nity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios — And Certain Rate e Data	9
		Rate Age.	tement of Authorized Returns on Common Equity and Overall es of Return Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory encies As Reported By <u>Public Utilities Reports</u> , Volume 2011-278, from March 2009 Through February 2010	. 11
111	Ovei	rviews c	of Selected Financial and Operational Data By Utility:	13
		Elec	ctric Companies:	
		•	Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.	14
		-	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	15
			Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power	16
		Nati	ural Gas Local Distribution Companies:	
		•	Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.	17
		•	Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.	18
		Tele	communications Companies:	
		•	Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC, d/b/a CenturyLink	19
		•	Central Telephone Company, d/b/a CenturyLink	20

## Table of Contents — continued

Part				Page
Ш		rviews ( continu	of Selected Financial and Operational Data ued:	
	o	Tele	ecommunications Companies — continued:	
		•	Citizens Telephone Company	21
		•	Mebtel, Inc., d/b/a CenturyLink	22
		•	North State Telephone Company, d/b/a North State Communications	23
		•	Verizon South Inc.	24
		•	Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.	25
		•	Windstream Lexcom Communications, Inc.	26
		=	Windstream North Carolina, LLC	27
IV	Appe	endix A	1 – Distribution List	

# Part I

# Introduction

The purpose, structure, focus, and an abbreviated synopsis of the nature of the contents of this report is presented here.

The Quarterly Review has been designed and is structured so as to provide, in a clear and concise format, relevant and useful financial and operational information pertaining to 14 major investor-owned public utilities regulated by the North Carolina Utilities Commission (Commission): three electric companies, two natural gas local distribution companies, and nine telecommunications companies. The primary focus of this report is one of a jurisdictional financial nature. However, albeit limited, certain jurisdictional operational information is also included.

To a vast extent the information presented herein is organized into individual company overviews. The data presented covers a period of five years, except for one telephone company, Windstream Lexcom Communications, Inc. (Windstream Lexcom)<sup>1</sup> for which only one year of data is available as a result of Windstream Lexcom's election for price regulation which became effective June 8, 2009.<sup>2</sup> From a general viewpoint, the individual company overviews, excluding to a certain extent those of the price regulated telephone companies, for which information is strictly limited, provide information that users of this report will find helpful from the standpoint of gaining insight into each company's jurisdictional financial standing and in acquiring a sense of the magnitude of each company's overall jurisdictional economic dimension.

Significant changes have taken place with regard to reporting requirements for the price regulated telephone companies, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003, as a result of further relaxed regulation of the telecommunications industry. Due to these changes, the financial and operational data submitted to the Commission by such companies are significantly less comprehensive than that previously provided.

The aforementioned reporting requirement changes for the price regulated telephone companies were implemented by Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814 (An Act to Clarify the Law Regarding Competitive and Deregulated Offerings of Telecommunications Services), and as previously indicated, were effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. Specifically, in the present regard, the April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the TS-1 Report previously submitted annually by the price regulated telephone companies. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Formerly known as LEXCOM Telephone Company (LEXCOM) prior to its name change, which became effective December 7, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On April 22, 2009, the Commission issued an Order Approving Price Regulation Plan for implementation by LEXCOM, in Docket No. P-31, Sub 145, effective no later than July 1, 2009. LEXCOM elected for its price regulation plan to become effective on June 8, 2009. Consequently, beginning with the reporting period ending December 31, 2009, LEXCOM is now providing its annual report in accordance with the reporting requirements for the price regulated telephone companies.

Quarterly Review, which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided. Further, the information provided by the eight price regulated telephone companies included in this report is presented on a total North Carolina combined basis, including both their regulated and nonregulated operations, as that is what is now being provided to the Commission.

Furthermore, on June 30, 2009, House Bill 1180 (HB1180) became law as set forth in Session Law 2009-238. Said law, entitled "An Act Establishing the Consumer Choice and Investment Act of 2009," created a new category of price plan operation whereby any local exchange carrier or competing local provider may choose to adopt by simply "filing notice of its intent to do so with the Commission," with such election being effective immediately upon filing. Subsection (h) price plans<sup>3</sup> provide for extensive deregulation of an eligible company's "terms, conditions, rates, or availability" relating to its retail services. While such deregulation is very extensive by historical standards, it is not a complete deregulation of the telecommunications industry.<sup>4</sup>

On October 5, 2009, in Docket No. P-55, Sub 1013M, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina filed its notice of election of a Subsection (h) price plan as provided for in HB1180, pursuant to G.S. 62-133.5(h). To date, AT&T North Carolina is the only incumbent local exchange carrier to make such an election. As a result of such election, AT&T North Carolina is no longer required to provide an annual report to the Commission as directed by Commission Rule R1-32. Alternatively, as required by the Commission's March 30, 2010 Order in Docket No. P-100, Sub 165, AT&T North Carolina will provide the Commission, on an annual basis, a link to its financial filings with the Security and Exchange Commission.

This report has been prepared solely for the use of the Commission. The responsibility for developing and preparing the report is that of the Commission's Operations Division (Division). The preponderance of the information and data included in and/or on which the report is based has been provided by the companies. Such data has not been audited or otherwise verified. Therefore, the Division, although it believes the aforesaid data to be true and correct in each and every respect, cannot and does not offer any attestation in that regard.

### A Specific Objective

A specific objective of this reporting process is to present to the Commission, on an ongoing basis, meaningful information regarding the financial viability of the subject

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In general, the Commission refers to the new price plan category which resulted from the passage of HB1180 as "Subsection (h) price plans".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Docket No. P-100, Sub 165 for additional information regarding the implications of the enactment of HB1180 and the implementation of Subsection (h) price plans.

companies, including the reasonableness of the overall levels of rates and charges currently being charged by jurisdictional utilities, whose rates are cost based, for their sales of services. Cost based regulation is synonymous with rate base, rate of return regulation.

Under rate base, rate of return regulation, the cost of service of a public utility is defined as the sum total of reasonable operating expenses, depreciation, taxes, and a reasonable return on the net valuation of property used and useful in providing public utility services. Therefore, the reasonableness of a public utility's rates is a function of the reasonableness of the level of each individual component of its cost of service.

The reasonable return component of the cost of service equation refers to the overall rate of return related to investment funded by all investors, including debt investors as well as preferred and common equity investors. The costs of debt capital and preferred stock, which are essentially fixed by contract, must be deducted from revenue, like all other components of the cost of service, in determining income available for distribution to common stockholders. Therefore, generally speaking, a very meaningful measure of the profitability of any utility, and consequently the reasonableness of its overall rates and charges, is the return earned on its common shareholders' investment, i.e., its return on common equity, over some specified period of time. Typically, such returns are measured over a period of one year. Thus, annual returns on common equity and certain other key financial ratios, which among other things give significant perspective to the common equity returns, are the focal points of this report.

#### The Key Financial Ratios

Specifically, the key financial ratios presented herein for use in reviewing the companies' financial viabilities, including their profitability and consequently the reasonableness of their rates and charges are (1) the return on common equity, (2) the common equity capitalization ratio, (3) the pretax interest coverage ratio, and (4) the overall rate of return.

#### The Return on Common Equity

As indicated, the return on common equity is a key financial indicator which measures the profitability of an enterprise from the standpoint of its common stockholders over some specified period of time. That return or earnings rate reflects the ratio of earnings available for common equity to the common-equity investors' capital investment. As previously stated, the ratio is significant because it traditionally represents profitability after all revenues and costs, other than the cost of common equity capital, have been considered. From the standpoint of measuring profitability, return on common equity is indeed "the bottom line".

#### The Common Equity Capitalization Ratio

The common equity capitalization ratio is the ratio of common equity capital to total investor-supplied capital of the firm. That ratio is significant because it is a major indicator of

the financial riskiness of the firm, particularly from the standpoint of the common stockholders. The issuance of debt capital, assuming no offsetting decrease in preferred stock, decreases the common equity capitalization ratio, and its existence creates what has come to be known as financial leverage. The risk borne by shareholders that accompanies that leverage is known as financial risk. As the proportion of debt in the capital structure increases, so does the degree of financial leverage and thus shareholders' risk and consequently the shareholders' requirements regarding expected return, i.e., the expected return on common equity or, in regulatory jargon, the cost of common equity capital.

Alternatively, the financial riskiness of the firm, some might argue, is more appropriately revealed when expressed in terms of debt leverage, particularly when preferred stock is present in the capital structure. Such leverage is the ratio of long-term debt capital to total investor-supplied capital. Both approaches are clearly insightful and useful. In evaluating the superiority of one approach in comparison to the other, one should consider the context within which the information is to be used. Since a major objective of this report is to review the reasonableness of the levels of carnings of the companies' common stockholders, and in consideration of the other key financial benchmarks which are also presented herein, the common equity capitalization ratio appears to be the most appropriate and meaningful measure of the financial riskiness of the companies for use in this regard.

#### The Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio

The pretax interest coverage ratio is the number of times earnings, determined before consideration of income taxes and interest charges, cover annual interest charges. That financial indicator is particularly important to debt investors because holders of the company's outstanding debt, including long-term bonds, receive interest payments from the company before any earnings are determined to be available for distribution to preferred or common equity investors. Pretax interest coverage is measured before income taxes because interest expense is deductible in arriving at taxable income. Therefore, generally speaking, debt holders can expect to be paid before the company incurs any liability for the payment of income taxes. From the debt holder's perspective, all other things remaining equal, the higher the pretax interest coverage the better.

#### The Overall Rate of Return

The overall rate of return measures the profitability of a firm from the standpoint of earnings on total investment, including investment funded by both debt and equity investors. Specifically, in the public utility regulatory environment, it is the ratio of operating income to total investment.

#### The Propriety of the Methodology

The foregoing financial benchmarks, as presented in this report, have been determined on the basis of the companies' actual operating experience. Under rate base, rate of return regulation, North Carolina statutes require that the companies' rates be determined on a normalized, pro forma, end-of-period basis based upon an historical test period. Stated alternatively, the Commission, in setting prospective rates, essentially, must take into account the company's current level of operations adjusted for known and material changes in the levels of revenues and costs that the company can reasonably be expected to experience over a reasonable period of time into the future. Thus, rates, which are established for use prospectively, are set, to a certain extent and within certain constraints, on the basis of revenue and cost expectations, including investor expectations regarding their return requirements, as opposed to simply setting prospective rates solely on the basis of actual operating experience.

The process of setting prospective rates is inherently and exceedingly time consuming, difficult, and otherwise costly to both companies and regulators. It involves the assimilation, investigation, and evaluation of enormous amounts of complex information and data which invariably leads to multifarious issues; many, if not most, of which must be resolved through adjudication.

It is far less difficult and costly to perform an intellectual, financial analysis of the need to undertake the aforesaid process. Such preliminary analysis avoids the unnecessary incursion of the immense costs of setting prospective rates. Those are precisely the reasons why this report is focused on a review of the returns on common equity and other key financial ratios which the companies are currently earning or achieving under their existing rates and charges. Those ratios, when considered in conjunction with statutory ratemaking requirements, prevailing economic conditions, and certain other financial indicators, including returns on common equity and overall rates of return currently being authorized by other public utility regulatory agencies, are meaningful indicators of the need, if any, for further, more extensive regulatory review.

From the standpoint of giving an added measure of meaning to the aforesaid ratios of the individual companies and in the interest of providing a sense of current financial market conditions, certain financial information has been included herein as notes to the first statement included in Part II of this report. Such notes are an integral part of this report.

Additionally, also from the standpoint of providing perspective, returns on common equity and overall rates of return currently being authorized by a number of other public utility regulatory agencies are provided in the second statement presented in Part II.

#### A Final Note

It is emphasized that the information contained in this report is not intended and should not be construed to be all inclusive from the standpoint of the criteria to be used in assessing the reasonableness of the companies existing rates. But rather, it is submitted that such information is clearly relevant to such a determination and as such should be considered in conjunction with all other pertinent information and data.

