1	PLACE:	Mecklenburg County Courthouse
2		Charlotte, North Carolina
3	DATE:	Wednesday, July 10, 2019
4	TIME:	7:00 p.m 9:00 p.m.
5	DOCKET N	O: G-9, Sub 743
6	BEFORE:	Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland, Presiding
7		Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell
8		Commissioner Lyons Gray
9		Commissioner Daniel G. Clodfelter
LO		
L1		IN THE MATTER OF:
L2	Applic	ation of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.,
L3	for a	n Adjustment of Rates, Charges, and Tariffs
L 4	Applicab	ole to Service in North Carolina, Continuation
L 5	of it	s IMR Mechanism, Adoption of an EDIT Rider,
L 6		and Other Relief
L 7		
L 8		
L 9		VOLUME 2
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

```
APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
    FOR PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS:
 4
    James H. Jeffries, IV, Esq.
 5
    McGuireWoods LLP
 6
    201 North Tryon Street, Suite 3000
 7
    Charlotte, North Carolina 28202-2146
 8
 9
    Brian Heslin, Esq.
10
    Deputy General Counsel
11
    Duke Energy Corporation
12
    550 South Tryon Street
13
    Charlotte, North Carolina 28202
14
    FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC AND THE
15
    STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA AND ITS CITIZENS IN
16
17
    THIS MATTER OF PUBLIC INTEREST:
18
    Teresa Townsend, Esq.
19
    Special Deputy Attorney General
20
    Department of Justice
21
    114 West Edenton Street
22
    Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
23
24
```

```
APPEARANCES Cont'd.:
 2
 3
    FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
 4
    Megan Jost, Esq.
    Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission
 5
 6
    4326 Mail Service Center
 7
    Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	EXAMINATIONS:	
3	STEVE COPULSKY	
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost	16
5	NANCY CARTER	
6	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost	20
7	MARGARET PEEPLES	
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost	24
9	RIDGE GRAHAM	
LO	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost	26
L1	Cross Examination by Mr. Jeffries	29
L2	Examination by Commissioner Clodfelter	30
L 3	LUIS RODRIGUEZ	
L 4	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost	30
L 5	RODNEY SADLER	
L 6	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost	34
L 7	SALLY KNEIDEL	
L 8	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost	37
L 9	FOTINA KATSANOS	
20	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost	40
21	LEO AMON	
22	Examination by Commissioner Brown-Bland	43
23	MAC LEGERTON	
24	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost	47

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

1	E X A M I N A T I O N S Cont'd:
2	MICHAEL MERINSTEIN
3	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost 58
4	DAVID ROSEN
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost 61
6	KENDALL HALE
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost 66
8	STEVEN NORRIS
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost 71
10	CONSTANCE WILLIAMS
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost
12	STEVE RUNDLE
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost 81
14	JEROME WAGNER
15	Direct Examination by Ms. Jost 85
16	
17	EXHIBITS
18	IDENTIFIED / ADMITTED
19	No exhibits of record.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Good evening.

Let's come to order and go on the record. I am

Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland, the Presiding

Commissioner for this hearing. And with me this

evening are Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell; Commissioners

Lyons Gray and Daniel G. Clodfelter.

I now call for hearing Docket Number G-9, Sub 743, In the Matter of an Application of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., hereafter Piedmont or Company, for an Adjustment of Rates, Charges and Tariffs Applicable to Service in North Carolina, Continuation of Its IMR Mechanism, Adoption of Its EDIT Rider, and Other Relief, Pursuant to North Carolina General Statute § 62-133 and Commission Rule R1-17.

On April 1, 2019, Piedmont filed an Application with the Commission requesting that the Commission approve, among other requested items, its proposed increase in and revisions to its rates and charges for natural gas service in North Carolina, continuation of its Integrity Management Rider, hereafter IMR mechanism, implementation of a deferral accounting mechanism for certain distribution

Integrity Management Program expenses incurred in compliance with the federal safety regulations, and adoption of an Excess Deferred Income Tax Rider mechanism to facilitate return to customers of deferrals in excess deferred income taxes associated with reductions in the state and federal tax rates occurring after the Company's last general rate case.

Overall, across all customer classes, the Company seeks a 9 percent increase in annual total revenues to recover its costs. The Company states the increase is necessary primarily due to substantial capital investment in its system since its last rate case in 2013. According to the Company, this investment was made to accommodate system growth and to comply with federal safety requirements.

Filed with the Application were the testimony of Frank Yoho, Victor Gaglio, Jack Sullivan, Bruce Barkley, Pia Powers, Kally Couzens, Robert Hevert, Daniel Yardley, Dane Watson, and Paul Normand.

Carolina Utility Customers Association,
Inc., Fayetteville Public Works Commission,
Nucor-Steele Hertford, a Division of Nucor
Corporation, and Carolina Industrial Group for Fair
Utility Rates IV filed Petitions to Intervene in this

docket, which were granted by the Commission.

The intervention and participation of the Public Staff is recognized pursuant to State Statute and Commission Rule.

On April 22, 2019, the Commission issued an Order Establishing General Rate Case and Suspending the Proposed Rates.

On May 16, 2019, the Commission issued an Order Scheduling Investigation and Hearings,
Establishing Intervention and Testimony Due Dates and Discover Guidelines, and Requiring Public Notice. The Order scheduled hearings to receive the testimony from public witnesses for this date and time in Charlotte, yesterday, July 9th in High Point, and Wednesday, July 17, 2019, in Wilmington. After the public hearings, the hearing will resume in Raleigh on Monday, August 19, 2019 at 2:00 p.m., and will continue as required for the testimony and cross examination of the expert witnesses for Piedmont, the Public Staff and other intervenors.

On June 10, 2019, the Attorney General for the State of North Carolina filed a Notice of Intervention. The intervention and participation of the Attorney General is recognized pursuant to North

Carolina General Statute § 62-20.

In compliance with the State Government

Ethics Act, I remind members of the Commission of our

duty to avoid conflicts of interest, and inquire at

this time as to whether any Commissioner has any known

conflict of interest with respect to the docket?

(No response)

The record will reflect that no conflicts were identified.

I'll now call for appearances of counsel, beginning with the Company.

MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

My name is Jim Jeffries. I'm with the Law Firm of

McGuireWoods. I'm here on behalf of Piedmont Natural

Gas. With me at counsel table is Mr. Brian Heslin

who's the Deputy General Counsel of Duke Energy. And,

if I may, I'd also like to introduce a few

representatives of Piedmont that are here tonight that

are available to address any customer concerns outside

of the context of the hearing. First -- and I'll ask

you to stand as I call your name.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

Ladies and gentlemen, pay attention in case you need to talk or communicate with these folks at breaks or

```
after the hearing. They've come to be able to be available to answer any questions you might have of them.
```

MR. JEFFRIES: First is Mr. Bruce Barkley who is Vice President of Regulatory and Community Relations for Piedmont. Next is Ms. Cathy Pritchard who's the Manager of Consumer Affairs for Piedmont. And, finally, Ms. Jennifer Sharpe who's the Communications Consultant for Piedmont.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: She's behind you back there.

Thank you, Mr. Jeffries.

MS. JOST: Good evening. My name is Megan

Jost. I'm with the Public Staff of the Utilities

Commission. I have Neha Patel with me here this

evening. She's sitting in the back. We represent the

Using and Consuming Public.

MS. TOWNSEND: Teresa Townsend with the Attorney General's Office. We represent the Using and Consuming Public and the State and its Citizens in this interest -- matter of public interest. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you. Before we get started are there any preliminary

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

matters we need to address?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. JEFFRIES: Not that I'm aware of.

MS. JOST: Not from us.

MS. TOWNSEND: No.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Before we go further and hear from those of you who wish to give testimony, I'll just go over a few things about the proceeding tonight. As you heard in my opening statement, this proceeding began when the Company filed its Application for a rate increase. response to that, the Commission scheduled this hearing in two parts - one for the public hearing to hear from you primarily as the customers of the Company, and we want to hear from you and anything that you might have to tell us about what you think about the Application, about how it will impact you, about the service you receive from the Company, or whether you need some assistance from the Company. Many times, issues and problems do get resolved here when you're able to speak directly with representatives of the Company. You may also speak with the attorney and the person that she --Ms. Patel -- that she introduced who come here; they represent the Using and Consuming Public and they'll be here to answer any questions that they can answer tonight. That takes care of the public hearing portion, the first portion.

The second portion that the Commission set is what we typically refer to as the evidentiary hearing, even though that's a bit of a misnomer, but at that hearing the experts, the expert witnesses come to give testimony and they are usually accountants, engineers, economists, or other specialists of the Company, and that the Public Staff bring forward to us. And, also, the intervenors are also free to bring experts and have them introduce testimony as well.

The Public Staff represents the Using and Consuming Public and their function is independent of the Commission. A lot of people confuse that and think they are one and the same as the Commission. They are not. They work on your behalf under the direction of an executive director who does not answer to us or the Commission. And I just want you to know at the front that it's the Public Staff that investigates the Application, goes behind what the Company alleges, checks to see if the Company has spent the money on the assets that they claim they have and that those assets are being used on your

behalf. The Public Staff reviews the books, the records, and they visit the facilities to make sure that the investment is really there.

And now about these proceedings - the proceedings, the public hearings, are not in the nature of a town hall or a protest meeting. They are a court proceeding and they offer an opportunity for you to come forward and provide evidence under oath. The court reporter will take your testimony verbatim and will, from there, make a written transcript of it which will be publicly available.

The Commissioners who are sitting over here to my right, and the attorneys who are here who introduced themselves will have an opportunity to ask the witnesses questions about the testimony that is provided. If they do ask you a question, the purpose is usually not to challenge you at a proceeding like this so much as to clarify what you say for the record so that we may all ensure that we have accurately understood. Like court proceedings, you are not able to ask the Commission questions just like you don't see witnesses asking judges in court proceedings questions. It's our time to hear from you as the witnesses in the case. Also, on -- I'll just add with

respect to that, we're not being rude, we're happy to greet you if you come up at the break or if you happen to run into us or see us, but we're not -- it is not appropriate for us to discuss with you anything about the case off the record. So I just ask you not to put us in that position. We're not trying to be rude, but it's the law that we try to follow, and it says we're not suppose to talk about the case except on the record.

And, lastly, order must be maintained in these proceedings, so it's not appropriate to cause a delay by clapping or booing during the proceedings.

I'll ask you to remain quiet and allow the court reporter the full opportunity to hear and get the record accurate. With that said, I also ask you to observe a time restraint. We have a time clock here and the allotted time per witness is three minutes.

When you see the clock is running down to one minute, I would ask that you make a move towards being able to summarize and wrap up your statement and, if I ask you to wrap it up, please do so in a quick sentence or two.

And, finally, the very last thing is this case is not about the siting of any pipeline. Some of

you may be aware of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline proceedings, this case has nothing to do about that. This Commission has no role in whether that pipeline will be approved or disapproved. We have no say in it. And so that we may talk about the things that are relevant to this case, I'll ask that you limit what you have to say about that, although, I won't restrict you if there's something burning that you want to get off your chest. We are here to listen and we came here from Raleigh to do that. So we appreciate you coming out and we do want to hear from you.

With that said, Ms. Jost, have you identified witnesses?

(Commissioner Clodfelter addresses the Presiding Commissioner.)

reminded, and I think Ms. Jost may have reminded you as well, but if any of you provided or have written statements, if you're not able to complete what you have to say in a three-minute time, or you just want your statement to be a part of the evidentiary record, you have the opportunity to have that introduced as an exhibit to the testimony here tonight, and Ms. Jost will facilitate helping you to have that happen, so

