
Despite widespread installer interest in changing the program and general disapproval with the last few rebate window rollouts, there is no consensus among the solar companies in NCSEA’s membership on how the solar rebate program should be revised. From NCSEA’s perspective, the January 2021 rollout caused more issues for consumers than any prior rollout since the beginning of the program. There are a range of issues, but the biggest sole issue that the NCSEA solar installer members face is heavy demand outweighing supply. To that end, NCSEA has advised its member solar installer companies
not to market or otherwise “sell” distributed solar by mentioning the rebate program to potential customers. Success in the rebate program – namely clicking the computer mouse in a timely fashion and being processed before the commercial and residential tranches are gone – is too uncertain. By NCSEA’s estimate, the January 2021 window rebate “success” rate for commercial and residential customers was less than one in four.

NCSEA appreciates Duke’s willingness to attempt to collaborate on “fixing” this program. NCSEA agrees with the general principle that the program, as statutorily tailored and interpreted by this Commission, will never meet demand. NCSEA believes that consumer expectations should be reset and positioning the program as a lottery rather than “first come, first serve” will do just that. “First come, first serve” implies that a customer who shows up when the application window opens and applies within reasonable time will have a chance at a rebate, when we now know that is not the case. Therefore, NCSEA does not generally oppose the implementation of a lottery system in the solar rebate program and believes that this realignment will more truly match what consumer expectations should be when they attempt to reserve a rebate.

However, NCSEA member installers came to clear consensus on one thing: they do not want the changes recently proposed or outlined in this docket, as in the lottery or the previously-discussed tiered system, to apply to the July 2021 rebate window. NCSEA’s member installers do not oppose a lottery system beginning in January 2022. While the current system is flawed, the NCSEA member installers are concerned that they will not be able to adequately train their staff for another marked change to the rebate program. NCSEA is also not confident in the ability to educate the public about these changes.
Getting to the minutiae of Duke’s lottery proposal, NCSEA could not find consensus among its members on details of the proposal (aside from opposing the changes being implemented for the July 2021 rollout window). NCSEA’s member installers could not come to a consensus on Duke’s proposed timeline or the underlying software used and technology proposed by Duke,\(^1\) the elimination of the 90-day rule and the October 6, 2020 retroactive installation deadline for lottery eligibility,\(^2\) and the timeframe to install after receiving the lottery-awarded rebate.\(^3\) NCSEA’s member installers were generally in agreement that the 90-day rule may not make sense within a lottery structure, but were not sure the most equitable way to “limit” applications. The member installers differed on (1) whether the program should be eligible to anyone who has installed solar since the implementation of this program, (2) whether to make a “clean break” and not make the changes retroactive, or (3) fall somewhere else on the relative timeline with regard to rebate lottery eligibility.

**CONCLUSION**

NCSEA agrees with Duke that the solar rebate program needs to be adjusted and, for the reasons set forth herein, NCSEA does not conceptually oppose a lottery program. NCSEA’s member installers could not come to a consensus on how a lottery program should be rolled out, but they did agree that the lottery program should not be implemented until January 2022 to allow sufficient time for training and consumer education. For these reasons, NCSEA requests that the Commission deny Duke’s Application as to the July 2021 rebate window.

\(^1\) See Application, pp. 5-6.
\(^2\) *Id.* at 8-10.
\(^3\) *Id.* at 10-11.
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