2019
2070
202
200
9
1
Ő

1	PLACE: Onslow County Courthouse
2	Jacksonville, North Carolina
3	DATE: Tuesday, October 22, 2019
4	TIME: 7:00 P.M 0:00 P.M
5	DOCKET NO.: W-354, Sub 364
6	BEFORE: -Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland, Presiding
7	Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell
8	Commissioner Lyons Gray
9	
10	
11	IN THE MATTER OF:
12	Application by
13	Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina,
14	4944 Parkway Plaza Boulevard, Suite 375,
15	Charlotte, North Carolina 28217
16	for Authority to Adjust and Increase Rates for Water
17	and Sewer Utility Service in
18	All of Its Service Areas in North Carolina
19	
20	Volume 6
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR CAROLINA WATER SERVICE, INC. OF NORTH CAROLINA:
3	Robert B. Bennink, Jr., Esq.
4	Bennink Law Office
5	130 Murphy Drive
6	Cary, North Carolina 27513
7	
8	FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
9	Dianna Downey, Esq.
10	Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission
11	4326 Mail Service Center
12	Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	_
21	,
22	
23	
24	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	EXAMINATIONS	
3	DANNY CONNER	
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Downey	15
5	RALPH TRIDICO	i
6	Direct Examination by Ms. Downey	21
7	Examination by Commissioner Brown-Bland	25
8	JAMES C. KRAFT	
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Downey	30
10	Examination by Chair Mitchell	34
11	JOHN GUMBEĻ	
12	Direct Examination by Ms. Downey	35
13	DAVID STEVENSON	
14	Direct Examination by Ms. Downey	41
15	IRVING JOFFEE	
16	Direct Examination by Ms. Downey	45
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		-
22		
23		
24	·	

1	EXHIBITS
2	IDENTIFIED/ADMITTED
3	No Exhibits of Record
4	
5	•
6	,
7	
8	
9	·
10	,
11	·
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	'
19	·
20	·
21	
22	
23	·
24	

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Good evening.

Let's come to order and go on the record. I'm

Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland with the North

Carolina Utilities Commission and I'm the Presiding

Commissioner for this hearing. With me this evening

are Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell and Commissioner Lyons

Gray.

I now call for hearing Docket Number W-354, Sub 364, In the Matter of Application by Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina, for Authority to Increase Rates for Water and Sewer Utility Service in all service areas in North Carolina. And Docket Number W-354, Sub 363, In the Matter of Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina, for an Accounting Order to Defer Incremental Storm Damage Expenses Incurred as a Result of Hurricane Florence.

On June 28th, 2019 Carolina Water Service,
Inc. of North Carolina, here and after CWS or the
Company, filed with the Commission an Application
seeking authority to increase its rates for providing
water and sewer utility service in all of its service
areas in North Carolina. CWS seeks a 15.25 percent
increase in water revenue and a 27.51 percent increase

in wastewater revenues over all.

The Company states in its Application that it seeks this increase in rates primarily to recover significant capital investment it has made in its water and wastewater systems in North Carolina since its last rate case. According to CWS, these investments were needed to replace and rehabilitate aging infrastructure, to modernize and increase efficiencies, and to recover from damages resulting from Hurricane Florence.

On July 15th, 2019, the Commission issued an Order establishing general rate case and suspending rates.

On August 2nd, 2019, the Commission issued an Order Scheduling Hearings and Requiring Customer Notice, which among other things scheduled this public witness hearing for today, October 22nd, 2019, at this time and at this place and scheduled an evidentiary hearing for receiving expert witness testimony beginning on December the 2nd, 2019 at two o'clock p.m. in Raleigh. Prior public hearings have been held in Charlotte, Manteo, Boone, Asheville, and Raleigh.

On August 22nd, 2019 Corolla Light Community
Association, Inc., filed a Petition to Intervene in

this matter, which was granted by Commission order issued September 5th, 2019.

The intervention and participation of the Public Staff who represents the Using and Consuming Public is recognized pursuant to North Carolina General Statute 62-15(d) and Commission Rule R1-19(e). The Commission has received a number of consumer statements of position regarding the Company's Application, and those letters have been filed in the docket in this matter.

Pursuant to the State Government Ethics Act,
I remind members of the Commission of our duty to
avoid conflicts of interest and inquire at this time
as to whether any Commissioner has any known conflict
of interest with respect to this docket. The record
will reflect that no conflicts were identified.
I now call on the parties for their appearances for
the record and starting with the Company.

MR. BENNINK: Good evening, Madam

Commissioner. My name is Robert Bennink. I'm a

lawyer in Raleigh and I'm here tonight to represent

Carolina Water Service, Incorporated of North

Carolina.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you, Mr.

Bennink.

. 2

MS. DOWNEY: Good evening, Commissioners.

Dianna Downey on behalf of the Public Staff who represent the Using and Consuming Public. With me is Gina Casselberry from the Water Division.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Good evening to all. Are there any preliminary matters before we start?

MR. BENNINK: None.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

Before -- and I take it, Ms. Downey, you have identified public witnesses who wish to testify?

MS. DOWNEY: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

Before we start there, just a few remarks about why
we're here. We're pleased to be here to come and hear
from the customers of CWS and we're glad that you all
could join us tonight.