```
just bear that in mind.
 2
               Ms. Jost, have you identified public
 3
    witnesses who wish to speak to us tonight?
 4
               MS. JOST: I have. We have 14.
 5
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
                                                      You
 6
    may call the first.
 7
               MS. JOST: I will call the first name and I
 8
    will also call the next two names on the list that way
    you'll know that your time is coming up.
10
               UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I never got that list.
11
               MS. JOST: All right. Ms. Patel has another
12
    list that you can sign if you'd like to speak.
13
    there's anybody else who would like to speak and has
14
    not signed up, please make sure that you get your name
15
    on that list.
               So the first witness is Steve Copulsky.
16
17
    after Mr. Copulsky we'll have Nancy Carter and
18
    Margaret Peeples.
19
                        STEVE COPULSKY;
20
                    having been duly sworn,
21
                     testified as follows:
22
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Jost.
23
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
24
         Can you please state your name and spell your
```

```
1
          last name for the record?
 2
          It's Steve Copulsky. It's spelled
 3
          C-O-P-U-L-S-K-Y.
 4
         And what is your address, Mr. Copulsky?
    Q
 5
    Α
          6614 Lynn Avenue in Charlotte, North Carolina.
 6
         Are you a customer of Piedmont?
 7
    Α
         Yes, I am.
 8
         And do you have a statement which you would like
 9
          to present to the Commission?
10
          Yes, I do.
    Α
11
         Please proceed.
12
          Thank you. And thank you to the Utilities
13
          Commission for giving the citizens an opportunity
14
          to speak tonight.
15
                         My name is Steve Copulsky.
16
          lived in North Carolina for 40 years and I am a
17
          long time leader in the Sierra Club. I'm here
18
          tonight to ask the Utilities Commission to deny a
19
          rate increase for Piedmont Natural Gas.
20
         Energy and its subsidiary, Piedmont Natural Gas,
21
          are committed to a business plan that focuses on
22
          the expansion of fracked gas and that will
23
          continue to cause climate change and worsen
24
          our climate crisis. They're asking for a rate
```

increase to expand their use of fracked gas.

They ignore the environmental and the economic benefits of clean renewable solar and wind power.

They make false claims about supporting renewable energy by including a small amount of renewables to window dress their fossil fuel energy plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

For the members of the Utilities Commission, here's my question to you, what is the legacy that you want to leave for the time that you serve on the Commission? Ten years or 20 years from now, do you want to tell your children or grandchildren you did all you could to avert the climate crisis? Or will you be saying to them, well, there was pressure to compromise, we had to keep the powers to be happy? I wish I could have done more. Well, you can do more. You can say no. We can't have business as usual when Duke and Piedmont ask for an excessive rate increase and the Utilities Commission simply lowers their request to a rate increase that Duke and Piedmont undoubtedly expected to get and that makes them happy. time for the Utilities Commission to stand up and say no to a rate increase that will commit North

```
1
         Carolina to a long-term energy plan focused on
 2
         fracked gas, a plan that will continue to worsen
 3
         our climate crisis. Please help North Carolina
         move away from fracked gas and open the
 4
 5
         opportunity to embrace clean, renewable energy
 6
         and fight climate change. Thank you.
 7
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Thank you,
 8
    Mr. Copulsky. Just a minute before you step down.
 9
    Are there any questions, Ms. Jost?
10
              MS. JOST: No questions.
11
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any questions
12
    from --
13
              MR. JEFFRIES: No questions from the
14
    Company.
15
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Questions from
16
    the Commission?
17
                        (Shakes heads no)
18
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you,
19
    Mr. Copulsky.
20
                    (The witness is excused)
21
              MS. JOST: Nancy Carter. And after
22
    Ms. Carter we will have Margaret Peeples and Ridge
23
    Graham.
24
                         NANCY CARTER;
```

1	having be	een duly sworn,
2	testifie	ed as follows:
3	COMMISSIONER B	ROWN-BLAND: Ms. Jost has some
4	questions for you.	
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:	
6	Q Please state your n	ame and address for the
7	record.	
8	A Nancy Carter, 1401	Cavendish Court, Charlotte,
9	North Carolina.	
10	Q Are you a customer	of Piedmont?
11	A I am.	
12	Q And do you have a s	tatement that you would like
13	to present to the C	ommission?
14	A I do.	
15	Q Please proceed.	
16	A Thank you very much	. I am Nancy Carter. I'm an
17	elected official.	I serve on the Soil and Water
18	Conservation Distri	ct Board. I have served on
19	national, internati	onal, state and local boards
20	concerned with the	environment as well as the
21	arts, politics, et	cetera.
22	Toni	ght, I'm here because I'm a
23	new grandmother. I	feel a very distinct
24	responsibility abou	t what we pass along to the

future of our generations that come after us.

I've also sworn 17 times in the last 20 years to protect the Constitution of the United States and North Carolina. I feel the responsibility in those swearings, I'm under oath right now, I have been sworn to protect the country, the State, and the population. And I think it's absolutely incumbent upon us to protect the environment, because without the environment, and a clean and healthy state, we would have no population, we would have no functioning society, or no government.

I am very concerned about the rate increase that is being proposed because I think these funds are being used to promote the use of fracked gas and this is not clean energy which we need for protecting our own climate here. It is absolutely crucial that we look into investing in clean energy. That is the point that I wish to make. Charlotte has committed to the Sustainable Energy Action Plan. I was part of that group that studied externally for the city council. As a former city council member, I was very pleased to serve on that. And if you serve

on the SEAP, please raise your hand in the audience.

(Several audience members raised hands)

There we are. We have very distinguished panels, not myself, they were very active and very knowledgeable. The county is considering the same environmental statement. We need to move forward to protect our environment and not invest in an energy that produces carbon. It's absolutely crucial that we take responsibility for that.

Lastly, I'm a stockholder. We've lived here for 42 years. My husband is a retired physician. And we do hold stock in Duke and Piedmont Natural Gas. I think that the investors need to take the risk and the expenditures that's committed by the energy companies. I look at the pipeline and look at the fatal coal ash, et cetera, and see that we need to take responsibility for these things.

So looking at people that I have known, friends like Bill Lee, Bill Coley, and Ruth Shaw, we need to look forward to the future in a responsible way, looking at clean energy as

1	the most important thing that we can pass on to
2	our future generations.
3	Thank you for your consideration
4	and thank you so much for allowing me to speak
5	tonight.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you, Ms.
7	Carter. Ms. Jost, any questions for Ms. Carter?
8	MS. JOST: No questions.
9	MR. JEFFRIES: Piedmont has no questions of
10	Ms. Carter.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Commissioners,
12	any questions?
13	(Shakes heads no)
14	Thank you.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
16	(The witness is excused)
17	MS. JOST: Next, we have Margaret Peeples.
18	And after Ms. Peeples we will have Ridge Graham and
19	Luis Rodriguez.
20	
20	MS. PEEPLES: I prefer not to swear.
	MS. PEEPLES: I prefer not to swear. MARGARET PEEPLES;
21	
21 22 23	MARGARET PEEPLES;

