OFFICIAL COPY

"Quarterly Review"

FILED

JUL 1 5 2013

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Selected Financial and Operational Data: Re:

Electric Companies

- Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
- Duke Energy Progress, Inc., d/b/a Duke Energy Progress
- Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power

Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies

- Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.
- Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc., d/b/a PSNC Energy

■ Quarter Ending March 31, 2013 ■

Prepared by: North Carolina Utilities Commission Operations Division

430 N. Salisbury Street Raleigh, NC 27603 919-733-3979

www.ncuc.net

Mailing Address: 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-4325



State of North Carolina

Utilities Commission

4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-4325

COMMISSIONERS EDWARD S. FINLEY, JR., CHAIRMAN BRYAN E. BEATTY SUSAN W. RABON

July 15, 2013

COMMISSIONERS
TONOLA D. BROWN-BLAND
DON M. BAILEY
JERRY C. DOCKHAM
JAMES G. PATTERSON

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Chairman Edward S. Finley, Jr.

Commissioner Bryan E. Beatty Commissioner Susan W. Rabon

Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland

Commissioner Don M. Bailey Commissioner Jerry C. Dockham Commissioner James G. Patterson

FROM:

Donald R. Hoover, Director

Operations Division

The Operations Division hereby presents for your consideration the *Quarterly Review* for the calendar quarter ending March 31, 2013. Such report, which has been prepared by the Operations Division, presents an overview of selected financial and operational information and data for five major investor-owned public utilities regulated by the Commission.

Should you have questions concerning the report, Freda Hilburn, Bliss Kite, or I will be pleased to be of assistance.

Thank you for your consideration.

DRH/FHH/BBK/jme

Table of Contents

Part				Page			
Ι.	Intro	oductio	n	1			
II .	Review of Key Financial Ratios:						
	•	Con Reti Equ	nmary Statement of Key Financial Ratios For Five Selected appanies For The Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2013 — arns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return, Common ity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios — And Certain Rate e Data	10			
	Statement of Authorized Returns on Common Equity and Overall Rates of Return Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory Agencies As Reported By Public Utilities Reports, Volume Nos. 295-303, from March 2012 Through March 2013						
Ш	Overviews of Selected Financial and Operational Data By Utility:						
	■ Electric Companies:						
		•	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	15			
		•	Duke Energy Progress, Inc., d/b/a Duke Energy Progress	16			
		•	Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power	17			
		Nati	ural Gas Local Distribution Companies:				
-		•	Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.	18			
		•	Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc., d/b/a PSNC Energy	19			
IV	Tele	commu	nications Companies – Annual Report Filings	20			
v	Appe	endix A	– Electronic Distribution List				

Part I

Introduction

The purpose, structure, focus, and an abbreviated synopsis of the nature of the contents of this report is presented here.

The Quarterly Review has been designed and is structured so as to provide, in a clear and concise format, relevant and useful financial and operational information pertaining to five major investor-owned public utilities regulated by the North Carolina Utilities Commission (Commission): three electric companies and two natural gas local distribution companies. The primary focus of this report is one of a jurisdictional financial nature. However, albeit limited, certain jurisdictional operational information is also included.

To a vast extent the information presented herein is organized into individual company overviews and covers a period of five years. From a general viewpoint, the individual company overviews provide information that users of this report will find helpful from the standpoint of gaining insight into each company's jurisdictional financial standing and in acquiring a sense of the magnitude of each company's overall jurisdictional economic dimension.

Significant changes have taken place with regard to the annual reporting requirements for the price plan regulated telephone companies which have impacted the conformity and comparability of the financial and operational information provided by such companies for the 12-month reporting period ending December 31, 2011 and beyond. Specifically, on June 30, 2011, in Docket No. P-100, Sub 72b, the Commission issued an Order ruling on a petition filed by the North Carolina Telecommunications Industry Association, Inc. on March 16, 2011, requesting modification or elimination of certain reporting requirements relating to incumbent local exchange companies (ILECs) and/or competing local providers. The June 30, 2011 Order, among other things, revised Commission Rule R1-32 by adding a new Subsection (e1). Such revision allows ILECs, that are price plan regulated under G.S. 62-133.5(a), and any carrier electing regulation under G.S. 62-133.5(h) or (m) to satisfy all of their annual reporting obligations by one of the two following ways: (1) by providing a link to their annual filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), if they are publicly traded entities, or (2) by filing copies of their audited financial statements with the Commission, if they are not publicly traded entities. The foregoing would be in lieu of filing annual reports regarding the North Carolina Operations on forms furnished or approved by the Commission. Price plan regulated telephone companies are to either provide their annual reports to the Commission or otherwise satisfy their annual reporting obligations under Commission Rule R1-32, Subsection (e1) as soon as possible after the close of the calendar year, but in no event later than the 30th day of April of each year for the preceding calendar year.

Seven of the eight ILECs for which the Commission last reported financial information for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2010, have since chosen to meet their annual reporting obligation by providing links to their annual filings with the SEC. As a result of such significant changes in the annual reporting requirements, financial information related to the telecommunications companies is no longer being provided in this report. However, for the following ILECs: (1) BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC, d/b/a AT&T North Carolina (AT&T North Carolina); (2) Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC, d/b/a

CenturyLink (Carolina Telephone); (3) Central Telephone Company, d/b/a CenturyLink (Central); (4) Mebtel, Inc., d/b/a CenturyLink (Mebtel); (5) Frontier Communications of the Carolinas Inc. (Frontier); (6) Verizon South Inc. (Verizon South); (7) Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc. (Concord); (8) Windstream Lexcom Communications, Inc. (Lexcom); and (9) Windstream North Carolina, LLC (Windstream NC) the url addresses/links to their 2012 annual filings with the SEC are provided in the report in Part IV.

~- ."

With respect to other changes related to matters concerning the price plan regulated telephone companies, on June 30, 2009, House Bill 1180 (HB1180) became law as set forth in Session Law 2009-238. Said law, entitled "An Act Establishing the Consumer Choice and Investment Act of 2009," created a new category of price plan operation whereby any local exchange carrier or competing local provider may choose to adopt by simply "filing notice of its intent to do so with the Commission," with such election being effective immediately upon filing. Subsection (h) price plans provide for extensive deregulation of an eligible telecommunications company's "terms, conditions, rates, or availability" relating to its retail services. A local exchange company electing Subsection (h) is required to continue to offer stand-alone basic residential lines to all customers who subscribe to that service at rates that can be increased annually by no more than the percentage increase over the prior year in the Gross Domestic Product Price Index (GDP-PI). While such deregulation is very extensive by historical standards, it is not a complete deregulation of the telecommunications industry.²

Currently, there are nine incumbent local exchange carriers operating under Subsection (h) price plans as a result of their notices of election filed pursuant to G.S. 62-133.5(h): (1) Verizon South³ (notice filed on July 21, 2010 to become effective immediately, in Docket No. P-19, Sub 277M); (2) Frontier (notice filed January 30, 2012 to become effective immediately, in Docket No. P-1488, Sub 1A); (3) Carolina Telephone (notice filed March 8, 2012 to become effective April 1, 2012, in Docket No. P-7, Sub 825M); (4) Central (notice filed March 8, 2012 to become effective April 1, 2012, in Docket No. P-10, Sub 479N); (5) Mebtel (notice filed March 8, 2012 to become effective April 1, 2012, in Docket No. P-35, Sub 96I); (6) Concord (notice filed July 26, 2012 to become effective immediately, in Docket No. P-16, Sub 181L); (7) Lexcom (notice filed July 26, 2012 to become effective immediately, in Docket No. P-31, Sub 145C); (8) Windstream NC (notice filed July 26, 2012 to become effective immediately, in Docket No. P-118, Sub 86L); and (9) North State Telephone Company, d/b/a North State Communications (North State) (notice filed November 30, 2012 to become effective immediately, in Docket No. P-42, Sub 137F). As a result of such elections, these nine telecommunications companies are no longer required to provide an annual report

¹ In general, the Commission refers to the new price plan category which resulted from the passage of HB1180 as "Subsection (h) price plans".