As you heard in the beginning of my opening statement, this case began with the Company's filing an Application and in response to that, the Commission paused those proposed rates, scheduled this hearing in two parts. The first part is this series of public hearings that we've gone around the state to hear from

the customers and we would like to hear your concerns whether they be service issues or specifically about the rate increase, whatever you have to tell us. find these to be beneficial to you and us, so that we know the impact of what we do and also so that you may have issues resolved. Many times company representatives will be here and they can answer some of the questions that you might have tonight. The second part of the hearing is the evidentiary hearing, which I mentioned is scheduled for December 2nd in Raleigh, and at that hearing we hear from experts who -- experts are sponsored and brought before us by the parties. The parties in this matter are the Company, CWS, the Public Staff, as well as Corolla Light, which is a -- represents a community group out in -- from the Corolla area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The expert witnesses who come to testify in front of us testify about accounting matters, legal matters. They're often engineers, economists, accountants, professionals like that.

The Public Staff puts on some of these witnesses., The Public Staff is -- represents the consumers and the customers. They do not work for the North Carolina Utilities Commission. They're --

they're independent and they work on behalf of the customers. Their boss is an executive director and they will look at the Company's Application, assess it, investigate it, make determinations, their own independent conclusions about whether conditions and investments are as the Company has stated they are in their application.

Once they come to their own opinion, they make that public, and that's known to you and they file their opinions — usually file their opinions with us and that'll be on our docket system available for you all to see.

Now, with regard to the hearing tonight, it's in the nature, we're in the courtroom. That's to convey that these hearings are in the nature of a court proceeding, not in the nature of a town hall or a protest meeting. The court reporter is here to take down what you have to tell us word for word. At the end in a — in a matter of some several days she will create a transcript from everything that's said here tonight and that too will be on the Commission's website and you'll be able to go there and see everything that's said here tonight.

The attorneys here, the attorneys for the

Public Staff, and the attorney from the Company will have an opportunity to ask any witness questions and we, the Commissioners will have an opportunity to ask you questions. These questions are not so much to challenge you or to embarrass or anything like that. They're just for the purpose of making sure we've understood what it is that you have to tell us, just to clarify things.

Like court proceedings, you can't turn around and ask the judge questions. We, the Commissioners, are not here to answer questions tonight. But, as I said, representatives of the Company as well as from the Public Staff they will remain after the procedures -- proceeding is over here and will do their best to answer what questions they are able to tonight or to get back to you at a later time.

Also the Commission has required that the --that the Company file a report following each public
hearing where they address matters that you might
raise, and so that too will be filed and put in the
public docket, the public record. You'll be able to
see it.

With that said, we -- because we've done so

where we go, we try to maintain the same process and procedures, so we're asking -- we've allotted a time period for each witness of three minutes. There's a clock up here. It will count down and when you see it get to one minute, I ask that you, you know, make every reasonable attempt to try to wrap up what you have to say. I really haven't had to run -- everybody has been able to get out what they wanted to tell us in the three-minute time and I really haven't had to run anybody off, so I don't expect that to be any different tonight.

2.0

One other thing is if someone ahead of you says the same thing that you intended to say, you -- repetition doesn't -- doesn't really count here, so you don't need to say it again. You're free to say it again, but you don't need to. You can just say I adopt that other person's testimony or I agree with that, or you can use the time to say I agree with that and I have this other additional to tell you. So that part, the choice is up to you.

And now the -- before we get into hearing from the witnesses, Mr. Bennink has asked to have a moment to address you. What he says is an opening statement. It is not evidence in the case. But he

has some information he wants to make sure you hear.

Mr. Bennink?

MR. BENNINK: Thank you, Madam Commissioner.

Again, my name is Bob Bennink and I'm the Company's lawyer.

I want to just take the opportunity to thank all of you for being here. The Company does appreciate your attendance and we'll listen carefully to what you have to say tonight.

I want to introduce people from the Company who are here tonight. They will be available after the hearing to talk with you. If you've got questions you want to ask specifically of the Company employees, you can do that after the hearing. And I'll ask them to stand as I announce their names.

We have Bryce Mendenhall, who is the Company's Vice President of Operations; Deborah Clark, who is the Communications Director; Dana Hill, who is the Regional Director; Stacy Goth, who is the Lead Operator or a Lead Operator; Greg Spillman, a Lead Operator; and Matthew Golden, a Lead Operator.

And again, we -- we thank you for being here. I will say, again, the Company has the burden of proof in this case to prove -- to -- to prove that

it is entitled to the rate increase, whatever rate increase the Commission ultimately may decide to allow.

22'

As the Presiding Commissioner has said, you can go to the Commission's website and on that website you can -- you can find and review the Company's Application and all the supporting documentation that it is required to submit, as well as the Company's expert testimony.

early November. That will be on the website. And then to the extent there is disagreement between the Company and the Public Staff, the Company will have an opportunity to file rebuttal testimony. And there again, that will be on the website for you to review. And that's the testimony that will be presented at the evidentiary hearing in Raleigh.

And with that, I'll conclude my statement. Thank you again for coming.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Ms
Downey, do you have anything you would like to say
before we call witnesses?