```
1
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Just a moment,
 2
    Ms. Peeples.
 3
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
 4
         Please do state your name and address for the
 5
         record.
 6
         My name is Margaret Peeples. My address is 838
 7
         Heather Lane in Charlotte 28209.
 8
         Thank you. Are you a customer of Piedmont?
 9
         Yes.
10
         And do you have a statement that you'd like to
11
         present to the Commission?
         Yes, and I gave you copies. Piedmont Natural Gas
12
13
         is part of Duke Energy. Natural gas is natural,
14
         but Piedmont/Duke's greed is not natural, it's
15
         pathological. Replacing our protective
16
         atmosphere with a suffocating blanket of too much
17
         CO2 and methane. Is it natural to expect our
18
         grandchildren to spend their lives inside because
19
         it will be too hot to go outside and their
20
         asthmas will worsen each year? No. Grandparents
21
         want their grandchildren to be able to breathe
22
         and to walk outside.
23
                         Methane leakage from pipelines,
24
          fracking chemicals leaking in the soil, fracking
```

causing more earthquakes, and LNG storage buildings rise neatly over us with explosive target potential. These are not wanted, not needed. What is needed is wind and solar energy, safe for our atmosphere and bringing safe jobs.

More profits to reward

Piedmont/Duke for bringing more climate

catastrophe to our state, our nation and our

planet. Unreasonable, unnatural, not wanted, not

needed, no way, keep it in the ground. Thank

you.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Jost.

MS. JOST: I don't have any questions, but I would like to mark this as Peeples Exhibit 1.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Peeples, let me ask you, did you read verbatim from the statement that you provided to Ms. Jost?

THE WITNESS: Pretty much. I made one correction on all of the copies.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I was asking because if you got everything you wanted to say in your testimony then there would not be a need to add the exhibit unless you just want us to. It's going to be taken down verbatim by the court reporter. So are

```
you good?
 2
         Yes. I want this to be what was submitted?
 3
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: So we're just
 4
    going to go with your statement and not attach --
 5
    Α
         Right.
 6
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
 7
    Mr. Jeffries, do you have any questions?
 8
               MR. JEFFRIES: No questions.
 9
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Commissioners,
10
    any questions from you?
11
                        (Shakes heads no)
12
               Thank you, Ms. Peeples.
13
    Α
          Thank you.
14
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you for
15
    coming out.
16
                    (The witness is excused)
17
               MS. JOST: Ridge Graham. After Mr. Graham,
18
    Luis Rodriguez and Jerome Wagner.
19
                          RIDGE GRAHAM;
20
                    having been duly sworn,
21
                     testified as follows:
22
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
23
         Please state your name and address for the
24
         record.
```

1 Ridge Graham, and 589 West King Street, Boone, Α 2 North Carolina. 3 And are you a customer of Piedmont? 4 I'm not. I'm here representing members of 5 Appalachian Voices who are Piedmont energy --6 (WHEREUPON, the Court Reporter 7 requested the witness to speak 8 up.) 9 Yes -- of Piedmont Gas customers. 10 Do you have a statement that you would like to 11 present to the Commission? 12 I do. Α 13 Please proceed. 14 So one of the things that I wanted to draw 15 attention to was the requested increase on the 16 return of equity, from 5.36, the current rate, to 17 10.6 percent, is almost a 100 percent increase. 18 This is not justified by Piedmont's calculations, 19 and it's an unfair economic burden to place 20 on consumers. It does not provide better or 21 cheaper service. 22 From there, we'd also like to draw 23 attention to the line item 10 on the Supplemental 24 G-1 filing, which is plant in service for

projected additions through June 30 of 2019, which is about \$285 million, and roughly equal to the price tag of the projected or liquefied natural gas storage facility in Maxton, North Carolina, as indicated by the Charlotte Business Journal. I don't have that for sure, eDocuments, they were public facing and weren't very clear. But I just wanted to say that this facility is unneeded to meet the demand for natural gas for the foreseeable future as determined by the Commission's own IRP projections. Piedmont customers have plenty of access to natural gas during the winter as provided by existing Piedmont distribution lines. They're supplied by the Transco-Williams pipeline. This facility is not yet completed and would not be finished in the next few years. The precedent set by the Commission when Duke Energy sought to recover costs for coal ash cleanup that had not yet been incurred were rejected until those -- until they had actually incurred them. And I humbly ask that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I humbly ask that the

Commission follow suit in that precedent and not provide a blank check for Piedmont to build an

expensive and unnecessary facility using money from their customer's pockets.

And I also ask the Commission to question the need of this facility in a similar fashion to Virginia State Corporation Commission, determining if the Roanoke Gas Company's request that they will need gas infrastructure was unnecessary and not grant compensation in the form of more of their customers money. Thank you.

MS. JOST: No questions.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Jeffries, do you have questions or follow-up for this witness?

MR. JEFFRIES: Just one question.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. JEFFRIES:

- Q Are you aware that there are no LNG costs in this proceeding? There are no costs sought to be recovered in this proceeding?
- A I am not aware of that. I was just -- was trying to guess based off of what was written, the costs that were stated, and I went through the testimonies trying to find what it was for and I couldn't find an actual delineation of this cost.

MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you. That's all the

```
1
    questions.
 2
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Are there any
 3
    questions from the Commission? Commissioner
 4
    Clodfelter.
    EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
 6
         Mr. Graham, I didn't catch where you live?
 7
          In Boone, North Carolina.
 8
         In where?
         Boone, North Carolina.
10
         Okay. Thank you.
11
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any other
12
    questions?
13
                          (No response)
14
               All right. Mr. Graham, thank you. You may
15
    step down.
16
                    (The witness is excused)
17
               MS. JOST: Luis Rodriguez. And after
18
    Mr. Rodriguez is Jerome Wagner and Rodney Sadler.
19
               MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm going to affirm.
20
                        LUIS RODRIGUEZ;
21
                   having been duly affirmed,
                     testified as follows:
22
23
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
24
          Please state your name and address for the
```

```
1
          record.
         My name is Luis Rodriguez and my address is 7200
 2
 3
         Barcliff Drive, Charlotte, North Carolina 28212.
 4
          Thank you. Are you a Piedmont customer?
    Q
 5
    Α
          I am not.
 6
         And do you have a statement that you would like
 7
          to present to the Commission?
 8
         Yes, I do.
    Α
 9
         Please proceed.
10
          Thank you for coming all the way out here.
11
         Luis Rodriguez and I am an organizer with the
12
          Sierra Club Beyond Coal Campaign. I'm also here
13
          speaking for the Dirty Fuels Campaign.
14
                         I would ask that the Commission
15
          deny the request by Piedmont Gas for this rate
16
          increase. According to PNG's own financials
17
          recorded by Markets Insider, PNG saw a 3.39
18
         percent balanced increased from 2016 and 2017 --
19
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Rodriguez,
20
    slow up just a little bit so she can get it.
21
    Α
          Sorry.
22
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                           Thank you.
23
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You need to slow
```

24

down a little bit.

A That 3.39 percent balanced increase from 2016 to 2017, a 9.52 percent increase from 2017 to 2018, these are not increases that regular folks on main street get to see in their wallets.

At a time when renewable energy prices are coming down, Duke is determined to squeeze every dime of hazardous fossil fuels like fracked gas at the financial and health risks to families and individuals just trying to get by. The infrastructure needed to feed fracked gas is dangerous and unnecessary, carrying highly toxic, highly combustible liquids directly through low-income communities and across waterways.

Fracked gas contributes to heat waves, droughts, wildfires, and super storms.

New Orleans is currently flooding. I hate it on account of more than one hurricane that hasn't even made landfall yet. Climate change is a reality. And here in Charlotte, this rate increase will be worse for low-income families, for elders and those with disabilities living on fixed incomes This money grab is unnecessary and any benefit is vasty outweighed by the harm it will do to the public.

1	I ask that you consider the
2	people, the actual families and individuals who
3	will be affected by this most grievously, and
4	that you keep that in mind when you make your
5	decision. Thank you very much.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you,
7	Mr. Rodriguez. Is there are there any questions?
8	MS. JOST: No.
9	MR. JEFFRIES: No.
10	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: You may step
11	down.
12	(The witness is excused)
13	MS. JOST: Jerome Wagner.
14	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Jerome is delayed
15	downstairs.
16	MS. JOST: Pardon me.
17	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Jerome has been delayed
18	downstairs.
19	MS. JOST: Okay. We will come back to him.
20	Rodney Sadler. After Mr. Sadler we'll have Sally
21	Kneidel.
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Will you place
23	your left hand on the Bible and raise your right, or
24	would you rather affirm?

1	THE WITNESS: I'll just affirm.	
2	RODNEY SADLER;	
3	having been duly affirmed,	
4	testified as follows:	
5	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Jost.	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:	
7	Q Please state your name and address for t	he
8	record.	
9	A My name is Rev. Dr. Rodney Sadler. I li	ve at
10	5902 Marshbank Lane in Charlotte, North	Carolina.
11	Q Are you a customer of Piedmont?	
12	A I am a customer of Piedmont Natural Gas.	
13	Q Do you have a statement that you'd like	to
14	present to the Commission?	
15	A Yes, I would like to.	
16	Q Please proceed.	
17	A Thank you very much. First of all, I'd	like to
18	say I'm grateful for the work of the Uti	lities
19	Commission for the fact that you help to	govern
20	our regulated monopolies of energy in ou	r state.
21	Thank you for the work that you do, and	I think
22	it's necessary work.	
23	Also, let me say I am gra	teful to
24	Piedmont Natural Gas and to Duke Energy	because I

think they provide necessary service for us all and I'm a beneficiary and I would be remiss not to say that.

I think that this rate hike is a concern for me because I am a minster. I'm called by Genesis Chapters 1 and 2 to be a steward of all the resources of the earth, and that means that we have to be good stewards of those resources. When I think about a rate increase, right now, my primary concern is that it's going to negatively impact the lives of poor citizens of the State of North Carolina. People can ill-afford to deal with such a rate increase. One of my concerns is that these are also the people that are often most dramatically impacted by the effects of climate change often brought on by poor energy policies across our state.

I'm also concerned that there's not been great transparency. Why is it that we need to have this rate increase at this point and time? Where will the money go? And how will this be a benefit to all of us in the State of North Carolina?

My greater fear is that this is