² See Docket No. P-100, Sub 165 for additional information regarding the implications of the enactment of HB1180 and the implementation of Subsection (h) price plans.

³ Such election relates only to Verizon South's Knotts Island exchange.

to the Commission as directed by Commission Rule R1-32, commencing with the calendar year in which such election becomes effective (2010: Verizon South; 2012: Frontier, Carolina Telephone, Central, Mebtel, Concord, Lexcom, Windstream NC, and North State). Alternatively, as required by the Commission's March 30, 2010 Order in Docket No. P-100, Sub 165, these telecommunications companies will provide the Commission, on an annual basis, a link to their financial filings with the SEC.

A . .

Furthermore, on April 26, 2011, Senate Bill 343 (SB 343) became law as set forth in Session Law 2011-52. Said law, entitled "An Act Establishing the Communications Reform and Investment Act of 2011," created a new category of price plan operation outlined in G.S. 62-133.5(m)⁴ whereby any local exchange company that forgoes receipt of any funding from a state universal service fund or alternative funding mechanism and whose territory is open to competition from competing local providers may choose to adopt a Subsection (m) price plan⁵ by simply "filing notice of its intent to do so with the Commission," with such election being effective immediately upon filing. Subsection (m) price plans provide, among other things, that "the Commission shall not impose any requirements related to the terms, conditions, rates, or availability of any of the local exchange company's retail services."

Currently, AT&T North Carolina⁷ is the only incumbent local exchange carrier operating under a Subsection (m) price plan. On October 14, 2011, in Docket No. P-55, Sub 1013M, AT&T North Carolina filed its notice of election of a Subsection (m) price plan. Prior to such election, AT&T North Carolina was operating under a Subsection (h) price plan. As set forth by the Commission's November 22, 2011 Order in Docket No. P-100, Sub 165A, AT&T North Carolina will continue to provide the Commission, on an annual basis, a link to its financial filings with the SEC.

This report has been prepared solely for the use of the Commission. The responsibility for developing and preparing the report is that of the Commission's Operations Division. The

⁴The enabling legislation was initially specified in G.S. 62-133.5(I); however such reference has been renumbered and codified in the General Statutes of North Carolina as G.S. 62-133.5(m). Consequently, on April 27, 2012, the Commission issued an Errata Order to correct the reference of Subsection (I) in prior Commission orders to Subsection (m) for consistency with the codification in the General Statutes.

⁵ In general, the Commission refers to the new price plan category which resulted from the passage of SB 343 as "Subsection (m) price plans".

⁶ Such retail services include stand-alone basic residential lines. See Docket No. P-100, Sub 165A for additional information regarding the implications of the enactment of SB 343 and the implementation of Subsection (m) price plans.

⁷ Effective July 1, 2011, BellSouthTelecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina changed its legal classification from a corporation to an LLC and began transacting business as BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a/AT&T North Carolina.

⁸ On October 5, 2009, in Docket No. P-55, Sub 1013M, AT&T North Carolina filed its notice of election of a Subsection (h) price plan.

preponderance of the information and data included in and/or on which the report is based has been provided by the companies. Such data has not been audited or otherwise verified. Therefore, the Operations Division, although it believes the aforesaid data to be true and correct in each and every respect, cannot and does not offer any attestation in that regard.

A Specific Objective

A specific objective of this reporting process is to present to the Commission, on an ongoing basis, meaningful information regarding the financial viability of the subject companies, including the reasonableness of the overall levels of rates and charges currently being charged by jurisdictional utilities, whose rates are cost based, for their sales of services. Cost based regulation is synonymous with rate base, rate of return regulation.

Under rate base, rate of return regulation, the cost of service of a public utility is defined as the sum total of reasonable operating expenses, depreciation, taxes, and a reasonable return on the net valuation of property used and useful in providing public utility services. Therefore, the reasonableness of a public utility's rates is a function of the reasonableness of the level of each individual component of its cost of service.

The reasonable return component of the cost of service equation refers to the overall rate of return related to investment funded by all investors, including debt investors as well as preferred and common equity investors. The costs of debt capital and preferred stock, which are essentially fixed by contract, must be deducted from revenue, like all other components of the cost of service, in determining income available for distribution to common stockholders. Therefore, generally speaking, a very meaningful measure of the profitability of any utility, and consequently the reasonableness of its overall rates and charges, is the return earned on its common shareholders' investment, i.e., its return on common equity, over some specified period of time. Typically, such returns are measured over a period of one year. Thus, annual returns on common equity and certain other key financial ratios, which among other things give significant perspective to the common equity returns, are the focal points of this report.

The Key Financial Ratios

Specifically, the key financial ratios presented herein for use in reviewing the companies' financial viabilities, including their profitability and consequently the reasonableness of their rates and charges are (1) the return on common equity, (2) the common equity capitalization ratio, (3) the pretax interest coverage ratio, and (4) the overall rate of return.

⁹ Regarding Limited Liability Corporations (LLCs), equity investors are, typically, referred to as "members" rather than as "shareholders or stockholders". Consequently, references to "common shareholders/stockholders", as contained herein, are also intended to apply to equity investors of LLCs as well. Additionally, discussion regarding "return on common equity" and the "common equity capitalization ratio" would also apply to the LLC's "return on members' equity" and "members' equity capitalization ratio".

The Return on Common Equity

As indicated, the return on common equity is a key financial indicator which measures the profitability of an enterprise from the standpoint of its common stockholders over some specified period of time. That return or earnings rate reflects the ratio of earnings available for common equity to the common-equity investors' capital investment. As previously stated, the ratio is significant because it traditionally represents profitability after all revenues and costs, other than the cost of common equity capital, have been considered. From the standpoint of measuring profitability, return on common equity is indeed "the bottom line".