MS. DOWNEY: No, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Then

```
you may call your first witness.
 1
 2
               MS. DOWNEY: Danny Conner.
                                          If you'd place
 3
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
    you left hand on the Bible and raise your right.
 4
 5
                          DANNY CONNER;
 6
                    having been duly sworn,
 7
                      testified as follows:
                       (Cellphone ringing)
 8
               OFFICER:
                         Put cellphones on silent.
 9
     DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY:
10
11
          Would you please state your name for the record,
12
          please?
          My name is Danny Conner, C-O-N-N-E-R.
13
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Just a moment.
14
15
    Let's be sure we got the rings off. Everybody take
16
    the opportunity to be sure you silence your phones.
          Mr. Conner, would you please spell your last
17
18
          name, please?
19
          C-o-n-n-e-r.
20
          And your address, please?
21
    Α
          231 Long John Silver Drive. That's Wilmington,
22
          28411.
23
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                           Mr. Conner, if
24
    you could move the microphone so it will be --
```

```
THE WITNESS:
                             Now?
 1
 2
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          -- closer to you.
 3
               THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          And you might
 4
 5
    have to move closer to it. All right.
                                              Thank you.
               THE WITNESS:
                             How is that?
 6
    BY MS. DOWNEY:
 7
         And that's in the Treasure Cove subdivision as I
 8
 9
         understand it?
10
         That is correct.
11
         Please proceed with your statement.
12
         To begin with I oppose all the proposed -- I
         oppose all of the proposed increases and changes
13
14
         outlined in the document - the monthly meter
         water service base facility charges usage charges
15
         they have been asking for an increase at every
16
         opportunity, but there is nothing going on in the
17
         neighborhood that would justify any increases.
18
                         The storm reserve fund -- I have a
19
20
          storm reserve fund. It's a savings account and
21
          insurance.
                      They need to take that with their
22
                    I never had anybody give me money for
23
          it.
```

24

The reconnection fee is -- the

proposal is outrageous. The North Carolina
Utility rule says it should be no more than 15
except when the Utility proves the actual
reasonable cost for restoring service is greater.
Their rate is currently 27 increasing to 42.
They tell me that their employee is 15 minutes
away, so I find that hard to justify that
increase.

They plan to implement the proposed rates on the 28th of January if the Commission has not entered a final order. I request that the Commission make a expedited decision and deny the increases before that due date.

what brought me to my last problems with them is May 30th when we lost all the water pressure and water in less than 30 minutes. And after that, I sent a series of questions to CWS on June the 13th. The last response I had from them was June the 18th. They never answered any questions. Finally, in August, the 2nd, I sent a complaint to the Utilities Commission and Ms. Sara Cummins was able to get a reply from them on the 21st of

August. Some of the questions were answered.

Some were ignored. One answer didn't even relate to the question. So based on that, I sent another email to them with the remaining questions and I did not get a reply to that until I had to go back through the Utilities

Commission.

The outage they told me was caused by someone ran into a transformer. The transformer was very close to the road. It's unprotected. I asked if there were any plans to move the transformer to protect it. They said it's not a CWS asset, so it would be up to me to contact the electrical provider for response. I think it's their problem. I enclosed pictures in the exhibit.

I asked if there are permanent operational generators on both wells. I asked it two times. It's a simple yes/no answer. It was never answered. I'm unable to see the generator on Well Number 2, so my guess is there is not one.

When we had that outage on May 30th, if there was a backup, Well Number 2

should've come on, because the power was on to the entire neighborhood everything except for the Well Number 1. It did not, so clearly if there's a backup, it doesn't work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

They said they had portable generators that can be deployed when needed like for hurricanes, but that is probably a little bit out of date with hurricanes like Florence in Wilmington and Dorian in the Bahamas where it lasts for three days or more.

I asked how many residences are currently connected and how many it's designed to They said it's designed for 300 with service. approximately 296 connected. There is no way to prove these numbers since their data is private. I think they've exceeded capacity, which is probably why we have such a low flow and drain the system so quickly.

They continue to say that the average family of four uses 4,000 gallons a month. There is no way that's possible. are two adults in my house. We have every energy saver feature there is and in the last two years we've averaged 5,700 gallons a year. The people

around me with four people in the house, two adults, two children, are running seven to 8,000. So my only guess is they use that 4,000 figure to keep from having everybody go into shock when they see the increases proposed. Also --

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Conner, are you able to move -- are you able to move towards the end?

A Well, about the only other thing I -- I can wrap it up in about 30 seconds or a minute.

I have questioned why the fire hydrants weren't in service. They were in service when I moved to the neighborhood. They say now they're for flushing only.

I question Well Number 1 is in a flood zone. They came back and told me it was, not although I have three flood maps and a flood certification that says it is.

I asked about the highest mineral and sediment content. They said that the neighborhood would have to pay for it with a cost of up to \$1.2 million.

And I asked about testing for PFAs since we now have PFAs in Cape Fear Public

1	Utility wells within about three miles on either
2	side of us. They said it's not required by DEQ,
3	so they tested it one time, but that's it.
4	All all of this has been sent
5	to the Utilities Commission.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Are
.7	there questions for Mr. Conner?
8	MS. DOWNEY: No.
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: From the Company?
10	MR. BENNINK: No questions.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Questions from
12	the Commissioners? All right. Thank you, Mr. Conner,
13	for coming out.
14	(The witness is excused)
1.5	MS. DOWNEY: Ralph Tridico.
16	RALPH TRIDICO;
17	having been duly sworn,
18	testified as follows:
19	THE WITNESS: My name is Ralph Tridico.
20	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Tridico,
21	let's let Ms. Downey ask you the questions.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY:
23	Q Please state your name and spell your last name,
24	please.