```
1
         going to go into an increase in fossil fuel
 2
                          Precisely what we don't need
          infrastructure.
 3
                    An increase in fossil fuel
         right now.
         infrastructure means that over the next 25 to 30
 4
 5
         years this will be depreciated and it means that
         less likely we move towards clean renewable
 6
 7
         energy sources, that which we need. I would be
 8
         in favor of a rate increase that was supporting
         clean renewable energy sources, things like wind
10
         and things like solar energy. I think that's
11
         where we need to put our money. And until we can
12
         see something that demonstrates clearly that
13
         we're going to move in this direction, I will
14
         stand opposed to a rate increase.
15
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Thank you.
                                                      Are
16
    there any questions?
17
               MS. JOST:
                          No.
18
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Jeffries.
19
              MR. JEFFRIES: No questions, Madam Chair.
20
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Commissioners?
21
                        (Shakes heads no)
22
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Thank you,
23
    Reverend Sadler. Thank you.
24
                    (The witness is excused)
```

```
1
               MS. JOST: Sally Kneidel. Next will be Tina
 2
    Katsanos and --
 3
               UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Leo Amon. I was going
 4
    with her.
 5
              MS. JOST: You didn't indicate that you
 6
    wanted to speak.
 7
               MR. AMON: Oh, I was talking.
 8
              MS. JOST: Oh, okay.
 9
               MR. AMON:
                         I'm sorry.
10
              MS. JOST:
                         That's okay. Ms. Kneidel.
11
              MS. KNEIDEL: I'm taping up pictures of my
12
    grandkids.
13
                         SALLY KNEIDEL;
14
                    having been duly sworn,
                     testified as follows:
15
16
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
17
          Please state your name and address for the
18
         record.
19
         Sally Kneidel. My address is 424 Bertonley
20
         Avenue in Charlotte.
21
         Are you a customer of Piedmont?
         Yes, I am.
22
    Α
23
    Q
         And do you have a statement that you'd like to
24
         present to the Commission?
```

Thank y'all for taking public comments. appreciate that. I'm a long-time resident of Charlotte, my whole life. I'm a biologist. came here tonight because I am opposed to Piedmont Natural Gas -- I'm opposed to a rate hike for Piedmont Natural Gas. I'm against it because we are in a climate emergency. that as a biologist and I know that as just a person who reads the newspaper. The rate hike is for Piedmont but Piedmont is owned by Duke Energy, which is ranked as one of the worst major corporations in the world on climate policy. I read that two days ago. Duke is far too committed to fossil fuels. They rank just above the Cove Brothers which were dead last in ranking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α

I'm worried about my young grandchildren whose pictures I've put here, two and five-years-old. I want them to have a full life. I want that more than anything. I want them to have a livable world. But if we keep using fossil fuels we will see catastrophic changes within the lifetime of my grandchildren, not we might, but we will. Most of us here will

have the good fortune to die of old age, but our grandchildren may die of climate change. There's a good chance of that and that terrifies me.

The Utilities Commission has the power to make a difference and yet appears to consistently take care of Duke and not the public. Duke Energy must be controlled. Duke is not a benevolent organization in spite of what their brainwashing commercial says. Duke is a corporation and like all corporations it's profit driven. In addition to that, Duke has a huge advantage as a corporation. Our state has granted it monopoly status. With no competition, Duke has no incentive to keep rates down or to make choices for the public good, and so they don't.

The job of the NC Utilities

Commission is to reign them in and they must do

so. The NCUC must reject this rate hike request,

and Piedmont Natural Gas rate hike request to

finance fossil fuel infrastructure. I'm begging

you to do that. I'm asking you also to do what

you can to encourage Duke to invest in renewable

energy instead, for our survival. Thank you.

```
1
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any questions for
 2
    this witness?
 3
               MS. JOST: No.
 4
               MR. JEFFRIES: No.
 5
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: You may step
 6
            Thank you for coming out.
    down.
 7
                    (The witness is excused)
 8
               MS. JOST: Tina Katsanos and Leo Amon.
 9
               MS. KATSANOS: May he speak separately?
10
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Yes, he may.
11
               MS. JOST: Tina Katsanos.
12
                        FOTINA KATSANOS;
13
                    having been duly sworn,
14
                     testified as follows:
15
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
16
          Please state your name and address for the
17
         record.
18
         Tina Katsanos. I actually want to give you my
          legal name. Fotini, F-O-T-I-N-I, Katsanos
19
20
         K-A-T-S-A-N-O-S.
21
         And your address?
22
          13524 Carleen Way Drive.
23
         And are you a Piedmont customer?
24
    Α
          I am.
```

```
1
         Would you like to --
 2
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Is that in
 3
    Charlotte?
 4
         Yes, Charlotte 28213.
    BY MS. JOST:
 6
         Would you like to provide a statement to the
 7
         Commission?
 8
         Yes, I would.
    Α
 9
         Please proceed.
10
         I am a native Charlottean. I'm opposed to this
11
         rate hike. I'm here to offer testimony to you as
12
         a single, working mother. I have an
13
         eight-year-old who will speak after me.
                                                   It is a
14
         challenge raising him on a single income.
15
          I could tell you that I live just
16
         pay-check-to-pay-check but I don't because
17
         sometimes I live credit-card-to-credit-card.
18
         the thing that is so surreal is that we live in a
19
         world right now where some people fair a lot
20
         worse than me. When the CEO of Duke Energy,
21
         which we know is Piedmont Natural Gas, nets
         somewhere around $21.4 million in compensation in
22
23
         2017, at that point -- I just don't even know
24
         what to say about that.
```

I had other things prepared to speak -- to say to you tonight, but I'm not, because everybody has already said it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Climate change is happening, it's happening now, and it's coming a lot quicker than the science predicted. How can I continue to fund through this rate hike this type of dirty fossil fuel infrastructure when we're running out of time? How do I as a mother sleep at night knowing that my son could very well not have a future or, if he does have a future, it is not a future that he is going to enjoy. And my son is everyone's son and everyone's child is my child. And I am begging you to do the right thing, put Piedmont Natural Gas, a subsidiary of Duke Energy, in its place. If they want a -- a rate hike for fossil fuels, okay, I will take out another line of credit to help fund that, but that's not what they're doing. What they're doing is wrong. And as parents, and as sons and daughters, as part of a big family of people in Charlotte, and Mecklenburg County, across the state, we have got to take care of each other, and I'm asking our Commissioners here tonight to

```
do that. Thank you.
 2
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Do you have
 3
    questions for this witness?
 4
               MS. JOST: No.
 5
               MR. JEFFRIES: No.
 6
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Thank you.
 7
                    (The witness is excused)
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Do you have the
 8
    young man's name?
10
               MR. AMON: Leo Amon, A-M-O-N.
11
                            LEO AMON;
12
                    having been duly sworn,
13
                     testified as follows:
14
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
15
         Would you state your name? You can take your
16
         hand down now. Thank you. Would you state your
17
         name for the court reporter? Make sure she can
18
         hear you.
19
         Leo.
20
         And your last name.
    Q
21
         Amon.
22
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: He spelled it for
23
    you before.
24
         And can you tell us your address?
```

```
1
          Uh --
    Α
 2
          Was that previous witness your mom?
 3
    Α
          Yes.
 4
         And you live with her?
    Q
 5
    Α
          Yeah.
          Tell us what you want us to know.
 6
 7
         Well, I'm -- hi, my name is Leo and I am -- I --
    Α
 8
          Take your time.
                           Take your time.
    Q
 9
          I'm here tonight to discuss how I would like
10
          Piedmont Natural Gas, also known as Duke Energy,
11
         because they have pretty much tooken (sic) over
12
          them and just make it into a full-profit thing,
13
         but they were a full-profit thing so it doesn't
14
         make any difference. And I'm still upset with
         how this works and how I -- how --
15
16
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: You're doing
17
    fine.
           Take your time.
18
          It's just -- it's just absurd how I -- I just
19
         want to live a bright future. And really it's
20
          just -- everyone there is just kind of stopping
21
         me really. And I'm honestly -- it's just -- it's
22
          just -- I'm really honestly pretty much awake at
23
          literally every night. I am awake every night,
24
          literally, just thinking of how -- like I'm
```

getting more anxiety than I already have. It's just -- it's just -- it's unexplainable how difficult it is to just actually get a good night's sleep. And I just -- really -- all I really want is a bright future and a good night's sleep every night.

So it's like -- it's just -honestly I think it's unacceptable. It's
unacceptable how they get the right to just have
so much money just to spend their willy nilly
habits on and not -- and it's just -- it's just
unexplainable. It's just way, way unexplainable
that I can think of. It just makes me just
wonder why is my life ever a thing if this is
what's going to happen. The future is literally
just Piedmont Natural Gas, Duke Energy, just
taking over the world and stuff. I don't know,
it's just -- ugh!

- Q Have you told us everything you want to?
- 20 A I think that's about it. That's honestly about it.
- Q All right. Thank you for that. Now, tell me this, are you in school?
- 24 A Yes.