The Common Equity Capitalization Ratio

The common equity capitalization ratio is the ratio of common equity capital to total investor-supplied capital of the firm. That ratio is significant because it is a major indicator of the financial riskiness of the firm, particularly from the standpoint of the common stockholders. The issuance of debt capital, assuming no offsetting decrease in preferred stock, decreases the common equity capitalization ratio, and its existence creates what has come to be known as financial leverage. The risk borne by shareholders that accompanies that leverage is known as financial risk. As the proportion of debt in the capital structure increases, so does the degree of financial leverage and thus shareholders' risk and consequently the shareholders' requirements regarding expected return, i.e., the expected return on common equity or, in regulatory jargon, the cost of common equity capital.

Alternatively, the financial riskiness of the firm, some might argue, is more appropriately revealed when expressed in terms of debt leverage, particularly when preferred stock is present in the capital structure. Such leverage is the ratio of long-term debt capital to total investor-supplied capital. Both approaches are clearly insightful and useful. In evaluating the superiority of one approach in comparison to the other, one should consider the context within which the information is to be used. Since a major objective of this report is to review the reasonableness of the levels of earnings of the companies' common stockholders, and in consideration of the other key financial benchmarks which are also presented herein, the common equity capitalization ratio appears to be the most appropriate and meaningful measure of the financial riskiness of the companies for use in this regard.

The Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio

The pretax interest coverage ratio is the number of times earnings, determined before consideration of income taxes and interest charges, cover annual interest charges. That financial indicator is particularly important to debt investors because holders of the company's outstanding debt, including long-term bonds, receive interest payments from the company before any earnings are determined to be available for distribution to preferred or common equity investors. Pretax interest coverage is measured before income taxes because interest expense is deductible in arriving at taxable income. Therefore, generally speaking, debt

holders can expect to be paid before the company incurs any liability for the payment of income taxes. From the debt holder's perspective, all other things remaining equal, the higher the pretax interest coverage the better.

The Overall Rate of Return

The overall rate of return measures the profitability of a firm from the standpoint of earnings on total investment, including investment funded by both debt and equity investors. Specifically, in the public utility regulatory environment, it is the ratio of operating income to total investment.

The Propriety of the Methodology

The foregoing financial benchmarks, as presented in this report, have been determined on the basis of the companies' actual operating experience. Under rate base, rate of return regulation, North Carolina statutes require that the companies' rates be determined on a normalized, pro forma, end-of-period basis based upon an historical test period. Stated alternatively, the Commission, in setting prospective rates, essentially, must take into account the company's current level of operations adjusted for known and material changes in the levels of revenues and costs that the company can reasonably be expected to experience over a reasonable period of time into the future. Thus, rates, which are established for use prospectively, are set, to a certain extent and within certain constraints, on the basis of revenue and cost expectations, including investor expectations regarding their return requirements, as opposed to simply setting prospective rates solely on the basis of actual operating experience.

The process of setting prospective rates is inherently and exceedingly time consuming, difficult, and otherwise costly to both companies and regulators. It involves the assimilation, investigation, and evaluation of enormous amounts of complex information and data which invariably leads to multifarious issues; many, if not most, of which must be resolved through adjudication.

It is far less difficult and costly to perform an intellectual, financial analysis of the need to undertake the aforesaid process. Such preliminary analysis avoids the unnecessary incursion of the immense costs of setting prospective rates. Those are precisely the reasons why this report is focused on a review of the returns on common equity and other key financial ratios which the companies are currently earning or achieving under their existing rates and charges. Those ratios, when considered in conjunction with statutory ratemaking requirements, prevailing economic conditions, and certain other financial indicators, including returns on common equity and overall rates of return currently being authorized by other public utility regulatory agencies, are meaningful indicators of the need, if any, for further, more extensive regulatory review.

From the standpoint of giving an added measure of meaning to the aforesaid ratios of the individual companies and in the interest of providing a sense of current financial market

conditions, certain financial information has been included herein as notes to the first statement included in Part II of this report. Such notes are an integral part of this report.

Additionally, also from the standpoint of providing perspective, returns on common equity and overall rates of return currently being authorized by a number of other public utility regulatory agencies are provided in the second statement presented in Part II.

A Final Note

It is emphasized that the information contained in this report is not intended and should not be construed to be all inclusive from the standpoint of the criteria to be used in assessing the reasonableness of the companies existing rates. But rather, it is submitted that such information is clearly relevant to such a determination and as such should be considered in conjunction with all other pertinent information and data.

The Operations Division will be pleased to receive and respond to any questions or comments.

Part II

A Review of Key Financial Ratios

- Summary Statement of Key Financial Ratios For Five Selected Companies For The Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2013 Returns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return, Common Equity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios And Certain Rate Case Data
- Statement of Authorized Returns on Common Equity and Overall Rates of Return Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory Agencies As Reported By Public Utilities Reports, Volume Nos. 295-303 from March 2012 Through March 2013

Summary Statement

Of Key Financial Ratios Achieved By And Authorized For Selected Companies

"Estimated Returns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return, Common Equity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios are for Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2013

"Rate Case Data are from Orders with Various Issue Dates as Indicated in Column (i)"

		Estimated for 12 Months Ended 03/31/2013				Authorized - Last Rate Case			
Line <u>No.</u>	<u>[tem</u> (a)	Return On <u>Equity</u> (b)	Overall Rate of <u>Return</u> (c)	Equity Ratio (d)	Debt <u>Ratio</u> (e)	Return On <u>Equity</u> (f)	Overall Rate of <u>Return</u> (g)	Equity <u>Ratio</u> (h)	Date of Last <u>Order</u> (i)
	Electric Companies								
1.	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	9.88%	7.67%	53.41%	46.59%	10.50%	8.11%	53.00%	01/27/2012
2.	Duke Energy Progress, Inc. d/b/a Duke Energy Progress	6.10%	5.43%	52.78%	46.68%	10.20%	7.55%	53.00%	05/30/2013
3.	Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power	8.76%	7.19%	55.10%	43.37%	10.20%	7.80%	51.00%	12/21/2012
	Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies								
4.	Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.	11.85%	7.52%	45.89%	54.11%	10.60%	8.55%	51.00%	10/24/2008
5.	Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc., d/b/a PSNC Energy	11.20%	8.88%	56.82%	43.18%	10.60%	8.54%	54.00%	10/24/2008

Summary Statement Of Key Financial Ratios Achieved by And Authorized for Selected Companies

"Estimated Returns on Common Equity, Overall Rates of Return, Common Equity Capitalization Ratios, and Debt Ratios are for Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2013"

NOTES: [1] Selected financial market indicators from "Moody's Credit Trends" updated on July 8, 2013 follow:

'Part I

		U.S	. Treasury Secur	ities	Dealer- Placed	Moody's Long-Term
Line No.	<u>Oate</u> (a)	3-Month Bill <u>%</u> (b)	10-Year N ote <u>%</u> (c)	30-Year Bond <u>%</u> (d)	3-Month CP <u>%</u> (e)	Corporate Bond Yield <u>%</u> (f)
1.	July 5, 2013	0.04	2.73	3.68	n/a	4.90
2.	July 4, 2013	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
3.	July 3, 2013	0.05	2.52	3.49	0.11	4.72
4.	July 2, 2013	0.03	2.48	3.47	0.12	4.70
5.	July 1, 2013	0.04	2.50	3.48	0.11	4.74
6.	Month of June 2013	0.05	2.30	3.40	0.32	4.63
7.	Month of May 2013	0.04	1.93	3.11	0.32	4.23