- My name is Ralph Tridico, T-r-i-d-i-c-o. Α
- And what's your address, please?

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 1100 Kea Court, Fairfield Harbour, North Carolina. 4
 - Please proceed with your statement.

their water meters.

I have a couple of issues. This proposed -- this Α -- for this rating pilot program, I don't know how CWS can accomplish this when they don't have any automatic monitoring of their -- their water They have a man walking around reading meters. the meters. Sometimes they get it right. Sometimes they don't. In fact, I just looked at my bill for the last month, there's no reading for September. So I -- I don't know how they propose to accomplish this without having some kind of automatic metering on -- on their --

There's some other issues that have -- I -- I appeared before the Commission in New Bern. The issue regarding chlorine or lack of in the water. We get these slugs of chlorine and then nothing for two or three weeks. are other people in the development that have too I don't know how they're putting much chlorine.

the chlorine in the water. I don't know if they're injecting it or if they're just dumping it in or whatever, it's not consistent. That's the bottom line.

The issue regarding rust in water, it's there. It's still there. There haven't been any improvements. I -- I brought some more filters with me. This is a brand new filter. This is a filter - we were away for almost 21 days in September. This -- this filter has -- let me -- it has 21 days of use at 58 gallons per day per their number, 1,218 gallons, and it's already red.

Now, six, seven years ago I could change the filter every three months. Now I have to do it every month or I get bleed through.

The other issue is regarding the rate increase. Fairfield Harbour was devastated by Florence. We have people still not in their homes. I have a neighbor that's 98 years old. He's not in his house, but he's paying for water service. That's not right. That's absolutely not right.

The Commission -- CWS has

requested rate increases that I know of that I've documented since 2013 up through 2019 currently. Every rate increase up until, dated 5/1, in -they were granted one in '13. They requested one in '15. They got in '16. And so forth and so Okay. Where is the improvement in the service? We're paying for something that's not getting improved. It's the same. And I don't understand where the money is going. And this -this fund they want for emergencies, it should come from profits. It should come out of profits; a part of doing business, the cost of doing business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, I don't know what the regulations are. I don't know, you know, what the state allowed, what the Commission doesn't I know they're a monopoly and they're allow. controlled as a monopoly, but in our community we're not seeing any improvements. The only time we get -- get anything done is when somebody breaks a water meter, they did, granted, put new control systems in on some of the sewer lift stations as a result of Florence, but the stuff that was in there was out of date. And it should

```
-- it's -- it's part of doing business.
1
2
         part of their responsibility to maintain the
 3
         system.
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Tridico, are
 4
    you able to wrap up yet?
 5
              THE WITNESS: That's about all I have.
 6
 7
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
8
    Downey, do you have any questions for Mr. Tridico?
 9
              MS. DOWNEY: No, ma'am.
10
              MR. BENNINK:
                            No questions.
11
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Tridico,
    questions from the Commission? I got just a couple.
12
13
    EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
14
         You indicated that you had some bills where there
15
         were no meter readings. Is that something that's
16
         common?
17
         The -- the -- the bill -- the last bill I got
    Α
18
         they -- they have a graph that shows monthly
         usage and in September there was no bar on that
19
20
         one, on September's. Why? I don't -- I don't
21
         know. I know that the usage was -- was
         substantially down. I think it was 1,300 and some
22
         gallons, because we weren't home for almost two
23
24
         and a half weeks.
```

```
Q So the bar was missing. Was there a numerical equivalent or amount?
```

- Yeah. I had -- there was a number for usage, okay, for'the -- the current period. Now, sometimes there will be a number in there, okay, makes no sense whatsoever, because they hadn't -- their -- they guess at the previous number, and then they -- the man came around and made (sic) the reader -- read the meter. That doesn't happen all the -- they don't read the meter all the time every month. You know, they miss it.
- O Is it a common occurrence or is --
- A Maybe three -- three times a year or four times a year at the most, okay? Here's the thing. Okay. If they're going to improve the system, they -- they've got digital readers that they can put onto those meters. And most utilities have them. They don't.
- Q Do -- do --

- 20 A I don't mind paying increases if we're going to 21 get something for it.
- Q Right. I was just going to ask you, you understand an advanced meter is more expensive.
- 24 A Sure. Show me improvement and I'll pay for it.

```
I don't have a problem. We're not seeing
1
2
          improvement. And, quite frankly, for them to
          charge what they're charging for people that
 3
          aren't in their homes or, you know, they're --
 4
 5
          they're still living in trailers, that's not
 6
          right.
 7
         And this -- that's as a result of the storm?
    Q
 8
    Α
          Of Florence, yeah.
 9
         /All right.
    Q
10
          We're over here now.
11
         And another question I had --
12
          Sure.
    Α
          -- with regard to the filter, what's -- what's
13
14
          the cost of the filters? Are they very
```

16 A Filter is about \$5.

expensive?

