

Campbell, Kimberley

From: Steve Blake <slbwtp@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 4:17 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket No. E-7, SUB 1214

Duke Energy's rate hike amounts to socialism for shareholders, guaranteeing dividends for Duke investors at the expense of ratepayers. Meanwhile Duke continues to dodge accountability for their coal ash and CO2 pollution as they continue to burn fossil fuels, forcing consumers to bear the costs rather than shareholders.

Steve Blake
3806 Clinard Ave
Winston-Salem, NC 27127
steve_blake@bellsouth.net

Campbell, Kimberley

From: Marylou Buck <maryloubuc@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 4:14 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Duke Energy's pending rate case

I am concerned about Duke Energy's pending rate case before the North Carolina Utilities commission.

I am against a rate hike, do not think Duke is justified in asking for it.

Mary Lou Buck

Charlotte NC

Campbell, Kimberley

From: Robin Blackburn <Robin127@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 4:06 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket No. E-7, SUB 1214

I do not support a rate increase by Duke Power at all. Instead of increasing their shareholders' wealth and CEO bonuses, use that money to clean up their pollution and contamination they are responsible for all over NC. We, Duke Power customers, should not be punished for Duke Power's irresponsibility and damage to our land and water.

Thank you,
Robin Blackburn

Sent from my iPad

Campbell, Kimberley

From: Mrs. SRE <saturnsusieq@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 3:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: No rate hikes

Climate crisis is a big-money hoax bought by the gullible. I want a smaller electric bill every month. I don't want anything done by Duke Energy to increase that bill. I'm not a gullible millennial who believes all these renewable, clean-energy ventures taken up by Duke Energy is anything more than an attempt to get your customers to foot the bill to clean up your coal-ash mess. You really want to show your commitment to us? Cut your CEOs pay, clean up your own mess, and allow some competition come your way by telling your lobbyists to hit the bricks, and quit cozying up to Raleigh in order to ensure you can't have any competitors. Peace out!

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Martha Seidel
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2020 10:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 20, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Martha Seidel
5424 Poplar Knoll Drive
Matthews, NC 28105
(720) 270-2070
mnfood@yahoo.com

Campbell, Kimberley

From: Tess Burton <thetaooftess@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2020 8:36 AM
To: Statements

- **No Rate Hikes for Dirty Energy!**
- **No rate hikes for coal ash!**
- **No Rate Hikes until Duke has a plan to: Shut down all coal powered plants, stop building more natural gas plants, and transition to renewable energy.**
- **It's time to think about community and the future! Stop being a part of the problem.**
- **Rate hikes in this trying time is a slap in the face while people are struggling to even feed their families, and pay existing utility bills.**
- **Its shameful!**

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Lori Selby
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 4:14 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 18, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Lori Selby
8107 Sidras Ct
Charlotte, NC 28270
(704) 968-4709
lorijill.selby@gmail.com

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Sara Likness
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2020 1:23 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 16, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Sara Likness
812 Westwood Dr
Elon, NC 27244-9259
(919) 451-6561
sara.likness@gmail.com

Campbell, Kimberley

From: Christopher Bennett <bounce@list.biologicaldiversity.org>
Sent: Sunday, March 15, 2020 11:58 PM
To: Statements
Subject: E-7 Sub 1214, No DEC Rate increase

We urge you to reject the proposal by Duke Energy Carolinas (DEC) to increase customer rates.

DEC's rate hike means that everyday North Carolinians will see a spike in their energy bills. That's a burden that our families can't afford, and we shouldn't have to.

DEC's rate hikes won't help North Carolinians. DEC should not be allowed to recover expenses associated with cleaning up liabilities that they created, such as climate-fueled storm recovery and court-mandated coal ash clean-up at facilities throughout North Carolina which will continue for the foreseeable future.

DEC's Grid Improvement Plan, despite its name, does not build the clean and resilient distributed generation model we need, but rather spends billions in questionable projects that support dirty fossil fuels. We need an entirely new clean energy system that is driven by a robust community stakeholder process.

In addition, the Commission is using our hard-earned dollars to finance groups lobbying against our public health and the climate. The Commission should eliminate any cost recovery for these expenses.

Finally, there should be no increase in investor returns financed off the back of North Carolinians. And any extra funds should be invested in the communities who have already been harmed by Duke's actions as the biggest carbon-polluting utility in the country.

Thank you for your consideration,

Christopher Bennett
Greenville, SC

From: NEVILLE CHANEY
Sent: Sunday, March 15, 2020 1:39 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by NEVILLE CHANEY

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

NEVILLE CHANEY

Email

neville@wjoffice.com

Docket

E-7 Sub 1214

Message

The coal ash issue built up over time and I am sure that until Duke Energy HAD to do something about it, they kicked the proverbial can down the road to show better short term profits. Yet, the lagoons DO need to be addressed. My suggestion is that the rate increase is minimized and a substantial part of this remedy come from retained earnings. An additional provision that could be attached to this rate increase is that a significant percentage of power generation must come from alternate, non fossil fuel methods. Until they are forced to do so, more environmental accidents are in our future.

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Annette Smith
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2020 10:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 13, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Annette Smith
7606 Dinniston Drive
Huntersville, CA 28078
(704) 892-9430
annetteandsandy@bellsouth.net

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Lisa Viola
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2020 7:40 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 12, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

As a retired person working two part-time jobs to pay bills, I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Lisa Viola
17240 Georgian Hall Drive
Charlotte, NC 38277
(704) 541-5691
penngal@hotmail.com

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Louise Boyte
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 8:52 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214 There is no excuse for Duke Power to try to burden their NC customers with the Coal Ashe cleanup ...

Mar 11, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Louise Boyte
2544 Roswell Ave
Charlotte, NC 28209
(704) 252-0234
ltboyte@gmail.com

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Carol Poole
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 7:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 10, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Carol Poole
2967 Conner Circle
Morganton, NC 28655
(828) 432-7135
chscap2017@gmail.com

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of geraldine Williams
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 2:20 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 10, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. geraldine Williams
4525 hyperion court
Charlotte, NC 28216
(904) 955-1362
jerline.w@gmail.com

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Sandra Jones
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 9:20 AM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 10, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Sandra Jones
2019 Jimmie kerr Road
Haw River, NC 27258
(339) 675-7763
wishbone9er@gmail.com

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Mary Wright
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2020 11:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 9, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Mary Wright
4125 Avalon Avenue
Charlotte, NC 28208
(704) 916-9655
maryelwright@msn.com

Campbell, Kimberley

From: AARP <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of JUDITH Garcia
<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2020 12:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: RE: Docket No. E-7 Sub 1214

Mar 9, 2020

NC Utilities Commission

Dear Utilities Commission,

I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate proposals (Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214).

The proposed 6.7% residential increase unfairly shifts costs to residential customers and burdens us with the expense of Duke's coal ash cleanup.

The Public Staff of the commission recently recommended a significant decrease in rates instead. North Carolinians shouldn't pay for Duke's cleanup or excessive infrastructure spending request. We can't afford it and the spending does not benefit residential customers.

Utility rates are an essential pocketbook issue for people age 50-plus and their families, many of whom struggle to balance paying utility bills and other household expenses along with buying food and medicine.

In addition, Duke's proposed return on equity is too high and could cost residential customers billions in unnecessary costs.

Finally, the customer charge should be rolled back. Older Americans tend to use less electricity, and high fixed charges deny them the full benefit of their lower usage.

Please reject the unfair Duke Energy Carolinas rate hike proposals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. JUDITH Garcia
2205 YAGER CREEK DR APT G
CHARLOTTE, NC 28273-6336
(229) 798-8762
jrgarcia0821@outlook.com