Part II

Moody's public utility long-term bond yield averages (%):

		<u>7/08/2013</u> (b)	Past 12	<u>Months</u>	Monthly Average		
Line No.	Rating (a)		<u>High</u> (c)	<u>Low</u> (d)	<u>July 2013</u> (e)	June 20 <u>13</u> (f)	
1.	Aa	4.53	4.45	3.60	4.45	4.27	
2.	Α	4.79	4.71	3.84	4.71	4.53	
3.	Baa	5.33	5.26	4.42	5. 26	5.08	

[2] Most recent data available when this edition of the Quarterly Review was prepared. According to "Moody's Credit Trends", updated on July 9, 2013, such long-term bond yield averages are derived from pricing data on a regularly-replenished population of nearly 90 seasoned corporate bonds in the United States market, each with current outstandings over \$100 million. Further, the bonds have maturities as close as possible to 30 years; bonds are dropped from the list if their remaining life falls below 20 years or if their ratings change.

Statement of Authorized Returns

On Common Equity and Overall Rates of Return

Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory Agencies As Reported In Public Utilities Reports, Volume Nos. 295-303, from March 2012 through March 2013 (Statement Is All Inclusive With Respect To Returns Published)

		Authorize	d Returns		Volume No.
Line <u>No.</u>	Company (Jurisdiction) (a)	Common <u>Equity</u> (b)	Overall (c)	Date Of <u>Order</u> (d)	Public Utilities <u>Reports</u> (e)
	Electric Companies				
1.	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (NC)	10.50%	8.11%	01/27/2012	Volume 295
2.	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (SC)	10.50%	8.10%	02/03/2012	Volume 295
3.	Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc. (HI)	10.00%	8.31%	02/08/2012	Volume 296
4.	Gulf Power Company (FL)	10.25%	6.39%	04/03/2012	Volume 296
5.	PacifiCorp, d/b/a Pacific Power & Light Company (WA)	N/A	N/A	03/30/2012	Volume 296
6.	Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (WA)	9.80%	7.80%	05/22/2012	Volume 297
7.	Consumers Energy Company (MI)	10.30%	6.70%	06/07/2012	Volume 297
8.	Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy (MN)	10.37%	N/A	05/14/2012	Volume 298
9.	Delmarva Power & Light Company (MD)	9.81%	7.56%	07/20/2012	Volume 298
10.	Black Diamond Power Company (WV)	9.75%	8.78%	08/10/2012	Volume 299
11.	Potomac Electric Power Company (DC)	9.50%	8.03%	09/27/2012	Volume 300
12.	Entergy Texas, Inc. (TX)	9.80%	8.27%	09/14/2012	Volume 300
13.	Rocky Mountain Power (UT)	9.80%	7.86%	09/19/2012	Volume 300
14.	Southern California Edison Company (CA)	10.45%	7.90%	12/20/2012	Volume 302
15.	San Diego Gas & Electric Company (CA)	10.30%	7.79%	12/20/2012	Volume 302
16.	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (CA)	10.40%	8.06%	12/20/2012	Volume 302
17.	Wisconsin Electric Power Company, d/b/a We Energies (WI)	10.40%	7.71%	12/21/2012	Volume 302
18.	Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities (WA)	9.80%	7.64%	12/26/2012	Volume 303
19.	Narragansett Electric Company, d/b/a National Grid (RI)	9.50%	[1] N/A	02/01/2013	Volume 303
20.	Indiana Michigan Power Company (IN)	10.20%	6.97%	02/13/2013	Volume 303
21.	Baltimore Gas and Electric Company (MD)	9.75%	7.60%	02/22/2013	Volume 303
	Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies				
22.	Bluefield Gas Company (WV)	9.75%	N/A	01/17/2012	Volume 295
23.	Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (WA)	9.80%	7.80%	05/22/2012	Volume 297
24.	SourceGas Distribution LLC (NE)	9.60%	N/A	05/22/2012	Volume 297

Statement of Authorized Returns

On Common Equity and Overall Rates of Return

Granted By Various Public Utility Regulatory Agencies As Reported In Public Utilities Reports, Volume Nos. 295-303, from March 2012 through March 2013 (Statement Is All Inclusive With Respect To Returns Published)

	•	Authorize	ed R	eturns		Volume No.
Line <u>No.</u>	Company (Jurisdiction)	Common <u>Equity</u>		<u>Overall</u>	Date (<u>Orde</u>	
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)	(e)
	Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies (continued)				,	
25.	Atmos Energy (KS)	N/A		N/A	08/22/20	012 Volume 299
26.	Vermont Gas Systems, Inc. (VT)	9.75%		N/A	08/21/20	012 Volume 300
27.	San Diego Gas & Electric Company (CA)	10.30%		7.79%	12/20/20	012 Volume 302
28.	Southern California Gas Company (CA)	10.10%		8.02%	12/20/20	012 Volume 302
29.	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (CA)	10.40%		8.06%	12/20/20	012 Volume 302
30.	Wisconsin Natural Gas, LLC, d/b/a We Energies (WI)	10.50%		6.90%	12/21/20	012 Volume 302
31.	Bluefield Gas Company (WV)	9.90%		6.62%	01/30/20	013 Volume 303
32.	Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities (WA)	9.80%		7.64%	12/26/20	012 Volume 303
33.	Narragansett Electric Company, d/b/a National Grid (RI)	9.50%	[1]	N/A	02/01/20	013 Volume 303
34.	Baltimore Gas and Electric Company (MD)	9.60%		7.53%	02/22/20	013 Volume 303
	Water Companies					
35.	Iowa-American Water Company (IA)	10.30%		N/A	02/23/20)12 Volume 295
36.	Tennessee American Water Company (TN)	10.00%	•	7.83%	04/27/20	012 Volume 298
37.	California Water Service Company (CA)	9.99%	[2]	8.24%	07/12/20	012 Volume 298
38.	San Jose Water Company (CA)	9.99%	[2]	8.38%	07/12/20	012 Volume 298
39.	California-American Water Company (CA)	9.99%	[2]	8.41%	07/12/20	012 Volume 298
40.	Golden State Water Company (CA)	9.99%	[2]	8.64%	07/12/20	012 Volume 298
41.	Lakes Region Water Company (NH)	9.75%		8.43%	07/13/20)12 Volume 299
42.	Illinois-American Water Company (IL)	9.34%		7.56%	. 09/19/20	012 Volume 301

Notes:

- [1] The Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission approved a settlement which includes an earnings sharing mechanism whereby accumulated earnings over the authorized 9.50% ROE, up to and including 100 basis points above the authorized ROE, would be shared 50/50 with customers. Earnings over 100 basis points above the authorized ROE would be shared 75% to ratepayers and 25% to the utility.
- and 25% to the utility.