- 17 Q So you -- and you put a new one on once a month?
- 18 A Now, yeah.
- 19 Q And --

- 20 A Plus the water softener. Plus the RO system in
- there that I have so I can drink the water.
- 22 Q So that's your own filtration system?
- 23 A Yes. Yes. It's my own -- it's -- it's really
- 24 what -- what I'm doing and what a lot of people

- in Fairfield Harbour are doing, should become a water company.
 - Q How old is your system?
- A The -- the -- well, the RO system is -- I change that membrane, it's probably five years old.
 - Q All right.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

- And there's -- there's an RO filter membrane and two other filters on that. I have a primary filter which is what you saw there, and it's a 5 micron filter. It's very effective. I have a filter that's a pre-filter. I have a post-filter after the softener. That's in there -- that's in there nine months. It's still pure white. So the filtering system is working.
- 15 Q All right. What -- what's the -- if you recall

 16 and you recall the cost figure on your RO system?
 - Yeah, it was about -- originally it was about \$1,800. And the softener system was close to \$2,000. Now that's -- that's 28 years old. It's been -- it's been worked on twice in 28 years.
- 21 Q All right.
- 22 A I'm not going to do a pitch for the manufacturer, 23 but they're -- they're super.
 - Q All right.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Are there questions on my questions?

MS. DOWNEY: No.

And the other thing, the one thing, the chlorine is another issue. Again, I don't know how they're — they're injecting chlorine into the system or where they're doing it, but it's not consistent. And — and that's a real problem. I mean, when you look at a toilet bowl and you clean the toilet bowl thoroughly and a week later you got black mold around the top of the rim, that's a problem —

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

A -- because that's in the water.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Well,

Mr. Tridico, we covered if you wanted to speak with one of the representatives here before you leave, and perhaps they can provide some answers, and even if not they will be filing a report about what you had to say here tonight and you can look back and see what -
A The guys on site they -- they do a pretty good

job. But I will say this, okay? I have a fire hydrant in front of my house. It's -- that particular hydrant is for flushing only

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

supposedly. It's a four-inch main. I sent a letter when the Commission requested comments and all, I made a comment on the internet about the hydrant not being flushed for, I don't know, it was six or seven months, maybe a little longer. It was interesting, two days later the hydrant was being flushed.

Now, it needs to be done. I mean, that would bring some more of the -- we're on a dead end. We're at the end of the pipeline, so that's a problem in itself, which, you know, you really can't do anything about that unless you have a circulating system.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Well, thank you, Mr. Tridico. You may step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MS. DOWNEY: Jay Kraft.

JAMES C. KRAFT:

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY:

- Q Please state your name and spell your last name for the record.
- A My name is James C. Kraft. My last name is

```
1 spelled K-R-A-F-T.
```

- Q And your address, please?
- 3 A 215A Reserve Green Drive, Morehead City, North
- 4 Carolina, 28557.

2

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 5 Q And that's in Brandywine, right?
- 6 A That is correct.
- 7 Q Please proceed with your statement.
 - A My family and I arrived in Carteret County 42

 years ago and we're now in our fourth residence.

 The first three residences were served by wells,
- and then the most recent one is now served by the

12 water company.

The wells were -- the only treatment that they received was water softeners and the water from the wells in this area is excellent. The water from the water company is not excellent. It contains a lot of sediment and the pressure varies considerably. I have yet to figure out how a company -- a water treatment company can take perfectly good well water and ruin it.

The water is also as the previous witnesses stated has -- causes stains. We have a neighborhood website that people can post things

on for sale, lost pets, things like that, and several weeks ago a new resident posted that their water was yellow and did anybody else have that problem. The poster got eight comments all of which replied in the affirmative they had sediment. And we ourselves do have sediment from time to time especially when we leave for a period of time. When we come back, the water in the toilet for some reason has turned kind of an orange and pink color and we haven't put anything in the toilets either.

My main concern with this request is over cost. The Company currently charges a monthly rate. This is a base rate of \$73.84. That's water and sewer combined. This is roughly 75 percent of our normal monthly water bill of around a hundred to \$110 per billing cycle.

This is upside down. We could be gone for a full month during one billing cycle and come back having used zero water and get a bill for \$73.84. Thank you very much.

If the Company is interested in conserving water, then the base rate should be low and the water rate should be higher, so that

people would save water and save money in the process.

The current -- in 2014, September of 2014, the base rate was combined \$51.27. The current combined base rate of \$73.84 is an increase of 44 percent over five years. That is a compound annual rate of return of roughly 8 percent. During that same timeframe, the CPI, consumer price index, averaged about 2 percent a year.

I recommend the Commission consider this fact and not only grant no rate increase to the Company this year, but also direct the Company to calculate an overage and a proposal to refund -- for a refund schedule to customers for the excessive charges we have had over the last few years.