The Operations Division will be pleased to receive and respond to any questions or comments.

# Part II

# A Review of Key Financial Ratios

- Summary Statement of Key Financial Ratios For Six Selected Companies For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2009 Returns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return, Common Equity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios And Certain Rate Case Data
- Statement of Authorized Returns on Common Equity and Overall Rates of Return Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory Agencies As Reported By Public Utilities Reports, Volume Nos. 271-278 from March 2009 Through February 2010

#### **Summary Statement**

#### Of Key Financial Ratios Achieved By And Authorized For Selected Companies

"Estimated Returns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return,
Common Equity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios are for Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2009,
Except for Certain Telecommunications Companies - See Note [1]"

"Rate Case Data are from Orders with Various Issue Dates as Indicated in Column (i)"

		Estimat	ed for 12 M	onths Ended	12/31/09	Au	thorized - L	ast Rate Ca	se
Line <u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u> (a)	Return On <u>Equity</u> (b)	Overall Rate of <u>Return</u> (c)	Equity <u>Ratio</u> (d)	Debt <u>Ratio</u> (e)	Return On <u>Equity</u> (f)	Overall Rate of <u>Return</u> (9)	Equity <u>Ratio</u> (h)	Date of Last <u>Order</u> (i)
	Electric Companies								
1.	Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.	11.60%	8.79%	55.50%	43.80%	12.75%	10.45%	44.00%	08/05/1988
2.	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	9.24%	7.45%	52.30%	47.70%	10.70%	8.38%	52.50 <b>%</b>	12/07/2009
3.	Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power	7.19%	6.48%	51.08%	47.03%	N/A	N/A	N/A	03/18/2005
	Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies								
4.	Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.	12.58%	8,60%	46.23%	53,77%	10.60%	8.55%	51.00%	10/24/2008
5.	Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.	12.12%	8.57%	51.38%	48.62%	10.60%	8.54%	54.00%	10/24/2008
	Telecommunications Companies				-				
	Rate of Return Regulated Companies								
6.	Citizens Telephone Company	2.20%	2.20%	100.00%	0.00%	12.70%	10.11%	44.95%	02/26/1991
	Price Plan Regulated Companies	Data is	not available	e. See Note [1	}				
7.	Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC, d/b/a CenturyLink								
8.	Central Telephone Company, d/b/a CenturyLink								
9.	Mebtel, Inc., d/b/a CenturyLink								
10.	North State Telephone Company, d/b/a North State Communications								
11.	Verizon South Inc.								
12.	Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.	•							
13.	Windstream Lexcom Communications, Inc.								

NOTES: [1] Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

[2] N/A denotes that the data is not available.

Windstream North Carolina, LLC

14.

- [3] In its March 18, 2005 Order Approving Stipulation, in Docket No. E-22, Sub 412, the Commission did not make certain determinations with respect to Dominion North Carolina Power's (Dominion's) capital structure and rates of return. The Commission concluded that the stipulation presented an appropriate resolution of the contested matters in the rate case proceeding and resulted in just and reasonable rates for Dominion, without making specific findings regarding rate base, operating revenues and expenses, the overall rate of return, and the return on common equity.
- [4] Pursuant to the Commission's December 20, 2007 Order in Docket No. E-7, Sub 828, all North Carolina jurisdictional reporting and accounting for Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Nantahala Area is consolidated with Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC.
- [5] On April 22, 2009, the Commission issued an Order Approving Price Regulation Plan for implementation by LEXCOM in Docket No. P-31. Sub 145, effective no later than July 1, 2009. LEXCOM elected for its price regulation plan to become effective on June 8, 2009. On February 4, 2010, LEXCOM notified the Commission that its name has been changed to Windstream Lexcom Communications, Inc.

# Summary Statement Of Key Financial Ratios Achieved by And Authorized for Selected Companies

"Estimated Returns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return,
Common Equity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios are for Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2009,
Except for Certain Telecommunications Companies - See Note [1]"

**NOTES** - continued

NOTES: [6] Selected financial market indicators from "Moody's Credit Trends" updated on June 17, 2010 follow:

Part I

		U.S	. Treasury Secur	ities	Dealer- Placed	Moody's Long-Term
Line No.	<u>Date</u> (a)	3-Month Bill <u>%</u> (b)	10-Year Note <u>%</u> (c)	30-Year Bond <u>%</u> (d)	3-Month CP <u>%</u> (e)	Corporate Bond Yield <u>%</u> (f)
1.	June 16, 2010	0.10	ND	4.18	ND	5.60
2.	June 15, 2010	0.09	3.32	4.23	0.32	5.64
3.	June 14, 2010	0.07	3.28	4.20	0.31	5.62
4.	June 11, 2010	0.08	3.24	4.15	ND	5.55
5.	June 10, 2010	0.10	3.33	4.25	0.30	5.65
6.	Month of May 2010	0.16	3.42	4.29	ND	. 5.61
7.	Month of April 2010	0.16	3.85	4.69	0.42	5.67

Part II

Moody's public utility long-term bond yield averages (%):

			<u>Past 12</u>	<u>Months</u>	<u>Monthly</u>	<u>Average</u>
Line No.	Rating (a)	<u>6/16/2010</u> [7] (b)	<u>High</u> (c)	<u>Low</u> (d)	<u>Jun. 2010</u> (e)	May 2010 (f)
1.	Aa	5.29	5.68	5.15	5.29	5.34
2.	A	5.52 6.37	5.97	5.53 6.06	5.54 6.21	5.57 6.15
3.	Baa	6.27	6.87	φυ. <b>φ</b>	0.21	0.10

<sup>[7]</sup> Most recent data available when this edition of the Quarterly Review was prepared. According to "Moody's Credit Trends", updated on June 17, 2010, such long-term bond yield averages are derived from pricing data on a regularly-replenished population of nearly 90 seasoned corporate bonds in the United States market, each with current outstandings over \$100 million. Further, the bonds have maturities as close as possible to 30 years; bonds are dropped from the list if their remaining life falls below 20 years or if their ratings change.

<sup>[8]</sup> In previous Quarterly Review reports, the Commission has reported certain limited information pertaining to new bond offerings by public utilities; however, such information is no longer published in "Moody's Credit Trends". Consequently, such information is not being reported at this time.

#### Statement of Authorized Returns

#### On Common Equity and Overall Rates of Return

#### Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory Agencies As Reported In

Public Utilities Reports, Volume Nos. 271-278, from March 2009 through February 2010 (Statement Is All Inclusive With Respect To Returns Published)

		Authorize	ed Returns		Volume No.
Line <u>No.</u>	Company (Jurisdiction) (a)	Common <u>Equity</u> (b)	Overall (c)	Date Of <u>Order</u> (d)	Public Utilities <u>Reports</u> (e)
	Electric Companies				
1.	Atlanta Power Company (ID)	12.00%	11.52%	12/19/2008	Volume 271
2.	The United Illuminating Company (CT)	8.75%	7.59%	02/04/2009	Volume 271
3.	Northern States Power Company (ND)	10.75%	[1] N/A	01/14/2009	Volume 271
4.	Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE (MO)	10.76%	N/A	02/06/2009	Volume 271
5.	Tampa Electric Company (FL)	11.25%	8.11%	04/30/2009	Volume 273
6.	Indiana Michigan Power Company (IN)	10.50%	7.62%	03/04/2009	Volume 273
7.	Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation (NY)	10.00%	7.28%	06/22/2009	Volume 274
8.	Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (OH)	N/A	8.61%	07/08/2009	Volume 275
9.	Avista Corporation (ID)	10.50%	8.55%	07/17/2009	Volume 275
10.	Oncor Electric Delivery Company, LLC (TX)	10.25%	8.28%	08/31/2009	Volume 277
11.	Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy (MN)	10.88%	8.83%	10/23/2009	Volume 277
12.	Delmarva Power & Light Company (MD)	10.00%	7.96%	12/30/2009	Volume 278
13.	Consumers Energy Company (MI)	10.70%	6.98%	11/02/2009	Volume 278
	Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies				
14.	New England Gas Company (MA)	10.05%	7.74%	02/02/2009	Volume 271
15.	Narragansett Electric, d/b/a National Grid (RI)	10.50%	N/A	01/29/2009	Volume 272
16.	Northern Illinois Gas Company, d/b/a Nicor Gas Company (IL)	.10.11%	7.58%	03/25/2009	Volume 272
17.	Illinois Gas Company (IL)	10.94%	7.81%	05/13/2009	Volume 273
18.	EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc., d/b/a National Grid NH (NH)	9.54%	N/A	05/29/2009	Volume 273
19.	Peoples Gas System (FL)	10.75%	8.50%	06/09/2009	Volume 274
20.	Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation (NY)	10.00%	7.28%	06/22/2009	Volume 274
21.	Connecticut Natural Gas Corporation (CT)	9.31%	7.92%	06/30/2009	Volume 274
22.	Black Hills/Iowa Gas Utility Company, LLC, d/b/a Black Hills Energy (IA)	10.10%	8.71%	06/03/2009	Volume 275
23.	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MN)	10.21%	7.98%	06/29/2009	Volume 275

#### Statement of Authorized Returns

#### On Common Equity and Overall Rates of Return

#### Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory Agencies As Reported In Public Utilities Reports, Volume Nos. 271-278, from March 2009 through February 2010

(Statement Is All Inclusive With Respect To Returns Published)

		Authorize	ed R	eturns		Volume No.
Line <u>No.</u>	Company (Jurisdiction) (a)	Common <u>Equity</u> (b)		Overall (c)	Date Of <u>Order</u> (d)	Public Utilities <u>Reports</u> (e)
	Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies (continued)					
24.	Avista Corporation (ID)	10.50%		8.55%	07/17/2009	Volume 275
25.	Wyoming Gas Company (WY)	10.87%		9.08%	08/10/2009	Volume 275
26.	Southern Connecticut Gas Company (CT)	9.26%		8.05%	07/17/2009	Volume 276
27.	Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (NY)	10.40%	[2]	8.49%	10/16/2009	Volume 276
28.	Southwest Gas Corporation (NV)	10.15%		N/A	11/03/2009	Volume 277
29.	Hope Gas, Inc., d/b/a Dominion Hope (WV)	9.45%		6.86%	11/20/2009	Volume 277
30.	CenterPoint Energy (MN)	10.24%		8.09%	01/11/2010	Volume 278
	Water Companies					
31.	Return on Common Equity for Water and Wastewater Utilities (FL)	[3]		N/A	12/31/2008	Volume 271
32.	California Water Service Company (CA)	10.20%	[4]	8.58%	05/07/2009	Volume 272
33.	California-American Water Company (CA)	10.20%	[4]	8.04%	05/07/2009	Volume 272
34.	Golden State Water Company (CA)	10.20%	[4]	8.90%	05/07/2009	Volume 272
35.	West Virginia-American Water Company (WV)	10.00%		8.10%	04/01/2009	Volume 273
36.	City of Waukesha Water Utility (WI)	7.04% [	[5]	6.25%	06/05/2009	Volume 274

- [1] The settlement provides that if the utility earns in excess of 10.75% return on common equity during the 2009 and 2010 calendar years, the Company will refund to customers revenues corresponding to earnings as follows: 50% of earnings above 10.75% up to and including 11.25%; and 75% of earnings above 11.25%.
- [2] The rate plan requires that any earnings in excess of an 11.4% return on equity (ROE) is to be shared with ratepayers. Earnings between 11.4% ROE and 12.4% ROE would be shared equally; earnings between 12.4% ROE and 14% ROE would be shared 65% to ratepayers and 35% to the local distribution company (LDC); and earnings over 14% would be shared 90% to ratepayers and 10% to the LDC.
- [3] The Florida Public Service Commission's December 31, 2008 Order established an authorized range of returns on common equity (ROE) for water and wastewater utilities. The authorized range is based upon a leverage formula which, when applied, produces a range of authorized ROEs ranging from 9.48% at 100% equity to 12.67% at 40% equity. The ROE is capped at 12.67% for all water and wastewater utilities with equity ratios of less than 40%.
- [4] In its May 7, 2009 Order, the California Public Utilities Commission established the base year 2009 ratemaking return on common equity of three, large, multi-district water utilities. Such proceeding was the first proceeding for the three utilities in which the sole subject was cost of capital separated from a general rate case. In its Order, the Commission adopted an individual capital structure and weighted cost of capital for each of the utilities.
- [5] The Applicant's capital structure employed in providing utility service that is associated with the net investment rate base is estimated to be 71.40% municipal equity and 28.60% long-term debt.
- [6] N/A denotes that information is not available.

## Part III

# Overviews of Selected Financial and Operational Data by Utility:

- Electric Companies
  - Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.
  - Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
  - Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power
- Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies
  - Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.
  - Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.
- Telecommunications Companies
  - Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC, d/b/a CenturyLink
  - Central Telephone Company, d/b/a CenturyLink
  - Citizens Telephone Company
  - Mebtel, Inc., d/b/a CenturyLink
  - North State Telephone Company, d/b/a North State Communications
  - Verizon South Inc.
  - Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.
  - Windstream Lexcom Communications, Inc.
  - Windstream North Carolina, LLC

#### CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC.

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Retail Jurisdiction

(Amounts In Thousands)

				12 Months En	dad		Growth	Date
Line		December	December	December	December	December	Four	Curren
No.	item	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005		
140.							<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue	\$3,379,227	\$3,179,055	\$3,154,959	\$2,886,790	\$2,816,219	4.66%	6.30
2.	Operating Expenses:							
3.	Fuel	1,171,481	875,588	936,072	776,689	678,354	14.64%	33,79
4.	Purchased Power	152,489	232,584	202,755	226,028	240,456	-10.76%	-34.44
5.	Maintenance	234,345	212,478	232,143	188,544	169,432	8.45%	10,29
6.	Other Operating Expenses	589.522	564,997	537,866	504,726	528,366	2.78%	4.34
7.	Total Operating Expenses	2,147,837	1,885,647	1,908,836	1,695,987	1,616,608	7.36%	13.90
8.	Depreciation & Amortization	337,235	389,847	<u>401,855</u>	<u>453,675</u>	446,730	-6.79%	-13.50
9.	Total Expenses & Depreciation	2,485,072	2,275,494	2,310,691	2,149,662	2,063,338	4.76%	9.21
0.	Total Operating Taxes	412,155	406,637	381,119	345,703	348,157	4.31%	1.36
1.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	2.897.227						
	•		<u>2.682,131</u>	<u>2,691,810</u>	2,495,365	<u>2,411,495</u>	4.69%	8.029
2.	Operating Income	<u>\$482,000</u>	<u>\$496.924</u>	<u>5463.149</u>	<u>\$391.425</u>	<u>\$404.724</u>	<u>4.47%</u>	<u>-3.00°</u>
3.	Net Plant Investment	\$5.280.498	\$5,070,132	<u>\$4.756.860</u>	<u>\$4.722,408</u>	<u>\$4.740.916</u>	<u>2.73%</u>	4.15
		63.56%	59.31%	60.50%	58.75%	57,40%	2,58%	7.179
4.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	03.3078						
15.	Net Pit. Investment per S of Revenue	\$1.56	\$1.59	\$1.51	\$1.64	\$1.68	-1.84%	-1,i
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0.		\$1.56	\$1.59 1,087,039 189,448 4,084 1,849 1,282,420 14,833	1,069,169 188,823 3,451 1,946 1,263,389	1,046,236 185,665 3,521 1,990 1,237,412	\$1.68 1,022,873 182,482 3,560 2,066 1,210,981 14,473	-1.84%  1.79% 1.11% 3.13% -3.35% 1.69%	1.03 0.65 -1.40 <u>-2.48</u> 0.96
5. 7. 8. 9. 0.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue  Number of Customers Served (000s inclu Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Number of Customers  Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh)	\$1.58 uded): 1,098,279 190,683 4,027 1,803 1,294,792	1,087,039 189,448 4,084 1,849 1,282,420	1,069,169 188,823 3,451 1,946 1,263,389	1,046,236 185,665 3,521 1,990 1,237,412	1,022,873 182,482 3,560 2,068 1,210,981	1.79% 1.11% 3.13% -3.35% 1.69%	1.03 0.65 -1.40 -2.48 0.96
5. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue  Number of Customers Served (000s inclu Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Number of Customers  Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh) Residential	\$1.56 uded): 1,098,279 190,683 4,027 1,803 1,294,792	1,087,039 189,448 4,084 1,849 1,282,420	1,069,169 188,823 3,451 1,946 1,263,389	1,046,236 185,665 3,521 1,990 1,237,412	1,022,873 182,482 3,560 2,088 1,210,981	1.79% 1.11% 3.13% -3.35% 1.69%	1.03 0.65 -1.40 -2.45 0.96
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue  Number of Customers Served (000s inclu Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Number of Customers  Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh) Residential Commercial	\$1.58 uded): 1,098,279 190,683 4,027 1,803 1,294,792 14,954 11,898 8,115	1,087,039 189,448 4,084 1,849 1,282,420 14,833 12,162 8,847	1,069,169 188,823 3,451 1,946 1,263,389 15,011 12,222 9,178	1,046,236 185,665 3,521 1,990 1,237,412 14,135 11,612 9,248	1,022,873 182,482 3,560 2,088 1,210,981 14,473 11,555 9,469	1.79% 1.11% 3.13% -3.35% 1.69% 0.82% 0.73% -3.78%	1.03 0.65 -1.40 -2.49 0.96 0.82 -2.17 -8.27
5. 3. 7. 3. 3. 3. 4. 5. 3.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue  Number of Customers Served (000s inclu Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Number of Customers  Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh) Residential Commercial Industrial	\$1.58 uded): 1,098,279 190,683 4,027 1,803 1,294,792	1,087,039 189,448 4,084 1,849 1,282,420 14,833 12,162	1,069,169 188,823 3,451 1,946 1,263,389 15,011 12,222	1,046,236 185,665 3,521 1,990 1,237,412 14,135 11,612	1,022,873 182,482 3,560 2,068 1,210,981 14,473 11,555	1.79% 1.11% 3.13% -3.35% 1.69% 0.82% 0.73%	1.03 0.65 -1.40 -2.49 0.96 0.82 -2.17 -8.27 7.68
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 8. 7.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue  Number of Customers Served (000s inclu Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Number of Customers  Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh) Residential Commercial Industrial Other	\$1.58 uded): 1,098,279 190,683 4,027 1,803 1,294,792 14,954 11,898 8,115 2,327	1,087,039 189,448 4,084 1,849 1,282,420 14,833 12,162 8,847 2,161	1,069,169 188,823 3,451 1,946 1,263,389 15,011 12,222 9,178 2,423	1,046,236 185,665 3,521 1,990 1,237,412 14,135 11,612 9,248 2,083	1,022,873 182,482 3,580 2,088 1,210,981 14,473 11,555 9,469 2,851	1.79% 1.11% 3.13% -3.35% 1.69%  0.82% 0.73% -3.78% -4.95%	-1.89  1.03 0.65 -1.40 -2.49 0.96  0.82 -2.17 -8.27 7.68 -1.87
5. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 3.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue  Number of Customers Served (000s inclu Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Number of Customers  Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh) Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Sales	\$1.56 uded): 1,098,279 190,683 4,027 1,803 1,294,792 14,954 11,898 8,115 2,327 37,294	1,087,039 189,448 4,084 1,849 1,282,420 14,833 12,162 8,847 2,161 38,003	1,069,169 188,823 3,451 1,946 1,263,389 15,011 12,222 9,178 2,423 38,834	1,046,236 185,665 3,521 1,990 1,237,412 14,135 11,612 9,248 2,083 37,078	1,022,873 182,482 3,580 2,088 1,210,981 14,473 11,555 9,469 2,851 38,348	1.79% 1.11% 3.13% -3.35% 1.69% 0.82% 0.73% -3.78% -4.95% -0.69%	1.03 0.65 -1.40 -2.49 0.96 0.82 -2.17 -8.27 7.68 -1.87
5. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 3. 7.	Number of Customers Served (000s inclused Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Number of Customers  Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh) Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Sales Volume: (Millions kWh) Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Sales	\$1.58 uded): 1,098,279 190,683 4,027 1,803 1,294,792 14,954 11,898 8,115 2,327 37,294	1,087,039 189,448 4,084 1,849 1,282,420 14,833 12,162 8,847 2,161 38,003	1,069,169 188,823 3,451 1,946 1,263,389 15,011 12,222 9,178 2,423 38,834	1,046,236 185,665 3,521 1,990 1,237,412 14,135 11,612 9,248 2,083 37,078	1,022,873 182,482 3,580 2,086 1,210,981 14,473 11,555 9,469 2,851 38,348	1.79% 1.11% 3.13% -3.35% 1.69%  0.82% 0.73% -3.78% -4.95% -0.69%	1.03 0.65 -1.40 -2.49 0.96 0.82 -2.17 -8.27 7.68 -1.87
5. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 3. 7.	Number of Customers Served (000s inclused Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Number of Customers  Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh) Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Sales Volume: (Served Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Sales  Estimated Overall Rate of Return Estimated Return on Common Equity	\$1.56 2,098,279 190,683 4,027 1,803 1,294,792 14,954 11,898 8,115 2,327 37,294 8,79% 11,60%	1,087,039 189,448 4,084 1,849 1,282,420 14,833 12,162 8,847 2,161 38,003	1,069,169 188,823 3,451 1,946 1,263,389 15,011 12,222 9,178 2,423 38,834	1,046,236 185,665 3,521 1,990 1,237,412 14,135 11,612 9,248 2,083 37,078	1,022,873 182,482 3,560 2,066 1,210,981 14,473 11,555 9,469 2,851 38,348 8,10%	1.79% 1.11% 3.13% -3.35% 1.69%  0.82% 0.73% -3.78% -4.95% -0.69%  2.06% 2.40%	1.03 0.65 -1.40 -2.49 0.96 0.82 -2.17 -8.27 7.68 -1.87

Notes:

(Docket No. E-2, Sub 537)

<sup>[1]</sup> North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to 73% of total company electric utility revenue.

 <sup>[2]</sup> Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.
 [3] Source of Data: NCUC ES-1 Reports.

#### DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Retail Jurisdiction (Amounts in Thousands)

Item (a)  renue enses: Power eting Expenses g Expenses Amortization s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes ome stiment	December 2009 (b) \$3,857,612 989,667 130,139 390,984 715,006 2,225,796 469,989 2,695,785 512,569 3,208,354 \$649,258 \$11,212,164	December 2008 (c) \$4,097,014  1,104,301 189,643 398,669 737,484 2,430,097  514,358 2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840 \$9,460,089	12 Months En December 2007 (d) \$3,967,883  935,343 115,117 351,391 578,584 2,080,435 719,257 2,799,692 488,908 3,288,600 \$679,283 \$8,791,284	December 2006 (e) \$3,716,151 856,527 99,121 319,135 682,896 1,957,679 688,523 2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751 \$8,588,532	December 2005 (f) \$3,610,073 684,032 58,806 339,542 665,071 1,747,451 755,863 2,503,314 485,607 2,988,921 \$821,152	Growth Four Year (9) 1.67% 9.67% 21.97% 3.59% 1.83% 6.24% -11.20% 1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	Current Year (h) -5.849 -10.389 -31.389 -1.939 -3.059 -8.419 -8.639 -8.459 2.9899
(a)  venue venses:  Power a ating Expenses g Expenses a Amortization s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes ome	(b) \$3,857,612 989,667 130,139 390,984 715,006 2,225,796 469,989 2,695,765 512,569 3,208,354 \$649,258	(c) \$4,097,014 1,104,301 189,643 398,669 737,484 2,430,097 514,358 2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840	(d) \$3,967,883 935,343 115,117 351,391 <u>678,584</u> 2,080,435 <u>719,257</u> 2,799,692 <u>488,908</u> <u>3,288,600</u> <u>\$679,283</u>	(e) \$3,716,151 856,527 99,121 319,135 682,896 1,957,679 688,523 2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	(f) \$3,610,073 684,032 58,806 339,542 685,071 1,747,451 755,863 2,503,314 485,607 2,988,921 \$621,152	(g) 1.67% 9.67% 21.97% 3.59% 1.83% 6.24% -11.20% 1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	(h) -5.849 -10.389 -31.389 -1.939 -3.059 -8.419 -8.639 -8.459 -8.799
renue renses:  Power a ating Expenses g Expenses a Amortization s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes ome	\$3,857,612  989,667 130,139 390,984 715,006 2,225,796 469,989 2,695,765 512,569 3,208,354 \$649,258	\$4,097,014  1,104,301 189,643 398,669 737,484 2,430,097  514,358 2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840	\$3,967,883 935,343 115,117 351,391 <u>678,584</u> 2,080,435 <u>719,257</u> 2,799,692 <u>488,908</u> <u>3,288,600</u> <u>\$679,283</u>	\$3,716,151 856,527 99,121 319,135 682,896 1,957,679 688,523 2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	\$3,610,073  684,032 58,806 339,542 665,071 1,747,451  755,863 2,503,314 485,607 2,988,921 \$621,152	1.67% 9.67% 21.97% 3.59% 1.83% 6.24% -11.20% 1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	-5.84% -10.38% -31.38% -3.139% -1.93% -3.05% -8.41% -8.63% -8.45% -8.79%
enses: Power ating Expenses g Expenses Amortization s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes ome	989,667 130,139 390,984 <u>715,006</u> 2,225,798 469,989 2,695,785 <u>512,569</u> 3,208,354 \$649,258	1,104,301 189,643 398,669 737,484 2,430,097 514,358 2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840	935,343 115,117 351,391 <u>678,584</u> 2,080,435 <u>719,257</u> 2,799,692 <u>488,908</u> 3,288,600 <u>\$679,283</u>	856,527 99,121 319,135 682,896 1,957,679 688,523 2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	684,032 58,806 339,542 665,071 1,747,451 755,863 2,503,314 485,607 2,988,921 \$621,152	9.67% 21.97% 3.59% 1.83% 6.24% -11.20% 1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	-31.38% -1.93% -3.05% -8.41% -8.63% -8.45% -2.98% -6.79%
cower atting Expenses g Expenses Amortization s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes me	130,139 390,984 715,006 2,225,798 469,989 2,695,785 512,569 3,208,354 \$649,258 \$11,212,164	189,643 398,669 737,484 2,430,097 514,358 2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840	115,117 351,391 <u>678,584</u> 2,080,435 <u>719,257</u> 2,799,692 <u>488,908</u> 3,288,600 <u>\$679,283</u>	99,121 319,135 682,896 1,957,679 688,523 2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	58,806 339,542 <u>685,071</u> 1,747,451 <u>755,863</u> 2,503,314 <u>485,607</u> 2,988,921 \$621,152	21.97% 3.59% 1.83% 6.24% -11.20% 1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	-31.38% -1.93% -3.05% -8.41% -8.63% -8.45% -2.98% -6.79%
eting Expenses g Expenses a Amortization s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes ome	130,139 390,984 715,006 2,225,798 469,989 2,695,785 512,569 3,208,354 \$649,258 \$11,212,164	189,643 398,669 737,484 2,430,097 514,358 2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840	115,117 351,391 <u>678,584</u> 2,080,435 <u>719,257</u> 2,799,692 <u>488,908</u> 3,288,600 <u>\$679,283</u>	99,121 319,135 682,896 1,957,679 688,523 2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	58,806 339,542 <u>685,071</u> 1,747,451 <u>755,863</u> 2,503,314 <u>485,607</u> 2,988,921 \$621,152	21.97% 3.59% 1.83% 6.24% -11.20% 1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	-8.63% -8.45% <u>2.98%</u> -6.79%
eting Expenses g Expenses a Amortization s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes ome	390,984 <u>715,006</u> 2,225,796 <u>469,989</u> 2,695,765 <u>512,569</u> <u>3,208,354</u> \$649,258 \$11,212,164	398,669 737,484 2,430,097 514,358 2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840	351,391 <u>678,584</u> 2,080,435 <u>719,257</u> 2,799,692 <u>488,908</u> <u>3,288,600</u> <u>\$679,283</u>	319,135 682,896 1,957,679 688,523 2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	339,542 865,071 1,747,451 755,863 2,503,314 485,607 2,988,921 \$821,152	3.59% 1.83% 6.24% -11.20% 1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	-1.93% -3.05% -8.41% -8.63% -8.45% 2.98% -6.79%
ating Expenses g Expenses a Amortization s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes ome	715,006 2,225,796 469,989 2,695,765 512,569 3,208,354 \$649,258	737,484 2,430,097 514,358 2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840	678,584 2,080,435 719,257 2,799,692 488,908 3,288,600 \$679,283	682,896 1,957,679 688,523 2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	865,071 1,747,451 755,863 2,503,314 485,607 2,988,921 \$821,152	1.83% 6.24% -11.20% 1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	-3.05% -8.41% -8.63% -8.45% 2.98% -6.79%
g Expenses Amortization s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes ome	2,225,798 469,989 2,695,785 512,569 3,208,354 \$649,258	2,430,097 514,358 2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840	2,080,435 719,257 2,799,692 488,908 3,288,600 \$679,283	1,957,679 688,523 2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	1,747,451 <u>755,863</u> 2,503,314 <u>485,607</u> <u>2,988,921</u> \$821,152	6.24% -11.20% 1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	-8.41% -8.63% -8.45% 2.98% -6.79%
g Expenses Amortization s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes ome	2,225,798 469,989 2,695,785 512,569 3,208,354 \$649,258	2,430,097 514,358 2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840	2,080,435 719,257 2,799,692 488,908 3,288,600 \$679,283	1,957,679 688,523 2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	1,747,451 <u>755,863</u> 2,503,314 <u>485,607</u> <u>2,988,921</u> \$821,152	6.24% -11.20% 1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	-8.41% -8.63% -8.45% 2.98% -6.79%
s & Depreciation g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes ome stment	2,695,785 <u>512,569</u> <u>3,208,354</u> <u>\$649,258</u> <u>\$11,212,164</u>	2,944,455 497,719 3,442,174 \$654,840	2,799,692 488,908 3,288,600 \$679,283	2,646,202 447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	2,503,314 485,607 2,988,921 \$621,152	1.87% 1.36% 1.79%	-8.45% 2.98% -6.79%
g Taxes s, Depr. & Taxes me stment	512.569 3,208,354 \$649,258 \$11,212.164	497,719 3,442,174 S654,840	488,908 3,288,600 \$679,283	447,198 3,093,400 \$622,751	485,607 2,988,921 \$621,152	1.36% 1.79%	2.98% -6.79%
s, Depr. & Taxes me stment	3,208,354 \$649,258 \$11,212,164	3.442.174 S654.840	3,288,600 S679,283	3.093.400 \$622.751	2,988,921 \$621,152	1.79%	2.98% -6.79% -0.85%
ome stment	\$649,258 \$11,212,164	S654.840	S679.283	\$622.751	S621.152		
stment	\$11,212,164					1.11%	<u>-0.85%</u>
		\$9.460.089	\$8.791.284	\$8,588,532	ED 146 540		
a % of Total Revenue	57.700				<u>\$8.146.548</u>	<u>8.31%</u>	18.52%
	57.70%	59.31%	52,43%	52.68%	48.40%	4.49%	-2.71%
nent per S of Revenue	\$2.91	\$2.31	\$2.22	\$2.31	\$2.26	6.52%	25.97%
						<u></u>	
stomers Served (000s incl	luded):						
	1,578,510	1,570,565	1,487,623	1,458,838	1,429,463	2.51%	0.51%
	248,534	255,563	240,139	236,814	232,663	1.66%	-2.75%
	5,502	5,575	5,432	5.511	5,619	-0.52%	-1.31%
	<u>10,744</u>	<u>10,705</u>	<u>10,292</u>	<u>9,975</u>	<u>9,938</u>	<u>1.97%</u>	0.36%
of Customers	1.843.290	1.842.408	1.743.486	<u>1.711.138</u>	1.677.683	2.38%	0.05%
/alume: (Millions kWh)							
	20,827	20,793	20,328	19,038	19,327	1.89%	0.16%
	21,310	21,488	21,160	20,092	19,822	1.83%	-0.83%
	11,461	13,441	14,121	14,345	15,052	-6.59%	-14.73%
	<u>1,066</u>	3,042	<u>1,755</u>	<u>881</u>	<u>494</u>	<u>21.</u> 20%	-64.96%
	<u>54.664</u>	<u>58.764</u>	<u>57.364</u>	54.356	<u>54.695</u>	-0.01%	-6,98%
rall Rate of Return	7.45%	7.80%	8.48%	8.65%	9.19%	-5.11%	-4.49%
arn on Common Equity	9.24%	9.79%	10.75%	11.54%	12.71%	-7.66%	-5.62%
y Ratio	52.30%	52.17%	54.37%	51.87%	<b>53</b> .07%	-0.36%	0.25%
	47.70%	47.83%	45.63%	48.13%	45.43%	1.23%	-0.27%
_	3.99	4.04	4.40	4.39	5.63	-8.25%	-1.24%
,	rn on Common Equity	54.664  ail Rate of Return 7.45%  on on Common Equity 9.24%  of Ratio 52.30%  47.70%  ex Interest Coverage	54.664 58.764  ail Rate of Return 7.45% 7.80%  rn on Common Equity 9.24% 9.79%  ( Ratio 52.30% 52.17%  47.70% 47.83%  ex Interest Coverage	54.664         58.764         57.364           Fail Rate of Return         7.45%         7.80%         8.48%           From on Common Equity         9.24%         9.79%         10.75%           FRatio         52.30%         52.17%         54.37%           47.70%         47.83%         45.63%           ex Interest Coverage         47.83%         45.63%	54.864         58.764         57.384         54.356           rail Rate of Return         7.45%         7.80%         8.48%         8.65%           rn on Common Equity         9.24%         9.79%         10.75%         11.54%           y Ratio         52.30%         52.17%         54.37%         51.87%           47.70%         47.83%         45.63%         48.13%           ax Interest Coverage	54.664 58.764 57.384 54.356 54.695  Fail Rate of Return 7.45% 7.80% 8.48% 8.65% 9.19%  From on Common Equity 9.24% 9.79% 10.75% 11.54% 12.71%  FRAtio 52.30% 52.17% 54.37% 51.87% 53.07%  47.70% 47.83% 45.63% 48.13% 45.43%  Exclusive Exercises Coverage	54.664         58.764         57.384         54.356         54.695         -0.01%           rail Rate of Return         7.45%         7.80%         8.48%         8.65%         9.19%         -5.11%           rn on Common Equity         9.24%         9.79%         10.75%         11.54%         12.71%         -7.66%           r Ratio         52.30%         52.17%         54.37%         51.87%         53.07%         -0.36%           47.70%         47.83%         45.63%         48.13%         45.43%         1.23%

<sup>[1]</sup> North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to 70% of total company electric utility revenue.

<sup>[2]</sup> Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.

<sup>[3]</sup> Source of Data: NCUC ES-1 Reports.

<sup>[4]</sup> The estimated ROE impacts of the BPM net revenues under the sharing arrangement approved in Docket No. E-7, Sub 751 are as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2009 - N/A; 2008 - N/A; 2007 - 0.38%; 2006 - 0.36%; and 2005 - 1.04%. Such impacts are not included in the estimated ROEs presented on Line 29 above. Pursuant to the Commission's final Order in Docket No. E-7, Sub 828, effective January 1, 2008, 90% of the North Carolina retail BPM Net Revenues earned after December 31, 2007, are now included in the North Carolina retail cost of service for ratemaking and reporting purposes.

<sup>[5]</sup> Columns (b) and (c) reflect that, pursuant to the Commission's December 20, 2007 Order in Docket No. E-7, Sub 828, all North Carolina jurisdictional reporting and accounting for Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC - Nantahala Area is consolidated with Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC.

#### VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY, d/b/a DOMINION NORTH CAROLINA POWER

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Retail Jurisdiction (Amounts in Thousands)

				12 Months En			Ann Growth	Rate
Line	1	December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	<u>item</u> (a)	<u>2009</u> (b)	2008 (c)	<u>2007</u> (d)	<u>2006</u> (e)	<u>2005</u> (f)	<u>Year</u> (g)	<u>Year</u> (h)
1.	Operating Revenue	\$330,408	\$303,776	\$321,616	\$286,955	\$290,317	3.29%	8.77%
2.	Operating Expenses:							
3,	Fuel	104,145	48,255	88,112	66,344	67,893	11.29%	115.82%
4.	Purchased Power	60,260	104,493	89,019	74,869	76,547	-5.81%	-42.33%
5.	Maintenance	0	0	0	0	. 0	N/A	N/A
6.	Other Operating Expenses	74,223	67,764	70,713	56 447	56,485	7.07%	9.53%
7.	Total Operating Expenses	238,628	220,512	247,844	197,860	200,925	4.39%	8.22%
8.	Depreciation & Amortization	<u>34,328</u>	32,150	<u>29,459</u>	26,004	23,952	9.41%	<u>6.77%</u>
9.	Total Expenses & Depreciation	272,956	252,662	277,303	223,664	224,877	4.96%	8.03%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	24,252	27,060	22,656	32,328	<u>26,832</u>	. <u>-2.50%</u>	<u>-10.38%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	297,208	279,722	<u>299,959</u>	<u>255,992</u>	<u>251,709</u>	4,24%	6.25%
12.	Operating Income	\$33,200	<u>\$24.054</u>	<u>\$21.657</u>	530.963	\$38,608	<u>-3.70%</u>	38.02%
13.	Net Plant Investment	<u>\$641.493</u>	<u>\$619.108</u>	<u>\$614.951</u>	<u>\$601.355</u>	<u>\$615.042</u>	<u>1.06%</u>	<u>3.62%</u>
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	72.22%	72.59%	77.06%	68.88%	69.21%	1.07%	-0.51%
15.	Net Pit. Investment per \$ of Revenue	\$1.94	\$2.04	\$1.91	\$2,10	\$2.12	-2,19%	-4.90%
16.	Number of Customers Served (000s include	ded):						
17.	Residential	100,792	100,629	100,239	99,570	98,905	0.47%	0,16%
18,	Commercial	15,488	15,515	15,478	15,381	15,453	0.06%	-0.17%
19.	Industrial	59	60	64	69	69	-3.84%	-1.67%
20.	Other	2,264	2,280	2,273	2,254	2,264	0,00%	-0.70%
21.	Total Number of Customers	<u>118.603</u>	<u>118.484</u>	<u>118.054</u>	<u>117.274</u>	116.691	0.41%	0.10%
22.	Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh)							
23.	Residential	1,579	1,546	1,579	1,476	1,575	0.06%	2.13%
24.	Commercial	. 809	804	810	777	779	0.95%	0.62%
25.	Industrial	1,497	1,715	1,724	1,775	1,709	-3.26%	-12.71%
26.	Other	144	<u>146</u>	148	<u>145</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>-1.18%</u>	. <u>-1.37%</u>
27.	Total Sales	4.029	4.211	4.261	4.173	4.214	-1.12%	-4.32%
28.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	6.48%	4.89%	4.38%	6,12%	7.60%	-3,91%	32.52%
29.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	7.19%	4.31%	3.17%	6.48%	9.67%	-7.14%	66.82%
30.	Common Equity Ratio	51.08%	49.85%	53.02%	51.23%	48,42%	1.35%	2.47%
31.	Debt Ratio	47.03%	46.84%	40.87%	42.80%	45.27%	0.96%	0.41%
32.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage							
	Ratio (Times)	2.77	2.54	2.34	3.87	3.81	-7,66%	9.06%
33.	LAST RATE CASE Auth (Docket No. E-22, Sub 412)	orized Returns: (	Common Equity	- N/A, Overall	- N/A; Equity i	Ratio: N/A; Date of	Order: 03-18-05	

North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to 5% of total company electric utility revenue.
 Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.
 Source of Data: NCUC ES-1 Reports.
 N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

#### PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Jurisdiction (Amounts In Thousands)

			1:	2 Months Ende	ed		Annual Growth Rate	
Line	<b>)</b>	December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>2009</u>	2008	<u>2007</u>	2006	<u>2005</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Residential	\$529,056	\$579,775	\$505,869	\$524,601	\$551,072	-1.01%	-8.759
3.	Commercial	323,489	345,269	285,287	298,037	322,049	0.11%	-6.319
4.	Industrial	42,310	109,932	101,276	102,619	126,516	-23,95%	-61.519
5.	Public Authorities	426	319	395	394	409	1.02%	33.549
6.	Other	<u>76,554</u>	<u>86,448</u>	<u>87,620</u>	<u>76,248</u>	<u>104,286</u>	<u>-7.44%</u>	<u>-11,459</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>971,835</u>	<u>1,121,743</u>	<u>980,447</u>	<u>1,001,899</u>	<u>1.104.332</u>	<u>-3.14%</u>	<u>-13.369</u>
8.	Cost of Gas	<u>584.453</u>	<u>742,219</u>	<u>643,148</u>	<u>637,905</u>	<u>763,710</u>	<u>-6.47%</u>	<u>-21.269</u>
9.	Margin	387,382	379,524	337,299	363,994	340,622	3.27%	2.079
10.	O & M Expenses	150,084	150,053	149,804	151,414	145,027	0.86%	0.029
11.	Other Deductions	<u>132,511</u>	<u>122,463</u>	<u>101,997</u>	<u>113,606</u>	<u>107,252</u>	<u>5.43%</u>	8,209
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$104.787</u>	\$107,008	<u>\$85,498</u>	S98 974	\$88.343	<u>4.36%</u>	<u>-2.089</u>
13.	Net Plant Investment	\$1.569.242	\$1.567.919	\$1.457.759	<u>\$1.373.200</u>	<u>\$1,287,132</u>	<u>5.08%</u>	0.08%
14.	Operating Exp. as a % of Margin	38,74%	39.54%	44_41%	41.60%	42.58%	-2,34%	-2.029
15.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Margin	\$4.05	<b>\$</b> 4.13	\$4.32	\$3.77	\$3.78	1.74%	-1.949
16.	Gas Delivered in DTs (000s omitted):					<del> </del>		
17.	Residential	36,994	36,739	33,108	31,774	36,829	0,11%	0.69%
18.	Commercial	27,726	25,477	23,042	22,368	24,614	3.02%	8.839
19.	Industrial	4,930	9,391	10,489	9,770	11,545	-19.16%	-47.509
20.	Public Authorities	33	23	30	29	32	0.77%	43.489
21.	Other	97 <u>,146</u>	91,975	<u>8</u> 9,7 <u>83</u>	<u>81,536</u>	78,613	5 <u>.43%</u>	5.629
22.	Total DTs	166.829	<u>163.605</u>	<u>156.452</u>	<u>145,477</u>	151.633	2.42%	1.979
23.	Number of Customers (000s included):							
24.	Residential	596,647	597,127	589,939	575,991	557,850	1.70%	-0,089
25.	Commercial	64,191	64,652	63,751	63,207	62,415	0.70%	-0.719
26.	Industrial	1,108	1,169	1,724	1,738	1,748	-10.77%	-5.229
27.	Public Authorities	1,368	441	571	571	571	24.41%	210.209
28.	Other	<u>559</u>	<u>535</u>	<u>553</u>	<u>557</u>	<u>568</u>	<u>-0.40%</u>	<u>4.499</u>
29.	Total Number of Customers	<u>663.873</u>	663.924	<u>656.538</u>	642.064	<u>623.152</u>	<u>1.60%</u>	<u>-0.019</u>
30.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	8.60%	8.56%	7.30%	9.03%	8.56%	0.12%	0.47.9
31.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	12.58%	11.73%	8.15%	11.68%	10.36%	4.97%	7.25%
32.	Common Equity Ratio	46.23%	46.24%	47. <del>9</del> 7%	49.07%	53,82%	-3.73%	-0.029
33.	Debt Ratio	53.77%	53.76%	52.03%	50.93%	46.18%	3.88%	0.029
34.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	4.49	3.89	2.90	3.86	4.10	2.30%	15.429
35.	LAST RATE CASE (Docket No. G-9, Sub 550)	Authorized Returns:	Common Equi	ty 10.60%, Ove	rall 8.55%; Equ	uity Ratio: 51.00%;	Date of Order: 1	0-24-08

North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to approximately 72% of total company gas utility revenue.
 Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.
 Source of Data: Shareholders' reports and the NCUC GS-1 Reports.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NORTH CAROLINA, INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Jurisdiction (Amounts In Thousands)

				Months Ended			Grow	nual t <u>h Rate</u>
Line		December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
No.	lte <u>m</u>	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	Year	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1,	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Residential	\$343,270	\$413,089	\$352,881	\$340,1 <del>6</del> 1	\$383,028	-2.70%	-16.90%
3.	Commercial	136,638	181,510	151,960	166,821	189,952	-7.91%	-24.72%
4.	Industrial	22,409	46,971	38,992	23,206	36,126	-11.25%	-52.29%
5.	Public Authorities	Ó	0	0	0	. 0	N/A	N/A
6.	Resale	3	6	5	10	7	-19.09%	-50.00%
7.	Other	26,001	28,700	33,476	<u>43.210</u>	50,680	-15.37%	-9.40%
8.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>528.321</u>	<u>28,700</u> 670,276	<u>577,314</u>	<u> 43.210</u> 573,408	659,793	<u>-5.40%</u>	<u>-3.407</u> -21.18%
9.	Cost of Gas	308,783	<u>457.365</u>	<u>377,921</u>	<u>391,590</u>	<u>477,983</u>	<u>-10.35%</u>	-32.49%
10.	Margin	219.538	212,911	199,393	181,818	181,810	4.83%	3.11%
	_	·	•	·	-	•		
11,	O & M Expenses	83,042	87,285	83,880	78,716	80,589	0.75%	-4.86%
12.	Other Deductions	<u>73,890</u>	<u>66,854</u>	<u>61,308</u>	<u>57.65</u> 3	<u>58.433</u>	<u>6.04%</u>	<u>10.52%</u>
13.	Operating Income	<u>\$62.606</u>	<u>\$58.772</u>	\$54,205	<u>\$45,449</u>	<u>\$42.788</u>	<u>9.98%</u>	6.52%
14.	Net Plant Investment	<u>\$778.192</u>	<u>\$776,582</u>	\$729.978	<u>\$670.330</u>	S619.333	5.8 <b>7%</b>	0.21%
15.	Operating Exp. as a % of Margin	37.83%	41.00%	42.07%	43.29%	44.33%	-3.89%	-7.73%
16.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Margin	\$3.54	\$3.65	\$3.66	\$3.69	\$3.41	0.94%	-3.01%
17.	Gas Delivered in DTs (000s omitted):			- ,				
18.	Residential	26,609	25,477	23,530	21,953	25,054	1.52%	4.44%
		•	•	•	•	•	-2.56 <b>%</b>	
19.	Commercial	13,385	13,186	12,396	13,180	14,847		1.51%
20.	Industrial	2,985	4,162	4,051	2,351	2,776	1.83%	-28.28%
21.	Public Authorities	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
22.	Resale	0	0	0	1	1	N/A	N/A
23.	Other	<u>27,581</u>	<u>28,806</u>	<u> 29.353</u>	<u> 29.196</u>	<u>28,165</u>	<u>-0.52%</u>	<u>-4.25%</u>
24.	Total DTs	70.560	71.631	69.330	66.681	<u>70.843</u>	-0.10%	-1.50%
25.	Number of Customers (000s included):							
26.	Residential	432,326	427,312	417,047	401,777	386,235	2.86%	1.17%
27.	Commercial	39,986	39,902	39,575	39,110	38,788	0.76%	0.21%
28.	Industrial	172	192	213	231	55	32.98%	-10.42%
29.	Public Authorities	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
30.	Resale	2	2	2	3	3	-9.64%	0.00%
30. 31.	Other	464	454	438	420	38 <u>2</u>	4.98%	2.20%
32.	Total Number of Customers	472,950	467.862	457.275	<u>441.541</u>	425 <u>.463</u>	2.68%	1.09%
33.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	8.57%	7.90%	7.82%	7.11%	7.76%	2.51%	8.48%
34.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	12.12%	10.13%	9.16%	7.66%	8.92%	7.97%	19.64%
	Common Equity Ratio	51.38%	49.52%	47.86%	49.02%	50.97%	0.20%	3.76%
	Debt Ratio	48.62%	50.48%	52.14%	50.98%	49.03%	-0.21%	-3.68%
		~0.UZ /8	JU.4078	JZ. 1478	JU.3078	49.0370	-0.2176	-J.0070
37.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	5.26	3.77	3.03	2.82	3.31	12.28%	39.52%

Notes: [1] Rates are set on a total company basis.

LAST RATE CASE

(Docket No. G-5, Sub 495)

38.

[2] Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.[3] Source of Data: Shareholders' Reports and the NCUC GS-1 Reports.

Authorized Returns: Common Equity 10.60%, Overall 8.54%; Equity Ratio: 54.00%; Date of Order: 10-24-08

(5) N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

<sup>[4]</sup> The increase from December 2005 to December 2006 in the industrial customer data was primarily due to the fact that the threshold for qualifying as a large quantity general service customer was reduced from 120,000 therms per year to 60,000 therms per year (see Order Approving Partial Rate Increase issued on October 23, 2006 in Docket No. G-5, Sub 481).

## CAROLINA TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY LLC, d/b/a CENTURYLINK

# SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated) (Amounts in Thousands)

							Anr	ıual
			12	Months Ended	f		Growt	h Rate
Line		December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u>	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	<u>Year</u>	Year
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$307,651	\$332,818	S344,580	\$358,259	\$376,281	-4.91%	-7.56%
3.	Network Access	225,425	244,664	246,659	278,006	284,709	-5,67%	-7.86%
4.	Long Distance Message	3,095	3,163	3,842	4,655	5,459	-13.23%	-2.15%
5.	Miscellaneous	214,569	225,106	225,434	179,227	169,894	6.01%	-4.68%
6.	Uncollectibles	(12,923)	(15,184)	(12,025)	(8,599)	<u>(11,813)</u>	<u>2.27%</u>	<u>-14.89%</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>737.817</u>	790,567	808,490	811,548	824.530	-2.74%	<u>-6.67%</u>
8.	Operating Expenses	362,538	392,485	431,514	444,969	445,657	-5,03%	-7.63%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	132,360	124,233	119,120	117,925	116,747	3.19%	6.54%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>98,258</u>	<u>110.789</u>	<u>102,982</u>	<u>97.514</u>	<u>105,954</u>	<u>-1.87%</u>	<u>-11.31%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>593,156</u>	<u>627,507</u>	<u>653,616</u>	<u>660,408</u>	<u>668,358</u>	<u>-2.94%</u>	<u>-5.47%</u>
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$144.661</u>	<u>\$163.060</u>	<u>\$154.874</u>	\$151.14D	S156,172	<u>-1.90%</u>	<u>-11.28%</u>
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	S819.550	<u>\$842.224</u>	<u>8855,670</u>	<u>\$856.004</u>	<u>\$846.627</u>	<u>-0.81%</u>	<u>-2.69%</u>
<del></del>	<del></del>	<u></u>		<del></del>				
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	49.14%	49.65%	53,37%	54.83%	54.05%	-2.35%	-1.03%
15.	Net Telecomm. Plt. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.11	\$1.07	\$1.06	\$1.05	\$1.03	1.89%	3.74%

- Notes: [1] Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company elected price regulation in June 1996. Effective November 15, 2007, Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company was converted into a North Carolina limited liability company named Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC. On October 15, 2009, Embarq Corporation filed a letter with the Commission indicating that the incumbent local exchange company, Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC is doing business under the name CenturyLink.
  - [2] Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.
  - (3) Source of Data: Annual Report.
  - [4] Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2009 \$66,467,000; 2008 \$16,173,000; 2007 \$28,543,000; 2006 \$29,368,000; and 2005 \$33,444,000.

# CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY, d/b/a CENTURYLINK

# SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated) (Amounts in Thousands)

			12	Months Ended	i		Anr Growt	
Line	-	December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	2005	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$69,535	\$75,189	\$79,008	\$83,081	\$88,473	-5.84%	-7.52%
3.	Network Access .	38,780	45,175	45,829	52,643	54,503	-8.16%	-14.16%
4.	Long Distance Message	1,165	1,238	1,302	· 1,892	2,020	-12.85%	-5.90%
5,	Miscellaneous	42,582	43,026	41,075	32,741	31,132	8.14%	-1.03%
6.	Uncollectibles	(2,350)	(2,660)	(2,722)	<u>(1,617)</u>	<u>(2,186)</u>	<u>1.83%</u>	<u>-11.65%</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>149,712</u>	<u>161,968</u>	<u>164,492</u>	<u>168,740</u>	173,942	<u>-3.68%</u>	<u>-7.57%</u>
8.	Operating Expenses	82,677	87,451	92,476	97,759	98,066	-4.18%	-5.46%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	38,760	37,538	36,036	36,868	44,695	-3.50%	3.26%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>10,435</u>	13,973	<u>13,919</u>	12,200	<u>11.887</u>	<u>-3.20%</u>	<u>-25.32%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>131,872</u>	<u>138,962</u>	142,431	<u>146,827</u>	<u>154,648</u>	<u>-3.90%</u>	<u>-5.10%</u>
12.	Operating Income	\$17.840	\$23,006	<u>\$22,061</u>	<u>\$21.913</u>	\$19.294	<u>-1.94%</u>	<u>-22.46%</u>
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	<u>\$179.988</u>	<u>\$198,568</u>	\$213.679	<u>\$226.163</u>	<u>\$239,649</u>	<u>-6.91%</u>	<u>-9.36%</u>
14.	Oper, Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	55.22%	53.99%	56.22%	57.93%	56.38%	-0.52%	2.28%
1-4.	Oper, Exp. as a 76 or rotal Revenue	33.2276	33.8876	30.2276	37.9376	30.36%	-0.5276	2.2076
15.	Net Telecomm. Pit. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.20	\$1.23	\$1.30	\$1.34	\$1.38	-3.43%	-2.44%

Notes: [1] Central Telephone Company elected price regulation in June 1996. On October 15, 2009, Embarq Corporation filed a letter with the Commission indicating that the incumbent local exchange company, Central Telephone Company is doing business under the name CenturyLink.

[3] Source of Data: Annual Report.

Annual

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[4]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2009 - \$13,273,000; 2008 - \$3,284,000; 2007 - \$8,015,000; 2006 - \$5,240,000; and 2005 - \$5,060,000.

# CITIZENS TELEPHONE COMPANY SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Intrastate Operations (Amounts in Thousands)

							Ann	
				2 Months En			Growth	
Line	1	December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u> 2007</u>	<u> 2006</u>	<u> 2005</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<b>(f)</b>	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$4,866	\$5,119	\$5,176	\$5,329	\$5,342	-2.31%	-4.94%
3.	Network Access	4,084	4,309	4,528	4,280	4,122	-0.23%	-5.22%
4.	Long Distance Message	739	863	476	1,345	1,175	-10.95%	-14.37%
5.	Miscellaneous	1,067	1,155	1,111	1,107	1,134	-1.51%	-7.62%
6.	Uncollectibles	(38)	<u>(15)</u>		<u>(9)</u>	<u>(12)</u>	<u>33.40%</u>	<u>153.33%</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>10,718</u>	<u>11,431</u>	<u>11,275</u>	<u>12,052</u>	<u>11,761</u>	<u>-2.29%</u>	<u>-6.24%</u>
8.	Operating Expenses	6,437	6,489	6,557	6,267	5,920	· 2.12%	-0.80%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	2,973	2,840	2,782	2,750	2,694	2.49%	4.68%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>755</u>	<u>815</u>	<u>943</u>	<u>1,083</u>	<u>1,196</u>	<u>-10.86%</u>	<u>-7.36%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>10,165</u>	<u>10,144</u>	<u>10,282</u>	<u>10.100</u>	<u>9,810</u>	<u>0.89%</u>	0.21%
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$553</u>	<u>\$1.287</u>	<u>\$993</u>	<u>\$1.952</u>	<u>\$1.951</u>	<u>-27.03%</u>	<u>-57.03%</u>
13.	Net Plant Investment	<u>\$28.777</u>	\$28.387	\$28,524	\$28.508	\$28.124	<u>0.58%</u>	<u>1.37%</u>
15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue  Total Access Lines (000s included): Residential Business Total Access Lines	\$2.68 14,379 4,220 18.599	\$2.48 15.512 4.425 19.937	16,160 4,534 20,694	\$2.37 16,506 4,488 20,994	\$2.39 16,670 4,454 21,124	-3.63% -1.34% -3.13%	-7.30% -4.63% -6.71%
20.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	2.20%	5.14%	3.97%	7.47%	7.64%	-26.75%	-57.20%
21.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	2.20%	5.14%	3.97%	6.93%	7.37%	-26.08%	-57.20%
22.	Common Equity Ratio	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	83.36%	75.82%	7.17%	0.00%
23.	Debt Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	16.64%	24.18%	N/A	N/A
24.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.70	5.81	N/A	N/A

LAST RATE CASE (Docket No. P-12, Sub 89)

25.

Authorized Returns: Common Equity 12.70%, Overall 10.11%; Equity Ratio: 44.95%; Date of Order: 2-26-91

Notes: [1] On December 1, 2008, the transfer of control of Citizens Telephone Company to Rock Hill Telephone Company, d/b/a Comporium Communications, approved by Order issued November 13, 2008, in Docket No. P-12, Sub 109, was consummated.

- [2] On May 10, 2010, Citizens Telephone Company (Citizens), filed a Petition pursuant to G.S. 62-133.5(a), in Docket No. P-12, Sub 111, requesting approval of a proposed price regulation plan. On that same date, Citizens and the Public Staff filed a stipulation and agreement setting forth the terms and conditions of such proposed stipulated plan. A public hearing and an evidentiary hearing are scheduled to be held on July 28, 2010 in Brevard, North Carolina.
- [3] North Carolina intrastate revenue, i.e., jurisdictional revenue equates to approximately 64% of total North Carolina revenue, i.e., intrastate and interstate revenue.
- [4] Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.
- [5] Source of Data: NCUC TS-1 Reports.
- [6] N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

#### MEBTEL, INC., d/b/a CENTURYLINK

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated)
(Amounts In Thousands)

							Anr	ıual
			12	Months Ended	d		Growt	h Rate
Line		December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
No.	<u>ltem</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u> 2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$4,182	\$4,529	\$4,790	\$4,863	\$4,918	-3.97%	-7.66%
3.	Network Access	7,758	7,319	6,933	6,480	5,014	11.53%	6.00%
4.	Long Distance Message	58	74	76	73	71	-4.93%	-21.62%
5.	Miscellaneous	1,429	1,861	1,676	1,663	1,611	-2.95%	-23.21%
6.	Uncollectibles	(130)	<u>(58)</u>	<u>7</u>	(4)	(176)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>124.14%</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>13,297</u>	<u>13,725</u>	<u>13,482</u>	<u>13,075</u>	<u>11,438</u>	<u>3.84%</u>	<u>-3.12%</u>
8.	Operating Expenses	6,119	6,518	7,986	5,841	5,860	1.09%	-6.12%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	3,599	3,613	3,650	3,031	2,436	10.25%	-0,39%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>1.<b>421</b></u>	<u>1,410</u>	<u>845</u>	2.354	<u>1.314</u>	<u>1.98%</u>	<u>0.78%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>11,139</u>	<u>11,541</u>	<u>12,481</u>	<u>11,226</u>	<u>9,610</u>	<u>3.76%</u>	<u>-3.48%</u>
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$2.158</u>	<u>\$2.184</u>	<u>\$1.001</u>	<u>\$1.849</u>	<u>\$1.828</u>	<u>4.24%</u>	<u>-1.19%</u>
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	\$15.389	<u>\$17.403</u>	\$19.380	<u>\$21.341</u>	<b>\$</b> 22.393	<u>-8.95%</u>	<u>-11,57%</u>
14.	Oper, Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	46.02%	47.49%	59.23%	44.67%	51.23%	-2.65%	-3.10%
			2.1.0.10	23.2-10	•			_***
15.	Net Telecomm. Plt. per S of Revenue	\$1.16	\$1.27	\$1.44	\$1.63	\$1.96	-12. <b>29%</b>	-8.66%

- Notes: [1] Mebtel Communications elected price regulation in September 1999. Effective April 30, 2007, CenturyTel, Inc. acquired all the stock of Madison River Communications Corporation, the ultimate parent of Mebtel, Inc. and its affiliates, which affected the indirect transfer of control of each of these entities, including Mebtel, Inc., d/b/a Mebtel Communications, to CenturyTel, Inc. On December 1, 2008, CenturyTel, Inc. filed a letter with the Commission indicating that the incumbent local exchange company, Mebtel, Inc. is doing business under the name CenturyTel. On October 19, 2009, CenturyLink filed a letter with the Commission stating that CenturyTel, Inc. and Embarq Corporation had completed their merger, consequently, the incumbent local exchange company, Mebtel, Inc. is now doing business under the name CenturyLink.
  - [2] Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.
  - [3] Source of Data: Annual Report.
  - [4] Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for North Carolina regulated operations only, including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2009 \$757,341; 2008 \$797,686; 2007 \$821,831; 2006 \$536,834; and 2005 \$1.052,185.
  - [5] N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

# NORTH STATE TELEPHONE COMPANY, d/b/a NORTH STATE COMMUNICATIONS

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated)
(Amounts In Thousands)

						Ann	ual
		12	Months Ended	I		Growt	n Rate
	December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
<u>ltem</u>	2008	<u>2007</u>	2006	2005	<u>2004</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
rating Revenue:							
isic Local Service	\$30,609	\$32,946	\$34,147	S35,625	\$36,873	-4.55%	-7.09%
etwork Access	31,285	33,575	35,000	37,882	37,232	-4.26%	-6.82%
ng Distance Message	854	904	1,021	1,354	1,444	-12.31%	-5.53%
scellaneous	43,035	40,744	37,217	34,236	31,270	8.31%	5.62%
collectibles	<u>(1.637)</u>	<u>(1,555)</u>	<u>(1,110)</u>	<u>(876)</u>	<u>(798)</u>	<u>19.68%</u>	<u>5.27%</u>
l Operating Revenue	<u>104,146</u>	<u>106.614</u>	<u>106,275</u>	<u>108,221</u>	<u>106,021</u>	<u>-0.45%</u>	<u>-2.31%</u>
rating Expenses	63,756	64,555	61,672	62,113	58,444	2.20%	-1.24%
reciation & Amortization	19,068	17,533	15,822	21,482	20,376	-1.64%	8.75%
l Operating Taxes	<u>9.325</u>	<u>10,304</u>	<u>12,762</u>	<u>10,250</u>	<u>11.260</u>	<u>-4.60%</u>	<u>-9.50%</u>
l Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>92,149</u>	<u>92.392</u>	<u>90,256</u>	<u>93,845</u>	<u>90,080</u>	<u>0.57%</u>	<u>-0.26%</u>
rating Income	\$11.997	<u>\$14.222</u>	<u>\$16,019</u>	<u>\$14.376</u>	<u>\$15.941</u>	<u>-6.86%</u>	<u>-15.64%</u>
Telecommunications Plant	<u>\$133.317</u>	<u>\$133,116</u>	<u>\$124.413</u>	<u>\$114.675</u>	\$116.270	<u>3.48%</u>	0.15%
r. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	61.22%	60.55%	58.03%	57.39%	55.12%	2.66%	1.11%
Telecomm. Pit. per \$ of Revenue	S1 29	¢1 26	£1 17	91 NE	\$1.10	3 86%	2.40%

Notes: (1) At this time, the most current information available for North State Communications is the 12 months ended December 31, 2008.

North State Communications has requested and has been allowed an extension of time until August 31, 2010 to file its 2009 Annual Report.

<sup>[2]</sup> North State Communications elected price regulation in December 2002.

<sup>[3]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[4]</sup> Source of Data: Annual Reports.

<sup>[5]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations, including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2008 - \$9,858,815; 2007 - \$6,983,609; 2006 - \$6,493,809; 2005 - \$2,851,674; and 2004 - \$1,916,334.

# VERIZON SOUTH INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated) (Amounts in Thousands)

			12	Months Ender	1		Growt	
Line		December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
No.	<u>ltem</u>	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	Year	Year
_	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<u>(f)</u>	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$92,207	598,276	\$107,049	\$111,919	\$118,145	-6.01%	-6.18%
3.	Network Access	101,691	102,239	104,293	105,858	112,867	-2.57%	-0.54%
4.	Long Distance Message	4,728	6,572	4,915	2,502	2,089	22.65%	-28.06%
5.	Miscellaneous	32,880	33,324	33,216	33,100	29,234	2.98%	-1.33%
6.	Uncollectibles	(2,420)	(2,634)	<u>1,636</u>	(3.600)	(4,405)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>-8.12%</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	229,086	237,777	<u>251,109</u>	249,779	<u>257,930</u>	<u>-2.92%</u>	<u>-3.66%</u>
8,	Operating Expenses	107,573	149,341	136,861	128,848	149,029	-7.83%	-27.97%
9,	Depreciation & Amortization	61,664	61,547	63,662	73,454	78,390	-5.82%	0.19%
10,	Total Operating Taxes	<u>22,431</u>	<u>15,021</u>	<u> 17.719</u>	<u>14,799</u>	<u>8,689</u>	<u>26.76%</u>	<u>49.33%</u>
11,	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>191,668</u>	<u>225,909</u>	<u>218,242</u>	<u>217,101</u>	<u>236,108</u>	<u>-5,08%</u>	<u>-15.16%</u>
12,	Operating Income	<u>\$37.418</u>	<u>\$11.868</u>	\$32 <u>.867</u>	<u>\$32,678</u>	<u>\$21.822</u>	14.43%	215.28%
13,	Net Telecommunications Plant	\$246.268	<u>\$298.761</u>	\$324.977	<u>\$335.075</u>	\$365,007	<u>-9.37%</u>	<u>-17.57%</u>
			<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	<del>.</del> .		_	
14,	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	46.96%	62.81%	54.50%	51.58%	57.78%	-5.05%	-25.23%
15.	Net Telecomm. Pit. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.08	\$1.26	\$1.29	\$1.34	\$1.42	-6.61%	-14.29%

Notes: [1] Verizon South, Inc. elected price regulation in June 1996. On August 3, 2009, Verizon South Inc. notified the Commission that Frontier Communications Corporation (Frontier) and Verizon Communications Inc. (Verizon) have announced that Frontier is acquiring control of Verizon's local telephone operations in North Carolina and several other states through a parent company merger. Price-regulated incumbent local exchange companies are exempt from application of G.S. 62-111 by virtue of G.S. 62-133.5(g); consequently, such transaction is not subject to Commission review or approval. According to Verizon, once the transaction is completed the Company's name will change from Verizon South Inc. to Frontier Communications of the Carolinas.

- [2] Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.
- [3] Source of Data: Annual Report.
- 14] Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2009 \$618,245; 2008 \$206,889; 2007 \$2,307,401; 2006 \$2,068,101; and 2005 \$1,426,199.
- [5] N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

Annual

# WINDSTREAM CONCORD TELEPHONE, INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated) (Amounts In Thousands)

			12	Months Ended	<del>!</del>		Anr Growt	
Line	•	December	December	December	December	December	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u>	<u> 2009</u>	<u> 2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	2006	<u> 2005</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	· (a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(9)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Basic Local Service	\$28,618	\$30,623	\$36,222	\$51,502	\$50,128	-13.08%	-6.55%
3.	Network Access	37,693	41,978	42,458	30,852	31,924	4.24%	-10.21%
4.	Long Distance Message	1,399	1,957	728	2,110	2,255	-11.25%	-28.51%
5.	Miscellaneous	15,947	12,649	11,392	12,781	11,246	9.12%	26.07%
6.	Uncollectibles	(1,286)	(399)	(252)	<u>(313)</u>	(285)	<u>45,75%</u>	222,31%
7.	Total Operating Revenue	<u>82,371</u>	86,808	90,548	96,932	<u>95,268</u>	<u>-3.57%</u>	<u>-5.11%</u>
8.	Operating Expenses	33,329	47,179	67,250	52,103	50,025	-9.65%	-29.36%
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	11,316	17,511	19,444	22,853	19,836	-13.09%	-35.38%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>9,134</u>	<u>6,301</u>	<u> 198</u>	<u>7.894</u>	<u>7,933</u>	<u>3.59%</u>	<u>44.96%</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>53,779</u>	<u>70,991</u>	<u>86,892</u>	<u>82,850</u>	<u>77,794</u>	<u>-8.82%</u>	<u>-24.25%</u>
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$28.592</u>	<u>\$15.817</u>	<u>\$3.656</u>	\$14.082	<u>\$17.474</u>	<u>13.10%</u>	80.77%
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	<u>\$51.638</u>	<u>\$55.804</u>	<u>\$69,459</u>	<u>\$82.404</u>	S80.438	<u>-10.49%</u>	<u>-7.47%</u>
<del>-</del>								
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	40.46%	54.35%	74.27%	53.75%	52.51%	-6.31%	-25.56%
15.	Net Telecomm. Plt. per \$ of Revenue	\$0.63	\$0.64	\$0.77	\$0.85	\$0.84	-6.94%	-1.56%

[3] Source of Data: Annual Report.

Notes: [1] Concord Telephone Company elected price regulation in June 1997. Due to the August 31, 2007 acquisition of CT Communications, inc., the parent company of The Concord Telephone Company, Inc., by Windstream Corporation, effective on that same date, The Concord Telephone Company, Inc. changed its name to Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[4]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant in service for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2009 - \$2,240,608; 2008 - \$1,457,433; 2007 - \$6,751,518; 2006 - \$7,563,625; and 2005 - \$3,926,131.

# WINDSTREAM LEXCOM COMMUNICATIONS, INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated) (Amounts in Thousands)

		12 Months Ended
Line		December
No.	<u>ltem</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(a)	(p)
1,	Operating Revenue:	
2.	Basic Local Service	\$8,204
3.	Network Access	18,205
4.	Long Distance Message	104
5.	Miscellaneous	3,700
6.	Uncollectibles	(296)
7.	Total Operating Revenue	29,917
8.	Operating Expenses	14,566
9.	Depreciation & Amortization	4,411
10.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>7,817</u>
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u> 26,794</u>
12.	Operating Income	\$3.123
13.	Net Telecommunications Plant	\$36,930
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	48,69%
15.	Net Telecomm. Pit. per \$ of Revenue	\$1.23

Notes: [1] LEXCOM Telephone Company elected price regulation in June 2009; consequently, comparisons to years prior to 2009 are not meaningful due to the significant differences in reporting requirements for price regulated telephone companies and rate of return regulated telephone companies. On February 4, 2010, LEXCOM Telephone Company filed with the Commission a copy of the Articles of Amendment that were filed with the North Carolina Secretary of State on December 7, 2009, that effected a name change to Windstream Lexcom Communications, Inc.

- [3] Source of Data: Annual Report.
- [4] Net Telecommunications Plant at December 31, 2009, reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction of \$349,748.

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

### WINDSTREAM NORTH CAROLINA, LLC

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA North Carolina Operations (Regulated and Nonregulated)

(Amounts in Thousands)

item (a)  Ing Revenue: Local Service ork Access Distance Message ellaneous llectibles perating Revenue Ing Expenses	December 2009 (b) \$56,857 46,684 1,079 22,980 (1,466) 126,134	12 December 2008 (c) \$62,899 56,596 2,116 15,971 (1,415) 136,167	Months Ended December 2007 (d) \$66,926 62,849 2,555 18,013 (1,029) 149,314	\$70,624 65,326 3,971 18,373 (547) 157,747	December 2005 (f) \$73,646 64,125 4,024 15,993 (1,135)	Growth Four Year (g)  -6.26% -7.63% -28.04% 9.49% 6.61%	Current Year (h) -9.61% -17.51% -49.01% 43.89%
(a) Ing Revenue: Local Service ork Access Distance Message ellaneous llectibles perating Revenue	2009 (b) \$56,857 46,684 1,079 22,980 (1,466) 126,134	2008 (c) \$62,899 56,596 2,116 15,971 (1,415)	2007 (d) \$66,926 62,849 2,555 18,013 (1,029)	2006 (e) \$70,624 65,326 3,971 18,373 (547)	2005 (f) \$73,646 64,125 4,024 15,993 (1,135)	<u>Year</u> (g) -6.26% -7.63% -28.04% 9.49%	Year (h) -9.61% -17.51% -49.01% 43.89%
(a) Ing Revenue: Local Service ork Access Distance Message ellaneous llectibles perating Revenue	\$56,857 46,684 1,079 22,980 (1,466) 126,134	\$62,899 56,596 2,116 15,971 (1,415)	\$66,926 62,849 2,555 18,013 (1,029)	\$70,624 65,326 3,971 18,373 (547)	\$73,646 64,125 4,024 15,993 (1,135)	-6.26% -7.63% -28.04% 9.49%	-9.61% -17.51% -49.01% 43.89%
ng Revenue: Local Service ork Access Distance Message Blaneous Ilectibles perating Revenue	\$56,857 46,684 1,079 22,980 (1,466) 126,134	\$62,899 56,596 2,116 15,971 (1,415)	\$66,926 62,849 2,555 18,013 (1,029)	\$70,624 65,326 3,971 18,373 (547)	\$73,646 64,125 4,024 15,993 (1,135)	-6.26% -7.63% -28.04% 9.49%	-9.61% -17.51% -49.01% 43.89%
Local Service ork Access Distance Message ellaneous llectibles perating Revenue	46,684 1,079 22,980 (1,466) 126,134	56,596 2,116 15,971 (1,415)	62,849 2,555 18,013 (1,029)	65,326 3,971 18,373 (547)	64,125 4,024 15,993 (1,135)	-7.63% -28.04% 9.49%	-17.51% -49.01% 43.89%
ork Access Distance Message ellaneous llectibles perating Revenue	46,684 1,079 22,980 (1,466) 126,134	56,596 2,116 15,971 (1,415)	62,849 2,555 18,013 (1,029)	65,326 3,971 18,373 (547)	64,125 4,024 15,993 (1,135)	-7.63% -28.04% 9.49%	-17.51% -49.01% 43.89%
Distance Message elianeous llectibles perating Revenue	1,079 22,980 <u>(1,466)</u> <u>126,134</u>	2,116 15,971 <u>(1,415)</u>	2,555 18,013 (1,029)	3,971 18,373 <u>(547)</u>	4,024 15,993 ( <u>1,135)</u>	-28.04% 9.49%	-49.01% 43.89%
ellaneous llectibles perating Revenue	22,980 (1,466) 126,134	15,971 <u>(1,415)</u>	18,013 <u>(1,029)</u>	18,373 <u>(547)</u>	15,993 (1,135)	9.49%	43.89%
lectibles perating Revenue	(1,466) 126,134	<u>(1,415)</u>	(1,029)	(547)	<u>(1,135)</u>		
perating Revenue	126,134					6.61%	2 000
	<del></del>	<u>136.167</u>	<u>149,314</u>	157 7 <i>4</i> 7			<u>3.60%</u>
20 Evaposes				191,141	<u>156,653</u>	<u>-5.27%</u>	<u>-7.37%</u>
in exhenses	62,465	59,926	63,330	68,347	59,350	1.29%	4.24%
iation & Amortization	32,579	31,752	36,602	23,978	32,696	-0.09%	2.60%
perating Taxes	<u>12.056</u>	<u>19.286</u>	<u>18,058</u>	<u>23,431</u>	<u>19,283</u>	<u>-11.08%</u>	<u>-37.49%</u>
xpenses, Depr. & Taxes	<u>107,100</u>	<u>110,964</u>	<u>117,990</u>	<u>115,756</u>	<u>111.329</u>	<u>-0.96%</u>	<u>-3.48%</u>
ng Income	<u>\$19.034</u>	\$2 <u>5.203</u>	<u>531.324</u>	<u>\$41.991</u>	\$ <u>45.324</u>	<u>-19.50%</u>	<u>-24.48%</u>
ecommunications Plant	<u>\$221.243</u>	S234.089	<u>\$244.381</u>	\$253.564	<u>\$251.877</u>	<u>-3.19%</u>	<u>-5.49%</u>
	<u>.                                    </u>	<del></del>					<del></del> _
	4	44.01%	42.41%	43.33%	37.89%	6.92%	12.52%
xp. as a % of Total Revenue	49.52%						1,74%
_		cp. as a % of Total Revenue 49.52%	xp. as a % of Total Revenue 49.52% 44.01%	xp. as a % of Total Revenue 49.52% 44.01% 42.41%			cp. as a % of Total Revenue 49.52% 44.01% 42.41% 43.33% 37.89% 6.92% comm. Plt. per \$ of Revenue \$1.75 \$1.72 \$1.64 \$1.61 \$1.61 2.11%

Notes: [1] ALLTEL Carolina, Inc. elected price regulation in June 1998. Due to the separation of its wireline business from its wireless business, effective July 12, 2006, ALLTEL Carolina, Inc. changed its name to Windstream North Carolina, Inc. Effective November 29, 2007, Windstream North Carolina, Inc. was converted into a North Carolina limited liability company named Windstream North Carolina, LLC.

<sup>[2]</sup> Reporting requirement changes for the major price regulated telephone companies were implemented, in response to passage of Senate Bill 814, by Commission Orders dated January 2 and April 16, 2004, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, effective for reporting periods beginning with calendar year 2003. The April 16, 2004 Order approved the Annual Report Proposal submitted, on March 4, 2004, by the major price regulated telephone companies, which effectively superseded the annual TS-1 Report previously required. Consequently, beginning with the 4th quarter 2003 "Quarterly Review", which includes information and data for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2003, earnings, access line, and certain other data for the price regulated telephone companies are no longer provided.

<sup>[3]</sup> Source of Data: Annual Report.

<sup>[4]</sup> Net Telecommunications Plant reflects net plant for total North Carolina operations (regulated and nonregulated), including plant under construction as follows for the 12-month periods ending December 31st: 2009 - \$4,854,817; 2008 - \$6,314,709; 2007 - \$14,362,281: 2006 - \$18,512,749; and 2005 - \$13,189,584.

### **Quarterly Review Distribution List**

Commission Staff Bob Bennink Don Hoover Mac Ellis Renne Vance

Public Staff
Robert Gruber
John Garrison
Elise Cox
Jeff Davis
Tom Farmer
Ken Rudder
Carl Goolsby
James McLawhorn
Antoinette Wike

Attorney General's Office Margaret Force

Others
Len S. Anthony
Carolina Power & Light Company,
d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.
P.O. Box 1551, PEB 17A4
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

David Baker North Carolina Department of Revenue Property Tax Division P.O. Box 871 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Felisa Bullock, Manager Executive and Regulatory Services CenturyLink 720 Western Boulevard Tarboro, North Carolina 27886

Janice Carney ElectriCities of North Carolina P.O. Box 29513 Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

David Carpenter
Managing Director Regulatory Affairs
Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 33068
Charlotte, North Carolina 28233

Matthew L. Dosch Senior Vice President of External Affairs Comporium Communications P.O. Box 470 Rock Hill, South Carolina 29730

Jayne Eve, Director State Governmental Affairs Windstream Communications, Inc. 236 West Center Avenue Mooresville, North Carolina 28115 Rick Feathers
Associate General Counsel
N.C. Electric Membership Corporation
P.O. Box 27306
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7306

Mary Lynne Grigg McGuire Woods LLP 2600 Two Hannover Square Raleigh. North Carolina 27601

William McAulay
Director – Legislative & Regulatory Relations
Public Service Company of NC, Inc.
1312 Annapolis Dr., Ste 200
Raleigh, North Carolina 27608

Ralph McDonald Bailey & Dixon, LLP P.O. Box 1351 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602-1351

Sharon Miller
Carolina Utility Customers Association, Inc.
Trawick Professional Center, Ste, 210
1708 Trawick Road
Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

Lara Nichols
Lead Regulatory Counsel
Duke Energy Corporation
EC03T
526 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Kevin O'Donnell Nova Energy Consultants 1350-101 SE Maynard Road Cary, North Carolina 27511

Stan Pace, Vice President
Public Affairs, Policy & Communications
Verizon South Inc.
P.O. Box 1412
Durham, North Carolina 27702

Robert F. Page Crisp, Page & Currin, L.L.P. 4010 Barrett Drive, Suite 205 Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-6622

Candace A. Paton, Lead Analyst Rates & Regulatory Affairs Public Service Company of NC, Inc. P.O. Box 1398 Gastonia, North Carolina 28053-1398 Pia K. Powers
Manager Regulatory Affairs
Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 33068
Charlotte. North Carolina 28233

Jo Anne Sanford Sanford Law Office, PLLC P.O. Box 28085 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-8085

Carol Shrum, Vice President Rates Duke Energy Corporation EC06O / PO Box 1006 Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Robert Smith, Director-External Affairs BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina 300 S. Brevard Street, 2A300 Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Charles A. Stadelmeier Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power P.O. Box 26666 Richmond, Virginia 23261

Laura A. Sykora Regulatory Affairs Manager CenturyLink 14111 Capital Boulevard Wake Forest, North Carolina 27587

Royster M. Tucker, Jr.
North State Telephone Company,
d/b/a North State Communications
P.O. Box 2326
High Point, North Carolina 27261

Bob Wells, Executive Director North Carolina Telephone Alliance 3737 Glenwood Avenue, Ste. 100 Raleigh, North Carolina 27612

Veronica Williams
Senior Regulatory Consultant
Duke Energy EC060
526 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Margaret S. Yaeger, Controller Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. P.O. Box 1551 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602