 [2] The California Public Utilities Commission approved a settlement agreement that established, for the period 2011 through 2014, the costs of debt and equity, capital structures, and rates of return for the four Class A water utilities doing business in California. An identical cost of common equity of 9.99% was established for each of the four utilities, but the settlement agreement provided for a different cost of debt and capital structure for each of the utilities, yielding a different overall rate of return for each of the utilities.
- [3] N/A denotes that information is not available.

Part III

Overviews of Selected Financial and Operational Data by Utility:

- Electric Companies
 - Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
 - Duke Energy Progress, Inc., d/b/a Duke Energy Progress
 - Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion North Carolina Power
- n Natural Gas Local Distribution Companies
 - Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.
 - Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc.,
 d/b/a PSNC Energy

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Retail Jurisdiction (Amounts In Thousands)

				12 Months En	hah		Ann Growti	
Line		March	March	March	March	March	Four	Current
No.	ltem .	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Year	Year
1101	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<u> </u>	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue	\$4,855,339	\$4,446,691	\$4,448,397	\$3,984,043	\$4,088,819	4.39%	9.19%
2.	Operating Expenses:							
3.	Fuel	1,119,960	1,053,809	. 1,161,104	1,016,889	1,108,323	0.26%	6.28%
4.	Purchased Power	230,544	208,224	187,640	135,404	182,556	6.01%	10.729
5.	Maintenance	453,302	427,885	406,093	399,204	397,636	3.33%	5.94%
6.		961,030	823,982	900,827	766,411	745,332	• 6.56%	16,63%
7.	Other Operating Expenses Total Operating Expenses	2,764,836	2,513,900	2,655,664	2,317,908	2,433,847	3.24%	9.98%
8.	Depreciation & Amortization	640,729	<u>569,516</u>	<u>514,798</u>	<u>474,324</u>	<u>501,416</u>	<u>6.32%</u>	12.50%
9.	Total Expenses & Depreciation	3,405,565	3,083,416	3,170,462	2,792,232	2,935,263	3.79%	10.45%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	633,852	607,819	<u>569,306</u>	<u>534,673</u>	503,206	<u>5.94%</u>	4.28%
11.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	4,039,417	3,691,235	3,739,768	3,326,905	3,438,469	<u>4,11%</u>	9.43%
	• • •							
12.	Operating Income	\$815.922	<u>\$755.456</u>	<u>\$708.629</u>	<u>\$657.138</u>	\$650.350	<u>5.83%</u>	8.00%
13.	Net Plant Investment	<u>\$14.417.267</u>	\$12.321.908	\$11.455.711	\$11.291.113	\$9.667.557	10.51%	<u>17.01%</u>
14.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	56.94%	56.53%	59.70%	58.18%	59.52%	-1.10%	0.73%
15.	Net Pit. Investment per \$ of Revenue	\$2.97	\$2.77	\$2.58	\$2.83	\$2.36	5.92%	7.22%
16.	Number of Customers Served (000s incli	ided).						
17.	Residential	1,605,171	1,594,367	1,585,159	1,580,950	1,571,004	0.54%	0.68%
18.	Commercial	252,741	251,638	249,840	248,925	247,268	0.55%	0.449
		· ·		5,299	5,473	5,552	-2.80%	-2.989
19.	Industrial	4,955	5,107	•				
20.	Other	10,953	10,963	10,843	<u>10,846</u>	<u>10,584</u>	<u>0.86%</u>	<u>-0.09%</u>
21.	Total Number of Customers	<u>1.873.820</u>	<u>1.862.075</u>	1.851.141	<u>1.846.194</u>	<u>1.834.408</u>	<u>0.53%</u>	0.63%
22.	Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh)		*****		04 507	04.444	0.50%	0.400
23.	Residential	20,640	20,7 4 1	22,363	21,567	21,111	-0.56%	-0.49%
24.	Commercial	21,997	21,813	22,058	21,391	21,5 4 3	0.52%	0.84%
25.	Industrial	12,322	12,21 4	12,261	11,558	12,824	-0.99%	0.88%
26.	Other	<u>1,651</u>	<u>551</u>	<u>870</u>	<u>967</u>	<u>2,220</u>	<u>-7.14%</u>	<u>199.649</u>
27.	Total Sales	56,610	<u>55.319</u>	57,552	<u>55.483</u>	<u>57.698</u>	<u>-0.47%</u>	2.33%
28.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	7.67%	7.71%	7.78%	7.49%	7.78%	-0.36%	-0.52%
29.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	9.88%	9.74%	9.75%	9.26%	9.79%	0.23%	1.449
30.	Common Equity Ratio	53.41%	53.30%	53.29%	52.65%	51.66%	0.84%	0.21%
31.	Debt Ratio	46.59%	46.70%	46.71%	47.35%	48.34%	-0.92%	-0.24%
32.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage					9		
J2.	Ratio (Times)	4.55	4.43	4.30	4.08	3.99	3.34%	2.71%

Notes: [1] North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to 70% of total company electric utility revenue. [2] Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.

(Docket No. E-7, Sub 989)

 ^[3] Source of Data: NCUC ES-1 Reports.
 [4] The increase from March 2012 to March 2013 in "Other" annual sales volume (millions kWh) can be attributed to energy sales by DEC to Duke Energy Progress, Inc., d/b/a Duke Energy Progress (DEP) pursuant to the Joint Dispatch Agreement between DEC and DEP filed in Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 998 and E-7, Sub 986.

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC., d/b/a DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Retail Jurisdiction (Amounts In Thousands)