I recommend also that the Company take steps to improve water quality. I have -- over the last four years I have reviewed the Carolina Water Service Brandywine -- for Brandywine Bay and each year there is at least one and upon one occasion two items that they measure that were out of limits. We were never

notified about that that I know of.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

And I think my time is up, so I'll -- I'll close with that -- I'll close with this I recommend the Company takes steps to improve their water quality, reduce sediments, notify customers when the steps are taken, and the plan implementation of -- of steps. Too many customers including us have had -- through the ringer. Customers have been overcharged for an -- for an inferior product for years by the -by a regulated monopoly over which we have no control other than the North Carolina Public Utilities Commission. It is time for the Commission to do its job and regulate this monopoly to the benefit of the North Carolinians that they serve. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Are there questions for the witness?

MS. DOWNEY: No.

MR. BENNINK: No questions.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any questions

22 from the Commission? Chair Mitchell?

EXAMINATION BY CHAIR MITCHELL:

Q Have you previously communicated your concerns of

```
the water quality to the Company?
 1
 2
          No, I have not, but I have -- I have communicated
    Α
 3
          to the Public Utilities Commission twice.
          Through public comment or how so?
 4
 5
    Α
          In an email to Ms. Casselberry.
 6
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
                                                        Thank
 7
     you, Mr. Kraft. You may be excused. Thank you for
 8
     coming out.
 9
               THE WITNESS:
                              Thank you.
10
                     (The witness is excused)
11
               MS. DOWNEY: John Gumbel.
                           JOHN GUMBEL;
12
13
                     having been duly sworn,
                      testified as follows:
14
15
     DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY:
16
          Please state your name and spell your last name
17
          for the record.
18
          It's John Gumbel and it's G-U-M-B-E-L.
19
          And your address, please?
20
          107 Boros Landing, New Bern.
    Α
21
          And that's in Carolina Pines?
22
    Α
          It is.
          Please proceed with your statement.
23
    Q
24
          Like I just mentioned my name is John Gumbel.
    Α
```

I'm a retired Marine colonel and I'm going to speak fast since all I have is three minutes. .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I live in the Carolina Pines area of Craven County between the cities of New Bern and Havelock and charged with a Uniform Flat Rate I have a bachelor's degree in economics from UCLA and a master's in systems analysis from the Naval Postgraduate School, a master's in national resources strategy from the Industrial College of the Armed Forces. increases in utilities touch on some facets of all three of those degrees. If handed this rate increase request as a study in any of those classes for those degrees, my conclusion would've been the same as it is today, which is to deny the increase and to roll back the rates instead. I mentioned that my degree is in economics. unfortunately, economists use numbers to explain their point and I'm going to have to use a lot of Please bear with me. numbers here.

I feel strongly enough about this issue that I skipped dinner to drive here from up there and I'm missing game one of the World Series, so I do feel strongly about this issue.

I strongly object to the proposed 37.43 percent increase in the Uniform Flat Rate for sewer as excessive. Given the 10-year history of increases in the Uniform Flat Rate, no increase in the rate is currently justified when the rate is compared with increases in the CPI over the same period of time. Rate increases have already been 3.9 times the increase in the CPI over the last 10 years even without this proposed increase. If anything, rates should be rolled back.

1.9

I moved to my present home in August of 2005. I got a history of the rate -the rates over that period and I did send this to you ahead of time. I emailed it.

'05 to '09, \$33.13; '09 to '11 -March 11, \$42.06; April '11 to April '14, \$40.14;
may of '14 to July of '14, \$56.45; August -- and
it goes on and on and on to where we hit the
present rate, which is \$57.82. There was one
rate increase during that period in July '14
where it was increased 40 percent, but it was
deemed to be improperly approved by the
Commission and was rolled back to \$44.70, which

was still an 11.3 percent rate increase, which of course was still excessive. So right now we're looking at the -- at a proposal for a 37.43 percent increase, so nearly that 40 percent increase that was rolled back.

If I use the Bureau of Labor
Statistics CPI calculator, I find that the \$33.13
inflated from September '05 when I moved in that
house to August 2019 has a value of \$42.76. So
using the CPI and just taking that rate that I
was paying inflating it, it comes to \$42.76.

S33.13 from July 2009 which is the last time I paid that rate to August 2019 its value is \$39.47. So the current rate of \$57.82 is a \$24.69 increase in the Uniform Flat Rate for sewer from the rate I paid in July of '09. That \$24.69 increase represents a 390 percent increase compounded increase — excuse me — the \$24.69 increase is 390 percent above the compounded increase in the CPI measured from July '09 to July '19, so basically 10 years. So the CPI increase in that 10-year period, what we've done with the rates in the utilities, is 3.9 times

what the CPI increase has been. 390 percent.

There's no way for -- for a utility or the Utility Commission to justify a rate increase that's 3.9 times the CPI over 10 years, investment or no investment. If the rate increase is allowed to increase to the proposed \$79.46, the percent of increases as measured from July '09 to August 2019 will be 1,253 percent of the compounded CPI increase. That's 12 and a half times what the CPI increase is. How could you justify that? How could you justify over a 10-year period that we would pay 12 and a half times the increases in the CPI?

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Gumbel, are you near the end?

A I'm not there, one paragraph left.

Not only should there be a rate increase, but there should be a rate decrease to bring the rates more in line with inflation. I strongly recommend that the Utilities Commission advocate for the consumer and not for the Utility and reject this excessive increase in its entirety. I note that the last time the Utility granted an excessive increase, which was 40

percent in May of 2014, it was later found to be invalid and rolled back to -- rolled back in August of 2014.