```
And what school do you go to?
    Q
 2
          I am going to Pinewood Elementary (spelling
 3
         uncertain).
 4
         And what grade are you in?
    Q
 5
         Third.
    Α
 6
         All right. And I believe we heard you are
 7
         eight-years-old?
 8
    Α
         Yes.
 9
         Thank you.
10
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any questions for
11
    this witness?
12
              MS. JOST: No.
13
              MR. JEFFRIES: (Shakes head no).
14
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you for
15
    stepping up and speaking out tonight.
16
                    (The witness is excused)
17
               MS. JOST: Next we have Mac, Reverend Mac
18
    Legerton. And I understand that two witnesses have
19
    seeded their time to him; that is Amy Brooks and
20
    Nathan Hunt.
21
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          All right.
22
               MR. LEGERTON: I would like to affirm.
23
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
24
                         MAC LEGERTON;
```

1	having been duly affirmed,	
2	testified as follows:	
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Jost, the	
4	witness is sworn in.	
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:	
6	Q Please state your name and address for the	
7	record.	
8	A It's Reverend Mac Legerton. I wanted to make	
9	sure the Commissioners moved over so I can see	
10	them. My address is Post Office Box 9 in	
11	Pembroke, North Carolina.	
12	Q Are you a customer of Piedmont?	
13	A No, but my community is.	
14	Q And is there a statement that you would like to	
15	present to the Commission?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Please proceed.	
18	A I'm Reverend Mac Legerton from Pembroke, North	
19	Carolina, in Robeson County, and I've worked on	
20	environmental protection and promotion for 35	
21	years in our state as a part of my community	
22	ministry. One of the words to describe how PNG	
23	is explaining purpose and reason	
24	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Reverend	

```
1 Legerton, if you'll step back just a little bit so it
2 won't distort.
```

A Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: That's good.

Α One of the words to describe how PNG is explaining the purpose and reasons for the proposed rate hike is cryptic. What actually will be covered by this rate hike? What specific systems growth will it cover? What specific safety upgrades? And what specific infrastructure investment? And what is the unnamed new plant referred to in this proposal? This unnamed plant is listed on line item 10 in G-1 Supplemental filing on page 13 and it references quote, a plant in service for projected additions through June 30 in 2019, unquote. This unnamed plant in service has a listed price tag of \$285 million, but it remains unnamed. Interesting enough, even though our friend at PNG has acknowledged this earlier, this price tag is similar to the estimated cost of a planned LNG plant in Robeson County.

With all the money that PNC (sic) and Duke Energy have available, and a contract

with the best professionals to prepare this request for submission, why is it so cryptic, secretive and obscure in relation to the specific costs to be covered by the proposed rate hike?

Transco officials have submitted documentation to both FERC and the State of South Carolina that they can provide all of the gas needed for both North and South Carolina for the foreseeable future. Ratepayers do not need, in North Carolina, to pay for any new natural gas plant, any new investments in natural gas infrastructure, and certainly no new specific plant at a cost of \$285 million. If PNC (sic) would like to -- PNG would like to build this, they can certainly do that with their own monies, any of these without the support of ratepayers in North Carolina.

So what is actually to be covered by this rate hike? It's not just a question of the LNG facility in Robeson county. Does it include the monies from before a pipeline is needed to possibly reach this proposed facility? And even more importantly, does it include the monies for the PNG pipeline number 434 that was

1 recently constructed in Robeson County that runs 2 for many miles between the Duke Energy Haywood 3 facility and the alleged ACP terminus in Pembroke? And what else may or does this 4 5 requested rate hike actually cover? 6 While it is -- it's been stated 7 that this hearing was not about the Atlantic 8 Coast Pipeline, the Utilities Commission does 9 need to know that the pipeline that's 10 constructed, Number 434, will be a stranded 11 access -- asset that was unnecessary to construct 12 if the ACP is not constructed. Duke Energy/PNG 13 has an existing -- I'm not talking about the ACP, 14 I'm talking about pipeline number 434 that has an 15 existing --16 (WHEREUPON, the Court Reporter 17 requested the witness to back away 18 from the microphone, due to 19 distortion.) 20 Oh, I'm sorry. I get a little preaching excited. Α 21 (Laughter) 22 I'm here in an educated role so 23 I'll try to temper that. So this existing 24 pipeline runs from Hamlet to Wilmington, the

existing one. Y'all have to realize how many pipelines are being planned, and they already exist in Robeson County. So there's an existing pipeline that runs from Hamlet to Wilmington, and this runs parallel to the new pipeline 434, and it could also carry gas anywhere it needs to be carried in Robeson County without pipeline 434.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, prior to constructing pipe 434, some of us were paying attention, PNG stated on its website that it was going to request a rate hike for this very pipeline. Pipeline 434 was specifically constructed to connect both the Duke Energy Hamlet Energy Center to the terminus of the ACP. It ends at the alleged terminus of the ACP, in Pembroke where I live, and is sitting there empty waiting for the ACP to arrive. rate hike should be permitted for PNG pipeline If it is included, that may well prove to 434. be a Duke Energy/PNG stranded asset if the ACP is not constructed. So Transco can provide all the gas we need for anything we need. We do not need to invest any further in any natural gas projects. Everyday, renewable energies are becoming cheaper and more reliable than fossil

fuels.

Utilities Act, North Carolina requires energy to come at the least cost, which is achievable. For many decades, Duke used this argument to support coal being expanded. And we still are the number one state that produces and uses -- and uses coal in the country. Now, PNG and Duke Energy want to make this one of the biggest states that expands natural gas. So natural gas is no longer the least cost which is achievable. For example, it was just announced this week that Los Angeles Board of Water Commissioners are expected to approve a contract for solar and battery storage that will be half the estimated cost of power of any new natural gas plant.

There are also many database and data driven studies that now document the renewables. And battery storage are now cheaper than the cost of any new fossil fuel infrastructure in the United States, and this cost is going down every year. That's why Duke Energy wanted to get its bill passed -- I don't know what happened today in the House -- so that

they could be protected from the competition of renewables. It's a very sad situation.

Also, under Policy 10(c), North
Carolina is to encourage private investment and
renewable energy and energy efficiency. This is
part of our North Carolina Statute. Providing
this requested rate increase would encourage Duke
Energy and Piedmont Natural Gas to invest further
into fossil fuel energy and move the State
further away from producing non-carbon renewable
and efficient energy.

Within the next 30 years -- how much time do I have? I had nine minutes altogether.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: You actually have three more minutes beyond --

A Oh great. Okay. I'm sticking to my statement as close as possible. Thank you.

(Laughter)

So further gas will also make us dependent on this gas for up to 30 years. And in 30 years a lot of these fossil fuels are going to have to be nationally and internationally outlawed. We all know that. So it's like an

elephant that's ready to die and it screams at the end right before it dies. The natural gas industry and the fossil fuel industry in the state are screaming to get as much -- to squeeze as much out of the public and as much out of our state as possible, knowing that they are dying in distress. We need to stop it and put an incentive to move this as quickly as possible toward renewable energies -- non-carbon renewable energies. Even the Governor's Executive Order, with all the natural gases now planned by PNG and Duke Energy, we can never reduce carbon in this state. It's impossible.

Okay. Policy 10(d) also states that North Carolina -- are you going to reset that for me so I know when --

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: She's working on

it.

A So 10(d) also says that North Carolina utilities are to provide improved air quality and other benefits to energy consumers and citizens of this state. That, also, is incentive for us not to expand any more natural gas extraction and combustion. And with the two plants that y'all

have already seen for Duke Energy and PNG, one of the two plants calls for putting 75 percent of all of their resources into natural gas. 75 percent.

Overall, allowing this rate increase to fund any new natural gas investments, or plants, or infrastructure support, or what else? Any safety upgrades? And safety upgrades, we can negotiate on that one.

(Laughter)

We all want this stuff to be safe, but not systems growth. No systems growth. No insignificant infrastructure investment and certainly no new plants.

Okay. Now, where do I live? I live in Robeson County. There have been six natural gas projects planned by PNG and Duke Energy in Robeson County and they're all connected. They're connected to existing gas infrastructure as well an existing pipeline and compressor. All of these eight natural gas projects are within an 8-mile radius of each other, in the heart of this glorious Native American community, east of the Mississippi

River. All of them! No one article about it.

No talk about it. Because our local officials,
all they see is a little bit of tax base coming
out of it. No jobs. No jobs, folks. Twelve
jobs for this place we can't even mention in here
tonight. That's all.

Our county is the poorest county in our state. One of the poorest in our nation. And all this natural gas -- natural gas is gonna make it one of the most aquiferous (spelled phonetically) places to live in North Carolina in relation to potential environmental and health degradation and harm. We already have the lowest health and wellness indicators in the State. And you want to put up to six new natural gas projects in an 8-mile radius in the middle of the Native American community. And then Maxton is 40 percent poverty and majority is African American.

Here's my final statement: If it were intentionally designed, there would no better model of environmental injustice than all the fossil fuel projects planned by PNG and Duke Energy in our most racially diverse, poor or

```
1
          rural county in this state. Tragically none of
 2
          these extensive and expansive fossil fuel
 3
         projects are needed to meet the energy needs of
 4
          the State of North Carolina. That's my
 5
          statement. Thank you.
 6
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Thank you,
 7
    Reverend Legerton.
 8
                           (Clapping)
 9
          I've been living with this -- oh, my time is up.
10
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Are there
11
    questions for this witness?
12
               MS. JOST: No.
13
          I've got to breathe now.
14
               MR. JEFFRIES: No.
15
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any questions by
16
    the Commissioners?
17
                        (Shakes heads no)
18
               I appreciate you coming out --
19
    Α
          Thank you.
20
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: -- again and
21
    following these proceedings. Thank you very much.
22
                    (The witness is excused)
23
               MS. JOST: The next witness is Michael
24
    Merinstein, followed by David Rosen and Kendall Hale.
```

1		MICHAEL MERINSTEIN;
2		having been duly sworn,
3		testified as follows:
4	DIRE	CCT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
5	Q	Please state your name and address for the
6		record.
7	А	My name is Michael Merinstein, 8411 Dunsinane
8		Drive, Charlotte.
9	Q	Thank you. And are you a Piedmont customer?
10	А	Yes, I am.
11	Q	Do you have a statement that you would like to
12		present to the Commission?
13	А	I do. Thank you.
14	Q	Please proceed.
15	А	Good evening. As I stated, my name is Michael
16		Merinstein. I am one of the many new Charlotte
17		residents and, as you all know many, many more
18		are on the way. That is the future in a very
19		future-minded place. I'm up from south Florida,
20		and I have come to love Charlotte in the one year
21		that I've been here. It is why I am so very sad
22		to see a very old political dynamic at play here.
23		I am saddened at the prospect of higher energy
21		hills but I'm outraged at the idea of

subsidizing the destruction of the way of life here in Charlotte that I, along with my neighbors, are forced to travel further down the path of fossil fuels and greater investment. It is good — it is good money after bad. It is investment in the way that has come and gone. It is a way in which the true costs aren't measured and won't appear in the spreadsheets that Duke Energy certainly can produce by the minute. Because the costs are the externalities, the changes in our life, the additional drop in the bucket that is climate change, and our role in it.

It's really quite a deal, that we get to pay more and in return we get to subsidize Duke's chemical addiction another 30 years into the future. I would not pay willingly to buy a pack of cigarettes for a child and I certainly wouldn't buy a 30-year supply of them.

I will not smile when I have to pay this price, but I will speak now and say what we support is fair and reasonable rates that are investing entirely, or nearly entirely, in renewables. It is now time and well within our

means to change the path, because the bottom line is not the continual enrichment of Duke or Piedmont. It is the investment in Charlotte. Ιt is the investment in the future. It is the investment of every lady that wants to garden on the weekends, every kid that wants to play ball out in the yard. It is the ability, it is investment in our health and our future. So go ahead and surprise me. Table the hike. pause now. Choose a future not drenched in filthy fossil fuels. Choose a future. progress the way Charlotte has shown me that it Choose to be the Charlotte that I have come to know and love over the course of the last year. Thank you. COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you. questions? MS. JOST: No. MR. JEFFRIES: COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you for coming out, Mr. Merinstein. (The witness is excused)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

Kendall Hale and Steve Norris.

MS. JOST: David Rosen. And then we'll have

1	DAVID ROSEN;
2	having been duly sworn,
3	testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
5	Q Please state your name and address for the
6	record.
7	A David Rosen, 3134 Hiram Street.
8	Q Is that in Charlotte?
9	A In Charlotte.
10	Q Are you a Piedmont customer?
11	A Yes, although you won't find my name on the bill.
12	My housemate pays the bill and I pay him.
13	Q Do you have a statement that you'd like to
14	provide to the Commission?
15	A I guess I do.
16	Q Please proceed.
17	A Hi. My name is David. I was an environmental
18	engineering student at Duke University. I'm
19	24-years-old. And I got into environmental
20	engineering because I thought that through
21	science I might be able to help people live a
22	safer life.
23	My grandmother immigrated to this
24	country directly before the Holocaust and ended

up in Camp Valley, Louisiana, talking about how the people that she knew there ended up moving out when they could through flight in order to escape toxins. There was always something in me that wanted to try to help out even before I really understood what climate change was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But I guess my main thought here is that even if these rate increases are to help us make this infrastructure safer, and you can't make this world safer through infrastructure alone, you have to do it through politics as well. You have to do it in a situation where we are actually creating the infrastructure that we need to succeed as a world. When I was a fresh -- freshman year of college I went to El Salvador, to La Estancia, San José el Nuevo, in order to try to help this tiny hamlet where the water came out of their river -- it had pink mountains taller than me, taller than a basketball hoop -- of foam that smelled worse than the hog farms that I also ended up working on later in eastern North Carolina. Trying to use science to fix that ended up being a darn lot harder than just mixing the concrete, putting

down the pipe and piping in water from a full mile and a half away from their spring that they used to go to by hand, buckets over their head. Because once you hurt something with chemicals and fecal matter, and once you hurt the ground with fracking, you can't really -- it's harder to go back. You can say that about the Huntersville LNG plant, too, that Piedmont has, how there is still PCP in the ground above NC2L levels. then we want -- the thing is we didn't do that. We actually once came with a plan to go and filter out this water that was brown and disgusting; weren't able to do it well; wanted to come back the next year; the gang violence is too bad and we never did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

To this day that village still has water that they can't drink. I still keep in contact with the engineer working out there and they're still bringing water tanks and having ladies that are older than my grandmother go up to the creek, up the mountain, keep going to the spring and coming back down.

After college -- actually, sorry,
I didn't prepare this. During college, I

actually worked on membrane dysplasia technology. Even if we're working on this now, we still don't actually have the technology to -- that will help us take the chemicals out of fracked water, out of tap water, and we still have issues in the ground. And Huntersville and pretty much anywhere where there is fossil fuel technology, we still have a high risk that we would just not have. If we used politics we would not have it.

Finally, last year, I was in Palestine working on getting fresh drinking water and solar panels in the West Bank in Area C because there were areas where people hadn't had fresh water since before 1967, and places where even to this day they didn't have refrigeration for medicine.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Rosen, if you could start wrapping up.

A We do always want technology, it didn't stop it in the villages that I was working in. And I've been back here for a year, nearly every single solar panel that I've put in has been confiscated, nearly every single water system has been shot by the Army. It's harder to fix things

```
1
          with technology than it is by changing the
 2
         politics, by changing what infrastructure we put
 3
          in place. And there is an article in nature that
          said we already have too much fossil fuel
 4
 5
          infrastructure and we're bound to hit 1.5 degrees
          Celsius or less of warming. And I wouldn't even
 6
 7
          want to put on any more safety features into our
 8
         natural gas, I'd rather just change the politics.
 9
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          All right.
10
          I'm sorry.
    Α
11
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Are there are
12
    questions for Mr. Rosen?
13
               MS. JOST:
                          No.
14
               MR. JEFFRIES: (Shakes head no).
15
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: No questions.
16
    Thank you, Mr. Rosen.
17
                    (The witness is excused)
18
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Before we go
19
    ahead, we're going to take a break, and we'll break
20
    until 8:30, and come back on the record at 8:30 and
21
    finish up.
22
                         (A recess was taken at 8:15 p.m.,
23
                         until 8:30 p.m.)
24
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          We'll come back
```

```
on the record. Everyone, take your place, please.
 2
               All right. Ms. Jost.
 3
               MS. JOST: Our next speaker is Kendall Hale.
 4
    After Ms. Hale, we have Steve Norris and then
 5
    Constance Williams.
 6
                          KENDALL HALE;
 7
                    having been duly sworn,
 8
                      testified as follows:
 9
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Jost.
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
10
11
          Please state your name and address for the
12
         record.
13
         Kendall Hale.
    Α
14
         And your address.
         372 Sharon Road in Fairview, North Carolina.
15
16
         Are you a Piedmont customer?
17
         No, I'm not.
18
         Do you have a statement that you'd like to
19
         present to the Commission?
20
    Α
          I would.
21
         Please proceed.
22
          I don't usually wear money tied onto my clothes
23
         but I was part of a skit and I was CEO Lynn Good.
24
          So I wanted to communicate that she makes a lot
```

of money to promote dirty energy into a climate crisis situation that exists here and around the world.

I want energy choice. And I want affordable clean power. And I want, along with most people, an end to Duke monopoly control over North Carolina.

I have spoken before this

Commission for many, many years. I think it's
going on over 10. And a part of me just didn't
even want to bother coming in here anymore
because I've heard exquisite testimony about a
truth that we all know is real. Climate change
is real. It's not a hoax. It's a product of
fake news and I believe the only reason the
people in this country are not out like the
people in Hong Kong is because they are still
being greenwashed and brainwashed and they think
that this is not really and truly an emergency.

When I see the people in Hong Kong ready to risk their lives because they don't want to be extradited to a communist country for some kind of a crime that they know that they'll probably be either put to death for or spend

their lives in jail. I wonder how long will it take people in this state and the people in this country to realize that we are being extradited moment-by-moment by Duke Energy and the other corporate fossil fuel companies and all their henchmen and henchwomen in the political system. We are being extradited into the flames of hell. And that's about the only way that I can describe about the way that I feel about the extent of damage that is being done every day.

And I feel privileged that I live in -- actually in Asheville and not in eastern North Carolina, because at least in Asheville where there are mountains the water runs down. We do have flooding, but in the Piedmont and in the path of where the ACP, the Atlantic Coast Pipeline, is going and all those coastal cities, it is at the point where, you know, they can't really escape it. I mean, like when the conditions of increased floods and the worsening hurricanes hit them, I mean, I can only feel so sad for the people that are right here that have already testified because I know what they're going through and it makes me sick. And I get so

tired of writing those bills every month to Duke Energy. I just don't know what to do. I feel ill. I sometimes feel like sending my medical bills to Duke Energy, to tell you the truth, because it's gotten to me. I know that Duke uses -- I don't want a rate hike. I don't.

I'm not poor. I'm not pretending that I don't have some money and some resources, but I know a lot of people who don't. And I don't think it's morally comprehensible. It's incomprehensible to take people's money through lies, lie-after-lie-after-lie about how this money is necessary to keep us safe, because it's not keeping us safe. It may feel as if it is --

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Hale, if you can start to wrap it up, please.

A Yeah, I will. But solar and wind are actually cheaper than dirty energy. And the billions that Duke spends is not necessary. The executives actually abuse their power. They use the campaign money, they create influence with climate deniers.

I know from reading papers and I know from just paying attention for years that

```
1
          80 percent of the public right now are ready for
 2
         an energy choice. They want clean, affordable
 3
         energy. And it's a shame that Duke can use its
         money to influence people like Mr. Dan Blue, who
 4
 5
         is the Senate minority leader, who takes this
 6
         money and --
 7
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Hale --
 8
         -- and presses for legislation --
 9
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Hale, you
10
    need to wrap it up.
11
          -- worth billions of dollars to go raise -- to
12
         raise this -- take the right hakes (sic) -- hikes
13
         and money and go along and take people's land
14
         through eminent domain simply to put in more
15
         fracked gas.
16
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Ms. Jost, do you
17
    have questions for this witness?
18
              MS. JOST:
                          I do not.
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Commissioners?
19
20
    Α
         One future. One choice. One planet. One voice.
21
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Thank you.
22
    you may step down.
23
                    (The witness is excused)
24
              MS. JOST:
                          Steve Norris, will be followed by
```

```
1
    Constance Williams and Steve Rundle.
 2
               MR. NORRIS: Can I remain standing?
 3
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: You certainly
 4
    may.
               MR. NORRIS:
                            Thank you.
 6
                         STEVEN NORRIS;
 7
                    having been duly sworn,
 8
                     testified as follows:
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
10
          Please state your name and address for the
11
         record.
12
         My name is Dr. Steven Norris. I live at 372
13
          Sharon Road in Fairview, North Carolina.
14
          Thank you. Are you a Piedmont customer?
15
          I am not a Piedmont customer.
16
         Do you have a statement that you'd like to
17
         present to the Commission?
18
          I do, yes.
    Α
19
         Please proceed.
20
         And thank you, Commissioners, for hearing this
    Α
21
          testimony which I think is as important as
22
          anything going on in the State of North Carolina
23
          or in the United States right now. We are in a
24
          crisis as people have -- various people have
```

mentioned, and you have an opportunity to do something about it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think we know -- I think the subtext of what is going on tonight is that everybody knows, and nobody knows what this rate hike is really for. Some of us tried to read it. I have I PhD and I couldn't understand it. Something is wrong there if somebody with a PhD cannot understand it. Other people here have commented that it was cryptic. Now, whether it was written intentionally cryptic or whether it was written in some language we don't understand, I don't know, but we all know what this money will pay for and what it's not going to pay for. We know it is going to pay for climate change. We know it is going to pay to exaggerate, amplify this climate emergency which we are facing. boy who was here, the eight-year-old boy here tonight, he yelled loud and clear the emperor has no clothes on. We all know that story, right? The emperor has no -- the emperor says I've got the most beautiful set of clothes in the world. I'm going to march down the street of the capitol and everybody is going to applaud. And everybody applauds. (Claps) And the eight-year-old boy says the emperor has no clothes on. What's going on here. That's the message that I hear people saying here tonight. The emperor has no clothes on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This money is going to be paid -to be paid not for the use of the people of North
Carolina, not for the good of the people of North
Carolina, but for the profits of Duke and
Piedmont.

Now, it is the responsibility of the Utilities Commission, and I don't have the exact wording, but to promote and protect the well-being of the people of the North Carolina. The Constitution of North Carolina says that all political power is vested in and derived from the people, all governmental right originates from the people is founded upon their will only and is instituted solely, solely, solely for the good of the people of North Carolina. Not for Duke. Not for Piedmont. Not for Lynn Good. And not for McGuireWoods. It is for the people of North Carolina. That's the people who have been testifying here tonight. You have not heard one

voice here support the rate hike proposal. You have heard not one voice say oh climate change is a good thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I have one other thing to say. Ιf you're looking for something to hang your hat on, there is one -- one of the most powerful regulators of fossil fuels in this country sits in Washington, D.C., at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. I'm sure you're all familiar with the Fed -- with FERC. FERC, in general, has not done a good job. They have turned down two fossil fuel projects in the last 30 years. So FERC, generally, is an agency which has been captured by the fossil fuel industry, but there is one Commissioner, his name is Richard Glick. He was appointed. And for people who don't know about the Commission, this guy was appointed by the President --

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Dr. Norris, your time is up so let's --

A Let me just say one thing because I think this is really important.

He was appointed by the President and he was confirmed by the Senate just like a

cabinet officer, Richard Glick has written eloquently that FERC must, must, must consider climate change in its permitting. Can I take 10 seconds to quote him?

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ten seconds.

A Okay. The extent of the threat posed by climate change is no reason to act as if that threat does not exist or pretend that it is not relevant to the public interest. Instead, that threat is a compelling reason for the Commission, for FERC, to take seriously its obligation to protect the public interest and conduct a meaningful case-by-case evaluation of the whole thing. That is what you should be doing, too.

We who are here tonight, we who have testified here before, do not see that this Commission is doing it. We would love to see that happen. It would give us faith in our government again. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: We appreciate your testimony, Dr. Glick (sic). Any questions?

MR. JEFFRIES: I actually have one question for Dr. Norris.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Dr. Glick (sic).

```
MR. JEFFRIES: What's your PhD in, sir?
 2
               DR. NORRIS: My PhD is in government.
 3
               MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you.
 4
               DR. NORRIS:
                            Yes, sir.
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Thank you.
 6
                    (The witness is excused)
 7
               Ms. Jost.
 8
               MS. JOST: Constance Williams.
                                               Next will be
 9
    Steve Rundle.
10
                       CONSTANCE WILLIAMS;
11
                    having been duly sworn,
                      testified as follows:
12
13
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
14
          Please state your name and address for the
15
         record.
16
         My first name is Constance and my last name is
17
         Williams. My address 10831 Derryrush Drive,
18
          Charlotte, North Carolina 28213.
19
         Would you pull the mic up a little closer?
20
    Α
         Thank you.
21
         And are you a Piedmont customer?
22
         Yes.
23
    Q
         And is there a statement that you would like to
24
         present to the Commission?
```

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Please go ahead and proceed.
- A Well, my first statement is to you. By asking me if I'm a Piedmont customer, it brought up a question, where else would I get my gas from?

 And that's something I will pursue if there is an option.

But my main reason for being here tonight is to let people know that people who live on a fixed income, whether it be social security, what-have-you, pensions, what-have-you, they can ill-afford the increase. So that's why I'm dead set against the increase, unless you can offset a program that would be income-based and help people who come under an income threshold. Because, quite frankly, everyone is going to get older and not be able to work in the work force for many reasons. So I would love to see the State of North Carolina do something about that simply because thousands of people are moving here every day and they're all going to probably end up with Duke or -- you know, the partner because they're all one and the same from what I understand tonight.

So, in addition, though, I wonder about that coal ash situation, if really the increase is not going toward that surreptitiously. I really do. Because I'm not sure I can see the books, and even if I did see them I don't have an accountant degree so I wouldn't know how if the money is really going to go to clean up the coal ash, or where it's coming from, because I know the executives are not going to reduce their salary any time soon. So I'm against the pipeline, fossil fuel, the fracking, et cetera.

But there's something else I did want to say. I got a letter in the mail that asked me if I wanted to take out insurance for a gas line that was for my property to the street or -- I don't even remember what the distance was, but I was responsible for a gas line. So I got nervous and I took out the insurance. And I realized even the little \$10 or \$20 a month I couldn't afford, because when you're on a fixed income that wreaks into your budget. So I'd like to know why Duke can't be responsible for its own gas line, or actually Piedmont, be responsible

for its own gas line. Why does the customer have to pay insurance to make sure the gas line doesn't rupture, unless it's their mistake and they go digging on their property. So I'd like to see that in some sort of publication, because I really am nervous that if something does happen to my gas line and I had to cough up the money, that that would be it.

But one anecdotal thing that I do plan on doing is reducing my house size down to a tiny home, which is not a bad thing. You know, I've seen that there's a community here in North Carolina with tiny homes and I think I'm going to end up being someone who lives in one so that I can afford the Duke bill.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

17 Ms. Williams, your time is up.

A And so --

19 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Will you wrap it

20 up?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21 A Well, that's it then.

22 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Hold on just a

23 minute.

24 A Oh, I have more time?

```
1
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: No. Let's check
 2
    and see if anyone has questions. Does anyone have
 3
    questions?
 4
              MR. JEFFRIES: I don't have a question
 5
    but --
 6
    A I doubt it. Oh, well --
7
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Just a minute.
8
    Let him ask --
9
              MR. JEFFRIES: What I was going to offer,
10
    ma'am, is that I think we might be able to clarify the
11
    situation on the gas line insurance --
12
         Oh, sure.
13
              MR. JEFFRIES: -- that you're talking about.
14
         I mean, I canceled --
    A
15
              MR. JEFFRIES: We'll be happy to --
16
         -- my plan.
17
              MR. JEFFRIES: I mean, that's fine.
18
    we're --
19
       But I --
20
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Just a second.
21
    The court reporter can't take you talking over each
22
    other.
23
              MR. JEFFRIES: We're here and happy to talk
24
    to you about it.
```

1	A I would prefer a publication about it so that I'm								
2	not the only person who understands the severity								
3	of not having that coverage, because that does								
4	make me very nervous.								
5	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: We really								
6	appreciate your coming out and we would encourage you								
7	to take advantage of speaking to the people here								
8	tonight just so you can better understand.								
9	(The witness is excused)								
10	MR. RUNDLE: Good evening.								
11	STEVE RUNDLE;								
12	having been duly sworn,								
13	testified as follows:								
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Jost.								
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:								
16	Q Please state your name and address for the								
17	record.								
18	A My name is Steve Rundle. I live at 4331 Eagle								
19	Lake Drive, here in Charlotte. And I'm the								
20	environmental community chair for the								
21	Charlotte-Mecklenburg NAACP here in town.								
22	Q Are you a Piedmont customer?								
23	A I was for many years but I am not currently.								
24	O Do you have a statement that you would like to								

present to the Commission?

A I do.

1

2

3

Q Please proceed.

4 So I just want to first thank you all because 5 we're on the home stretch here of a very long 6 evening and we really look to you all to pay 7 attention to what's being said here tonight. 8 We've had many concerns about the underpinning of why this rate hike is being asked for and many 9 10 doubts about the LNG facility and the rights of 11 the native population on those lands. But what I 12 would echo back to is Constance, Constance's 13 point of she lives on a fixed income. And this 14 is what we absolutely find in the communities of 15 color that we serve here in Charlotte. 16 are -- people are very energy burdened that pay 17 an incredible percentage of their income of -- to 18 pay -- you know, to pay for gas, electricity, et 19 cetera. And -- and even a -- you know, a 20 10 percent rate hike is not affordable for these 21 folks because they're not -- you know, their cost 22 of living increases never track with what we find 23 ourselves here in front of you testifying on, 24 which are frequently double digit proposed

increases from Duke Energy, and here we have something approaching a double digit increase from Piedmont.

So -- so we really look to you all to understand that many in the community cannot afford this, that there are concerns in the -- real concerns in the community about the justification for this increase. And, again, you all have stepped up to literally do the right thing and find that balance in what is the appropriate rate level for a Duke increase, and we look to you to do that again here tonight. Thank you for your patience tonight.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any questions?

MS. JOST: No.

MR. JEFFRIES: No.

and several other witnesses tonight have indicated some concern about not understanding what the money is used for or what's happened or that kind of thing, and I just want to repeat that the Public Staff represents the using and consuming public. As a part of this proceeding they do a lot of what we call discovery, which means there's back and forth questions, they ask

```
1
    for tons of documents, they go in, and all of those
 2
    won't be part of our record, but it then forms the
 3
    Public Staff's opinion and recommendation, which in
 4
    this docket unless they ask for an extension of time,
 5
    should be due on July 19th. When that happens you can
    all go and read and see what they file on our website,
 6
 7
    and people here, our staff here will be happy to
 8
    direct you how to find that. But I just wanted -- for
    those who remain to realize that somebody is digging
10
    into it. It's not going into --
11
         Okay.
12
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: -- a complete
13
    black hole.
14
         We really look to the Commissioners to dig into
15
         it and look out for our best interest, so thank
16
         you.
17
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
                                                      Thank
18
    you. You may step down.
19
                    (The witness is excused)
20
              MS. JOST: Is Jerome Wagner in the room?
21
    All right. Mr. Wagner, we'll take you next.
22
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr.Wagner, if
23
    you'll place your left hand on the Bible and raise
24
    your right.
```

```
1
               MR. WAGNER: Can I make an alternative --
 2
    affirmation?
 3
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: You would like to
 4
    affirm? You would like to affirm?
 5
              MR. WAGNER: Is that okay?
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: That's fine.
 6
 7
               MR. WAGNER: Thank you.
 8
                        JEROME WAGNER;
 9
                   having been duly affirmed,
10
                     testified as follows:
11
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. JOST:
12
          Please state your name and address for the
13
         record.
14
         My name is Jerome Wagner. I reside at 110 Summer
15
         Lake Drive southwest, in Concord, North Carolina.
16
         And are you a Piedmont customer?
17
         No, not at this time.
18
         Would you like to give a statement to the
19
         Commission?
20
         Yes, I'll make a brief statement.
    Α
         Please proceed.
21
    Q
22
          Thank you. Thank you very much for this
23
          opportunity. I missed many of the comments that
24
         were made already so -- and I didn't prepare
```

anything in writing, so I'll make very brief comments.

First of all, I'll echo and amplify anything that was said in opposition to the requested rate hike. I act as a climate activist and I'm well aware of the concerns surrounding that, natural gas which is methane. Chief among those being -- well -- chief among those being that any investments we make at this time are likely to be in continued operation for 30 years if not longer. And that in my estimation, and I believe the estimation of many scientists and policymakers, that is simply the highway to hell.

I'll speak then to one other question that I've come up with so far related to the information that's available publicly. There was a certain table identified as Item G-1 Item 4, something like that, it was one piece of the docket which was a multi-page tabulation of increases and decreases. My sense was that it represented the -- some of the detail behind this particular request. Now, my simple mathematics came up to a total of half a billion dollars of

price increase which was represented in that tabulation against two hundred million or so of price decreases for a net of something like \$350,000,000 of increases. Now that is at odds obviously with the statement that was put forth in the announcement of this particular hearing, which was identified something like \$85 million of a prospective increase. So I will just state it from the simplest standpoint of public transparency, availability of pertinent information for public investigation or conscious deliberation, and so forth, and input into the officials, it's not clear whether -- you know, which number, in fact, is appropriate. would just say that out of that five hundred million of prospective increase, we feel that two hundred and eighty million or so is related to the LNG facility in Maxton, North Carolina, which I will assert will be an improper and immoral investment at this time. I will conclude there. Thank you very much. COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Are there any

questions for this witness?

MR. JEFFRIES: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
               MS. JOST:
                          No.
 2
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Thank you,
 3
    Mr. Wagner.
 4
          Thank you very much.
 5
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: We appreciate it.
                    (The witness is excused)
 6
 7
               MS. JOST:
                         That is the last name that I have
 8
    signed up to speak.
 9
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
                                                     Well,
10
    we have come to an end, and we appreciate every one
11
    coming out and staying with us and bearing with us to
12
    the end. As I mentioned earlier, the expert witness
13
    portion of this proceeding will take place at
14
    2:00 p.m. in Raleigh, August 19th, open to the public.
15
    You may follow all of the proceedings including the
16
    filing of Public Staff testimony recommendations on
17
    our website www.ncuc.net. Use the docket search
18
    function and enter the number G-9 Sub 743. With that,
19
    I appreciate every one's cooperation and assistance
20
    tonight. May you have a safe trip home. And we'll be
21
    adjourned.
22
            (The hearing was adjourned at 9:00 p.m.)
23
24
```

\sim	77	\Box	Т	т	177	т	\sim	7\	ш	177
$\overline{}$	Ľ	ĸ			г			А		┖

I, KIM T. MITCHELL, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Kim T. Mitchell

Kim T. Mitchell Court Reporter