	·		Annual Growth Rate					
Line		March	March	12 Months En March	March	March	Four	Current
No.	<u>ltem</u>	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Year	Year
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<u>====</u>	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue	\$3,449,208	\$3,297,200	\$3,514,953	\$3,452,062	\$3,244,804	1.54%	4.619
2.	Operating Expenses:							
3.	Fuel	1,031,675	916,683	1,086,447	1,198,078	937,997	2.41%	12.54
4.	Purchased Power	277,601	210,272	212,996	147,345	238,646	3.85%	32.02
	Maintenance	310,713	304,137	268,179	236,278	223,953	8.53%	2.16
5.							8.24%	15.82
6.	Other Operating Expenses	773,436	667,783	627,626	610,461	<u>563,535</u>	5.07%	14.03
7.	Total Operating Expenses	2,393,425	2,098,875	2,195,248	2,192,162	1,964,131	3.01 A	14.03
8.	Depreciation & Amortization	<u>370,497</u>	<u>351,061</u>	<u>326,925</u>	<u>336,942</u>	<u>378,540</u>	<u>-0.54%</u>	<u>5.54</u>
9.	Total Expenses & Depreciation	2,763,922	2,449,936	2,522,173	2,529,104	2,342,671	4.22%	12.82
0.	Total Operating Taxes	<u>346,345</u>	354,374	439,044	437,622	<u>411,201</u>	<u>-4.20%</u>	<u>-2.27</u>
1.	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	3,110,267	2,804,310	<u>2,961,217</u>	2,966,726	2,753,872	3.09%	10.91
2.	Operating Income	\$338.941	\$492.890	\$553,736	\$485.336	\$490,932	<u>-8.85%</u>	-31.23
3.	Net Plant Investment	\$6,089,827	\$6,032,001	<u>\$5.414.468</u>	\$5,236,782	<u>\$5.067.603</u>	<u>4.70%</u>	0.96
4.	Oper. Exp. as a % of Total Revenue	69.39%	63.66%	62.45%	63.50%	60.53%	3.47%	9.00
5.	Net Pit. Investment per \$ of Revenue	\$ 1.77	\$1.83	\$1.54	\$1.52	\$1,56	3.21%	-3.28
		<u> </u>		.				
6.	Number of Customers Served (000s included)							
7.	Residential	1,104,232	1,112,761	1,106,556	1,099,978	1,089,501	0.34%	-0.77
8.	Commercial	189,791	193,561	192,149	191,219	189,403	0.05%	-1.95
Э.	Industrial	3,703	3,922	3,960	3,982	4,059	-2.27%	-5.58
٥.	Other	<u>1,510</u>	<u>1,558</u>	<u>1.705</u>	<u>1,787</u>	<u>1,845</u>	<u>-4.89%</u>	<u>-3.08</u>
1.	Total Number of Customers	<u>1.299.236</u>	<u>1.311.802</u>	<u>1.304.370</u>	1,296,966	<u>1.284.808</u>	0.28%	-0.96
2.	Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh)							
3.	Residential	15,074	15,001	16,297	15,578	15,252	-0.29%	0.49
4.	Commercial	11,932	11,968	12,276	11,978	12,195	-0.54%	-0.30
5.	Industrial	8,313	8,373	8,404	8,160	8,607	-0.87%	-0.72
3.	Other	4,093	1,684	1,681	2,205	1,652	25.46%	143.05
7.	Total Sales	39.412	37.026	38.658	37.921	37.706	1.11%	6.44
3.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	5.43%	8.25%	10.15%	8.88%	9.09%	-12.09%	-34.18
9.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	6,10%	10.58%	13.63%	11.74%	11.77%	-15.15%	-42.34
).	Common Equity Ratio	52.78%	57.51%	58.65%	56.28%	54.82%	-0.94%	-8.22
	• •	46.68%	41.86%	40.70%	43.03%	44.45%	1.23%	11.51
1.	Debt Ratio	40,00%	÷1.00 /6	70.7078	70.0070	77.7070	7.2070	
2.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	3.63	5.23	6.96	6.03	5.19	-8.55%	-30.59

Notes:

[3] Source of Data: NCUC ES-1 Reports.

(Docket No. E-2, Sub 1023)

^[1] North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to 72% of total company electric utility revenue.

^[2] Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.

^[4] The decrease from March 2012 to March 2013 in the number of customers was primarily due to a change in reporting by DEP.

Beginning with the December 2012 NCUC ES-1 Report, DEP now reports the number of active customers rather than the total number of customers which includes both active and inactive customers.

^[5] The increase from March 2012 to March 2013 in "Other" annual sales volume (millions kWh) can be attributed to energy sales by DEP to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (DEC) pursuant to the Joint Dispatch Agreement between DEC and DEP filed in Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 998 and E-7, Sub 986.

^[6] Effective April 29, 2013, Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. changed its legal name to Duke Energy Progress, Inc., d/b/a Duke Energy Progress.

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY, d/b/a DOMINION NORTH-CAROLINA POWER SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Retail Jurisdiction (Amounts In Thousands)

			Annual Growth Rate					
Line		March	March	Months Ended March	March	March	Four	Current
No.	<u>ltem</u>	<u> 2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	2009	<u>Year</u>	<u>Year</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue	\$358,551	\$317,122	\$336,998	\$335,066	\$315,163	3.28%	13.06%
2.	Operating Expenses:							
3.	Fuel	85,405	51,707	78,075	105,452	52,781	12.79%	65.17%
4.	Purchased Power	57,167	75,540	83,415	58,762	101,328	-13.33%	-24.32%
5.	Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
6.	Other Operating Expenses	<u>73,958</u>	<u>76,747</u>	<u>72,270</u>	<u>81,295</u>	<u>70,322</u>	<u>1.27%</u>	<u>-3.63%</u>
7.	Total Operating Expenses .	216,530	203,994	233,760	245,509	224,431	-0.89%	6.15%
8.	Depreciation & Amortization	<u>44,705</u>	<u>48,319</u>	<u>37,129</u>	<u>34,175</u>	<u>33,419</u>	<u>7.55%</u>	<u>-7.48%</u>
9.	Total Expenses & Depreciation	261,235	252,313	270,889	279,684	257,850	0.33%	3.54%
10.	Total Operating Taxes	42,562	<u>34,813</u>	32,465	22,934	29,550	<u>9.55%</u>	22.26%
11,	Total Expenses, Depr. & Taxes	303,797	287,126	<u>303,354</u>	302,618	287,400	<u>1.40%</u>	5.81%
12.	Operating Income	\$54.75 4	\$29.99 <u>6</u>	\$33,644	\$32,448	\$27.763	<u> 18.51%</u>	82.54%
13.	Net Plant Investment	\$926,650	\$800.004	<u>\$723.972</u>	<u>\$637.044</u>	\$614.559	<u>10.81%</u>	15.83%
15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Revenue Number of Customers Served (000s inclu Residential Commercial Industrial Other Total Number of Customers Annual Sales Volume: (Millions kWh)	\$2.58 ded): 101,177 15,536 49 2,240 119.002	\$2.52 100,949 15,461 50 2,242 118,702	\$2.15 101,135 15,436 54 2,255 118.880	\$1.90 100,933 15,432 58 2,252 118.675	\$1.95 100,859 15,466 59 2,272 118,656	7.25% 0.08% 0.11% -4.54% -0.35% 0.07%	0.23% 0.49% -2.00% -0.09% 0.25%
23.	Residential	1,570	1,521	1,697	1,630	1,605	-0.55%	3.22%
24.	Commercial	885	797	823	810	812	2.18%	11.04%
25.	Industrial	1,623	1,705	1,547	1,548	1,608	0.23%	-4.81%
26.	Other	<u>137</u>	<u>135</u>	<u>148</u>	<u>144</u>	<u>148</u>	<u>-1.91%</u>	<u>1.48%</u>
27.	Total Sales	4.215	<u>4.158</u>	4.215	, 4.132 	<u>4.173</u> 	0.25%	1.37%
20	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	7.19%	4.09%	5.85%	6.32%	5.77%	5.65%	75.79%
28.								
29.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	8.76%	3.02%	6.09%	6.90%	6.05%	9.70%	190.07%
30.	Common Equity Ratio	55.10%	55.00%	54.10%	51.38%	50.12%	2.40%	0.18%
31.	Debt Ratio	43.37%	43.42%	44.21%	46.76%	47.36%	-2.18%	-0.12%
32.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	4.38	2.56	3.20	2.55	3.12	8.85%	71.09%

Notes:

[3] Source of Data: NCUC ES-1 Reports.

(Docket No. E-22, Sub 479)

^[1] North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to 5% of total company electric utility revenue.

^[2] Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.

^[4] N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Jurisdiction (Amounts In Thousands)

			13	! Months Ende	url			пиаl th Rate
Line		March	March	March	March	March	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	ltem	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	Year	Year
140.	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<u>2555</u> (f)	(g)	(h)
1.	Operating Revenue:							
2.	Residential	\$399,794	\$385,250	\$454,884	\$542,010	\$577,732	-8.79%	3.78%
3.	Commercial	221,616	222,718	269,233	323,864	352,030	-10.93%	-0.49%
4.	Industrial	17,305	20,182	27,452	43,097	86,325	-33.09%	-14.26%
5.	Public Authorities	217	471	689	863	265	-4.87%	-53.93%
6.	Other	<u>113,694</u>	<u>86,651</u>	82,995	78,228	<u>84,953</u>	<u>7.56%</u>	3 <u>1.21%</u>
7.	Total Operating Revenue	752,626	715,272	835,253	988,062	1,101,305	-9.08%	5.22%
8.	Cost of Gas	<u>339,120</u>	320,863	<u>450,900</u>	<u>601,819</u>	<u>722,367</u>	<u>-17,23%</u>	5.69%
9.	Margin	413,506	394,409	384,353	386,243	378,938	2.21%	4,84%
10.	O & M Expenses	172,667	165,890	158,081	154,639	146,941	4.12%	4.09%
11.	Other Deductions	139,680	<u>130,517</u>	125,112	130,019	124,436	<u>2.93%</u>	7.02%
12.	Operating Income	<u>\$101.159</u>	\$98,002	<u>\$101.160</u>	<u>\$101.585</u>	\$107.561	<u>-1.52%</u>	3.22%
13.	Net Plant Investment	<u>\$2.041.684</u>	\$1,760.356	\$1,696,621	<u>\$1.564.919</u>	\$1.571.515	<u>6.76%</u>	<u>15.98%</u>
14.	Operating Exp. as a % of Margin	41.76%	42.06%	41.13%	40.04%	38.78%	1.87%	-0.71%
15.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Margin	\$4.94	\$ 4,46	\$4.41	\$4.05	\$4.15	4.45%	10.76%
40	O D-livered in DT- (ODDitted)	<u>,</u>					<u> </u>	
16.	Gas Delivered in DTs (000s omitted):	36,874	29,613	37,821	40,036	37,962	-0.72%	24.52%
17.	Residential		23,431	28,064	28,821	27,037	0.98%	19.96%
18.	Commercial	28,108	2,846	3,543	5,151	7,396	-22.86%	-7.98%
19.	Industrial	2,619	2,040 50	3,543 68	70	18	7.46%	-52.00%
20.	Public Authorities	24				93,568	26.76%	37.97%
21.	Other	<u>241,545</u>	<u>175,077</u>	138,112	103,801			
22.	Total DTs	<u>309.170</u>	<u>231.017</u>	207.608	<u>177.879</u>	<u>165.981</u>	<u>16.82%</u>	33.83%
23.	Number of Customers (000s included):							
24.	Residential	624,220	616,722	612,593	608,761	604,089	0.82%	1.22%
25.	Commercial	66,191	65,638	65,246	65,320	65,165	0.39%	0,84%
26.	Industrial	1,087	1,101	1,101	1,126	1,162	-1.65%	-1.27%
27.	Public Authorities	572	1,576	1,577	1,609	473	4.87%	-63.71%
28.	Other	<u>605</u>	577	· <u>575</u>	<u>561</u>	<u>536</u>	<u>3.07%</u>	4.85%
	Total Number of Customers	<u>692.675</u>	<u>685.614</u>	681.092	677.377	671.425	0.78%	1.03%
30.	Estimated Overall Rate of Return	7.52%	7,69%	8.27%	8.46%	8.52%	-3.07%	-2.21%
31.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	11.85%	10.55%	10.65%	11.84%	11.95%	-0.21%	12.32%
32.	Common Equity Ratio	45.89%	50.92%	51.97%	47.90%	45.98%	-0.05%	-9.88%
33,	Debt Ratio	54.11%	49.08%	48.03%	52.10%	54.02%	0.04%	10.25%
34.	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	5.33	4.82	4.35	4.40	4.01	7.37%	10.58%
35.	LAST RATE CASE	Authorized Returns:	Common Equi	ity 10.60%, Ove	erall 8.55%; Eq	uity Ratio: 51,00%	; Date of Order: 1	0-24-08

Notes:

[2] Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.

(Docket No. G-9, Sub 550)

[3] Source of Data: Shareholders' reports and the NCUC GS-1 Reports.

^[1] North Carolina retail jurisdictional revenue equates to approximately 71% of total company gas utility revenue.

^[4] The decrease from March 2012 to March 2013 in the public authorities data was primarily due to the classification of the Goldsboro Housing Authority customers, who were individually metered during the period September-December 2012, to the residential category. All public authorities customers, whether individually metered or master metered, are billed on Piedmont's residential rate structure.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NORTH CAROLINA, INC. SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL DATA

North Carolina Jurisdiction (Amounts in Thousands)

	•		12	Months Ended	i			Annual wth Rate
Line	•	March	March	March	March	March	Four	Current
<u>No.</u>	<u>ltem</u> (a)	2013 (b)	<u>2012</u> (c)	<u>2011</u> (d)	<u>2010</u> (e)	<u>2009</u> (f)	<u>Year</u> (g)	<u>Year</u> (h)
1. 2.	Operating Revenue: Residential	\$324,543	\$ 253,520	\$328,346	\$335,847	\$410,616	-5.71	% 28.01%
3.	Commercial	117,214	100,578	128,978 21,364	130,190 20,791	176,863 40,480	-9.77 -20.88	
4.	Industrial Public Authorities	15,862 0	15,173 0	21,304	. 0	40,460	-20,00 · N	
5. 6.	Resale	0	1	5	. 4	7		/A N/A
7.	Other	<u>29,588</u>	<u> 26,959</u>	27,092	26,099	28,028	1.36	
8.	Total Operating Revenue	487,207	396,231	505,785	512,931	655,994	<u>-7.17</u>	<u>22.96%</u>
9.	Cost of Gas	<u>254,154</u>	<u>168,268</u>	<u>281,379</u>	<u>291,736</u>	440,753	-12.86	<u>% 51.04%</u>
10.	Margin	233,053	227,963	224,406	221,195	215,241	2.01	% . 2.23%
11.	O & M Expenses	87,091	84,632	85,011	84,547	84,422	0.78	% 2.91%
12.	Other Deductions	80,160	<u>78,132</u>	74,249	<u>74,459</u>	<u>69,371</u>	<u>3.68</u>	<u>%</u> <u>2.60%</u>
13.	Operating Income	\$65.802	<u>\$65,199</u>	<u>\$65.146</u>	\$62.189	\$61.448	1.73	% <u>0.92%</u>
14.	Net Plant Investment	\$846.391	\$825.613	<u>\$784.704</u>	<u>\$772.427</u>	<u>\$771.109</u>	2.36	<u>% 2.52%</u>
15.	Operating Exp. as a % of Margin	37.37%	37.13%	37.88%	38.22%	39.22%	-1.20	% 0.65%
16.	Net Plt. Investment per \$ of Margin	\$3.63	\$3.62	\$3,50	\$3.49	\$3.58	0.35	% . 0.28%
47	Co- Delivered in DTs (000s emitted):	<u> </u>		•				
17. 18.	Gas Delivered in DTs (000s omitted): Residential	27,517	21,525	27,865	28,937	27,098	0.38	% 27.84%
19.	Commercial	14,024	11,563	14,014	14,227	13,622	0.73	The second secon
20.	Industrial	3,120	2,414	3,104	3,017	3,658	-3.90	
21.	Public Authorities	0	0	0	0	. 0	N	
22.	Resale .	0	0	1	0	0	N	
23.	Other	34,994	30,313 65,015	<u>29,555</u>	<u>28,170</u> <u>74.351</u>	28,902 73,280	<u>4.90</u> 2.11	
24.	Total DTs	~ <u>79.655</u>	65,815	74.539	14.221	73.500	244	Συσολών
25.	Number of Customers (000s included):	455.000		440.005	404.040	428.549	1.66	% 2.36%
26.	Residential	457,698 42,001	447,145 41,667	440,685 40,659	434,342 _. 40,123	39,904	1.29	
27.	Commercial ` Industrial	42,001 174	176	170	177	189	-2.05	
28. 29.	Public Authorities	177	0	0	. 0	0	N	
30.	Resale	Õ	- O	2 ·	2	2	· N	
31.	Other .	<u>475</u>	<u>464</u>	<u>461</u>	<u>463</u>	<u>458</u>	0.92	<u>%</u> <u>2.37%</u>
32.	Total Number of Customers	<u>500.348</u>	489.452	<u>481.977</u>	<u>475.107</u>	469.102	<u>1.63</u>	<u>% 2.23%</u>
33.	Estirnated Overall Rate of Return	8.88%	8.99%	9.29%	8.74%	8.16%	2.14	% -1.22%
34.	Estimated Return on Common Equity	11.20%	11.49%	11.49%	12.04%	11.06%	0.31	% -2.52%
35.	Common Equity Ratio	56.82%	55.86%	54.97%	53.20%	49.33%	3.60	% 1.72%
36.	Debt Ratio	43.18%	44.14%	45.03%	46.80%	50.67%	-3.92	% -2.17%
37:	Estimated Pretax Interest Coverage Ratio (Times)	5.17	5.07	4.41	5.42	4.21	5.27	% 1.97%

38. LAST RATE CASE (Docket No. G-5; Sub 495) Authorized Returns: Common Equity 10.60%, Overall 8.54%, Equity Ratio: 54.00%; Date of Order: 10-24-08

Notes: [1] Rates are set on a total company basis.
[2] Net Plant Investment reflects net plant in service.

[3] Source of Data: Shareholders' Reports and the NCUC GS-1 Reports.

[4] N/A denotes that the data is not available or not applicable or that information is, essentially, unmeaningful.

Part IV

Telecommunications Companies Annual Report Filings

Telecommunications Companies 2012 Annual Report Filings¹

- A. The following companies provided the Commission with links to their 2012 Annual Report filings, as submitted to the SEC:
 - BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/732717/000073271713000017/ye12 10k.htm
 - Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company LLC, d/b/a CenturyLink; Central Telephone Company, d/b/a CenturyLink; and Mebtel, Inc., d/b/a CenturyLink http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/18926/000104746913002037/a2213129z10-k.htm
 - Frontier Communications of the Carolinas, Inc. –

 http://investor.frontier.com/sec.cfm?DocType=Annual&Year=&FormatFilter=
 - •Verizon South Inc.² http://www22.verizon.com/investor/anualreports.htm
 - Windstream Concord Telephone, Inc.; Windstream Lexcom Communications, Inc.; and Windstream North Carolina, LLC –

http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1282266/000128226613000020/a201210k.htm

- B. The following companies provided the Commission with copies of their 2012 audited financial statements:
 - North State Telephone Company, d/b/a North State Communications
 - Citizens Telephone Company, d/b/a Comporium³

¹ The deadline for a price plan regulated company to either provide its annual report to the Commission or to otherwise satisfy its annual reporting obligations under Commission Rule R1-32, Subsection (e1) is as soon as possible after the close of the calendar year, but in no event later than the 30th day of April each year for the preceding calendar year.

² Verizon South Inc. Knotts Island Exchange.

³ Such report has been filed as confidential and proprietary information.

Quarterly Review Electronic Distribution List

Commission Staff
Kimberly Duffley
Roy Ericson
Corrie Foster
Bill Gilmore
Len Green
Freda Hilburn
Lemuel Hinton
Don Hoover
Kim Jones
Bliss Kite
Gail Mount
Bridget Paschal
George Sessoms
Sam Watson

Public Staff
Christopher Ayers
Jeff Davis
David Furr
John Garrison
Carl Goolsby
Robert Hinton
Jim Hoard
James McLawhorn
Antoinette Wike

Attorney General's Office Margaret Force

Others
Alexander Bailey
Virginia Electric and Power
Company,d/b/a Dominion North
Carolina Power

David Baker North Carolina Department of Revenue

Bruce Barkley Manager – Regulatory Affairs Duke Energy

Laura Bateman, Manager Carolinas Rates & Regulatory Strategy Duke Energy

Felisa Bullock, Manager Executive and Regulatory Services CenturyLink

David Carpenter Managing Director Regulatory Affairs Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.

Matthew L. Dosch Senior Vice President of External Affairs Comporium Communications Rick Feathers Associate General Counsel N.C. Electric Corporation

Mary Lynne Grigg McGuire Woods LLP

Greg Locke ElectriCities of North Carolina

William McAulay
Director - Legislative & Regulatory Relations
Public Service Company of NC, Inc.

Raiph McDonald Bailey & Dixon, LLP

Sharon Miller
Carolina Utility Customers Association, Inc.

Kevin O'Donnell Nova Energy Consultants

Stan Pace, Director State Government Relations Frontier Communications of the Carolinas Inc.

Robert F. Page Crisp, Page & Currin, L.L.P.

Candace A. Paton, Lead Analyst Rates & Regulatory Affairs Public Service Company of NC, Inc.

Pia K. Powers Manager Regulatory Affairs Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.

Jo Anne Sanford Sanford Law Office, PLLC

Carol Shrum, Vice President Rates Duke Energy Corporation

Robert Smith, Director-External Affairs BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T North Carolina

Lawrence Somers Deputy General Counsel Duke Energy Corporation

State Library of North Carolina

Laura A. Sykora Regulatory Affairs Manager CenturyLink Royster M. Tucker, Jr. North State Telephone Company, d/b/a North State Communications

Bob Wells, Executive Director North Carolina Telephone Alliance

Bettye J. Willis
Regional Vice President
State Government Affairs
Windstream Communications, Inc.