Any questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

All right. COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: questions for this witness?

MS. DOWNEY: No questions.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Okay. Ouestions from the Commission? All right. Thank you. Gumbel, you were able -- you were able to get through everything you had there, right? Or did you --

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I did. I know it was a little rushed, but -- and it's a lot of numbers. it's rushed, you can have a copy of that if you need to put it in, but -- you know, just, you know, one more thing is is, you know, and I think we've all seen this where there's a request for extremely large increases, and then the Commission will roll them back, but doesn't roll them back enough. So the last time it happened it was a 40 percent increase. It got rolled back to an 11 percent increase, which was excessive. So I'm hoping not to see that from the Commission this time that we're looking at this 37.43 percent they've requested for an increase in these

```
sewer rates, that they're not just rolled back to an
 1
    11, because as you heard me we're already running 390
 2
 3
    percent of the CPI increase over the last 10 years.
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
 4
 5
               THE WITNESS: 390 percent.
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right, Mr.
 6
 7
    Gumbel.
             Thank you.
                    (The witness is excused)
 8
 9
               MS. DOWNEY:
                            Dave Stevenson.
                        DAVID STEVENSON;
10
11
                    having been duly sworn,
                     testified as follows:
12
13
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Ms. Downey has
    some questions for you.
14
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY:
15
         Please state your name and spell your last name,
16
17
         please.
         My name is David Stevenson. My last name is
18
    Α
19
         S-T-E-V-E-N-S-O-N.
         And your address, please?
20
          903 Caroline Court. And that's Fairfield
21
    Α
22
         Harbour. That's New Bern, 28560.
          Thank you. Please proceed with your statement.
23
    0
          I'm here, and as a matter of fact there are three
24
```

of us here, at the request of the POA Board from Fairfield Harbour. I'm carrying a message that relates to the cost of increase in rates.

One of the things that bothers me is I look at Page 2 of the appendix of this Docket 354, Sub 364. It describes that CWS is now going to generate a reserve fund. It is also clear from just walking around the community that they had to make repairs from Hurricane Florence. The thing that concerns me is this statement implies, and perhaps I could be refuted on this, implies that they had no insurance for flood insurance and they had no reserve. It's good that they're finally getting to it.

But I'd like to make the point that this community has suffered a lot. And I want to grab something. This is not a sympathy request. This is just a fact. If you've never lived through a -- a -- something like Florence, you know, a real disaster, you don't have any real concept of what the community has been going through.

And if you ever had a rate increase which is based upon costs from -- from

the flood, which is like salt in a wound to the people who are still not in their home. One of them is here tonight. And I'll give you this point. If we hadn't carried flood insurance on our property, which for the community is just about universal, if we hadn't, you wouldn't have anybody to sell water to. There would be a lot of bankruptcies. There are several anyway. There are some houses that have been leveled and I think some are being raised and some that are being sold at a great discount and being rebuilt and by somebody else in effect.

That's my major point. My major point is I don't see how you can justify any of the rate increase proposed in this letter which is dated August the 3rd when you haven't made any provision to deal with this disaster and we have.

There's a lot of quality issues and I'm not going to deal with them, because the other two gentlemen, one has already spoken, will deal with it. I'd like to say at least in the -- on behalf of CWS water service has not really stopped even during a hurricane, at least from my perspective. But I cannot in good conscious

1	accept a rate increase of any kind in this
2	proposed in this August 3rd memo under the
3	circumstances when I don't really feel that the
4	Company has done has good business practices.
5	And we maintained reserves (inaudible). We have
6	insurance. And we can deal with this to the best
7	of our ability and $I_{,} I_{,} my$ proposal is that
8	the rate increase is totally reduced, there is no
9	rate increase as proposed in the August 3rd memo.
10	That's the primary point I have.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Are
12	there questions for Ms. Stevenson?
13	MS. DOWNEY: No.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	- COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Questions from
16	the Company? Mr. Bennink, questions?
17	MR. BENNINK: No questions.
18	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Questions from
19	the Commissioners? All right. Thank you, Mr.
20	Stevenson.
21	(The witness is excused)
22	MS. DOWNEY: Irving Joffee.
23	IRVING JOFFEE;
24	having been duly sworn,

testified as follows: 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DOWNEY: 2 Please state your name and spell your last name, 3 4 please. My name is Irving Joffee, J-O-F-F-E-E. 5 6 And your address, please? 1014 -- my legal address is 1014 Pelican Drive, Α New Bern, North Carolina 28562. 8 And that's in Fairfield Harbour correct? 9 10 Yes, it is. Please proceed with your statement. 11 It's -- as I stated it as my legal address, 12 because I'm one of those people that still am not 13 yet living back in my home, because I was flooded 14 out because of Florence. And I have a few 15 16 statements regarding that. I'd like the Commission to address -- to -- to consider this 17 rate increase in terms of three things. 18 19 terms of the quality of the water that we receive and the services received. A lot of it has been 20 21 impressed already. 22 Also with regard to the way, the lack of regard in many ways, that CWS has for the 23 community that it serves and I will address that 24

point. And also with regard I'd like the Commission to look -- address the efficiency with which CWS uses its resources in terms of the request to continually raise the rates which they do.

Now, a number of people have addressed the water quality. I could say more. I did a few years ago in a hearing. I am an expert in filtration and filter -- filter products and I know that the quality of water is not good.

Right now I'm living in Trent
Woods because I've been flooded out of my house
and it's not yet rebuilt. There is no water
purifying system in the house that I'm living in
right now and the water -- we use the water as it
comes right out of the pipe and it -- it's clear.
There's no color to it. There's no chlorine odor
to it. There's no sulfur odor to it. It does
not stain our clothes when we wash with it. It's
fine. It's the City of New Bern water..

Now, with regard to the -- to the cost, what I -- I'm not an expert in economics, so I can't review the efficiency of operations of

CWS, but what I can tell you is some of the rates that I pay for water. It's just relatively similar to the rates that we pay for the New Bern And I happen to own a condominium, it's actually a townhouse, in Lewes, Delaware, and I would like to -- my daughter pays it -- the water bill, so I don't have a water bill for it, but I pay the sewer tax. I -- and I'd like to tell you that Fairfield Harbour right now without the proposed rate increase there's a minimum sewer usage fee of about \$50 per month. This is my last bill for sewer -- sewer usage on -- on my townhouse in Lewes, Delaware. It's \$81 for the quarter.

O How much?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α

\$81 -- my last bill was \$81.40 for the last quarter. That's three months, so that's less than \$30 a month for sewage. And I noticed they -- they bill it -- it is a townhouse. This is billed, assessed by quite flat footage as most apartments and townhouses are and I noticed in the proposed rate increase for Apartments at Hawthorne and The Grid (spelling uncertain) Apartments it says on Page 3 out of 5 of Appendix

AH2 the current billing rate is \$50.46 and it's proposed to raise it to \$55.66. Quite a bit more than what -- what Lewes, Delaware is charging me for sewage.

Now, with regard to how I feel that the -- the fairness or lack of fairness with which CWS does relay to the community, I'd like to give you an example. CWS was very good after storms, because I turned my water -- I turned -- had them turn the water off. They shut the water off after the storm. And I was told at that time there would -- that because it was due to the storm, I would not have to pay a reinstatement fee, which they told me was going to \$15 -- \$50 to reinstate the service when I finally get back into the house or I have to turn the water back on.

Well, they started raising my house. I was forced to elevate my house and they began to do that in June and -- and in preparation after -- actually they started at the end of -- at the end of March, beginning of April to elevate the house. And when they got the masons in there to start building the foundation,

Eventually the water was turned -- turned on. I had to pay the minimum monthly charge which was again about \$50 -- roughly \$50 a month. And the water usage, of course, would be minimal, far below any normal usage of course. All it was used for was minimal far and below because the masons tapped into the house -- tapped into the waterline right at the meter and they put up a spigot and had it so they could use the water to mix them mortar with, and that was all that was used.

Of course, nothing went down the drain, because there was no drain because my house was elevated at the time. The house was totally separate from the sewer system. The house was not connected to the sewer system, nor was it connected to the water system. So all of the water went to the making of mortar and did not go down the drain, because there was no drain.

And the --

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Joffee, can

24 | you --

A I'll -- I just want to finish this one -- this one last thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. I want you to, but I need you to --

I called the customer service at CWS and when I got the first bill, you know, my bill includes \$50 for sewer service. I said my house is not even connected to the sewer at this time. I said I would like to see if I can pay my water bill, but not have to pay for sewer service, because I have no sewer. And they, the customer service told me yes, I'm sure if you talk to the supervisors and the management they'll -- they'll take it off the bill for you. You won't have to pay for that if you're not connected. So I did call back. I spoke to the supervisors and their management and I was promptly told there's absolutely no way to identify you're not connected to the sewer, I have to pay for the sewer service anyways no matter what. And I thought that that was just outrageous. And I am still not connected to the sewer.

I will be soon. Hopefully I'll be able to get back into my house next month. But

```
at this -- at this point I'm still paying a minimum water charge and paying the sewer charge and I'm not -- not even living in the house.
```

So at this point I'd just like to finish up.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Any
questions for Mr. Joffee?

MS. DOWNEY: No.

5,

MR. BENNINK: No questions.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any questions -- questions from the Commission? Mr. Joffee, you may be excused. Thank you.

(The witness is excused)

MS. DOWNEY: That was the last witness I had signed up.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. That was the last witness, so that will conclude with the public witness portion of this proceeding, this being the last public witness hearing that's scheduled. As I indicated earlier, you can follow these proceedings, what the Public Staff has to say about the Application, the rate increase, what the Company has to say in response to what it's heard here tonight. You can find all of that by going to our website www.ncuc.net. If you put in the docket number, you

will be able to follow there where to go and -- and the Public Staff and the Company will be sure to help you find your way through there if you have any difficulties.

Again, we appreciate you coming out tonight. The -- the evidentiary hearing in this matter will be in Raleigh on December the 2nd, and that is -- you can also follow that proceeding and the transcript from that proceeding will also be posted and be available to you online.

Nothing else to cover before the Commission tonight, we'll be adjourned.

(The proceedings were adjourned)

1.1

CERTIFICATE

I, KIM T. MITCHELL, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Kim T. Mitchell Court Reporter

FILED

OCT 28 2019

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission