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## BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219

In the Matter of:<br>)<br>) SECOND SETTLEMENT<br>DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219<br>Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC For Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina<br>) TESTIMONY OF<br>) STEPHEN G. DE MAY<br>) FOR DUKE ENERGY<br>PROGRESS, LLC<br>)

## I. WITNESS IDENTIFICATION AND QUALIFICATIONS

## Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Stephen G. De May, and my business address is 410 South Wilmington Street, Raleigh, North Carolina, 27601.

## Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am the North Carolina President for Duke Energy Progress ("DE Progress" or the "Company"), which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy Corporation, as well as Progress Energy Inc. and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, also wholly owned subsidiaries of Duke Energy.

## Q. DID YOU OFFER ANY DIRECT AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. Yes. I filed direct testimony in this docket on October 30, 2019; rebuttal testimony on May 4, 2020; and partial settlement supporting testimony on June 2, 2020.

## II. PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW OF TESTIMONY

## Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. I support the Second Agreement and Stipulation of Partial Settlement the Company reached with the North Carolinas Utilities Commission Public Staff ("Public Staff") (together, the "Stipulating Parties"), filed with the Commission on July 31, 2020 in this docket (the "Second Partial Settlement"), and introduce several other witnesses that support the reasonableness of the Second Partial Settlement. The Company was able to reach a Second Partial

Settlement with the Public Staff subsequent to the Company's filing of its prefiled direct, rebuttal and supplemental testimony and exhibits; extensive discovery conducted by the Public Staff and other intervenors; and prior settlements reached with the Public Staff, the Commercial Group, CIGFUR, Harris Teeter, Vote Solar, NCSEA, NCJC, NCHC, NRDC, and SACE in this proceeding. The Second Partial Settlement represents a balanced settlement for the Stipulating Parties on these issues, is in the public interest, and should be approved by the Commission. My direct and rebuttal testimony remain effective as applicable to the testimony of any non-settling party, including the unresolved matters between the Company and Public Staff listed in the Second Partial Settlement. Additionally, my settlement supporting testimony remains effective as applicable to the first partial settlement the Company entered into with the Public Staff.

## III. THE PARTIAL SETTLEMENT

## Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE MAJOR COMPONENTS OF THE PARTIAL SETTLEMENT.

A. Overall, the Second Partial Settlement resolves most, but not all, of the remaining revenue requirement issues between the Company and the Public Staff. I describe the Unresolved Issues later in my testimony.

As discussed by other Company witness testimony being filed today by Kim H. Smith, Dylan D’Ascendis, and Karl Newlin, the agreement reached
between the Stipulating Parties in the Second Partial Settlement can be summarized as follows:
$\underline{\text { Shareholder Contribution - The Company has agreed to make an annual }}$ $\$ 2.5$ million shareholder contribution to the Energy Neighbor Fund in 2021 and 2022 , for a total contribution of $\$ 5$ million.

Cost of Capital - The Stipulating Parties have agreed to a return on equity of 9.6 percent, based upon a capital structure containing 52 percent equity and 48 percent debt as described by Witnesses D'Ascendis and Newlin. The Company's debt cost rate shall be set at 4.04 percent. The resulting weighted average rate of return is 6.93 percent.

EDIT - The Stipulating Parties have agreed to several terms in the Second Partial Settlement addressing the return of state and federal excess deferred income taxes ("EDIT") to customers. For example, the Company has agreed to return to customers the total unprotected federal EDIT amount over a five-year period and North Carolina EDIT over a two-year period. Additionally, if state or federal income tax rates happen to change again during the respective flowback periods, the Company may, under certain conditions, propose to reflect the effect of any future tax rate change on the remaining EDIT balance.

Grid Improvement Plan - The Public Staff has agreed to the Company's requested deferral accounting treatment for the following programs, as described in Witness Oliver's Exhibit 10, limited to the estimated three-year capital budget period of 2020-2022: Self-Optimizing Grid ("SOG") (all subprograms including

Capacity and Connectivity, Segmentation and Automation, ADMS), Integrated System and Operations Planning ("ISOP"), Transmission System Intelligence, Distribution Automation, Power Electronics, DER Dispatch Tool, and Cyber Security. For all other Grid Investment Plan ("GIP") investments proposed by the Company in this docket, the Company agrees that it will withdraw its request for deferral accounting. Further, the Company, in conjunction with the concurrent commitment of DE Carolinas, and the Public Staff will work together to develop biannual reporting requirements to track GIP expenditures that receive accounting deferral treatment.

Cost of Service - The Public Staff has accepted, for this case only and subject to agreement on certain conditions outlined in the Second Partial Settlement, the Company's proposal to calculate and allocate the Company's cost of service based on a 1CP Summer methodology.

May Updates - The Stipulating Parties have agreed to include the Company's updates to certain pro forma adjustments through May 31, 2020 ("May Updates"), pending and subject to the Public Staff's audit of the updates. In addition, the Stipulating Parties have agreed to limit the update to revenues to $75 \%$ of the difference between the May Updates and the Company's February update to recognize the uncertainty regarding the effects of COVID-19. The Stipulating Parties further agreed that the May Updates shall also include updates for benefits and executive compensation through May 2020.

Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund - The Company has agreed to
reduce the annual funding for the Company's Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund by $\$ 8.7$ million, and further agree to support this funding amount in DE Progress's current cost and funding decommissioning Docket No. M-100, Sub 56.

Non-ARO Environmental Costs - The Stipulating Parties have agreed to amortize deferred non-asset retirement obligation ("non-ARO") environmental costs over an eight-year period.

Other Areas of Agreement - The Stipulating Parties have also agreed to terms governing the start date of the evidentiary hearings to allow time for the Public Staff to audit the May Updates; ongoing assessments of the cost effectiveness of GIP-related projects; clarification of GIP costs that are eligible for deferral; commitments to future cost of service studies; rate design issues; commitments to conduct audits and reporting obligations regarding plant, materials \& supplies inventory, vegetation management, and service reliability index reporting.

## Q. DOES THE COMPANY AGREE WITH THE CHARACTERIZATION OF THE AGREED-UPON ADJUSTMENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT?

A. Yes.

## Q. PLEASE ELABORATE HOW THE PARTIAL SETTLEMENT BALANCES THE COMPANY'S NEED FOR RATE RELIEF WITH THE IMPACT OF SUCH RATE RELIEF ON CUSTOMERS.

A. I attended public hearings held by the Commission in this matter and personally heard from many of our customers who are concerned about the impacts of any rate increase on their families and businesses. I also followed the consumer statement positions filed in this Docket. We are very mindful of these concerns. Although we are pleased that our electric rates are competitive and below the national average, and will remain so with this Second Partial Settlement, we know that providing safe, reliable, increasingly clean electricity at competitive rates is key to powering the State's economy and the lives of our customers. Particularly in light of the current economic conditions of many of our customers due to the COVID-19 pandemic, we believe that the concessions the Company has made in this Partial Settlement fairly balance the needs of our customers with the Company's need to recover substantial investments made in order to continue to comply with regulatory requirements and safely provide high quality electric service to our customers. Our electric rates need to be adjusted to reflect these investments. Moreover, given the size of the necessary capital and compliance expenditures we are facing, it is essential that DE Progress maintain its financial strength and credit quality so that we will be in a position to finance these needs on reasonable terms for the benefit of our customers. In my opinion, we have been able to strike that balance with this Partial Settlement on the
agreed upon items. However, we remain concerned about cost recovery for the Unresolved Items, as that is critical to the financial health of the Company.

Just a few of the ways we have struck this reasonable balance include: (1) the Company's willingness to settle for rates designed on the basis of a 9.6 percent return on equity and a 52 percent equity component of its capital structure, both of which will mitigate the impact of the rate increase on customers; (2) the Company's willingness to accept an overall lower revenue requirement will also mitigate the impact on customers; and (3) the Company's agreement to contribute $\$ 5$ million to help many of our most vulnerable customers pay their electric bills.

## Q. IN THE PARTIAL SETTLEMENT, DID THE COMPANY AND PUBLIC STAFF REACH AGREEMENT ON ALL ISSUES IN THIS DOCKET?

A. No. As I noted previously, a number of issues remain disputed between the Public Staff and the Company: (1) the Company's request to recover its deferred coal ash costs and its ongoing environmental compliance costs necessary to safely close the Company's coal ash basins; (2) the depreciation rates appropriate for use in this case, including whether the Company's proposal to shorten the lives of certain coal-fired generating facilities should be approved; and (3) any other revenue requirement or non-revenue requirement issues other than those issues specifically addressed in the Stipulation or agreed upon in the testimony of the Stipulating Parties.

## Q. IS THE COMPANY PRESENTING TESTIMONY OF OTHER WITNESSES IN SUPPORT OF THE AMENDED STIPULATION?

A. Yes. DE Progress's Witness Smith supports the adjustments, rate making and accounting aspects of the Stipulation, while Witness Newlin supports the capital structure provided in the Stipulation. Finally, Witness D'Ascendis supports the overall return and capital structure provided in the Partial Settlement.
Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY?
A. Yes.

## BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219

In the Matter of: )<br>DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219<br>Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC For<br>) SECOND SETTLEMENT<br>) TESTIMONY OF<br>Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to<br>Electric Service in North Carolina<br>)<br>) DYLAN W. D'ASCENDIS<br>FOR DUKE ENERGY<br>) PROGRESS, LLC<br>)

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, AFFILIATION, AND BUSINESS
ADDRESS.
Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, AFFILIATION, AND BUSINESS
ADDRESS.

## I. INTRODUCTION

A. My name is Dylan W. D'Ascendis. I am a Director at ScottMadden, Inc. My business address is 3000 Atrium Way, Suite 241, Mount Laurel, New Jersey 08054.
Q. ARE YOU THE SAME DYLAN W. D'ASCENDIS WHO SUBMITTED DIRECT, REBUTTAL, AND SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONIES IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A. Yes, I filed direct testimony ("Direct Testimony"), rebuttal testimony ("Rebuttal Testimony"), and supplemental rebuttal testimony ("Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony") on behalf of Duke Energy Progress, LLC ("DE Progress" or the "Company"). In my Direct, Rebuttal, and Supplemental Rebuttal Testimonies I recommended a Return on Equity ("ROE") of 10.50 percent, within a range of 10.00 percent to 11.00 percent.
Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SETTLEMENT SUPPORT TESTIMONY?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain my support for the Second Agreement and Stipulation of Partial Settlement dated July 31, 2020 (the "Second Partial Settlement") among the Company and the Public Staff (collectively, the "Settling

Parties"). In particular, my testimony addresses the agreed-upon ROE, capital structure, and overall Rate of Return contained in the Second Partial Settlement. ${ }^{1}$

## Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED ANY EXHIBITS IN CONJUNCTION WITH YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Yes. Settlement Exhibit No. DWD-1 has been prepared by me, or under my direct supervision.

## II. STIPULATED ROE, EQUITY RATIO, AND OVERALL RATE OF RETURN

## Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TERMS OF THE SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT AS IT RELATES TO THE COMPANY'S OVERALL RATE

## OF RETURN?

A. Yes. I understand the Settling Parties have agreed to an ROE of 9.60 percent, and a capital structure including 52.00 percent common equity and 48.00 percent longterm debt for the Company. I further understand the overall Rate of Return contained in the Second Partial Settlement concerning DE Progress is 6.93 percent. ${ }^{2}$

## Q. IN GENERAL, DO YOU SUPPORT THE COMPANY'S DECISION TO

 AGREE TO THE STIPULATED ROE?A. Yes. I do. Although the Stipulated ROE is somewhat below the lower bound of my recommended range (i.e., 10.00 percent), I recognize the Second Partial Settlement represents negotiations among the Settling Parties regarding several

[^0]otherwise-contested issues. I understand the Company has determined that the terms of the Second Partial Settlement, in particular the Stipulated ROE and Equity Ratio, would be viewed by the rating agencies as constructive and equitable. I understand and respect that determination.

## Q. PLEASE NOW SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION REGARDING THE STIPULATED ROE.

A. Although the Stipulated ROE falls below my recommended range (the low end of which is 10.00 percent), it is within the range of the analytical results presented in my Direct, Rebuttal, and Supplemental Rebuttal Testimonies. As discussed throughout my Rebuttal and Supplemental Rebuttal Testimonies, capital market conditions became quite volatile as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Consequently, the models used to estimate the Cost of Equity produce a wide range of estimates. Those market conditions, in particular the increasing correlation between the utility sector and the broad market, support investors' increased capital cost requirements. It therefore remains my position that in a fully litigated proceeding, a range of common equity cost rates between 10.00 percent and 11.00 percent is reasonable, if not conservative. Nonetheless, I recognize the benefits associated with the decision to enter into the Second Partial Settlement and as such, it is my view that the 9.60 percent Stipulated ROE is a reasonable resolution of an otherwise contentious issue.

## Q. HAVE YOU ALSO CONSIDERED THE STIPULATED ROE IN THE CONTEXT OF AUTHORIZED RETURNS FOR OTHER VERTICALLY INTEGRATED ELECTRIC UTILITIES?

A. Yes. From January 2016 through June 2020, the average authorized ROE for vertically integrated electric utilities was 9.74 percent, 14 basis points above the Stipulated ROE. Of the 107 cases decided during that period, 64 (i.e., nearly 60.00 percent) included authorized returns of 9.60 percent or higher. ${ }^{3}$

## Q. ARE THERE OTHER DISTINCTIONS THAT ARE IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER WHEN REVIEWING AUTHORIZED RETURNS?

A. Yes. As noted in my Rebuttal Testimony, the Company's credit rating and outlook depend substantially on the extent to which rating agencies view the regulatory environment as credit supportive, or not. ${ }^{4}$ I noted, for example, that Moody's finds the regulatory environment to be so important that 50.00 percent of the factors that weigh in its ratings determination are determined by the nature of regulation. ${ }^{5}$

Given the Company's need to access external capital and the weight rating agencies place on the nature of the regulatory environment, I believe it is important to consider the extent to which the jurisdictions that recently have authorized ROEs for electric utilities are viewed as having constructive regulatory environments.

[^1]
## Q. IS NORTH CAROLINA GENERALLY CONSIDERED TO HAVE A CONSTRUCTIVE REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT?


#### Abstract

A. Yes, it is. As discussed in my Rebuttal Testimony, Regulatory Research Associates ("RRA"), which is a widely referenced source of rate case data, provides an assessment of the extent to which regulatory jurisdictions are constructive from investors' perspectives, or not. ${ }^{6}$ As RRA explains, less constructive environments are associated with higher levels of risk:


RRA maintains three principal rating categories, Above Average, Average, and Below Average, with Above Average indicating a relatively more constructive, lower-risk regulatory environment from an investor viewpoint, and Below Average indicating a less constructive, higher-risk regulatory climate from an investor viewpoint, Within the three principal rating categories, the numbers 1,2 , and 3 indicate relative position. The designation 1 indicates a stronger (more constructive) rating; 2 , a mid range rating; and, 3 , a weaker (less constructive) rating. We endeavor to maintain an approximately equal number of ratings above the average and below the average. ${ }^{7}$

Within RRA's ranking system, North Carolina is rated "Average/1", which falls in the top one-third of the 53 regulatory commissions ranked by RRA. ${ }^{8}$

## Q. DID YOU CONSIDER THOSE DISTINCTIONS IN YOUR REVIEW OF AUTHORIZED RETURNS RELATIVE TO THE STIPULATED ROE?

A. Yes. Across the 107 cases noted above, there was a 40-basis point difference between the median return for the Top Third and Bottom Third of jurisdictions (the higher-ranked jurisdictions providing the higher authorized returns, see Table 1,

7 Source: Regulatory Research Associates, accessed July 28, 2020. See, also, Rebuttal Testimony of Dylan W. D'Ascendis, at 183.
8 Source: Regulatory Research Associates, accessed July 28, 2020.
below). As Table 1 indicates, authorized ROEs for vertically integrated electric utilities in jurisdictions that, like North Carolina, are rated at least Average/1 range from 9.25 percent to 10.55 percent, with a median of 9.90 percent.

Table 1: Average Authorized ROE by RRA Ranking9

|  | Authorized ROE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vertically Integrated Electric Utilities |  |  |\(\left|\begin{array}{c}Middle <br>

Third\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Bottom } \\
\text { Third }\end{array}
$$\right|\)

## Q. WHAT CONCLUSIONS DO YOU DRAW FROM THAT DATA?

A. The Stipulated ROE falls 30 to 31 basis points below the median and mean authorized ROE, respectively, for jurisdictions that are comparable to North Carolina's constructive regulatory environment, and 10 basis points above the median return authorized in less supportive jurisdictions. Taken from that perspective, the Stipulation ROE is a reasonable, if not somewhat conservative measure of the Company's Cost of Equity.

[^2]
## Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THE STIPULATED CAPITAL STRUCTURE ALSO IS

 REASONABLE?A. Yes, I do. As demonstrated in Table 2 (below) the Stipulated Equity Ratio is equal to the median authorized equity ratio in supportive regulatory jurisdictions (i.e., 52.00 percent), and is well within the range of equity ratios authorized in those jurisdictions ( 40.25 percent to 57.16 percent).

Table 2: Average Authorized Equity Ratio by RRA Ranking ${ }^{10}$

|  | Authorized Equity Ratio <br> Vertically Integrated Electric Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RRA Ranking | Top Third | Middle Third | Bottom Third |
| Average | 51.29\% | 51.58\% | 50.69\% |
| Median | 52.00\% | 51.48\% | 49.46\% |
| Maximum | 57.16\% | 57.10\% | 58.18\% |
| Minimum | 40.25\% | 44.00\% | 48.35\% |

As discussed in my Rebuttal Testimony, because no two companies are identical, we should not view the average (or median) equity ratio (whether authorized or observed) as a strict measure of industry practice. ${ }^{11}$ Nonetheless, the Stipulated Equity Ratio falls well within the range of authorized equity ratios, and is equal to the median for constructive regulatory jurisdictions. In my view, that finding provides additional support for its acceptance.

## Q. HOW DOES THE 6.93 PERCENT OVERALL RATE OF RETURN CONTAINED IN THE SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT COMPARE TO RECENTLY AUTHORIZED RETURNS?

A. It is quite low. Since January 2016, there have been 105 cases reported by RRA (for vertically integrated electric utilities) in which an overall Rate of Return was specified. Over those 105 cases, the median Rate of Return was 7.20 percent, 27 basis points above the 6.93 percent Rate of Return for the Company as contained in the Second Partial Settlement. From a slightly different perspective, 70 of the 105 cases had overall Rates of Return greater than 6.93 percent. In fact, the Second Partial Settlement's overall Rate of Return falls in the bottom $33^{\text {rd }}$ percentile of the 105 cases decided since 2016.

The low overall Rate of Return contained in the Second Partial Settlement are brought about by the Company's rather low cost of debt. That low cost of debt is supported by reasonable regulatory outcomes, including constructive decisions regarding the Return on Equity, and capital structure. In my view, the Second Partial Settlement continues that support, and produces the low overall Rate of Return on which customer rates would be set. From that important perspective, the Stipulated ROE and capital structure strike the necessary balance between customer and investor interests.

## Q. HAS YOUR TESTIMONY CONSIDERED ECONOMIC CONDITIONS IN NORTH CAROLINA?

A. Yes, it has. I understand and appreciate the Commission's need to balance the interests of investors and ratepayers, and to consider economic conditions in the State, as it sets rates. As explained in my Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony, I recognize that economic conditions have deteriorated in North Carolina in the first half of 2020, as have the economic conditions in across the U.S. ${ }^{12}$ Because North Carolina's economic conditions remain highly correlated to the overall conditions in the U.S., my review of North Carolina's economic conditions do not alter my conclusion that the Stipulated ROE, Equity Ratio, and Rate of Return are reasonable resolutions to otherwise contentious issues.

## Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY?

A. Yes.

Recently Authorized ROEs by RRA Ranking

| State | Company | Case Identification | Recently Authorized ROEs by RRA Ra |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Equity } \\ \text { Ratio (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | RRA Rank | Authorized ROE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Authorized Equity Ratio |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Service Case Type |  | Date | Return on Equity (\%) |  |  | Top Third (Average $/ 1$ and higher) | Middle Third (Average $/ 2$ ) | Bottom Third (Average/3 and (Average/3 and lower) | Top Third (Average/1 and higher) | Middle Third (Average/2) | Bottom Third (Average/3 and lower) |
| Washington | Avista Corp. | D-UE-150204 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 1/6/2016 | 9.50 |  | 48.50 | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.50 |  |  | 48.50 |
| Arkansas | Entergy Arkansas LLC | D-15-015-U | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 2/23/2016 | 9.75 | NA | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.75 |  |  | NA |
| Indiana | Indianapolis Power \& Light Co. | Ca-44576 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 3/16/2016 | 9.85 | NA | Above Average / 3 | 9.85 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| New Mexico | El Paso Electric Co. | C-15-00127-UT | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 6/8/2016 | 9.48 | 49.29 | Below Average / 1 |  |  | 9.48 |  |  | 49.29 |
| Indiana | Northern IN Public Svc Co. | Ca-44688 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 7/18/2016 | 9.98 | NA | Above Average / 3 | 9.98 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Tennessee | Kingsport Power Company | D-16-00001 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 8/9/2016 | 9.85 | 40.25 | Average / 1 | 9.85 |  |  | 40.25 |  |  |
| Arizona | UNS Electric Inc. | D-E-04204A-15-0142 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 8/18/2016 | 9.50 | 52.83 | Average/3 |  |  | 9.50 |  |  | 52.83 |
| Washington | Pacificorp | D-UE-152253 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 9/1/2016 | 9.50 | 49.10 | Average $/ 3$ |  |  | 9.50 |  |  | 49.10 |
| Michigan | Upper Peninsula Power Co. | C-U-17895 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 9/8/2016 | 10.00 | NA | Average/1 | 10.00 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| New Mexico | Public Service Co. of NM | C-15-00261-UT | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 9/28/2016 | 9.58 | 49.61 | Below Average / 1 |  |  | 9.58 |  |  | 49.61 |
| Wisconsin | Madison Gas and Electric Co. | D-3270-UR-121 (Elec) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 11/9/2016 | 9.80 | 57.16 | Above Average / 2 | 9.80 |  |  | 57.16 |  |  |
| Oklahoma | Public Service Co. of OK | Ca-PUD201500208 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 11/10/2016 | 9.50 | 44.00 | Average / 2 |  | 9.50 |  |  | 44.00 |  |
| Wisconsin | Wisconsin Power and Light Co | D-6680-UR-120 (Elec) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 11/18/2016 | 10.00 | 52.20 | Above Average / 2 | 10.00 |  |  | 52.20 |  |  |
| Florida | Florida Power \& Light Co. | D-160021-EI | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 11/29/2016 | 10.55 | NA | Above Average / 3 | 10.55 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| California | Lbrty Utilities (CalPeco Elect | A-15-05-008 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/1/2016 | 10.00 | 52.50 | Average / 1 | 10.00 |  |  | 52.50 |  |  |
| South Carolina | Duke Energy Progress LLC | D-2016-227-E | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/7/2016 | 10.10 | 53.00 | Average / 1 | 10.10 |  |  | 53.00 |  |  |
| Colorado | Black Hills Colorado Electric | D-16AL-0326E | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/19/2016 | 9.37 | 52.39 | Average / 1 | 9.37 |  |  | 52.39 |  |  |
| Nevada | Sierra Pacific Power Co. | D-16-06006 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/22/2016 | 9.60 | 48.03 | Average /2 |  | 9.60 |  |  | 48.03 |  |
| North Carolina | Virginia Electric \& Power Co. | D-E-22, Sub 532 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/22/2016 | 9.90 | 51.75 | Average/1 | 9.90 |  |  | 51.75 |  |  |
| Idaho | Avista Corp. | C-AVU-E-16-03 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/28/2016 | 9.50 | 50.00 | Average $/ 2$ |  | 9.50 |  |  | 50.00 |  |
| Wyoming | MDU Resources Group Inc. | D-20004-117-ER-16 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 1/18/2017 | 9.45 | 50.99 | Average / $/ 2$ |  | 9.45 |  |  | 50.99 |  |
| Michigan | DTE Electric Co. | C-U-18014 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 1/31/2017 | 10.10 | NA | Average / 1 | 10.10 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Arizona | Tucson Electric Power Co. | D-E-01933A-15-0322 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 2/24/2017 | 9.75 | 50.03 | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.75 |  |  | 50.03 |
| Michigan | Consumers Energy Co. | C-U-17990 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 2/28/2017 | 10.10 | NA | Average / 1 | 10.10 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Minnesota | Otter Tail Power Co. | D-E-017/GR-15-1033 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 3/2/2017 | 9.41 | 52.50 | Average $/ 2$ |  | 9.41 |  |  | 52.50 |  |
| Oklahoma | Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co. | Ca-PUD201500273 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 3/20/2017 | 9.50 | 53.31 | Average / 2 |  | 9.50 |  |  | 53.31 |  |
| Florida | Gulf Power Co. | D-160186-EI | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 4/4/2017 | 10.25 | NA | Above Average / 3 | 10.25 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Missouri | Kansas City Power \& Light | C-ER-2016-0285 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 5/3/2017 | 9.50 | 49.20 | Average / 2 |  | 9.50 |  |  | 49.20 |  |
| Minnesota | Northern States Power Co. - MN | D-E-002/GR-15-826 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 5/11/2017 | 9.20 | 52.50 | Average / 2 |  | 9.20 |  |  | 52.50 |  |
| Arkansas | Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co. | D-16-052-U | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 5/18/2017 | 9.50 | NA | Average/1 | 9.50 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| North Dakota | MDU Resources Group Inc. | C-PU-16-666 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 6/16/2017 | 9.65 | 51.40 | Average / 1 | 9.65 |  |  | 51.40 |  |  |
| Kentucky | Kentucky Utilities Co. | C-2016-00370 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 6/22/2017 | 9.70 | NA | Average / 11 | 9.70 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Kentucky | Louisville Gas \& Electric Co. | C-2016-00371 (elec.) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 6/22/2017 | 9.70 | NA | Average / 1 | 9.70 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Arizona | Arizona Public Service Co. | D-E-01345A-16-0036 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 815/2017 | 10.00 | 55.80 | Average / 3 |  |  | 10.00 |  |  | 55.80 |
| California | San Diego Gas \& Electric Co. | Advice No. 3120-E Advise No. $3887-\mathrm{G} / 5148-\mathrm{E}$ | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 10/26/2017 10/26/2017 | 10.20 1025 | 52.00 52.00 | Above Average $/ 3$ Above Average $/ 3$ | 10.20 10.25 |  |  | 52.00 5200 |  |  |
| California California | Pacific Gas and Electric Co. Southern California Edison Co. | Advise No. 3887-G/5148-E Advice No. $3665-\mathrm{E}$ | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 10/26/2017 $10 / 26 / 2017$ | 10.25 10.30 | 52.00 48.00 | Above Average $/ 3$ Above Average $/ 3$ | 10.25 10.30 |  |  | 52.00 48.00 |  |  |
| Florida | Tampa Electric Co. | D-20170210-EI | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 11/6/2017 | 10.25 | NA | Above Average / 2 | 10.25 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Alaska | Alaska Electric Light Power | D-U-16-086 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 11/15/2017 | 11.95 | 58.18 | Below Average / 1 |  |  | 11.95 |  |  | 58.18 |
| Washington | Puget Sound Energy Inc. | D-UE-170033 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/5/2017 | 9.50 | 48.50 | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.50 |  |  | 48.50 |
| Wisconsin | Northern States Power Co - WI | D-4220-UR-123 (Elec) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12712017 | 9.80 | 51.45 | Above Average / 2 | 9.80 |  |  | 51.45 |  |  |
| Texas | Southwestern Electric Power Co | D-46449 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/14/2017 | 9.60 | 48.46 | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.60 |  |  | 48.46 |
| Texas | El Paso Electric Co. | D-46831 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/14/2017 | 9.65 | 48.35 | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.65 |  |  | 48.35 |
| Oregon | Portland General Electric Co. | D-UE-319 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 12/18/2017 | 9.50 | 50.00 | Average / 2 |  | 9.50 |  |  | 50.00 |  |
| New Mexico | Public Service Co. of NM | C-16-00276-UT | Electric | Vertically integrated | 12/20/2017 | 9.58 | 49.61 | Below Average / 20 Average /2 |  |  | 9.58 |  |  | 49.61 |
| Vermont | Green Mountain Power Corp. | C-17-3112-INV | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 12/21/2017 | 9.10 | 48.60 | Average / 2 |  | 9.10 |  |  | 48.60 |  |
| Idaho Nevada |  | ${ }_{\text {C-AVU-E-17-01 }}^{\text {D-17-0603 }}$ | Electric | Vertically Integrated Vertically Integrated | 12/28/2017 $12 / 29 / 2017$ | 9.50 9.51 | 50.00 49.99 | Average $/ 2$ Average / 2 |  | 9.50 9.51 |  |  | 50.00 49.99 |  |
| Nevada | Nevada Power Co. | D-17-06003 | Electric | Vertically integrated |  |  |  | Average 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| State | Company | Case Identification | Service | Case Type | Date | Return on Equity (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Equity } \\ \text { Ratio (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | RRA Rank | Top Third (Average/1 and higher) | Middle Third (Average/2) | Bottom Third (Average/3 and lower) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Top Third } \\ \text { (Average/1 and } \\ \text { higher) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Middle Third (Average/2) | Bottom Third (Average/3 and lower) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kentucky | Kentucky Power Co. | C-2017-00179 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 1/18/2018 | 9.70 | 41.68 | Average /1 | 9.70 |  |  | 41.68 |  |  |
| Oklahoma | Public Service Co. of OK | Ca-PUD201700151 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 1/31/2018 | 9.30 | 48.51 | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.30 |  |  | 48.51 |
| Iowa | Interstate Power \& Light Co. | D-RPU-2017-0001 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 2/2/2018 | 9.98 | 49.02 | Average / 1 | 9.98 |  |  | 49.02 |  |  |
| North Carolina | Duke Energy Progress LLC | D-E-2, Sub 1142 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 2/23/2018 | 9.90 | 52.00 | Average / 1 | 9.90 |  |  | 52.00 |  |  |
| Minnesota | ALLETE (Minnesota Power) | D-E-015/GR-16-664 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 3/12/2018 | 9.25 | 53.81 | Average / 2 |  | 9.25 |  |  | 53.81 |  |
| Michigan | Consumers Energy Co. | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{U}-18322$ | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 3/29/2018 | 10.00 | NA | Above Average / 3 | 10.00 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Michigan | Indiana Michigan Power Co. | C-U-18370 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 4/12/2018 | 9.90 | NA | Above Average / 3 | 9.90 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Kentucky | Duke Energy Kentucky Inc. | C-2017-00321 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 4/13/2018 | 9.73 | 49.25 | Average / 1 | 9.73 |  |  | 49.25 |  |  |
| Michigan | DTE Electric Co. | C-U-18255 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 4/18/2018 | 10.00 | NA | Above Average / 3 | 10.00 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Washington | Avista Corp. | D-UE-170485 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 4/26/2018 | 9.50 | 48.50 | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.50 |  |  | 48. |
| Indiana | Indiana Michigan Power Co. | Ca-44967 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 5/30/2018 | 9.95 | NA | Average / 1 | 9.95 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Hawaii | Hawaiian Electric Co. | D-2016-0328 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 6/22/2018 | 9.50 | 57.10 | Average / 2 |  | 9.50 |  |  | 57.10 |  |
| North Carolina | Duke Energy Carolinas LLC | D-E-7, Sub 1146 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 6/22/2018 | 9.90 | 52.00 | Average / 1 | 9.90 |  |  | 52.00 |  |  |
| Hawaii | Hawaii Electric Light Co | D-2015-0170 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 6/29/2018 | 9.50 | 56.69 | Average / 2 |  | 9.50 |  |  | 56.69 |  |
| New Mexico | Southwestern Public Service Co | C-17-00255-UT | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 9/5/2018 | 9.56 | 53.97 | Below Average /2 |  |  | 9.56 |  |  | 53.97 |
| Wisconsin | Wisconsin Power and Light Co | D-6680-UR-121 (Elec) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 9/14/2018 | 10.00 | 52.00 | Above Average / 2 | 10.00 |  |  | 52.00 |  |  |
| Wisconsin | Madison Gas and Electric Co. | D-3270-UR-122 (Elec) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 9/20/2018 | 9.80 | 56.06 | Above Average / 2 | 9.80 |  |  | 56.06 |  |  |
| North Dakota | Otter Tail Power Co. | C-PU-17-398 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 9/26/2018 | 9.77 | 52.50 | Average / 1 | 9.77 |  |  | 52.50 |  |  |
| Kansas | Westar Energy Inc. | D-18-WSEE-328-RTS | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 9/27/2018 | 9.30 | 51.24 | Below Average / 1 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 51.24 |
| Indiana | Indianapolis Power \& Light Co. | Ca-45029 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 10/31/2018 | 9.99 | NA | Average /1 | 9.99 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Kansas | Kansas City Power \& Light | D-18-KCPE-480-RTS | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/13/2018 | 9.30 | 49.09 | Below Average / 1 |  |  | 9.30 |  |  | 49.09 |
| Oregon | Portland General Electric Co. | D-UE-335 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/14/2018 | 9.50 | 50.00 | Average / 2 |  | 9.50 |  |  | 50.00 |  |
| Vermont | Green Mountain Power Corp. | C-18-0974-TF | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/21/2018 | 9.30 | 49.85 | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.30 |  |  | 49.85 |
| Michigan | Consumers Energy Co. | C-U-20134 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 1/9/2019 | 10.00 | NA | Above Average / 3 | 10.00 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| West Virginia | Appalachian Power Co. | C-18-0646-E-42T | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 2/27/2019 | 9.75 | 50.16 | Below Average / 2 |  |  | 9.75 |  |  | 50.16 |
| Oklahoma | Public Service Co. of OK | Ca-PUD201800097 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 3/14/2019 | 9.40 | NA | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.40 |  |  | NA |
| Kentucky | Kentucky Utilities Co . | C-2018-00294 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 4/3012019 | 9.73 | NA | Average / 1 | ${ }_{9}^{9.73}$ |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Kentucky | Louisville Gas \& Electric Co. | C-2018-00295 (elec.) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 4/30/2019 | 9.73 | NA | Average / 1 | 9.73 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| South Carolina | Duke Energy Carolinas LLC | D-2018-319-E | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 5/1/2019 | 9.50 | 53.00 | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.50 |  |  | 53.00 |
| Michigan South Carolina | DTE Electric Co. ${ }_{\text {duke }}$ Energy Progress LLC | ${ }_{\text {C-U-20162 }}^{\text {D-2018-318-E }}$ | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 5/2/2019 $5 / 8 / 2019$ | 10.00 9.50 | NA 53.00 | Above Average $/ 3$ Average $/ 3$ | 10.0 |  | 9.50 | NA |  | 53.00 |
| South Dakota | Otter Tail Power Co. | D-EL18-021 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 5/14/2019 | 8.75 | 52.92 | Average / 2 |  | 8.75 |  |  | 52.92 |  |
| Hawaii | Maui Electric Company Ltd | D-2017-0150 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 5/16/2019 | 9.50 | 57.02 | Average / 2 |  | 9.50 |  |  | 57.02 |  |
| Michigan | Upper Peninsula Power Co. | C-U-20276 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 5/23/2019 | 9.90 | NA | Above Average / 3 | 9.90 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Vermont | Green Mountain Power Corp. | C-19-1932-TF | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 8/29/2019 | 9.06 | 49.46 | Average / 3 |  |  | 9.06 |  |  | 49.46 |
| Wisconsin | Northern States Power Co- WI | D-4220-UR-124 (Elec) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 9/4/2019 | 10.00 | 52.52 | Above Average / 2 | 10.00 |  |  | 52.52 |  |  |
| Wisconsin | Wisconsin Electric Power Co. | D-05-UR-109 (WEP-Elec) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 10/31/2019 | 10.00 | 54.46 | Above Average / 2 | 10.00 |  |  | 54.46 |  |  |
| Wisconsin | Wisconsin Public Service Corp. | D-6690-UR-126 (Elec) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 10/31/2019 | 10.00 | 51.96 | Above Average / 2 | 10.00 |  |  | 51.96 |  |  |
| Louisiana - NOCC | Entergy New Orleans LLC | D-UD-18-07 (elec.) | Electric | Verrically Integrated | $117 / 2019$ | 9.35 | 50.00 | Average / 2 |  | 9.35 |  |  | 50.00 |  |
| Idaho | Avista Corp. | C-AVU-E-1904 | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 11/29/2019 | 9.50 | 50.00 | Average / 2 |  | 9.50 |  |  | 50.00 |  |
| Indiana | Northern IN Public Svc Co. | Ca-45159 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/4/2019 | 9.75 | NA | Average / 1 | 9.75 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Georgia | Georgia Power Co. | D-42516 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/17/2019 | 10.50 | 56.00 | Above Average / 2 | 10.50 |  |  | 56.00 |  |  |
| California | San Diego Gas \& Electric Co. | A-19-04-017 (Elec) | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/19/2019 | 10.20 | 52.00 | Average / 2 |  | 10.20 |  |  | 52.00 |  |
| California | Pacific Gas and Electric Co. | A-19-04-015 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/19/2019 | 10.25 | 52.00 | Average / 2 |  | 10.25 |  |  | 52.00 |  |
| California | Southern California Edison Co. | A-19-04-014 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/19/2019 | 10.30 | 52.00 | Average / 2 |  | 10.30 |  |  | 52.00 |  |
| Arkansas | Southwestern Electric Power Co | D-19-008-U | Electric | Verrically Integrated | 12/20/2019 | 9.45 | NA | Average / 1 | 9.45 |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Montana | NorthWestern Corp. | D2018.2.12 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/20/2019 | 9.65 | 49.38 | Below Average / 1 |  |  | 9.65 |  |  | 49.38 |
| Nevada | Sierra Pacific Power Co. | D-19-06002 | Electric | Vertically Integrated | 12/24/2019 | 9.50 | 50.92 | Average / 2 |  | 9.50 |  |  | 50.92 |  |
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## BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219

| In the Matter of: | ) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | ) | SETTLEMENT |
| DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 | ) | TESTIMONY OF |
| Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC For | ) | KARL W. NEWLIN FOR |
| Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to | ) | KAR |
| Electric Service in North Carolina | ) | DUKE ENERGY |
|  | PROGRESS, LLC |  |
|  | ) |  |
|  | ) |  |

## I. WITNESS IDENTIFICATION AND QUALIFICATIONS

## Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Karl W. Newlin. My business address is 550 South Tryon Street, Charlotte, North Carolina, 28202.

## Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services, LLC ("DEBS") as Senior Vice President, Corporate Development and Treasurer. DEBS provides various administrative and other services to Duke Energy Progress, LLC ("DE Progress" or the "Company") and other affiliated companies of Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy").

## Q. DID YOU OFFER DIRECT AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. Yes.

## II. PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW OF TESTIMONY

## Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. My testimony supports the capital structure proposed in the Second Agreement and Stipulation of Partial Settlement by and between DE Progress and the Public Staff (the "Second Partial Settlement") when that provision is viewed as part of the overall terms of the Second Partial Settlement. My Direct and Rebuttal Testimony remain effective as applicable to the testimony of any nonsettling Party, and as to the point that cash flows, including from the unresolved issue of coal ash, have an adverse impact on DE Progress's financial health.

## Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

A. The 52 percent to 48 percent equity-to-debt capital structure is reasonable and appropriate when viewed in the context of the overall Second Partial Settlement. All other things equal, credit rating agencies view the constructiveness of the regulatory environment and the Company's ability to timely recover prudently incurred costs as important ratings criteria in their assessment of the Company's credit quality. The Second Partial Settlement, on a stand-alone basis, demonstrates an ability to do this and I believe its approval would be viewed by the rating agencies as constructive and equitable.

The Second Partial Settlement, however, leaves some issues unresolved, including particularly the issue of the Company's recovery of coal ash basin closure costs, as well as a return on those costs. The potential impact of coal ash cost recovery upon the Company's cash flows is consequential, as I indicate in my Rebuttal Testimony, and the potential impact upon cash flows has a corresponding impact upon the Company's credit metrics, liquidity, and credit ratings. This is a different matter than earnings. Even if a Company's earnings are reasonable, if it lacks the cash to fund operations and provide an adequate return to investors, then the Company's ability to raise capital - both debt and equity - on reasonable terms is weakened. Ultimately, adverse cash flow impacts also have an adverse impact upon customer rates - DE Progress's customers benefit through lower electricity rates when the Company has lower
financing costs, ready access to capital, and more timely cash recovery of its investments.

## III. SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR INTERACTION WITH CREDIT RATING AGENCIES.

A. One of my primary responsibilities is to manage the relationship with each of the major credit rating agencies for Duke Energy and all of its utility subsidiaries, including DE Progress. I and my team maintain frequent and regular contact with the agencies, providing them with information and updates on Duke Energy and DE Progress.

## Q. HOW DO YOU BELIEVE THE AGENCIES WOULD LIKELY REACT IF THE COMMISSION WERE TO APPROVE THE COMPANY'S SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH PUBLIC STAFF?

A. DE Progress's credit rating agencies view the constructiveness of the regulatory environment and the Company's ability to recover prudently incurred costs as important ratings criteria in their assessment of the credit quality of DE Progress. The Second Partial Settlement demonstrates this ability, and I believe its approval would be viewed by the rating agencies as constructive and equitable. Approval of the Second Partial Settlement will support the Company's ability to achieve its financial objectives, all other things being equal and depending on the outcome of the unresolved issues in the case.

## Q. WHAT ARE DE PROGRESS'S FINANCIAL OBJECTIVES?

A. As I discussed in my Direct and Rebuttal Testimony, the Company at all times seeks to maintain its financial strength and flexibility, including its strong investment-grade credit ratings, ensuring reliable access to capital on reasonable terms. Financial strength and access to capital are necessary for DE Progress to provide cost-effective, safe, environmentally-compliant, and reliable service to its customers. Specific objectives that support financial strength and flexibility include: (a) maintaining a reasonable common equity component for DE Progress on a regulatory capitalization basis; (b) maintaining current credit ratings; (c) ensuring timely recovery of prudently incurred costs; (d) maintaining sufficient cash flows to meet obligations; and (e) maintaining a sufficient return on equity to fairly compensate shareholders for their invested capital. The ability to attract capital (both debt and equity) on reasonable terms is vitally important to the DE Progress and its customers, and each of these help the Company meet its overall financial objectives.

## Q. HOW DO CUSTOMERS BENEFIT FROM THE COMPANY'S STRONG CREDIT RATINGS?

A. To assure reliable and cost-effective service, fund infrastructure projects, and refinance maturing debt, DE Progress must be able to finance without interruption, regardless of capital market conditions. The lack of access to capital can force interruption of capital projects to the long-term detriment of customers, and both the financial crisis of 2008-09 and the COVID-related
market volatility during 2020 illustrate the importance of maintaining financial strength and flexibility. Although market conditions have improved somewhat from the extreme volatility of late March, they remain uncertain, and increased volatility can return at any time. Strong credit ratings result in lower debt costs for our customers and greater assurance of access to capital, even in challenging market conditions.

## Q. WHAT ISSUES COULD AFFECT THE COMPANY'S CREDIT RATINGS IN THIS CASE NOTWITHSTANDING THE APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT?

A. The Commission's ultimate resolution of the unresolved issues in the case including timely recovery of and on coal ash basin closure costs - could affect DE Progress's credit ratings and the overall financial health of DE Progress notwithstanding approval of the Second Partial Settlement.
Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY?
A. Yes.
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| :--- | :--- |
|  | ) |
| DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 | SECOND SETTLEMENT |
| Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC For | ) TESTIMONY OF KIM H. |
| Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to | ) SMITH FOR DUKE |
| Electric Service in North Carolina | ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC |

## I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

## Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND CURRENT POSITION.

A. My name is Kim H. Smith, and my business address is 550 South Tryon Street, Charlotte, North Carolina. I am a Director of Rates \& Regulatory Planning, employed by Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC ("DE Carolinas"), testifying on behalf of Duke Energy Progress, LLC ("DE Progress" or the "Company").

## Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?

A. Yes. I filed direct testimony and exhibits on October 30, 2019. I also filed supplemental direct testimony and exhibits on March 13, 2020, rebuttal testimony and exhibits on May 4, 2020, settlement testimony and exhibits on June 2, 2020, second supplemental direct testimony and exhibits on July 2, 2020 and corrections to the second supplemental direct testimony and exhibits on July 9, 2020.

## Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to support the Second Agreement and Stipulation of Partial Settlement ("Second Partial Settlement") between the Company and the Public Staff ("Stipulating Parties"). The Second Partial Settlement was filed with the Commission on July 31, 2020.

## Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY EXHIBITS TO YOUR SECOND SETTLEMENT SUPPORTING TESTIMONY?

A. Yes. I am providing the following exhibits, all of which reflect the terms of the Second Partial Settlement:

- Smith Second Settlement Exhibit 1 sets forth the operating results under current and proposed base rates.
- Smith Second Settlement Exhibit 2 summarizes the total revenue adjustments proposed in this proceeding, including the proposed increase in base rates and the net reduction in revenues reflected in the two proposed EDIT riders and the Regulatory Asset and Liability Rider.
- Smith Second Settlement Exhibit 3 is a reconciliation of adjustments to base rate revenue requirements. The exhibit begins with the revenue increase amounts shown in my Second Supplemental Exhibit 3S corrected and details the additional adjustments for which the Stipulating Parties reached agreement.
- Smith Second Settlement Exhibit 4 provides the revised computation of the NC Retail amount of EDIT refund, based on the Public Staff's recommendation of a levelized rider.


## Q. WERE THESE EXHIBITS PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION?

A. Yes.

## II. SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT WITH PUBLIC STAFF

## Q. DOES THE COMPANY BELIEVE THE SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT REPRESENTS A BALANCED COMPROMISE THAT PROVIDES AN EQUITABLE RESOLUTION FOR CERTAIN ITEMS IN THIS PROCEEDING FOR ITS SHAREHOLDERS, CUSTOMERS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS?

A. Yes. As described in Witness De May's testimony, the Company believes the Second Partial Settlement with the Public Staff balances the financial impact of the rate increase on our customers with the Company's need to recover its revenue requirement, for the items included in the Second Partial Settlement, and our obligation to provide safe and reliable electric utility service to our customers.

## Q. IN YOUR OPINION, DOES THE SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT

 REFLECT A FAIR, JUST, AND REASONABLE RESOLUTION OF THE ISSUES IT ADDRESSES?A. Yes. As stated previously, the Second Partial Settlement is the result of negotiations between the Stipulating Parties and resolves many of the issues in the case between the Stipulating Parties without the necessity of contentious litigation. Therefore, we respectfully request that the Commission approve the Partial Settlement in its entirety.

## III. CONCLUSION

## Q. DO YOUR SECOND SETTLEMENT EXHIBITS REFLECT A CHANGE IN THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT SOUGHT BY THE COMPANY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. Yes. If the Commission approves the Second Partial Settlement the Company requests a revenue increase from base rates of $\$ 409$ million. In addition, the Company requests that customer rates be reduced by $\$ 147$ million through its proposed riders. As shown on Smith Second Settlement Exhibit 2, the net proposed increase in revenue is $\$ 262$ million. This is a $\$ 202$ million reduction from the amount proposed in the Company's Application. These amounts may change based upon results from the Public Staff audit of the Company's May updates included in its July 2, 2020 second supplemental filing. The Public Staff audit is to be completed by September 15, 2020. In addition, these amounts assume the Commission accepts the Company's position on unsettled issues, thus are subject to change based on the Commission's decisions.

## Q. ARE THERE OTHER CHANGES TO THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION FOR RATE INCREASE RESULTING FROM THE SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT?

A. Yes. The Stipulating Parties agree that the Company will withdraw its request for deferral accounting for Grid Improvement Plan programs that are not named in the Second Partial Settlement as eligible for deferral. The Company hereby withdraws its request for deferral accounting of such programs.

## 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SECOND SETTLEMENT 2 TESTIMONY?

3 A. Yes.

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC
Smith Exhibit 1

## OPERATING INCOME FROM ELECTRIC OPERATIONS

## DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

(Thousands of Dollars)

-- Some totals may not foot or compute due to rounding.
Notes: (a) From Form E-1, Item 45a
(b) Reclassifies interest on customer deposits to electric operating expense
(c) From Page 3, Line 36
(d) From Page 4, Line 9
(e) From Page 2
(f) From Page 4d, Line 1. Reflects an increase in operating funds per lead-lag study for the adjusted total requirements in this rate case excluding the portion already adjusted in Col. 3, Line 12

## DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC

Smith Exhibit 1
CALCULATION OF ADDITIONAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT

-- Some totals may not foot or compute due to rounding.
Notes: (a) Th equivalent of common equity for a limited liability company
(b) From Page 1, Line 12, Columns 4 and 6
(c) From Page 1, Line 11, Column 4
(d) From Smith Exhibit 2, Line 5

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC
DETAIL OF ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENTS-NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018
(Thousands of Doliars)
Smith Exhibit 1

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Description | Electric Operating Revenue | Fuel Used in Electric Generation | Purchased Power | Other O\&M Expense | Depreciation and Amortization | General Taxes | EDIT <br> Amortization | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \text { Taxes } \\ 23.1693 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Amortization <br> of <br> ITC | Operating Income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Col. 1) | (Col. 2) | (Col. 3) | (Col. 4) | (Col. 5) | (Col. 6) | (Col. 7) | (Col. 8) | (Col. 9) | (Col. 10) |  |
| 1 | Annualize retail revenues for current rates | $(225,760)$ | - | - | (833) | - | - | - | $(52,114)$ |  | $(172,813)$ |  |
| 1(D) | Annualize retail revenues for current rates- Supplemental | 24,093 | - | - | 89 | - | - | - | 5,562 | - | 18,443 |  |
| 2 | Update fuel costs to proposed rate | - | $(12,574)$ | - | - | $(1,684)$ | - | - | 3,304 | - | 10,955 |  |
| 2(F) | Update fuel costs to proposed rate- Rebuttal | - | 24,010 | - | - | 1,684 | - | - | $(5,953)$ | - | $(19,741)$ |  |
| 3 | * Normalize for weather | $(77,392)$ | $(18,180)$ | - | (286) | - | - | - | $(13,653)$ | - | $(45,273)$ |  |
| 3(E) | Normalize for weather- Supplemental | 4,882 | $(2,252)$ | - | 18 | - | - | - | 1,649 | - | 5,467 |  |
| 4 | * Annualize revenues for customer growth | 5,182 | 2,857 | - | 19 | - | - | - | 534 | - | 1,771 |  |
| 4(J) | Annualize revenues for customer growth- Second Settlement | $(13,548)$ | $(8,812)$ | - | (50) | - | - | - | $(1,086)$ | - | $(3,600)$ |  |
| 5 | Eliminate unbilled revenues | 11,826 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,740 | - | 9,086 |  |
| 6 | Adjust for costs recovered through non-fuel riders | $(27,830)$ | $(18,522)$ | - | $(136,112)$ | $(58,446)$ | $(6,458)$ | - | 63,161 | - | 128,547 |  |
| 6(C) | Adjust for costs recovered through non-fuel ridersSupplemental | - | - | - | (31) | - | - | - | 7 | - | 24 |  |
| 7 | Adjust O\&M for executive compensation | - | - | - | $(2,399)$ | - | - | - | 556 | - | 1,843 |  |
| 7(J) | Adjust O\&M for executive compensation- Second Settlement | - | - | - | (187) | - | - | - | 43 | - | 144 |  |
| 8 | Annualize depreciation on year end plant balances | - | - | - | - | 42,068 | - | - | $(9,747)$ | $(1,481)$ | $(30,841)$ |  |
| 8(D) | Annualize depreciation on year end plant balancesSupplemental | . | . | - | - | (661) | - | - | 153 | - | 508 |  |
| 9 | Annualize property taxes on year end plant balances | - | - | - | - | - | 4,064 | - | (942) | - | $(3,122)$ |  |
| 10 | * Adjust for post test year additions to plant in service | - | - | - | - | 70,469 | 6,600 | - | $(17,857)$ | - | $(59,213)$ |  |
| 10(J) | Adjust for post test year additions to plant in serviceSecond Settlement | - | - | - | - | $(2,200)$ | (850) | - | 707 | - | 2,344 |  |
| 11 | * Amortize deferred environmental costs | - | - | - | - | 105,972 | - | - | $(24,553)$ | - | $(81,419)$ |  |
| 11(J) | Amortize deferred environmental costs- Second Settlement | - | - | - | - | $(12,949)$ | - | - | 3,000 | - | 9,949 |  |
| 12 | Annualize O\&M non-labor expenses | - | - | - | 1,311 | - | - | - | (304) | - | $(1,007)$ |  |
| 12(I) | Annualize O\&M non-labor expenses- Second Supplemental | - | - | - | 1,034 | - | - | - | (240) | - | (794) |  |
| 13 | * Normalize O\&M labor expenses | - | - | - | $(18,512)$ | - | $(1,089)$ | - | 4,542 | - | 15,060 |  |
| 13(1) | Normalize O\&M labor expenses- Second Supplemental | - | - | - | (722) | - | 181 | - | 126 | - | 416 |  |
| 14 | Update benefits costs | - | - | - | $(3,060)$ | - | - | - | 709 | - | 2,351 |  |
| 14(D) | Update benefits costs- Supplemental | - | - | - | $(3,298)$ | - | - | - | 764 | - | 2,534 |  |
| 15 | * Levelize nuclear refueling outage costs | - | - | - | $(6,232)$ | - | - | - | 1,444 | - | 4,788 |  |
| 15(E) | Levelize nuclear refueling outage costs- Supplemental | - | - | - | 42 | - | - | - | (10) | - | (32) |  |
| 16 | * Amortize rate case costs | - | - | - | 701 | - | - | - | (162) | - | (539) |  |
| 16(G) | Amortize rate case costs- Partial Settlement | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 17 | Adjust aviation expenses | - | - | - | $(1,452)$ | - | (18) | - | 341 | - | 1,129 | ס |
| 17(G) | Adjust aviation expenses- Partial Settlement | - | - | - | (205) | - | - | - | 47 | - | 157 |  |

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC
DETAIL OF ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENTS-NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018
(Thousands of Dollars)

| Line <br> No. | Description | Electric Operating Revenue (Col. 1) | Fuel <br> Used in <br> Electric <br> Generation <br> (Col. 2) | Purchased Power and Net Interchange (Col. 3) | Wages <br> Benefits <br> Materials <br> Etc. <br> (Col. 4) | Depreciation <br> and <br> Amortization <br> (Col. 5) | $\begin{gathered} \text { General } \\ \text { Taxes } \end{gathered}$ (Col. 6) | EDIT <br> Amortization(Col. 7) | Income <br> Taxes <br> 23.1693\% <br> (Col. 8) | Amortization of ITC | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Operating } \\ \text { Income } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { (Col. 10) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | Adjust for approved regulatory assets and liabilities | - | - | - | 1,603 | $(3,479)$ | 5 | - | 434 | - | 1,438 |  |
| 19 | * Adjust for Merger Related Costs | - | - | - | $(4,039)$ | (172) | (53) | - | 988 | - | 3,276 |  |
| 19(1) | Adjust for Merger Related Costs | - | - | - | - | (12) | - | - | 3 | - | 10 |  |
| 20 | * Amortize Severance Costs | - | - | - | $(23,366)$ | - | - | - | 5,414 | - | 17,952 |  |
| 20(G) | Amortize Severance Costs-Partial Settlement | - | - | - | (774) | - | - | - | 179 | - | 594 |  |
| 21 | Adjust NC income taxes for rate change | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $(2,183)$ | - | 2,183 |  |
| 22 | * Synchronize interest expense with end of period rate base | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 123 | - | (123) |  |
| 22(J) | Synchronize interest expense with end of period rate baseSecond Settlement | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 264 | - | (264) |  |
| 23 | * Adjust cash working capital | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 122 | - | (122) |  |
| 23(J) | Adjust cash working capital- Second Settlement | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (23) | - | 23 |  |
| 24 | Adjust coal inventory | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 24(C) | Adjust coal inventory- Supplemental | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 25 | * Adjust for credit card fees | - | - | - | 5,197 | - | - | - | $(1,204)$ | - | $(3,993)$ |  |
| 25(F) | Adjust for credit card fees-Rebuttal | - | - | - | 72 | - | - | - | (17) | - | (55) |  |
| 26 | Adjust Depreciation for new rates | - | - | - | - | 89,601 | - | - | $(20,760)$ | - | $(68,841)$ |  |
| 26(D) | Adjust Depreciation for new rates- Supplemental | - | - | - | - | (873) | - | - | 202 | - | 671 |  |
| 27 | Adjust vegetation management expenses | - | - | - | 5,757 | - | - | - | $(1,334)$ | - | $(4,424)$ |  |
| 28 | Adjust reserve for end of life nuclear costs | - | - | - | - | (91) | - | - | 21 | - | 70 |  |
| 28(G) | Adjust reserve for end of life nuclear costs- Partial Settlement | - | - | - | - | $(1,826)$ | - | - | 423 | - | 1,403 |  |
| 29 | * Update deferred balance and amortize storm costs | - | - | - | - | 43,717 | - | - | $(10,129)$ | - | $(33,588)$ |  |
| 29(1) | Update deferred balance and amortize storm costsSecond Supplemental | - | - | - | - | $(45,362)$ | - | - | 10,510 | - | 34,852 |  |
| 30 | Adjust other revenue | $(4,155)$ | - | - | (5) | - | - | - | (962) | - | $(3,188)$ |  |
| 31 | Adjust for change in NCUC Reg Fee | - | - | - | (234) | - | - | - | 54 | - | 180 |  |
| 32 | * Reflect retirement of Asheville Steam Generating Plant | - | - | - | $(6,413)$ | (181) | $(1,032)$ | - | 1,767 | - | 5,859 |  |
| 32(F) | Reflect retirement of Asheville Steam Generating PlantRebuttal | - | - | - | - | 10,381 | 1,032 | - | $(2,644)$ | - | $(8,769)$ |  |
| 33 | Adjust for CertainTeed payment obligation | - | - | - | 4,939 | - | - | - | $(1,144)$ | - | $(3,794)$ |  |
| 33(A) | Adjust for CertainTeed payment obligation- Supplemental | - | - | - | $(4,939)$ | - | - | - | 1,144 | - | 3,794 |  |
| 34 | * Amortize deferred balance Asheville Combined Cycle | - | - | - | 6,109 | 13,594 | - | - | $(4,565)$ | - | $(15,138)$ |  |
| 34(J) | Amortize deferred balance Asheville Combined CycleSecond Settlement | - | - | - | $(7,568)$ | $(4,696)$ | - | - | 2,842 | - | 9,423 |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Li } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Electric <br> Operating <br> Revenue <br> (Col. 1) | Fuel <br> Used in <br> Electric <br> Generation <br> (Col. 2) | Purchased <br> Power and Net $\frac{\text { Interchange }}{(\text { Col. 3) }}$ | Wages <br> Benefits <br> Materials <br> Etc. <br> (Col. 4) | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Depreciation } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Amortization } \end{array} \\ \hline(\text { Col. } 5) \end{gathered}$ |  | General Taxes (Col. 6) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EDIT } \\ 0.0000 \% \\ \hline \text { (Col. 7) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Income <br> Taxes <br> 23.1693\% | Amortization <br> of <br> ITC <br> (Col |  | Operating Income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Col. 8) |  |  |  |  |  | (Col. 10) |
| 35 | Adjust Purchased Power | - | - | $(1,965)$ | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 455 |  | - |  | 1,510 |
| 36(E) | Correct Lead Lag- Supplemental | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 37(G) | Amortize Prot EDIT- Partial Settlement | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(30,548)$ |  | 7,078 |  | - |  | 23,470 |
| 38(J) | Remove certain Settlement Items- Second Settlement | - | - | - | $(2,834)$ |  | $(8,700)$ |  | - |  | - |  | 2,672 |  | - |  | 8,861 |
| 39(G) | Normalize for storm costs- Partial Settlement | - | - | - | 9,300 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(2,155)$ |  | - |  | $(7,145)$ |
| 40(J) | Adjust Rate Base for EDIT- Second Settlement | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| 41 | Total adjustments - Original Filing | \$(318,129) | \$ (46,419) | \$ (1,965) | \$ (177,306) | \$ | 301,368 | \$ | 2,018 | \$ | - |  | $(74,904)$ | \$ | $(1,481)$ | \$ | $(319,441)$ |
| 41(J) | Change from Application | 15,428 | 12,946 | - | $(10,053)$ |  | $(65,215)$ |  | 363 |  | $(30,548)$ |  | 25,249 |  | - |  | 82,686 |
| 42 | Total adjustments | \$(302,701) | \$ (33,473) | \$ (1,965) | \$ (187,359) |  | 236,153 |  | 2,381 | \$ | $(30,548)$ | \$ | S (49,656) | \$ | $(1,481)$ | \$ | $(236,755)$ |

s: * Identification required by NCUC Rule R1-17(b)
Notes. - Identification required by NCUC Rule R1-17(b)

## DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

(Thousands of Dollars)



DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC
DETAIL OF ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENTS-NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018
(Thousands of Dollars)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Li } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Description | EPIS | Accum Deprec | $\begin{gathered} \text { Materials } \\ \text { \& Supplies } \\ \hline \text { (Col. 3) } \end{gathered}$ | WorkingCapital (Col. 4) |  | ADIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Operating } \\ & \text { Reserves } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { CWIP }}{\text { (Col. 7) }}$ |  | Rate Base |  | Oper Inc Rev Req Impact |  | R/B Rev Req Impact |  | Total Rev Req Impact |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Col. 1) | (Col. 2) |  |  |  | (Col. 5) |  |  |  |  | (Col. 8) |  | (Col. 9) |  | (Col. 10) |  | (Col. 11) |
| 35 | Adjust Purchased Power | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,972)$ |  | - |  | $(1,972)$ |
| 36(E) | Correct Lead Lag- Supplemental | - | - | - | $(8,580)$ |  | - | - |  | - |  | $(8,580)$ |  | - |  | (724) |  | (724) |
| 37(G) | Amortize Prot EDIT- Partial Settlement | - | - | - | 30,548 |  | $(7,078)$ | - |  | - |  | 23,470 |  | $(30,661)$ |  | 1,981 |  | $(28,680)$ |
| 38(J) | Remove certain Settlement Items- Second Settlement | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(11,576)$ |  | - |  | $(11,576)$ |
| 39(G) | Normalize for storm costs- Partial Settlement | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9,334 |  | - |  | 9,334 |
| 40(J) | Adjust Rate Base for EDIT- Second Settlement | - | - | - | - |  | 538,063 | - |  | - |  | 538,063 |  | - |  | 45,407 |  | 45,407 |
| 41 | Total adjustments - Original Filing | \$ 580,558 | \$ (102,448) | \$ (151,079) | \$ 891,707 |  | $(189,284)$ | \$ | \$ | $(102,930)$ | \$ | 926,524 | \$ | 417,313 | \$ | 78,189 | \$ | 495,502 |
| 41(J) | Change from Application | 30,535 | $(13,039)$ | $(21,565)$ | $(656,195)$ |  | 668,182 | - |  | - |  | 7,917 |  | $(108,020)$ |  | 668 |  | $(107,352)$ |
| 42 | Total adjustments | \$ 611,093 | \$ $(115,487)$ | \$ (172,644) | \$ 235,512 |  | 478,898 | \$ - | \$ | $(102,930)$ | \$ | 934,441 | \$ | 309,293 | \$ | 78,857 | \$ | 388,150 |

-- Some totals may not foot or compute due to rounding.
Notes: * Identification required by NCUC Rule R1-17(b)

## DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC

## ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE-ELECTRIC OPERATIONS

## DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

(Thousands of Dollars)

| Line No. | Description | Page Reference | Total Company Per Books |  | North Carolina Retail Operations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Per Books <br> (Col. 2) |  | Accounting Adjustments (Col. 3) |  | As <br> Adjusted <br> (Col. 4) |  |
|  |  |  |  | (Col. 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Electric plant in service | 4 a | \$ | 27,775,617 | \$ | 18,805,911 | \$ | 611,093 | \$ | 19,417,003 |
| 2 | Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization | 4b |  | $(11,648,793)$ |  | $(8,042,060)$ |  | $(115,487)$ |  | $(8,157,546)$ |
| 3 | Net electric plant |  |  | 16,126,825 |  | 10,763,851 |  | 495,606 |  | 11,259,457 |
| 4 | Add: Materials and supplies | 4c |  | 1,076,701 |  | 754,774 |  | $(172,644)$ |  | 582,130 |
| 5 | Working capital investment | 4 d |  | $(642,895)$ |  | $(375,172)$ |  | 235,512 |  | $(139,660)$ |
| 6 | Less: Accumulated deferred taxes |  |  | $(2,000,064)$ |  | $(1,332,628)$ |  | 478,898 |  | $(853,730)$ |
| 7 | Operating reserves |  |  | $(82,759)$ |  | $(54,705)$ |  | - |  | $(54,705)$ |
| 8 | Construction work in progress | 3 |  | 102,930 |  | 102,930 |  | $(102,930)$ |  | (0) |
| 9 | Total |  | \$ | 14,580,739 | \$ | 9,859,050 | \$ | 934,441 | \$ | 10,793,491 |

[^3]
## DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC

## DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

(Thousands of Dollars)

## Line

No.

## Description

Production Plant
Transmission Plant
Distribution Plant
General Plant
Intangible Plant
Subtotal
Nuclear Fuel (Net)

Total electric plant in service

|  | Total Company | North Carolina Retail Operations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Books |  | Per Books | Accounting Adjustments |  | As Adjusted |  |
|  | (Col. 1) |  | (Col. 2) |  | I. 3) |  | (Col. 4) |
| \$ | 16,551,690 | \$ | 10,056,520 | \$ | $(179,365)$ | \$ | 9,877,155 |
|  | 2,746,389 |  | 1,643,263 |  | 264,402 |  | 1,907,665 |
|  | 6,944,764 |  | 6,052,263 |  | 433,108 |  | 6,485,371 |
|  | 628,616 |  | 465,435 |  | 68,399 |  | 533,833 |
|  | 527,370 |  | 358,178 |  | 51,912 |  | 410,090 |
|  | 27,398,830 |  | 18,575,658 |  | 638,456 |  | 19,214,114 |
|  | 376,788 |  | 230,252 |  | $(27,363)$ |  | 202,889 |
| \$ | 27,775,617 | \$ | 18,805,911 | \$ | 611,093 | \$ | 19,417,003 |

-- Some totals may not foot or compute due to rounding.

## DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC

## ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION - ELECTRIC PLANT IN SERVICE

## DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

(Thousands of Dollars)

| No. | Description | Total Company Per Books |  | North Carolina Retail Operations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { Books } \end{gathered}$ | Accounting Adjustments |  | As <br> Adjusted |  |
|  |  |  | (Col. 1) |  | (Col. 2) |  | I. 3) |  | (Col. 4) |
| 1 | Production Reserve | \$ | $(7,107,080)$ | \$ | $(4,390,758)$ | \$ | $(50,423)$ | \$ | $(4,441,180)$ |
| 2 | Transmission Reserve |  | $(816,198)$ |  | $(488,611)$ |  | $(27,693)$ |  | $(516,304)$ |
| 3 | Distribution Reserve |  | $(3,235,148)$ |  | $(2,819,386)$ |  | 26,382 |  | $(2,793,003)$ |
| 4 | General Reserve |  | $(167,536)$ |  | $(124,045)$ |  | $(30,822)$ |  | $(154,867)$ |
| 5 | Intangible Reserve |  | $(322,831)$ |  | $(219,260)$ |  | $(32,932)$ |  | $(252,192)$ |
| 6 | Total | \$ | $(11,648,793)$ | \$ | $(8,042,060)$ | \$ | $(115,487)$ | \$ | $(8,157,546)$ |

7 The annual composite rates based on the new depreciation study for computing depreciation (straight-line method) are shown below:

| Steam production plant | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Nuclear production plant | $0.00 \%$ |
| Hydro production plant | $0.00 \%$ |
| Other production plant | $2.61 \%$ |
| Transmission plant | $5.18 \%$ |
| Distribution plant | $1.90 \%$ |
| General plant | Various |
| Intangible plant | $20.00 \%$ |

-- Some totals may not foot or compute due to rounding.

## DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC

MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

## DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

(Thousands of Dollars)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Description | Total <br> Company <br> Per <br> Books <br> (Col. 1) |  | North Carolina Retail Operations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Per Books |  | Accounting Adjustments (Col. 3) |  | AsAdjusted (Col. 4) |  |
|  | Fuel Stock: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Coal | \$ | 87,298 | \$ | 53,347 | \$ | $(18,678)$ (a) | \$ | 34,669 |
| 2 | Oil |  | 113,740 |  | 69,506 |  | - |  | 69,506 |
| 3 | Total fuel stock |  | 201,037 |  | 122,853 |  | $(18,678)$ |  | 104,174 |
| 4 | Other electric materials and supplies and stores clearing |  | 875,663 |  | 631,921 |  | $(153,966)$ |  | 477,956 |
| 5 | Total Materials and Supplies | \$ | 1,076,701 | \$ | 754,774 | \$ | $(172,644)$ | \$ | 582,130 |

-- Some totals may not foot or compute due to rounding.

Notes: (a) Adjusts coal inventory to reflect the targeted inventory level of 35 days at full load

## DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC

## DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

(Thousands of Dollars)

## Line

| Line |
| :--- |
| No. |

Description
Investor advanced funds:
Operating funds per lead-lag study
Unamortized Debt
Regulatory Assets
Other
Total investor advanced funds
Less: customer deposits
Total working capital investment

-- Some totals may not foot or compute due to rounding.
Notes: (a) From Angers Exhibit 2, Line 16 and Line 19
(b) Reflects a decrease in "operating funds per lead-lag study" for the adjusted total requirements in this rate case
(c) Reflects an increase in "operating funds per lead-lag study" for the impact of the revenue increase

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC

Smith Exhibit 1 Supplemental Rebuttal
Line
No. Description

| 1 | Additional base revenue requirement | Smith Exhibit 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | REVIED Annual EDIT Rider 1 |  |
| 3 | Annual EDIT Rider 2- Year 1 giveback | Smitit Exhibit 3 |
| 4 | Regulatory Asset and Liability Rider | Smith Exhibit 4 |
| 5 | Revenue impact of Company update | Smith Exhibit 5 |


| Application | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Partial }}{\text { Settlement }} \end{aligned}$ | Second Supplemental | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { Second }}{} \\ \text { Supplemental } \\ \underline{S} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Second } \\ & \text { Settlement } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Adjustments } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 585,961 | \$ 585,961 | 585,961 | \$ 585,961 | 585,961 | 585,961 |
| 7,381 | 7,381 | 7,381 | 7,381 | 7,381 | 7,381 |
| $(127,633)$ | $(96,523)$ | $(96,523)$ | $(96,289)$ | $(152,348)$ | ( 152,348 ) |
| $(2,091)$ | $(2,091)$ | $(2,091)$ | $(2,091)$ | $(2,091)$ | $(2,091)$ |
|  | $(173,156)$ | $(147,750)$ | $(196,524)$ | $(177,029)$ | $(177,029)$ |
| \$ 463,619 | \$ 321,573 | 346,979 | 298,439 | 261,875 | \$ 261,875 |


| CHANGE IN OP INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application | Partial Settlement | Second <br> Supplemental | $\frac{\underline{\text { Second }}}{} \frac{\text { Supplemental }}{\underline{S}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\text { Second }}}$ | Total Adis |
| \$ (172,813) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ ( 154,370 ) |
| 10,955 |  |  |  |  | $(8,786)$ |
| $(45,273)$ | - | - |  | - | $(3,806)$ |
| 1,771 | - | $(2,771)$ |  | 696 | $(1,829)$ |
| 9,086 | - |  |  | - | 9,086 |
| 128,547 | - | - | - | - | 128,571 |
| 1,843 | 124 | - |  | 20 | 1,987 |
| $(30,841)$ | - | - | - | - | $(30,333)$ |
| $(3,122)$ | - | - |  | - | $(3,122)$ |
| $(59,213)$ | (9) | $(4,732)$ | - | - | (56,870) |
| $(81,419)$ | - |  | - | 2,305 | $(71,470)$ |
| $(1,007)$ | 37 | 1,420 | - | - | $(1,802)$ |
| 15,060 | 3,009 | $(3,633)$ | - | - | 15,476 |
| 2,351 | - |  | - |  | 4,885 |
| 4,788 | - | - | - | - | 4,756 |
| (539) |  | - | - | - | (539) |
| 1,129 | 157 | - |  | - | 1,287 |
| 1,438 |  |  |  |  | 1,438 |
| 3,276 | - | 2 | - | - | 3,285 |
| 17,952 | - | - | - | - | 18,547 |
| 2,183 | - | - |  | - | 2,183 |
| (123) | $(2,433)$ | 623 | 978 | 1,717 | (387) |
| (122) | 17 | (9) | (7) | (6) | (99) |
|  | - | - | - | - |  |
| $(3,993)$ | - | - | - | - | $(4,048)$ |
| (68,841) | - | - | - | - | (68,170) |
| $(4,424)$ |  | - | - | - | $(4,424)$ |
| 70 | 1,403 | - | - | - | 1,473 |
| $(33,588)$ | 34,448 | 7 | 0 | - | 1,264 |
| $(3,188)$ | - | - | - | - | $(3,188)$ |
| 180 |  | - | - | - | 180 |
| 5,859 | - | - | - | - | $(2,910)$ |
| $(3,794)$ |  |  |  | - |  |
| $(15,138)$ | 4,299 | - | - | 56 | $(5,715)$ |
| 1,510 |  | - |  | - | 1,510 |
|  | - ${ }^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |
| - | 23,470 | - | - | - | 23,470 |
| - | 2,177 | - | - | 6,684 | 8,861 |
| $:$ | $(7,145)$ | $:$ | - | - | (7,145) |


|  | \$ $(319,441)$ | 59,554 | \$ | (9,094) | \$ | 971 | \$ | 11,472 | \$ | (236,755) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [3] | 5,472 | 675,47 |  | 675,472 |  | 675,472 |  | 675,472 |  | 675,472 |
|  | (319,441) | $(240,104)$ |  | $(249,198)$ |  | $(248,227)$ |  | (236,755) |  | $(236,755)$ |
|  | 356,031 | 435,367 |  | 426,273 |  | 427,244 |  | 438,717 |  | 438,717 |
|  | 417,313 | $(77,801)$ |  | 11,880 |  | $(1,269)$ |  | $(14,987)$ |  | 309,293 |
|  | 417,313 | 313,669 |  | 325,549 |  | 324,280 |  | 309,293 |  | 309,293 |


| CHANGE IN RATE BASE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application | Partial | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Second } \\ & \text { Supplementa } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Second } \\ \text { Supplemental } \end{gathered}$ | Second |  |
| Application | Settlement | Supplementa | $\frac{\text { Supplemental }}{\underline{s}}$ | Settlement | Total Change |
| \$ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(1,037,885)$ | - | - | - |  | (1,037,885) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,326,826 | $(1,507)$ | 139,224 | - | - | 1,329,312 |
| 325,675 | - |  |  | 2,305 | 297,405 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,051 | $(2,051)$ |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(64,423)$ | - |  | - | - | $(64,423)$ |
| 17,899 |  | (53) |  |  | (157) |
|  | $(16,717)$ |  |  |  | . |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |
| $(27,013)$ | 3,904 | $(2,116)$ | $(1,069)$ | $(1,562)$ | (22,061) |
| 9,641 | - |  | - | - | $(11,603)$ |
| (88,728) | - |  | - | - | $(88,728)$ |
|  | - | - | - |  | - |
| 470,238 | (531, |  | - |  | - |
|  | (531,121) | 27 | - |  | $(66,408)$ |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |
| (32,730) | - |  | - | - | 42,550 |
| 24,624 | (16,124) |  | - | - | 3,488 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  | $(8,580)$ |
|  | 23,470 |  | - | - | 23,470 |
| - | - |  | - | - |  |
| - | - |  | - | 538,063 | 538,063 |

Rate base
Total Adju
Rate base
Total Adjustments
$\$ 926,524 \$(540,146) \$ 137,082 \$(1,069) \$ 538,806 \$ 934,441$ [4]
[4]

[2] Smith Exhibit 1, page 3 (continued), Column 8-11
${ }^{[3]}$ Smith Exhibibit 1, page 1, Line 11

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Annualize revenues for customer growth
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

## E-1 Item 10 Adjustments Requirement

Provide the detail work papers showing calculations supporting all accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustments in the rate application to revenue, expense, investment, and reserve accounts for the test year and a complete detailed narrative explanation of each adjustment, including the reason why each adjustment is required. Explain all components used in each calculation. Index each calculation to the accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustment which it supports.

Detailed Narrative Explanation of Adjustment
This pro forma annualizes revenue, fuel expense, operation and maintenance expense, and income taxes to reflect changes in the number of customers and usage per customer during the test period.

The impact to revenue was determined as follows:
To determine the additional revenue requirement resulting from customer growth, the monthly increase in number of customers was multiplied by the applicable average monthly kWh consumption per customer to derive the annualized change in kWh consumption based on the number of customers at the end of the test period.

The impact to fuel expense was determined by multiplying the 'Customer growth adjustment to KWH sales - NC kWh adjustment' by the most recent approved fuel rate (excluding EMF).

The impact to other operation and maintenance expense is determined by multiplying the impact to revenue by the statutory regulatory fee percentage rate and the uncollectibles rate.

The impact to income taxes was determined by multiplying taxable income by the statutory tax rate.
This adjustment updates revenues to reflect customer growth experienced beyond the test period, through July 2019. The underlying calculations reflect the same methods used in the Company's rebuttal testimony as explained by Company Witness Pirro in Docket E-2 Sub 1142.

## October update

Updated NC-0403 for weather impacts in NC-300 and customer growth information through October 2019

## November update

Updated NC-0403 for weather impacts in NC-300 and customer growth information through November 2019

## December update

Updated NC-0403 for weather impacts in NC-300 and customer growth information through December 2019 NC-0404 was adjusted to calculate Residential $\Phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ excluding the Basic Customer Charge

## January update

Updated NC-0403 for weather impacts in NC-300 and customer growth information through January 2020

## February update

NC-0402 and NC-0403 now reflect separate adjustments for Customer Growth and Usage
Updated NC-0403 for weather impacts in NC-300 and customer growth information through February 2020
NC-0404 was adjusted to reflect the $\Phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ both with and excluding the Basic Customer Charge
May update
Updated customer growth and usage kWh through May 2020

## Second Settlement

Adjustment to reduce May update by $75 \%$ per settlement agreement

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-0400
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Annualize revenues for customer growth
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)


| Line |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| No. | Description |
| 1 |  |
| 2 | Customer growth and usage Revenue adjustment - Feb |
| 3 | Customer growth and usage Revenue adjustment - May |
| 4 | May Increase |
| 5 | 75\% of May increase |
| 6 | Customer growth and usage Revenue adjustment - per settlemen |
| 7 ( 7 l |  |
| 8 | Impact to fuel - Feb |
| 9 | Approved fuel and fuel related costs $¢ / \mathrm{kWh}$ (excluding EMF) |
| 10 | Customer growth and usage adjustment to kWh sales |
| 11 | Impact to fuel - May (L9 x (L10 / 100,000)) |
| 12 | May Increase |
| 13 | 75\% of May increase |
| 14 | Impact to fuel - per settlement (L8 + L13) |
| 15 |  |
| 16 | Calculation of NCUC Requlatory Fee and Uncollectible |
| 17 | Uncollectible rate |
| 18 | Statutory regulatory fee percentage rate |
| 19 | Impact to O\&M ( $\mathrm{L} 17+\mathrm{L} 18$ ) $\times$ L6) |
| 20 |  |
| 21 | Taxable income (L6 - L14-L19) |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | Statutory tax rate |
| 24 | Impact to income taxes (L21 x L23) |
| 25 |  |
|  | Impact to operating income (L21-L24) |


| Residential |  | Small <br> General Service |  | Medium General Service |  | Large General Service |  | $\frac{\text { SI }}{\text { NCSI }}$ |  | Area <br> Service Lighting |  | Sports <br> Field <br> Lighting <br> Service <br> NCSFL |  | Street Lighting Service NCSLS |  | Traffic Service Signal NCTSS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { NC Retail } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 9,029 | \$ | $(3,886)$ | \$ | $(10,755)$ | \$ | 3,466 | \$ | (321) | \$ | - | \$ | 19 |  |  | \$ | 299 | \$ | (10) | \$ | $(2,159)$ |
|  | 25,674 |  | $(7,252)$ |  | $(32,527)$ |  | 3,830 |  | (994) |  | - |  | (16) |  | 855 |  | (4) |  | $(10,435)$ |
| \$ | 16,645 | \$ | $(3,366)$ | \$ | $(21,772)$ | \$ | 364 | \$ | (673) | \$ |  | \$ | (35) | \$ | 557 | \$ | 6 | \$ | $(8,276)$ |
|  | 12,484 |  | $(2,525)$ |  | $(16,329)$ |  | 273 |  | (505) |  |  |  | (26) |  | 417 |  | 4 |  | $(6,207)$ |
| \$ | 21,513 | \$ | $(6,410)$ | \$ | $(27,084)$ | \$ | 3,739 | \$ | (826) | \$ | - | \$ | (7) | \$ | 716 | \$ | (6) | \$ | $(8,366)$ [1] |
| \$ | 1,346 | \$ | $(1,298)$ | \$ | $(3,621)$ | \$ | 1,161 | \$ | (80) | \$ |  | \$ | 2 | \$ | 21 | \$ | (3) | \$ | $(2,471)$ |
|  | 2.326 |  | 2.499 |  | 2.456 |  | 2.054 |  | 2.456 |  | 2.217 |  | 217 |  | 2.217 |  | 217 |  | [2] |
| 210,975,729 |  | $(93,344,303)$ |  | $(439,354,341)$ |  | 62,259,064 |  | $(9,654,269)$ |  |  | - | $(104,146)$ |  | 2,772,245 |  | $(48,762)$ |  | $(266,498,784){ }^{[1]}$ |  |
| \$ | 4,907 | \$ | $(2,333)$ | \$ | $(10,791)$ | \$ | 1,279 | \$ | (237) | \$ |  | \$ | (2) | \$ | 61 | \$ | (1) | \$ | $(7,116)$ |
|  | 3,561 |  | $(1,035)$ |  | $(7,169)$ |  | 118 |  | (158) |  |  |  | (5) |  | 40 |  | ) |  | $(4,645)$ |
|  | 2,671 |  | (776) |  | $(5,377)$ |  | 89 |  | (118) |  |  |  | (4) |  | 30 |  | 1 |  | $(3,484)$ |
| \$ | 4,017 | \$ | $(2,074)$ | \$ | $(8,998)$ | \$ | 1,249 | \$ | (198) | \$ |  | \$ | (1) | \$ | 51 | \$ | (2) | \$ | $(5,955)$ |
|  | 0.002394 |  | . 002394 |  | 0.002394 |  | 02394 |  | 2394 |  | 2394 |  |  |  | 2394 |  | 394 |  | 002394 [3] |
|  | 0.001297 |  | . 001297 |  | 0.001297 |  | 01297 |  | 1297 |  | 1297 |  |  |  | 1297 |  | 297 |  | 001297 [4] |
| \$ | 79 | \$ | (24) | \$ | (100) | \$ | 14 | \$ | (3) | \$ |  | \$ | (0) | \$ | 3 | \$ | (0) | \$ | (31) |
| \$ | 17,417 | \$ | $(4,313)$ | \$ | $(17,986)$ | \$ | 2,476 | \$ | (625) | \$ |  | \$ | (6) | \$ | 662 | \$ | (4) | \$ | $(2,380)$ |
| 23.1693\% |  | 23.1693\% |  |  | 23.1693\% | 23.1693\% |  | 23.1693\% |  | 23.1693\% |  | 23.1693\% |  | 23.1693\% |  | 23.1693\% |  | 23.1693\% [5] |  |
| \$ | 4,035 | \$ | (999) | + | $(4,167)$ | \$ | 574 | \$ | (145) | + | - | \$ | (1) | \$ | 153 | \$ | (1) | \$ | (551) |
| \$ | 13,381 | \$ | $(3,314)$ | \$ | $(13,819)$ | \$ | 1,902 | \$ | (480) | \$ | - | \$ | (5) | \$ | 508 | \$ | (3) | \$ | (1,829) |

[^4]Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-0402a
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Second Settlement
Annualize revenues for customer growth
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)
Calculation of Customer Growth Adjustment

| Line <br> No. | Rate Schedule | NC Retail KWH Adjustment (a) | [1] |  | Cents Per kWh (b) | [2] | Revenue Adjustment <br> (c) $=((\mathrm{a}) \times(\mathrm{b})$ / 100,000) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Residential | 561,198,538 |  |  | 8.85 |  | \$ | 49,654 |
| 2 | Residential excl. TOU | 550,471,121 |  |  | 8.85 |  |  | 48,721 |
| 3 | Residential TOU | 10,727,417 |  |  | 8.70 |  |  | 933 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Small General Service | 39,079,080 |  |  | 10.83 |  | \$ | 4,231 |
| 6 | SGS excl. Constant Load Rate | 37,664,616 |  |  | 10.81 |  |  | 4,073 |
| 7 | SGS Constant Load Rate | 1,414,464 |  |  | 11.20 |  |  | 158 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Medium General and Seasonal and Intermittent Service | 105,085,689 |  |  | 7.66 |  | \$ | 8,048 |
| 10 | Medium General Service excl. Time of Use | 44,209,854 |  |  | 8.73 |  |  | 3,860 |
| 11 | Medium General Service Time of Use | 58,616,356 |  |  | 6.72 |  |  | 3,941 |
| 12 | Seasonal and Intermittent Service | 2,259,479 |  |  | 10.95 |  |  | 247 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Large General Service | 101,703,976 |  |  | 6.14 |  | \$ | 6,247 |
| 15 | Large General Service excl. Time of Use and Real Time Pricir | 30,755,841 |  |  | 6.92 |  |  | 2,129 |
| 16 | Large General Service Time of Use | 42,289,282 |  |  | 6.29 |  |  | 2,662 |
| 17 | Large General Service Real Time Pricing | 28,658,852 |  |  | 5.08 |  |  | 1,456 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Sports Field Lighting Service | 9,130 |  |  | 17.81 |  |  | 2 |
| 20 | Street Lighting Service | 2,772,245 |  |  | 30.84 |  |  | 855 |
| 21 | Traffic Signal Service | $(48,762)$ |  |  | 9.15 |  |  | (4) |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Total kWh Adjustment (L1 through L21) | 809,799,895 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | NC Residential Change in number of customers | \# of Customers | [3] |  | BCC | [4] |  |  |
| 27 | Residential | 489,051 |  | \$ | 14.00 |  | \$ | 6,847 |
| 28 | Residential TOU | 9,530 |  | \$ | 16.85 |  | \$ | 161 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76,041 |

[1] NC-0403 - Customer Growth Adjustment to KWH Sales, col (d)
[2] NC-0404 - Present Revenue Annualized and KWH Sales - NC Retail, c/kWh. Residential uses $\Phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ excluding BCC.
[3] Source Rate Design Regression Analysis
[4] Basic Customer Charge per Tariffs - Pirro Exhibit 1: RES-60 \$14.00, R-TOU-60 \$16.85, and R-TOUD-60 \$16.85

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-0402b
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Annualize revenues for customer growth
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)

## Calculation of Customer Usage Revenue Adjustment

| Line No. | Rate Schedule | NC Retail KWH Adjustment (a) | [1] | Cents Per kWh <br> (b) | [2] | Revenue Adjustment$\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{c})=((\mathrm{a}) \times(\mathrm{b}) \\ \quad 100,000) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Residential | $(350,222,809)$ |  | 8.85 |  | \$ | $(30,987)$ |
| 2 | Residential excl. TOU | $(343,528,233)$ |  | 8.85 |  |  | $(30,405)$ |
| 3 | Residential TOU | $(6,694,576)$ |  | 8.70 |  |  | (582) |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Small General Service | $(132,423,383)$ |  | 8.67 |  | \$ | $(11,483)$ |
| 6 | SGS excl. Constant Load Rate | $(127,630,328)$ |  | 8.76 |  |  | $(11,177)$ |
| 7 | SGS Constant Load Rate | $(4,793,055)$ |  | 6.39 |  |  | (306) |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Medium General and Seasonal and Intermittent Service | $(554,094,299)$ |  | 7.50 |  | \$ | $(41,570)$ |
| 10 | Medium General Service excl. Time of Use | $(233,109,077)$ |  | 8.53 |  |  | $(19,884)$ |
| 11 | Medium General Service Time of Use | $(309,071,473)$ |  | 6.61 |  |  | $(20,445)$ |
| 12 | Seasonal and Intermittent Service | $(11,913,748)$ |  | 10.42 |  |  | $(1,241)$ |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Large General Service | $(39,444,912)$ |  | 6.13 |  | \$ | $(2,417)$ |
| 15 | Large General Service excl. Time of Use and Real Time Pricir | $(11,928,358)$ |  | 6.90 |  |  | (823) |
| 16 | Large General Service Time of Use | $(16,401,493)$ |  | 6.28 |  |  | $(1,029)$ |
| 17 | Large General Service Real Time Pricing | $(11,115,061)$ |  | 5.08 |  |  | (564) |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Sports Field Lighting Service | $(113,276)$ |  | 15.46 |  |  | (18) |
| 20 | Street Lighting Service | - |  | 30.84 |  |  | - |
| 21 | Traffic Signal Service | - |  | 9.15 |  |  | - |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Total kWh Adjustment (L1 through L21) | (1,076,298,679) |  |  |  |  | $(86,475)$ |

[1] NC-0403 - Customer Growth Adjustment to KWH Sales, col (d)
[2] NC-0404 - Present Revenue Annualized and KWH Sales - NC Retail, c/kWh. Residential uses $\Phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ excluding BCC.

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

Customer Growth Adjustment to KWH Sales

|  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NC Proposed | NC Proposed | NC |  |
| Line |  | COS Category | Customer Growth kWhAdjustment Adjustment | Change in Usage kWh | Proposed KWH <br> Adjustment [1] |  |
| No. | Rate Schedule |  |  | Adjustment |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | NC Residential | Residential | 561,198,538 | $(350,222,809)$ | 210,975,729 | RES, RET |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | NC General: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | General Service Small | Small General Service | 39,079,080 | $(132,423,383)$ | (93,344,303) | sgs, sgstclr |
| 6 | General Service Medium | Medium General Service | 105,085,689 | $(554,094,299)$ | $(449,008,610)$ | MGS, scs-Tou,si |
| 7 | Total General |  | 144,164,769 | $(686,517,682)$ | (542,352,913) |  |
| 8 ( 8 ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | NC Lighting: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Street Lighting | Lighting | 2,772,245 | - | 2,772,245 | SLISILR |
| 12 | Sports Field Lighting | Lighting | 9,130 | $(113,276)$ | $(104,146)$ | sfls |
| 13 | Traffic Signal Service | Lighting | $(48,762)$ | - | $(48,762)$ | TSSITFS |
| 14 | Total Street Lighting |  | 2,732,613 | $(113,276)$ | 2,619,336 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | NC Industrial: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1 - Textile | Large General Service | - | - | - |  |
| 18 | I-Nontextile | Large General Service | 101,703,976 | - | 101,703,976 | LCS inc. TOU \& RTP |
| 19 | 1- Textile \& Nontextile | Large General Service | - | (39,444,912) | $(39,444,912)$ |  |
| 20 | Total Industrial |  | 101,703,976 | (39,444,912) | 62,259,064 |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Total |  | 809,799,895 | (1,076,298,679) | $(266,498,784)$ |  |


| (c) <br> NC Proposed | (d) <br> NC Proposed | (e) |  | (f) | (f) | cos Schedules | (g) <br> Service Bases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer Growth kWh Adjustment | Change in Usage kWh Adjustment | Proposed KWH <br> Adjustment [1] |  | Adj by COS Schedule | Adj by COS Schedule |  | 12/31/2018 <br> C1ALL Allocator [2] |  |
| 561,198,538 | $(350,222,809)$ | 210,975,729 | RES, RET | 550,471,121 | $(343,528,233)$ | NCRES | NCRES | 1,177,050 |
|  |  |  |  | 10,727,417 | $(6,694,576)$ | NCRET | NCRET | 22,938 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NCSGS | 160,062 |
| 39,079,080 | $(132,423,383)$ | (93,344,303) | sgs, sgstcli | 37,664,616 | $(127,630,328)$ | NCSGS | NCSGSTCLR | 6,011 |
| 105,085,689 | $(554,094,299)$ | $(449,008,610)$ | mgs, scs-tou,si | 1,414,464 | $(4,793,055)$ | NCSGStCLR | NCSGTM | 22,077 |
| 144,164,769 | $(686,517,682)$ | $(542,352,913)$ |  | 58,616,356 | $(309,071,473)$ | NCSGTM | NCMGS | 16,651 |
|  |  |  |  | 44,209,854 | $(233,109,077)$ | NCMGS | NCSI | 851 |
|  |  |  |  | 2,259,479 | (11,913,748) | NCSI | NCLGS | 88 |
| 2,772,245 |  |  |  |  |  |  | NCLGT | 121 |
|  | - | 2,772,245 | SLIIILLR | 2,772,245 |  | NCSLS | NCRTP | 82 |
| 9,130 | $(113,276)$ | $(104,146)$ | sfls | 9,130 | $(113,276)$ | NCSFL | NCTSS | 780 |
| $(48,762)$ |  | $(48,762)$ | tssitrs | $(48,762)$ | - | NCTSS | NCALS | 0 |
| 2,732,613 | $(113,276)$ | 2,619,336 |  |  |  |  | NCSLS | 1,578 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NCSFL | 78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,408,367 |
| 101,703,976 | - | - |  | 30,755,841 | (11,928,358) | NCLGS |  |  |
|  | - | 101,703,976 | LGS incl. TOU \& RTP | 42,289,282 | $(16,401,493)$ | NCLGT |  |  |
|  | $(39,444,912)$ | (39,444,912) |  | 28,658,852 | $(11,115,061)$ | NCRTP |  |  |
| 101,703,976 | (39,444,912) | 62,259,064 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 809,799,895 | $(1,076,298,679)$ |  |  |  |
| 809,799,895 | (1,076,298,679) | $(266,498,784)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:
11] Information provided by Rate Design.
2] Regression using number of service bases, and schedules in proposed adjustment per Rate Design

For the test period ended December 31, 2018
Present Revenue Annualized and KWH Sales - NC Retail

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OR | CAROLINA RE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Present |  |  |  | Present |  |  |  |
| Line |  |  |  | Revenue |  | sic Customer |  | Revenue | Per Book | All-Inclusive | w/o BCC |
| No. | COS Category | Description |  | Annualized [1] |  | harge ( $B C C$ ) |  | xcluding BCC | kWh Sales [2] | \$/k |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Residential | RES - RESIDENTIAL SERVICE | \$ | 1,627,945,892 | \$ | $(197,751,086)$ | \$ | 1,430,194,806 | 16,158,859,096 | 10.07 | 8.85 |
| 3 |  | R-TOUD - RESIDENTIAL SERVICE TIME-OF-USE |  | 37,486,504 |  | $(4,041,968)$ |  | 33,444,536 | 451,040,840 |  |  |
| 4 |  | R-TOU - RESIDENTIAL SERVICE ALL-ENERGY TIME-OF-USE |  | 5,576,511 |  | $(694,079)$ |  | 4,882,432 | 56,146,653 | 9.93 | 8.70 |
| 5 | Residential Sum |  | \$ | 1,671,008,906 | \$ | $(202,487,133)$ | \$ | 1,468,521,774 | 16,666,046,589 |  |  |
| 6 | Small General Service | SGS - SMALL GENERAL SERVICE |  | 210,976,543 | \$ | (40,117,843) | \$ | 170,858,700 | 1,950,982,004 | 10.81 | 8.76 |
| 7 |  | SGS-TOU-CLR - SMALL GENERAL SERVICE TIME-OF-USE CONSTANT LOAD RATE |  | 3,539,804 |  | $(1,520,432)$ |  | 2,019,372 | 31,614,397 | 11.20 | 6.39 |
| 8 | Small General Servi | ce Sum | \$ | 214,516,347 | \$ | (41,638,275) | \$ | 172,878,072 | 1,982,596,401 |  |  |
| 9 | Medium General Service | APH-TES - AGRICULTURAL POST-HARVEST SERVICE |  | 133,640 | \$ | $(1,281)$ | \$ | 132,359 | 2,065,800 |  |  |
| 10 |  | CH-TOUE - CHURCH SERVICE EXPERIMENTAL TIME-OF-USE |  | 1,173,027 |  | $(95,984)$ |  | 1,077,043 | 8,706,511 |  |  |
| 11 |  | CSE - CHURCH AND SCHOOL SERVICE |  | 193,536 |  | $(14,938)$ |  | 178,598 | 1,373,440 |  |  |
| 12 |  | CSG - CHURCH AND SCHOOL SERVICE |  | 4,336 |  | (342) |  | 3,994 | 25,680 |  |  |
| 13 |  | MGS - MEDIUM GENERAL SERVICE |  | 242,144,278 |  | $(5,603,638)$ |  | 236,540,640 | 2,773,108,650 | 8.73 | 8.53 |
| 14 |  | SGS-TES - SMALL GENERAL SERVICE THERMAL ENERGY STORAGE |  | 1,345,435 |  | $(6,090)$ |  | 1,339,345 | 21,819,600 |  |  |
| 15 |  | SGS-TOU - SMALL GENERAL SERVICE TIME-OF-USE |  | 562,838,889 |  | $(9,050,665)$ |  | 553,788,224 | 8,371,865,197 | 6.72 | 6.61 |
| 16 | Medium General Ser | vice Sum | \$ | 807,833,140 | \$ | (14,772,938) | \$ | 793,060,202 | 11,178,964,878 |  |  |
| 17 | Large General Service | LGS - LARGE GENERAL SERVICE |  | 79,000,414 | \$ | $(219,986)$ | \$ | 78,780,428 | 1,141,204,433 | 6.92 | 6.90 |
| 18 |  | LGS-RTP - LARGE GENERAL SERVICE (EXPERIMENTAL REALTIME PRICING) |  | - |  | - |  | - | 9,861,252 |  |  |
| 19 |  | LGS-RTP-TOU - LARGE GENERAL SERVICE (EXPERIMENTAL REALTIME PRICING) TOU |  | 290,057,172 |  | $(187,226)$ |  | 289,869,945 | 5,708,044,202 | 5.08 | 5.08 |
| 20 |  | LGS-TOU - LARGE GENERAL SERVICE TIME-OF-USE |  | 100,616,525 |  | $(282,041)$ |  | 100,334,484 | 1,598,681,135 | 6.29 | 6.28 |
| 21 | Large General Servi | ce Sum | \$ | 469,674,111 | \$ | $(689,254)$ | \$ | 468,984,857 | 8,457,791,022 |  |  |
| 22 | Other | ALS - AREA LIGHTING SERVICE |  | 62,316,881 | \$ | - | \$ | 62,316,881 | 267,795,639 |  |  |
| 23 |  | SFLS - SPORTS FIELD LIGHTING SERVICE |  | 202,072 |  | $(26,622)$ |  | 175,450 | 1,134,908 | 17.81 | 15.46 |
| 24 |  | SLS - STREET LIGHTING SERVICE |  | 26,250,749 |  |  |  | 26,250,749 | 85,107,971 | 30.84 |  |
| 25 |  | TSS - TRAFFIC SIGNAL SERVICE |  | 434,956 |  |  |  | 434,956 | 4,754,792 | 9.15 |  |
| 26 | Other Sum |  | \$ | 89,204,659 | \$ | $(26,622)$ | \$ | 89,178,037 | 358,793,310 |  |  |
| 27 | Seasonal Intermittent | SI - SEASONAL OR INTERMITTENT SERVICE |  | 4,715,715 |  | $(228,386)$ |  | 4,487,329 | 43,075,313 | 10.95 | 10.42 |
| 28 | Seasonal Intermitten | t Sum | \$ | 4,715,715 | + | $(228,386)$ | \$ | 4,487,329 | 43,075,313 |  |  |
| 29 | Grand Total |  | \$ | 3,256,952,878 | \$ | $(259,842,608)$ | \$ | 2,997,110,271 | 38,687,267,513 |  |  |

[1] NC-0102 - Column c
[2] NC-0302 Sum of kWh

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-0700
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Adjust O\&M for executive compensation
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

## E-1 Item 10 Adjustments Requirement

Provide the detail work papers showing calculations supporting all accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustments in the rate application to revenue, expense, investment, and reserve accounts for the test year and a complete detailed narrative explanation of each adjustment, including the reason why each adjustment is required. Explain all components used in each calculation. Index each calculation to the accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustment which it supports.

## Detailed Narrative Explanation of Adjustment

This pro-forma adjusts operation and maintenance expense and income taxes for officers' compensation.

The impact to operation and maintenance expense is determined as follows:
Eliminate 50\% of the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO), Chief Legal Officer (CLO) and Customer and Delivery Operations and President, Carolinas Region allocated to Duke Energy Progress in the test period.

The impact to income taxes is determined by multiplying taxable income by the statutory tax rate.

## Settlement

Executive fringe benefits removed as agreed to in Public Staff Settlement

## Second Settlement

Updated annual Salaries of Top 5 officers as of May 2020

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-0700
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Second Settlement
Adjust O\&M for executive compensation
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)

| Line <br> No. | Description | Source |  |  |  | NC | et |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | Second Settlement |  | Partial Settlement |  | Application |  | Change |  |
| 2 | Pro Formas Impacting Income Statement Line Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Electric operating revenue |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Electric operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Operation and maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Fuel used in electric generation |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 9 | Purchased power and net interchange |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 10 | Wages, benefits, materials, etc. | NC-0701 |  | $(2,586)$ |  | $(2,560)$ |  | $(2,399)$ |  | (187) |
| 11 | Depreciation and amortization |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 12 | General taxes |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 13 | Interest on customer deposits |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 14 | Income taxes | NC-0701 |  | 599 |  | 593 |  | 556 |  | 43 |
| 15 | Amortization of investment tax credit |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Total electric operating expenses | Sum L8 through L15 |  | $(1,987)$ |  | $(1,967)$ |  | $(1,843)$ |  | (144) |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Operating income | L4-L17 | \$ | 1,987 | \$ | 1,967 | \$ | 1,843 | \$ | 144 |

21 Notes:
Revenue: positive number increases revenue / negative number decreases revenue
3 Expense: positive number increases expense / negative number decreases expense

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-0701
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Adjust O\&M for executive compensation
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)

| Line No. | Description | CEO and Other Group Execs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 | Compensation charged to Duke Energy Progress - Annual Salary as of May 2020 | \$ | 7,324 [1] |
| 4 | Executive fringe benefits agreed to in PS Settlement |  | 486 [5] |
| 5 | Compensation charged to A\&G | \$ | 7,811 [1] |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 | NC Retail Allocation Factor - Wage and Salary Related Items | 66.2120\% [2] |  |
| 9 | NC retail compensation (L5 x L8) | \$ | 5,172 |
| 10 | Exclusion percentage | 50.00\% [3] |  |
| 11 | Impact to O\&M (-L9 x L10) | \$ | $(2,586)$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 13 | Statutory tax rate | 23.1693\% [4] |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |
| 15 | Impact to income taxes (-L11 x L13) | \$ | 599 |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 | Impact to operating income (-L11-L15) | \$ | 1,987 |

[1] Information provided by Duke Energy Corporate Accounting. Updated annual salary for 2020.
[2] NC Retail Allocation Factor - LAB
[3] The percentage of compensation for the top five executive's compensation to be eliminated from the test year.
[4] NC-0104-2019 Calculation of Tax Rates - Statutory Tax Rate, Line 10
[5] Dorgan Stipulation Exhibit 1, Schedule 3-1(i), Line 2

Amortize deferred environmental costs
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

## E-1 Item 10 Adjustments Requirement

Provide the detail work papers showing calculations supporting all accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustments in the rate application to revenue, expense, investment, and reserve accounts for the test year and a complete detailed narrative explanation of each adjustment, including the reason why each adjustment is required. Explain all components used in each calculation. Index each calculation to the accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustment which it supports.

## Detailed Narrative Explanation of Adjustment

This pro-forma adjusts amortization expense, income taxes and rate base for the amortization of deferred environmental costs related to the removal of coal ash.

The impact to depreciation expense reflects a 5 year amortization of deferred coal ash costs. The balance of the deferral is projected through August 31, 2020. The estimated cost of removal related to the active and retired fossil plants that has already been collected from customers through depreciation rates is removed from the balance.

The impact to Rate Base includes the additional deferred costs through February of 2020 and additional ADIT on the deferred balance change.

The impact to income taxes is determined by multiplying taxable income by the statutory tax rate.

October update:
Updated Non ARO Spend and ARO spend with actuals through October 2019.

## November update:

Updated Non ARO Spend and ARO spend with actuals through November 2019.

## December update:

Updated Non ARO Spend and ARO spend with actuals through December 2019.

## January update:

Updated actuals through January 2020 on NC 1103 and NC 1105; incorporated ADIT into the plant return calculation on NC 1105; added tab NC 1110 which estimates ADIT related to Non ARO Projects

## February update:

Updated actuals through February 2020 on NC 1103, NC 1105, and NC 1110

## Second Settlement

Adjust NC-1101 to reflect an 8-year amortization of Non-ARO costs


Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred environmental costs
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)



Duke Energy Progress, LLC

## NC 1103

Second Settlement
Amortize deferred environmental costs
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
Duke Energy Progress - System Spend - Coal Ash including CAMA - ARO


Source: Duke Energy Asset Accounting

| Line |  | [1] <br> Total Plant | [2] <br> Accumulated | [7] <br> Accumulated | Net | [3] NC Retail | NC Retail |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Month | Additions | Depreciation | Deferred Inc Tax | Plant | Allocation Factor | Net Plant | for Retur |
|  |  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) $=(\mathrm{a})+$ (b) + (c) | (e) | (f) | (g) |
| 1 | Jan-18 | 37,047 | \$ | $(\$ 3,698)$ | 33,349 | 60.6008\% | \$ 20,209 | \$ 10 |
| 2 | Feb | 40,325 | (89) | $(\$ 3,698)$ | 36,539 | 60.6008\% | 22,143 | 21 |
| 3 | Mar | 40,473 | (206) | $(\$ 3,698)$ | 36,569 | 60.6008\% | 22,161 |  |
| 4 | Apr | 48,443 | (350) | $(\$ 3,698)$ | 44,394 | 61.3372\% | 27,230 | 24 |
| 5 | May | 5,965,821 | (505) | $(\$ 689,196)$ | 5,276,120 | 61.3372\% | 3,236,226 | 1,631 |
| 6 | Jun | 6,050,763 | $(33,007)$ | $(\$ 699,027)$ | 5,318,728 | 61.3372\% | 3,262,361 | 3,249, |
| 7 | Jul | 6,104,056 | $(65,974)$ | $(\$ 704,636)$ | 5,333,446 | 61.3372\% | 3,271,388 | 3,266 |
| 8 | Aug | 6,204,246 | $(99,211)$ | $(\$ 716,233)$ | 5,388,801 | 61.3372\% | 3,305,341 | 3,288 |
| 9 | Sep | 6,275,122 | $(132,996)$ | $(\$ 724,433)$ | 5,417,692 | 61.3372\% | 3,323,063 | 3,314 |
| 10 | Oct | 6,302,691 | $(167,168)$ | $(\$ 727,618)$ | 5,407,905 | 61.3372\% | 3,317,059 | 3,320 |
| 11 | Nov | 15,144,212 | $(201,490)$ | $(\$ 730,836)$ | 14,211,886 | 61.3372\% | 8,717,177 | 6,017 |
| 12 | Dec | 128,515,712 | $(270,683)$ | (\$13,465,465) | 114,779,564 | 61.3372\% | 70,402,607 | 39,559 |
| 13 | Jan-19 | 163,503,908 | $(579,612)$ | (\$13,523,554) | 149,400,742 | 61.3372\% | 91,638,279 | 81,020 |
| 14 | Feb | 166,667,791 | $(1,034,819)$ | (\$13,705,504) | 151,927,469 | 61.3372\% | 93,188,103 | 92,413 |
| 15 | Mar | 210,748,372 | $(1,499,116)$ | (\$13,857,568) | 195,391,688 | 61.3372\% | 119,847,852 | 106,517 |
| 16 | Apr | 347,439,735 | $(2,062,387)$ | (\$26,461,331) | 318,916,018 | 61.3372\% | 195,614,257 | 157,731, |
| 17 | May | 374,337,308 | $(2,869,578)$ | $(\$ 28,891,668)$ | 342,576,062 | 61.3372\% | 210,126,673 | 202,870 |
| 18 | Jun | 377,036,268 | (3,721,086) | $(\$ 29,098,256)$ | 344,216,926 | 61.5278\% | 211,789,097 | 210,957 |
| 19 | Jul | 380,296,416 | $(4,578,497)$ | (\$29,391,796) | 346,326,123 | 61.5278\% | 213,086,839 | 212,437 |
| 20 | Aug | 382,363,991 | $(5,443,126)$ | (\$29,566,025) | 347,354,840 | 61.5278\% | 213,719,786 | 213,403 |
| 21 | Sep | 383,622,726 | $(6,311,149)$ | (\$29,673,119) | 347,638,457 | 61.5278\% | 213,894,290 | 213,807 |
| 22 | Oct | 386,294,290 | $(7,182,640)$ | (\$29,913,455) | 349,198,196 | 61.5278\% | 214,853,963 | 214,374 |
| 23 | Nov | 387,918,438 | $(8,060,320)$ | (\$30,058,214) | 349,799,904 | 61.5278\% | 215,224,181 | 215,039 |
| 24 | Dec | 387,766,356 | $(8,941,086)$ | (\$30,046,822) | 348,778,448 | 61.5278\% | 214,595,701 | 214,909 |
| 25 | Jan-20 | 388,617,441 | $(9,823,223)$ | (\$30,124,559) | 348,669,658 | 61.5278\% | 214,528,765 | 214,562 |
| 26 | Feb | 389,390,259 | $(10,706,871)$ | (\$30,190,573) | 348,492,816 | 61.5278\% | 214,419,958 | 214,474 |
| 27 | Mar | 389,390,259 | $(11,591,887)$ | (\$30,190,573) | 347,607,799 | 61.5278\% | 213,875,427 | 214,147 |
| 28 | Apr | 389,390,259 | $(12,476,903)$ | (\$30,190,573) | 346,722,783 | 61.5278\% | 213,330,896 | 213,603 |
| 29 | May | 389,390,259 | $(13,361,919)$ | (\$30,190,573) | 345,837,767 | 61.5278\% | 212,786,365 | 213,058 |
| 30 | Jun | 389,390,259 | $(14,246,936)$ | (\$30,190,573) | 344,952,751 | 61.5278\% | 212,241,834 | 212,514 |
| 31 | Jul | 389,390,259 | $(15,131,952)$ | (\$30,190,573) | 344,067,735 | 61.5278\% | 211,697,303 | 211,969 |
| 32 Aug 389,390,259 |  |  | $(16,016,968)$ | (\$30,190,573) | 343,182,719 | 61.5278\% | 211,152,772 | 211,425 |
| [1] NC-1105 Total Plant in Service beginning on line 61 <br> [2] NC-1105 Total Depreciation Expense beginning on line $95+$ Prior Month <br> [3] NC 1106 Allocation Factor - Demand at Generation Level. Allocation Factors updated when new Cost of Service Factors were available. <br> [4] Beginning balance + additions for the month/2 <br> [5] NC 1107 Cost of Capital <br> [6] NC-1105 Total Depreciation Expense beginning on line 99 <br> [7] NC 1110 Accumulated Deferred Income Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NC 1104
Second Settlement
Pre Ta $\frac{\text { Equity Rate }}{7.0670 \%}$


1 NC-105 Total Plant in Service beging on ine 61
3] NC 1106 Allocation Factor - Demand at Generation Level. Allocation Factors updated when new Cost of Service Factors were available.
[4] Beginning balance + additions for the month/2
[6] NC-1105 Total Deprecia

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amortize deferred environmental costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For the test period ended December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | After Tax LTD Rate | After Tax Equity Rate |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duke Energy Progress - Coal Ash Non ARO - NC Retail Deferral |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jan - Feb 2018 [5] | 1.6431\% | 5.4060\% |  |
| Line No. |  | Beginning Balance $(j)=P M(r)$ | Return on Investment |  |  | [3] <br> NC Retail Allocation Factor | NC Retail Depreciation Expense | Mar - Dec 2018 [5]$2019[5]$$2020[5]$ | 1.4871\% | 5.1480\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.4936\% | 5.1480\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2020 [5] | 1.4936\% | 5.1480\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | [6] |  |  |  | After Tax Debt Return | After Tax Equity Return | Ending Balance |
|  |  |  |  |  | Depreciation |  |  | Balance for |  |  |  |
|  | Month |  |  |  | Expense |  |  | Return |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (k)=(i) | (1) | (m) | $(\mathrm{n})=(\mathrm{l})^{*}(\mathrm{~m})$ | (0) $=(\mathrm{j})+((\mathrm{k})(\mathrm{n})$ )/2 | (p) | (q) |  |
| 33 | Jan-18 | \$ - |  | \$ 78 | \$0 | 60.601\% | \$0 | \$ 39 | \$0 | \$0 | ${ }^{(r)} \$ 78$ |
| 34 | Feb | 78 |  | 163 | 89 | 60.601\% | 54 | 186 |  | 1 | 295 |
| 35 | Mar | 295 |  | 160 | 117 | 60.601\% | 71 | 411 | 1 | 529 |  |
| 36 | Apr | 529 |  | 179 | 145 | 61.337\% | 89 | 662 | 1 | 3 | 800 |
| 37 | May | 800 |  | 11,794 | 155 | 61.337\% | 95 | 6,744 | 8 | 29 12,726 |  |
| 38 | Jun | 12,726 |  | 23,486 | 32,503 | 61.337\% | 19,936 | 34,437 | 43 | 148 | 56,339 |
| 39 | Jul | 56,339 |  | 23,613 | 32,967 | 61.337\% | 20,221 | 78,256 | 97 | 336 | 100,605 |
| 40 | Aug | 100,605 |  | 23,769 | 33,237 | 61.337\% | 20,387 | 122,683 | 152 | 526 | 145,439 |
| 41 | Sep | 145,439 |  | 23,955 | 33,785 | 61.337\% | 20,723 | 167,778 | 208 | 720 | 191,045 |
| 42 | Oct | 191,045 |  | 23,998 | 34,172 | 61.337\% | 20,960 | 213,524 | 265 | 916 | 237,183 |
| 43 | Nov | 237,183 |  | 43,492 | 34,322 | 61.337\% | 21,052 | 269,456 | 334 | 1,156 | 303,218 |
| 44 | Dec | 303,218 |  | 285,943 | 69,193 | 61.337\% | 42,441 | 467,410 | 579 | 2,005 | 634,187 |
| 45 | Jan-19 | 634,187 |  | 583,647 | 308,929 | 61.337\% | 189,488 | 1,020,755 | 1,270 | 4,379 | 1,412,972 |
| 46 | Feb | 1,412,972 |  | 665,717 | 455,207 | 61.337\% | 279,211 | 1,885,436 | 2,347 | 8,089 | 2,368,336 |
| 47 | Mar | 2,368,336 |  | 767,324 | 464,297 | 61.337\% | 284,787 | 2,894,392 | 3,603 | 12,417 | 3,436,467 |
| 48 | Apr | 3,436,467 |  | 1,136,248 | 563,270 | 61.337\% | 345,494 | 4,177,338 | 5,199 | 17,921 | 4,941,329 |
| 49 | May | 4,941,329 |  | 1,461,419 | 807,192 | 61.337\% | 495,109 | 5,919,593 | 7,368 | 25,395 | 6,930,620 |
| 50 | Jun | 6,930,620 |  | 1,519,678 | 851,507 | 61.528\% | 523,914 | 7,952,417 | 9,898 | 34,116 | 9,018,227 |
| 51 | Jul | 9,018,227 |  | 1,530,341 | 857,412 | 61.528\% | 527,547 | 10,047,170 | 12,505 | 43,102 | 11,131,721 |
| 52 | Aug | 11,131,721 |  | 1,537,295 | 864,629 | 61.528\% | 531,987 | 12,166,362 | 15,143 | 52,194 | 13,268,339 |
| 53 | Sep | 13,268,339 |  | 1,540,203 | 868,023 | 61.528\% | 534,076 | 14,305,479 | 17,805 | 61,371 | 15,421,794 |
| 54 | Oct | 15,421,794 |  | 1,544,288 | 871,491 | 61.528\% | 536,209 | 16,462,043 | 20,490 | 70,622 | 17,593,403 |
| 55 | Nov | 17,593,403 |  | 1,549,078 | 877,680 | 61.528\% | 540,017 | 18,637,951 | $25,927$ | 79,957 | 19,785,653 |
| 56 | Dec | 19,785,653 |  | 1,548,148 | 880,766 | 61.528\% | 541,916 | 20,830,685 |  | 89,364 | 21,991,007 |
| 57 | Jan-20 | 21,991,007 |  | 1,545,643 | 882,138 | 61.528\% | 542,760 | 23,035,209 | 28,671 | 98,821 | 24,206,902 |
| 58 | Feb | 24,206,902 |  | 1,545,010 | 883,648 | 61.528\% | 543,689 | 25,251,252 | 31,429 | 108,328 | 26,435,359 |
| 59 | Mar | 26,435,359 |  | 1,542,657 | 885,016 | 61.528\% | 544,531 | 27,478,952 | 34,202 | 117,885 | 28,674,633 |
| 60 | Apr | 28,674,633 |  | 1,538,734 | 885,016 | 61.528\% | 544,531 | 29,716,266 | 36,987 | 127,483 | $\begin{aligned} & 30,922,368 \\ & 33,178,609 \end{aligned}$ |
| 61 | May | 30,922,368 |  | 1,534,812 | 885,016 | 61.528\% | 544,531 | 31,962,039 | 39,782 | 137,117 |  |
| 62 | Jun | 33,178,609 |  | 1,530,889 | 885,016 | 61.528\% | 544,531 | 34,216,319 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 146,788 \\ & 156,496 \end{aligned}$ | $35,443,405$ |
| 63 | Jul | 35,443,405 |  | 1,526,966 | 885,016 | 61.528\% | 544,531 | 36,479,153 | $45,404$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 37,716,801 \\ 39,998,847 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 64 | Aug | 37,716,801 |  | 1,523,044 | 885,016 | 61.528\% | 544,531 | 38,750,589 | 48,231 | 166,240 |  |
| 65 |  |  | \$ 28,131,772 |  |  | 61.528\% | \$ 9,849,418 |  | \$ 453,734 | \$1,563,924 | \$ 39,998,847 |

[1] NC-1105 Total Plant in Service beginning on line 61
[2] NC-1105 Total Depreciation Expense beginning on line 95 + Prior Month
[3] NC 1106 Allocation Factor - Demand at Generation Level. Allocation Factors updated when new Cost of Service Factors were available.
[4] Beginning balance + additions for the month/2
5] NC 1107 Cost of Capital
[6] NC-1105 Total Depreciation Expense beginning on line 99

Duke Energy Progress - Coal Ash Non ARO - Monthly Plant in Service


Duke Energy Progress - Coal Ash Non ARO - Total Plant in Service

| D FOS 315 D FOS 312 | DFOS 311 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ROXBORO \#4- ROXBORO \#4- |  |

$\qquad$ $\begin{array}{lc} \\ 50121 & \text { COMMON-50121 }\end{array}$

D FOS 312
D FOS $312 \quad$ D FOS 315
ROXBORO \#3.
ROXBORO \#3.
D FOS 312 OMMON-50121

D TRN 353-BUransmissi
50126

DOXORO 50121

Source: Duke Energy Asset Accounting

| D FOS 315 | D FOS 312 | D FOS 311 | D FOS 312 | D FOS 312 | D FOS 315 | D FOS 312 | D TRN 353-BU |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\qquad$
D FOS 312 5


| ation \% | 12.94\% |  | 12.94\% |  |  | 3.77\% | \$ |  | \$ - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-18 | \$ | 523 | \$ | 4,603 | \$ | 31,921 |  |  |  | \$ |
| Feb-18 |  | 857 |  | 7,547 |  | 31,921 |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-18 |  | 872 |  | 7,680 |  | 31,921 |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-18 |  | 1,685 |  | 14,837 |  | 31,921 |  |  |  |  |
| May-18 |  | 1,693 |  | 14,912 |  | 31,921 |  | 5,917,295 |  |  |
| Jun-18 |  | 1,701 |  | 14,984 |  | 31,921 |  | 6,002,156 |  |  |
| Jul-18 |  | 2,198 |  | 19,359 |  | 31,921 |  | 6,050,579 |  |  |
| Aug-18 |  | 2,206 |  | 19,434 |  | 31,921 |  | 6,150,685 |  |  |
| Sep-18 |  | 2,216 |  | 19,516 |  | 31,921 |  | 6,221,469 |  |  |
| Oct-18 |  | 2,224 |  | 19,588 |  | 31,921 |  | 6,248,958 | - |  |
| Nov-18 |  | 2,184 |  | 19,236 |  | 31,921 |  | 6,276,741 | 7,928,211 |  |
| Dec-18 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 22,023,970 |  | 6,397,273 | 8,565,127 |  |
| Jan-19 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 56,381,411 |  | 6,412,178 | 9,025,699 |  |
| Feb-19 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 57,052,408 |  | 6,418,801 | 9,900,953 |  |
| Mar-19 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 67,824,940 |  | 6,418,692 | 9,794,749 |  |
| Apr-19 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 68,732,273 |  | 6,418,692 | 9,849,624 |  |
| May-19 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 69,070,039 |  | 6,418,692 | 9,710,638 |  |
| Jun-19 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 69,606,092 |  | 6,418,692 | 9,732,161 |  |
| Jul-19 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 70,147,190 |  | 6,418,692 | 9,738,328 |  |
| Aug-19 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 70,171,686 |  | 6,418,692 | 9,738,328 |  |
| Sep-19 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 70,637,947 |  | 6,418,692 | 9,738,328 |  |
| Oct-19 |  | 2,239 |  | 19,723 |  | 71,131,529 |  | 6,418,692 | 9,738,328 |  |
| Nov-19 |  | - |  | 21,962 |  | 71,296,744 |  | 6,418,692 | 10,832,819 |  |
| Dec-19 |  | - |  | 21,962 |  | 71,791,200 |  | 6,418,692 | 10,832,819 |  |
| Jan-20 |  | - |  | 21,962 |  | 71,845,074 |  | 6,418,692 | 10,832,819 |  |
| Feb-20 |  | - |  | 21,962 |  | 71,898,075 |  | 6,418,692 | 10,832,819 |  |
| Mar-20 |  | - |  | 21,962 |  | 71,898,075 |  | 6,418,692 | 10,832,819 |  |
| Apr-20 |  |  |  | 21,962 |  | 71,898,075 |  | 6,418,692 | 10,832,819 |  |
| May-20 |  |  |  | 21,962 |  | 71,898,075 |  | 6,418,692 | 10,832,819 |  |
| Jun-20 |  | - |  | 21,962 |  | 71,898,075 |  | 6,418,692 | 10,832,819 |  |
| Jul-20 |  | - |  | 21,962 |  | 71,898,075 |  | 6,418,692 | 10,832,819 |  |
| Aug-20 |  | - |  | 21,962 |  | 71,898,075 |  | 6,418,692 | 10,832,819 |  |



Source: Duke Energy Asset Accounting

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred environmental costs
sts
31,
2018
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

|  |  | D FOS 315 ROXBORO \#450121 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DFOS } 312 \\ \text { ROXBORO \#4- } \end{gathered}$ $50121$ | D FOS 311 <br> ROXBORO COMMON-50121 | D FOS 312 ROXBORO \#150121 | D FOS 312 ROXBORO \#350121 | D FOS 315 ROXBORO \#350121 | D FOS 312 <br> ROXBORO COMMON-50121 | D TRN 353-BUTransmission 50126 | $\begin{gathered} \text { D FOS } 311 \\ \text { MAYO \#1-50121 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D FOS } 312 \\ \text { MAYO \#1-50121 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | D FOS 312 ROXBORO \#2 50121 |  | Total Balance Activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 | To 3/16/2018 | 0.45\% | 0.45\% | 3.26\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | Depr Rate Beg. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 3/16/2018 | 3.05\% | 1.33\% | 5.03\% | 6.56\% | 4.74\% | 4.61\% | 1.91\% | 1.90\% | 1.95\% | 4.02\% | 5.04\% |  |  |
| 95 | Jan-18 | \$ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - - |
| 96 | Feb-18 | 0 | 2 | 87 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| 97 | Mar-18 | 1 | 6 | 110 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | 117 |
| 98 | Apr-18 | 2 | 9 | 134 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 145 |
| 99 | May-18 | 4 | 16 | 134 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | 155 |
| 100 | Jun-18 | 4 | 17 | 134 | 32,348 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 32,503 |
| 101 | Jul-18 | 4 | 17 | 134 | 32,812 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32,967 |
| 102 | Aug-18 | 6 | 21 | 134 | 33,076 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33,237 |
| 103 | Sep-18 | 6 | 22 | 134 | 33,624 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33,785 |
| 104 | Oct-18 | 6 | 22 | 134 | 34,011 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34,172 |
| 105 | Nov-18 | 6 | 22 | 134 | 34,161 | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | 34,322 |
| 106 | Dec-18 | 6 | 21 | 134 | 34,313 | 31,316 | 3,403 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69,193 |
| 107 | Jan-19 | 6 | 22 | 92,317 | 34,972 | 33,832 | 3,677 | 139,771 | 4,332 |  |  |  |  | 308,929 |
| 108 | Feb-19 | 6 | 22 | 236,332 | 35,053 | 35,652 | 3,875 | 139,918 | 4,350 |  |  |  |  | 455,207 |
| 109 | Mar-19 | 6 | 22 | 239,145 | 35,089 | 39,109 | 4,250 | 142,317 | 4,359 | - |  | - |  | 464,297 |
| 110 | Apr-19 | 6 | 22 | 284,300 | 35,089 | 38,689 | 4,205 | 143,907 | 4,390 | 52,664 | - | - |  | 563,270 |
| 111 | May-19 | 6 | 22 | 288,103 | 35,089 | 38,906 | 4,205 | 337,931 | 4,397 | 53,081 | 45,452 | 1 |  | 807,192 |
| 112 | Jun-19 | 6 | 22 | 289,519 | 35,089 | 38,357 | 4,205 | 344,130 | 4,418 | 89,933 | 45,828 | 1 |  | 851,507 |
| 113 | Jul-19 | 6 | 22 | 291,766 | 35,089 | 38,442 | 4,205 | 346,692 | 4,447 | 90,629 | 46,114 | 1 |  | 857,412 |
| 114 | Aug-19 | 6 | 22 | 294,034 | 35,089 | 38,466 | 4,205 | 349,552 | 4,464 | 91,557 | 47,233 | 1 |  | 864,629 |
| 115 | Sep-19 | 6 | 22 | 294,136 | 35,089 | 38,466 | 4,205 | 352,217 | 4,496 | 92,096 | 47,289 | 1 |  | 868,023 |
| 116 | Oct-19 | 6 | 22 | 296,091 | 35,089 | 38,466 | 4,205 | 352,446 | 4,496 | 92,934 | 47,736 | 1 |  | 871,491 |
| 117 | Nov-19 | 6 | 22 | 298,160 | 35,089 | 38,466 | 4,205 | 354,345 | 4,529 | 93,915 | 48,943 | 1 |  | 877,680 |
| 118 | Dec-19 | - | 24 | 298,852 | 35,089 | 42,790 |  | 355,658 | 4,531 | 95,004 | 48,817 | 1 |  | 880,766 |
| 119 | Jan-20 | - | 24 | 300,925 | 35,089 | 42,790 | - | 355,316 | 4,531 | 93,980 | 49,482 | 1 |  | 882,138 |
| 120 | Feb-20 | - | 24 | 301,151 | 35,089 | 42,790 |  | 356,476 | 4,531 | 94,079 | 49,507 | 1 |  | 883,648 |
| 121 | Mar-20 | - | 24 | 301,373 | 35,089 | 42,790 |  | 357,595 | 4,531 | 94,106 | 49,507 | 1 |  | 885,016 |
| 122 | Apr-20 | - | 24 | 301,373 | 35,089 | 42,790 | - | 357,595 | 4,531 | 94,106 | 49,507 | 1 |  | 885,016 |
| 123 | May-20 | - | 24 | 301,373 | 35,089 | 42,790 | - | 357,595 | 4,531 | 94,106 | 49,507 | 1 |  | 885,016 |
| 124 | Jun-20 | - | 24 | 301,373 | 35,089 | 42,790 | - | 357,595 | 4,531 | 94,106 | 49,507 | 1 |  | 885,016 |
| 125 | Jul-20 |  | 24 | 301,373 | 35,089 | 42,790 |  | 357,595 | 4,531 | 94,106 | 49,507 | 1 |  | 885,016 |
| 126 | Aug-20 | - | 24 | 301,373 | 35,089 | 42,790 | - | 357,595 | 4,531 | 94,106 | 49,507 | 1 | \$ | 885,016 |

Source: Duke Energy Asset Accounting
Depreciation Expense $=$ Prior month Total Plant Net of JAAR * Depreciation Rate /12

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC 1106
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred environmental costs
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
Duke Energy Progress - Cost of Service - Allocation Factors

| Line |  | NC Retail | NC Retail | NC Retail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Allocation Factor | $\underline{2016}$ | $\underline{2017}$ | $\underline{2018}$ |
| 1 | Allocation Factor - DPAll Demand at Generation Level | 60.6008\% | 61.3372\% | 61.5278\% |
| 2 | Allocation Factor - Energy @ Prod. Output MWHs at Generation | 60.8102\% | 60.8452\% | 61.1093\% |

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC 1107
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred environmental costs
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

```
Cost of debt and Equity for coal ash deferral periods
```

 LONG TERM DEBT $47.00 \%$
EQUITY $\quad 53.00 \% \quad 10.20 \%$
TOTAL 100.00\%

Return on Equity 2.188\%
Effective State and Federal Income Tax Rate 37.06\% (e)

|  | Capitalization <br> Ratio [1] | Approved Cost Rate [1] | Jan-Feb 2018 <br> WEIGHTED COST OF CAPITAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RETURN AFTER TAX BEFORE TAX |  |  |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) $=(\mathrm{a}) \times(\mathrm{b})$ | (d) |  |
| LONG TERM DEBT | 47.00\% | 4.57\% | 2.1479\% | 1.6431\% | 2.1479\% |
| EQUITY | 53.00\% | 10.20\% | 5.4060\% | 5.4060\% | 7.0670\% (f) = (d)/((a)-(e)) |
| TOTAL | 100.00\% |  | 7.5539\% | 7.0491\% | 9.2149\% |
| Return on Equity |  | 2.479\% |  |  |  |
| Effective State and Federal Income Tax Rate |  | 23.50\% |  |  |  |
|  | Capitalization Ratio [2] | Mar - Dec 2018 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Approved Cost Rate [2] | WEIGHTED COST OF CAPITAL |  |  |
|  |  |  | RETURN AFTER TAX BEFORE TAX |  |  |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) = (a) $\times(\mathrm{b})$ | (d) |  |
| LONG TERM DEBT | 48.00\% | 4.05\% | 1.9440\% | 1.4871\% | 1.9440\% |
| EQUITY | 52.00\% | 9.90\% | 5.1480\% | 5.1480\% | 6.7297\% (f) = (d)/((a)-(e)) |
| TOTAL | 100.00\% |  | 7.0920\% | 6.6351\% | 8.6737\% |

TOTAL $100.00 \%$

| Return on Equity | $2.585 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Effective State and Federal Income Tax Rate | $23.50 \%$ |


|  | CapitalizationRatio [2] | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Approved Cost Rate [2] | WEIGHTED COST OF CAPITAL |  |  |
|  |  |  | RETURN | AFTER TAX | BEFORE TAX |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) $=(\mathrm{a}) \times(\mathrm{b})$ | (d) |  |
| LONG TERM DEBT | 48.00\% | 4.05\% | 1.9440\% | 1.4936\% | 1.9440\% |
| EQUITY | 52.00\% | 9.90\% | 5.1480\% | 5.1480\% | $6.7004 \%$ (f) = (d)/((a)-(e)) |
| TOTAL | 100.00\% |  | 7.0920\% | 6.6416\% | 8.6444\% |

Return on Equity 2.592\%

Effective State and Federal Income Tax Rate 23.17\% [4]
[1] Cost of capital rates from Docket No. E-2, Sub 1023
[2] Cost of capital rates from Docket No. E-2, Sub 1142
[3] Duke Energy Accounting
[4] NC-0104-2019 Calculation of Tax Rates - Statutory Tax Rate, Line 10

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-1108
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Second Settlement
Amortize deferred environmental costs
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
Depreciation Rates


Source: Duke Energy Asset Accounting

For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)

| Estimate of Cost of Removal for Closure of Ash Ponds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line |  | Decommissioning Amo |  |
|  |  | (a) |  |
| 2 | Plant | Closure of | Project |
|  |  | Ash Ponds | Indirects |
|  |  | [2] | Adder (5\%) |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 | Cape Fear | \$ 22,000 | \$ 1,100 |
| 5 | Lee | 43,000 | 2,15 |
| 6 | Robinson | 11,000 | 55 |
| 7 | Sutton | 21,000 | 1,05 |
| 8 | Weatherspoon | 7,000 | 35 |
| 9 | Subtotal Early-Retired Plants | 104,000 | 5,20 |
| 10 | Asheville | 9,000 | 45 |
| 11 | Mayo | 19,000 | 硣 |
| 12 | Roxboro | 47,000 | 2,35 |
| 13 | Subtotal active plants | 75,000 | 3,75 |
| 14 | Total | \$ 179,000 | \$ 8,95 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| [1] Amounts reflect 100\% system amounts. |  |  |  |
| [2] Amounts per DEP Dismantlement Study |  |  |  |
| [3] Based on allocation factors from the 2012 NC rate case |  |  |  |
| COR for Ash Pond Closure 74.371\% |  |  |  |
| NC Retail 64.454\% |  |  |  |
| Wholesale 25.629\% |  |  |  |
| [4] Remaining Life per Depreciation Study |  |  |  |
| [5] Remaining Life per FERC Settlement Agreement |  |  |  |

NC 1110
Second Settement




| Duke Energy Progre Docket No. E-2, Sub Amortize deferred en For the test period en | ss, LLC 1219 vired December | 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NC } 1110 \\ \text { cond Settement } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accumulated Deprecia | ation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project | (20087488 | ${ }_{\text {d }}^{\text {160920a01 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {coser }}^{20087848}$ | ${ }^{20095657}$ | ${ }_{\text {20, }}^{20096567}$ | ${ }^{20095568}$ | ${ }_{\text {20095628 }}^{2005}$ | ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{20095629}$ | ${ }_{\text {200 }}^{20095529}$ | CCRox ${ }_{\text {che }}$ | CMY010141 | CmY010188 | cmy010189 | CRxoon39 Deos 312 | CRxoone212 | CRxooorzi | CRxWAREHS | Grand Total |
| Project | - | Transmission | ${ }^{\text {dox }}$ | ROXBORO ${ }_{\text {+1. }}$ | - ROXBRORO ${ }^{\text {der }}$ |  | Boro | Boro |  | droshil Roxboro | D Fos 312 | Fos 31 | Fos 311 | Roxboro | DFos 311 RoxBoro | dros 311 RoxBoro | DFOS 311 RoxBoro |  |
| Description | OMMON-50121 | 50126 | Common. 5121 | 50121 | 50121 | 50121 | ${ }^{50121}$ | ${ }_{50121}^{5012}$ | ${ }^{50121}$ | ComMON-50121 | maYo \#1-50121 | MAYO \#1-5012 | MAYO \#1-50121 | ComMon-50121 | Common-50121 | common-50121 | ON-50121 |  |
|  | DFos 312 | DTRN 353-BU- | DFos 312 |  |  |  |  |  | DFos 315 | dros ${ }_{\text {doxboro }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jepreciation Group co | RơBoro OMMON-50121 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transmission } \\ 1-50126 \end{gathered}$ | Roxboro CoMMON-50121 | ${ }_{\text {ROXORO }}^{\text {5012 }}$ | - ${ }_{\text {ROXBORO \#2- }}^{\text {50121 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Roxboro }}^{\text {col2 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ROXBORO \#3- } \\ \text { 50121 }}}$ | - RoXboro $\begin{gathered}\text { col2 } \\ \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { RoXboro } \\ 50121}}$ | Roxboro comMon-50121 | DFOS 312 | DFOS 311 | MAFO \#1.500121 | Roxboro comMov-50121 | ROXBORO CoMMON-50121 | COMMON-50121 | common-50121 |  |
| 201801 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201802 |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | (2) | ${ }^{(0)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (87) | (89) |
| 201803 201804 | : | : | : | : | : |  | : | ${ }_{\text {(16) }}$ | ${ }_{(1)}^{(1)}$ | : |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{(1931)}^{(197)}$ | ${ }_{(350)}^{(206)}$ |
| 201805 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (32) | (8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (465) |  |
| 201806 | . |  |  | (32,348) |  |  |  | (49) | (12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (599) | $(33,077)$ |
| 201807 | - | - | - | (65, 160) |  |  | - | (65) | (17) | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | (732) | (65,974) |
| ${ }^{201888}$ |  | - |  | $(98,236)$ |  |  | - | (87) | (22) | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | (866) | $(99,211)$ |
| 201899 201810 | : | : | : | ${ }^{(1311,860)}$ | - |  | : | ${ }^{(108)}$ | ${ }^{(28)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1,000) | ${ }^{(1322,996)}$ |
| ${ }_{201811}^{201810}$ | : | : | - | (1655,81) | - |  | - | ${ }^{(1350)}$ | ${ }^{(33)}$ |  | : |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1,134)}$ | (167,168) |
| ${ }_{201812}^{201811}$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - |  | - |  |  | ${ }^{(152)}$ | ${ }^{(39)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{201901}^{201812}$ | : | (4332) |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{(234,344)}$ | : | ${ }_{(65149)}^{(31,316)}$ | ${ }_{(7,080)}^{(3,403)}$ | (173) | ${ }_{(50)}^{(45)}$ | : | : |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{(1,535)}^{(1,401)}$ | ${ }^{(270,683)}$ |
| 201902 | - | ${ }^{(8,682)}$ | (279,689) | (304,369) | - | (100,800) | (10,955) | (217) | (56) | - |  |  | - |  | (142,364) | (186,018) | (1,669) | (1,034,819) |
| ${ }^{201903}$ | - | (13,042) | $(422,006)$ | (339,459) |  | (139,909) | $(15,205)$ | (239) | (62) |  |  |  |  |  | (287,302) | $(280,090)$ | $(1,803)$ | $(1,499,116)$ |
| 201904 | - | (17,431) | (565,913) | (374,548) |  | (178,598) | (19,410) | (261) | (67) | (27,028) |  |  | (52,664) |  | (449,051) | (375,479) | (1,937) | ${ }^{(2,062,386)}$ |
| 201905 | - | (21,828) | (712,274) | (409,637) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (217,504) | (23,614) | (282) | (73) | (54,856) | (45,452) |  | (105,744) | (191,570) | (613,324) | (471,347) | (2,070) | (2,869,578) |
| ${ }_{2}^{201906}$ |  | ${ }^{(26,246)}$ | (859, 693) | (444,725) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (255,861) | ${ }^{(27,819)}$ | ${ }^{(334)}$ | (79) | (83,321) | ${ }^{(91,280)}$ | ${ }^{(36,504)}$ | ${ }^{(159,174)}$ | (388,281) | (779,762) | ${ }^{(565,829)}$ | ${ }^{(2,204)}$ | ${ }^{(3,7721,086)}$ |
| 201907 |  | $(30,693)$ | ${ }^{(1,006,767)}$ | (459,844) | ${ }^{(4)}$ | (294,303) | ${ }^{(32,024)}$ | ${ }^{(326)}$ | ${ }^{(84)}$ | (112,495) | ${ }^{(137,394)}$ | (73,592) | ${ }^{(212,715)}$ | (587,899) | (946,970) |  | ${ }^{(2,338)}$ | ( $4,5,578,497$ ) |
| 201908 |  | (35,158) | (1,153,996) | (514,903) | ${ }^{(5)}$ | (332,770) | ${ }^{(36,228)}$ | ${ }^{(348)}$ | (90) | ${ }^{(141,794)}$ | (184,628) | (111,484) | ${ }^{(266,379)}$ | (790,222) | (1,114,589) | (758.001) | (2,472) | (5,443,126) |
| 201999 |  | (39,654) | (1,301,888) | ${ }^{(549,992)}$ | ${ }^{(6)}$ | (371, 236) | $(40,433)$ | (370) | (96) | (171,208) | ${ }^{(231,947)}$ | (149,659) | (320,300) | (994,548) | $(1,282,492)$ | (854,746) | (2,606) | (6,311,149) |
| 201910 | 488 | (44,150) | (1,449,780) | (565,081) | ${ }^{(8)}$ | (409,703) | (44,638) | ${ }^{(392)}$ | (102) | (200,562) | ${ }^{(279,652)}$ | (188,488) | $(374,444)$ | (1,199,590) | (1,450,477) | (953,364) | (2,739) | (7,182,640) |
| ${ }^{201911}$ | 808 | $(48,679)$ | (1,597,672) | (620,170) | ${ }^{(9)}$ | (448,169) | (48,842) | (414) | (107) | (230,114) | (328,595) | (228,250) | ${ }^{(428,558)}$ | (1,406,363) | (1,6199,021) | (1,053,293) | (2,877) | (8,060,320) |
| ${ }_{202001}^{20192}$ | 1,128 1.448 1 | $\underset{(57,741)}{(53,210)}$ | ${ }_{(1,893,472)}^{(1,745}$ |  | ${ }^{(110)}$ | ${ }_{\text {( } 533,7998)}$ | ${ }_{(48.842)}^{(48,82)}$ | ${ }_{(462)}^{(438)}$ | ${ }^{(107)}$ | $(259,983)$ $(289981)$ | (377,412) | (269,126) | ${ }_{(536,854)}^{(482,68)}$ |  |  | ${ }_{(1,255,742)}^{(1,15390)}$ | ${ }_{(0,141)}^{(3,007)}$ | $\underset{(8,8243,0823)}{(8,936)}$ |
| 202002 | ${ }_{\text {1,769 }}$ | ${ }_{(62,272)}^{(57,71)}$ | ${ }_{(2,041,358)}^{(1,293472)}$ | ( 7275,436 ) | ${ }_{(13)}$ | (576,538) | ${ }_{(48,842)}$ | ${ }_{(487)}^{(462)}$ | ${ }_{(107)}$ | (320,015) | ${ }_{(476,401)}$ | (348,847) | (5991,23) | ${ }_{(1,031,086)}^{(1,282,176)}$ | ${ }_{(2,124,907)}^{(1,956,517)}$ | (1,358,033) | ${ }_{(3,275)}^{(3,141)}$ | (10,706,871) |
| 202003 | 2,089 | $(66,804)$ | (2,189,245) | (760,525) | (14) | (619,327) | (488,822) | (511) | (107) | (350,122) | (525,908) | (388,784) | (645,191) | (2,241,114) | (2,293,772) | (1,460,299) | (3,408) | (11,591,887) |
| 202004 | 2,409 | (71,355) | (2,377,132) | (795,614) | ${ }^{(15)}$ | (662,117) | (48,842) | (535) | (107) | (380,230) | (575,415) | (428,721) | (699,360) | (2,451,143) | (2,462,637) | (1,562,566) | ${ }^{(3,542)}$ | $(12,476,903)$ |
| ${ }_{202006}^{20205}$ | 2,729 3 3 | ${ }_{(85,866)}^{(88,397)}$ | ${ }^{(2,485,018)}$ | (880,703) | ${ }^{(16)}$ | ${ }_{(7747996)}^{(789,97)}$ | ${ }_{(48,842)}$ | (560) | (107) | (410,337) | (624,922) | (4688,68) | ${ }_{(807697)}^{(753,59)}$ | ${ }_{\text {col }}^{(2,2661,172)}$ | (2, | ${ }^{(1,664,832)}$ | ${ }^{(3,676)}$ | (13,361,919) |
| ${ }_{202007}^{202006}$ | 3,049 3,369 | ${ }_{(84,929)}^{(80,397)}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{(865,792)}($ | ${ }_{(19)}^{(18)}$ | $(7974,696)$ $(790,48)$ | ${ }_{(48,842)}^{(4,82)}$ | (508) | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (107) } \\ (107)\end{array}\right.$ | (470,552) | $(674,499)$ $(723,937)$ | ${ }_{\text {(548,532) }}^{(508,59)}$ | ${ }_{(861,866)}^{(807,69)}$ | ( | (e) | ${ }_{(1,869,364)}^{(1,767,098)}$ | $\underset{(3,944)}{(3,810)}$ | ${ }_{(15,131,952)}$ |
| 202008 | 3,689 | (89,460) | $(2,928,678)$ | (935,969) | (20) | (833,276) | (48,842) | (633) | (107) | (500,659) | (773,444) | (588,469) | (916,035) | (3,291,258) | $(3,138,099)$ | (1,971,631) | $(4,077)$ | $(16,016,968)$ |
| Tax Basis for Bonus D | Depreciation AD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project | (2008748 | 160920A01 D TRN 353-BU- | ${ }_{\text {der }}^{20087488}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { che } \\ \text { DFos } 312}}^{2005627}$ | ${ }_{\text {coses }}^{2095657}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{20096628}$ | ${ }_{\substack{20095629 \\ \text { DFOS } 312}}^{2}$ | 20095629 DFOS 315 | CCROX148 D FOS 311 | CMY010141 | CMY010188 | CMY010189 | CRX000139 D FoS 31 | CRXOOO212 DFOS 311 | CRX000213 D FOS 311 | CRXWAREHS D FOS 311 | Grand Total |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Project }}$ | Roxboro | Transmission | ROXBORO | ROXBORO ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | ROXBORO +2- | RoxBoro \#3- | Roxsoro \#3- | Roxboro \#4 | ROXBORO\#4- | ${ }^{\text {Roxibro }}$ | DFos 312 | DFos 311 | DFOS 311 | Roxboro | Roxboro | ROXBORO | Roxboro |  |
| ( Descripion co | $\underset{\substack{\text { OMmoN-50121 } \\ \text { \#N/A }}}{ }$ | No126 | $\underset{\text { common-50121 }}{\text { \#N/A }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{50121 \\ \# N / A}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{50121 \\ \text { \#N/A }}}$ | $\underset{\text { \#N/A }}{50121}$ | ${ }_{\substack{50121 \\ \# N / A}}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{50121 \\ \text { No }}]{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{50121 \\ \text { No }}}{ }$ | Common-50121 | MAYO\#1.50121 | MAYO \#1.50121 |  | Common-50121 | common-50121 | Common-50121 | COMMON-50121 |  |
| Inititial In Service $Y$ | \#N/A | 2018 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | 2018 | 2018 | 2019 | 2019 | 2019 | 2019 | 2019 | 2019 | 2018 | 2018 |  |
| ${ }_{201801}^{\text {Bonus Depr \% }}$ | 50\% |  | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |  |  |  | 40\% | 40\% |  | 40\% |  | 50\% | 50\% |  |
| ${ }_{201802}^{201801}$ |  |  | . | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,960 15.960 | 15,960 15.960 |
| 201803 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,960 | 15.960 |
| ${ }_{201805}^{201804}$ | : | : | : | 2,958,647 <br> $3,001.078$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,960 15.960 | 2,974,608 3,017.039 |
| 201806 | - | - | - | ${ }^{3} 3,0055,289$ |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,960 | 3,041,250 |
| 201807 201808 | : | : | : | $3,075,342$ $3,110,735$ |  |  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |  | . |  | 15,960 15.960 | $3,091,303$ $3,126,695$ |
| 201809 | - | - |  | 3,124,479 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,960 | 3,140,440 |
| ${ }_{201811}^{201810}$ | : |  | 43.907.080 | $3,138,371$ 3 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.960 15960 |  |
| 201812 | : | : | 43,953,373 | $3,1280,636$ <br> 3,209 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | 111,192,993 | 15,960 | 58,388,415 |
| 201901 | - |  | 44,707,015 | $3,209,400$ 3 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 111,221,347 |  | $59,153,723$ 59810.039 |
| ${ }_{201903}^{20192}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{45,206,368}$ | $3,290,346$ $3,209,346$ | 148 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,427,139 |  |  | 48,143,259 |  | 111,178,365 | 15,960 15960 | $59,810,039$ $114,208,587$ |
| 201904 |  |  | 46,309,642 | 3,299,346 | 148 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,472,022 | 8,985,508 |  | 49,435,157 |  | 111,270,275 | 15,960 | 124,698,058 |
| 201905 |  |  | 46,201,251 | ${ }^{3,209,346}$ | ${ }_{148}^{148}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5,506,137 5 5639786 | ${ }_{\text {9,1292,387 }}^{937}$ |  | 50,165, 779 $50,845,637$ |  | 111,361,698 | ${ }^{15,960}$ | $125,5989,706$ <br> 126856,640 |
| ${ }_{201907}^{201960}$ |  |  | ${ }_{46,488,186}^{46,49852}$ | ${ }^{3,299,346} \mathbf{3 , 2 6 9 6}$ | 148 <br> 148 <br> 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,6,696,7864 | ${ }_{9}^{9,3,376,738}$ |  | 50,845,637 $51,348,818$ | : | 11,563,920 | 15,960 15.960 | $126,856,640$ $127,608,624$ |
| 201998 | ${ }^{(153,356)}$ | - | ${ }_{4}^{46,455,186}$ | $3,209,346$ 3 3 | 148 |  |  |  |  | - | $5,699.778$ <br> 5843 | ${ }_{\text {9,548,292 }}$ |  | $51,528,893$ 51,96396 | : | 111,763,598 | 15.960 | 128,070,846 |
| 201999 2019 | ${ }_{(1000,53)}^{(10053)}$ |  | ${ }_{46,465,023}^{46,45,186}$ | $3,299,346$ $3,209,346$ | 148 148 |  |  |  |  |  | $5,843,890$ $5,828,894$ | 9,797,184 $10,061,859$ |  | $51,963,946$ $52,288,417$ |  | $11,920,039$ $11,963,842$ | 15,960 15960 | 129, $120,138,148$ 129 |
| 201911 | (100,553) | - | 46,456,551 | ${ }^{3,209,346}$ | 148 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5} 5.908,257$ | ${ }^{9,799,788}$ |  | 52,209,195 | - | 12,185,076 | 15,960 | 129,683,770 |
| ${ }_{202001}^{201912}$ | ${ }_{(100,553)}^{(100,53)}$ | : | ${ }_{46,456,507}^{46,45607}$ | $3,299,346$ $3,209,346$ | 148 148 |  | . | . |  |  | 5,911,306 $5,911,306$ | ${ }_{9}^{9,883,650,981}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{52,500,827} \begin{aligned} & 52,782,085\end{aligned}$ |  |  | 15,960 | 130,019,286 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Duke Energy Progres Docket No. E-2, Sub Amortize deferred en For the test period en | s. LLC <br> 1219 <br> viromental costs <br> ded December 31 | 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NC 1110 ond Settlement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Basis for Bonus Project Project | Depreciation ADIT \$20,087,848 | 160920A01 | 20087848 | 20095627 | 20095627 | 2005528 | 20095628 | 2009562 | 20095629 | CCROX148 | CMY010141 | CMY010188 | CMY010189 | CRx000139 | CRx000212 | CRx000213 | CRXWAREHS | Grand Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sonus Deprer Eligibl | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 | ${ }_{2018}$ | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 | ${ }_{2018}^{\text {No }}$ | ${ }_{2018}^{\text {No }}$ | 2019 | 2019 | 2019 | ${ }_{2019}$ | 2019 | ${ }_{2019}$ | 2018 | 2018 |  |
| Bonus Depr \% | 50\% |  | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |  |  |  | 40\% | 40\% |  | 40\% |  | 50\% | 50\% |  |
| 201801 201802 | : |  | : | : | : |  |  |  |  |  | : | : |  | : |  | : | ${ }^{15,960}$ |  |
| 201803 | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,960 |  |
| 201804 | - | : | - |  | : |  |  |  |  |  | : | : |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{15,960}$ |  |
| 201805 | - | : | - | ${ }^{2,955,647}$ | : |  |  |  |  |  | - | : |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{15,960}$ |  |
| ${ }_{201807}^{201806}$ | : | : | : |  | : |  |  |  |  |  | : | : |  | : |  |  | 15.960 15.960 |  |
| 201808 | - | - | - | 3,075,342 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  | 15,960 |  |
| 201809 | - |  | - | 3,110,735 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | 15,960 |  |
| 201810 |  |  |  | 3,124,479 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,960 |  |
| ${ }_{201812} 20181$ | : | : | 43,907,080 | ${ }_{\substack{3,138,371 \\ 3,198,636}}$ | : |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |  | 10,996,024 | 15.960 15,960 |  |
| 201901 | - |  | 43,953,373 | 3,206,089 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 111,192,993 | 15,960 |  |
| 201902 | - |  | 44,707,015 | 3,209,400 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | 11,221,347 | 15.960 |  |
| ${ }_{201904}^{20193}$ | : |  | 45,206,368 $45,977,206$ | $3,209,346$ $3,299,346$ | 148 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{11,378,365} 1$ | 15.960 15,960 |  |
| ${ }_{201905}^{20194}$ | : | : | ${ }_{46,309,642}^{4,97,206}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3,209,346}}^{3,209,346}$ | ${ }_{148}^{148}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5,4727,022 | 8,985,508 |  | ${ }_{4}^{48,433,157}$ | : | $11,435,527$ $11,270,275$ | ${ }^{15,5960}$ |  |
| 201906 | - |  | 46,201, 251 | 3,209,346 | 148 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,506,137 | 9,129,387 |  | 50,165,779 |  | 11,361,998 | 15,960 |  |
| ${ }^{201907}$ | - | - | 46,249,852 | 3,209,346 | 148 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5} 5639,786$ | 9,327,391 |  | 50,845,637 |  | 11,568,520 | 15,960 |  |
| 201908 |  | - | 46,458,186 | 3,209,346 | 148 |  |  | $:$ |  |  | $5,646,454$ 5 5 5 | 9,396,738 |  | 51,38, 818 |  | 11,532,972 | 15.960 |  |
| 201909 201910 | ${ }_{(100,553)}^{(153,36)}$ | - | $46,458,186$ $46,458,186$ | $3,209,346$ <br> $3,209,346$ | 148 148 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5,8893,7890}$ | ${ }_{9}^{9,7947,184}$ |  | $51,528,893$ $51,963,946$ |  | $11,763,598$ $11,920,039$ | 15,960 15,960 |  |
| 201911 | (100,553) | - | 46,465,023 | 3,209,346 | 148 |  |  | - |  |  | 5,828,894 | 10,061,859 |  | 52,288,417 |  | 111,963,842 | 15.960 |  |
| ${ }_{2020012}^{2019}$ | ${ }_{(100,553)}^{(100,53)}$ | : | - $46.46456,551$ | $3,209,346$ 3,209346 3,296 | 1488 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,908,257 5.911 .306 | ${ }^{9,8793,7888}$ |  | $52,299,195$ 52.500827 |  | ${ }^{12,185,076} 12.201762$ | 15.960 15.960 |  |
| 202002 | $(100,553)$ |  | 46,456,507 | 3,209,346 | 148 |  |  | . |  |  | 5,911,306 | 9,830,650 |  | 52,782,085 |  | 12,198,754 | 15,960 |  |
|  | d Income taxes \$20,087,848 | 160920A01 | 20087848 | 20095627 | 20095627 | 2009562 | 20096628 | 20095629 | 2009562 | CCRox148 | CMY010141 | CMY010188 | CMY010189 | CRx000139 | CRx000212 | CRx000213 | CRXWAREHS | Grand Total |
|  | 23.1693\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{201801}^{201802}$ | : | , | \% | \% | : |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(3,698)}$ | ${ }_{(0,}^{(3,698)}$ |
| 201803 | - |  | - | $\cdot$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{(3,698)}$ | ${ }_{(3,698)}$ |
| ${ }_{201805}^{201804}$ | : | . | - |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |  | . |  | : | (3,698) | $(3,698)$ $(689196)$ |
| ${ }_{201806}^{201805}$ | : | : | $:$ | (6955,429) | : | - |  | . |  |  |  | : |  |  |  | : | (3,698) | ${ }_{(699,027)}^{(689,196)}$ |
| 201807 |  |  |  | (700,938) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(3,698)$ | (704,636) |
| 201808 201809 | : | : | : | (712,535) | : | : |  | : |  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  | (3, 3 (3,698) | (7146,233) |
| ${ }_{201810}^{20189}$ | : |  | : | (7202,735) $(723,920)$ | : | : |  | : |  |  |  | : |  |  |  | : | (3,698) | (7242,43) $(727,618)$ |
| 201811 201812 | : | : |  | (7777,1.192) | : |  |  | : |  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  | ( | (13365.8365) |
| ${ }_{201901}^{20181}$ | : | : | $(10,172,963)$ $(10,18,689)$ | $(7711,12)^{(72,828)}$ | : | : |  | : |  |  |  | : |  | - |  | $(2,547,702)$ $(2,53,388)$ | ( 3 (3,698) | $(13,465,465)$ $(13,52,554)$ |
| ${ }_{2}^{201902}$ | : | : | (10,358, 303) | (743.596) | $\cdot$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |  | (2,599,908) | ${ }_{\substack{3 \\(3,6998)}}^{(3,68)}$ | (13,705,504) |
| ${ }_{201904}^{20193}$ | : | : | (10,652,597) | (743,583) | (34) | . |  | : |  |  | (1,257,430) | - |  | (11,154,456) | . | ${ }_{(0)}^{(2,6369,582)}$ | (3,698) | ${ }_{(26,461,331)}$ |
| 201905 | - | - | (10,729,620) | (743,583) | (34) | . |  |  |  |  | (1,267,829) | (2,081,879) |  | (11,453,780) | - | $(2,611,244)$ | $(3,698)$ | $(28,891,668)$ |
| ${ }_{201907}^{20196}$ | : | : | (10,715,767) | ${ }_{(743,583)}^{(74353)}$ | (34) | . |  | . |  |  |  |  |  | ( $111,780,578)$ |  |  | ${ }_{(3,698)}^{(3,698)}$ | (29,098,256) |
| 201908 |  | - | (10,764,037) | (743,583) | (34) | - | - | - |  |  | (1,308,244) | (2,177,158) |  | (11,897,162) |  | (2,672,109) | (3,698) | (29,566,025) |
| 201909 | 35,532 | : | (10,764,037) | (774,583) | (34) | : |  |  |  |  | (1,320,599) | ${ }^{(2,212,272)}$ |  | (111,938,884) | - | ${ }^{(2,725,543)}$ | (3,698) | (29,673,119) |
| ${ }_{201911}^{201910}$ | ${ }_{2}^{23,297}$ | : | ( ${ }_{(10,7656,621)}$ | $(743,583)$ $(7833)$ | ${ }_{(34)}^{(34)}$ |  |  | - |  |  | ${ }_{(1,350,514)}^{(1,359,989)}$ |  |  | ( ${ }_{(12,12,114,861)}^{(12,68)}$ |  | $(2,761,790)$ $(2,71,939)$ | ${ }_{(0,5808)}^{(3,698)}$ | $(29,913,45)$ $(30,058,214)$ |
| 201912 | ${ }^{23,297}$ | - | (10,763,658) | (773,583) | (34) |  |  |  |  |  | (1,368,902) | (2, 270,542) |  | (12,096,505) |  | ${ }_{(2,823,197)}$ | ${ }_{(3,698)}$ | (30,046,822) |
| ${ }_{202001}^{20202}$ | 23,297 | : | (10, ${ }_{(10,763,648)}^{(10,68)}$ | (743,583) | (34) |  |  | . |  |  | ${ }_{(1,569}^{(1,369,608)}$ | $(2,276,148)$ <br> $(2,277,693)$ |  | (12,1644,074) |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a } \\(3,6988)}}^{(3,698)}$ | $\underset{(30,190,573)}{(30,124,59)}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Synchronize interest expense with end of period rate base
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

## E-1 Item 10 Adjustments Requirement

Provide the detail work papers showing calculations supporting all accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustments in the rate application to revenue, expense, investment, and reserve accounts for the test year and a complete detailed narrative explanation of each adjustment, including the reason why each adjustment is required. Explain all components used in each calculation. Index each calculation to the accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustment which it supports.

## Detailed Narrative Explanation of Adjustment

This pro-forma adjusts income taxes to reflect the tax impact that results from annualizing interest expense based on the end-of-period, adjusted rate base.
The impact to income taxes was determined as follows:
First, multiply rate base after all pro-forma adjustments have been made by the long-term debt ratio to calculate an adjusted long-term debt balance. Second, multiply the adjusted long-term debt balance by the end of year cost of long-term debt to calculate annualized interest expense. Third, subtract interest expense incurred during the test period from annualized interest expense and multiply the difference by the statutory tax rate.

## October Update

Reflects changes for October updates to actuals

## November Update

Reflects changes for November actuals

## December Update

Reflects changes for December actuals

## January Update

Reflects changes for January actuals

## February Update

Reflects changes for February actuals

## Second Settlement

Reflects changes for settlement adjustments flowing from other proformas


Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Synchronize interest expense with end of period rate base
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)

| Line |  |  | Total System | NC Retail Allocation |  | NC Retail |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Description |  | System | Allocation |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | Col [a] | Col [b] |  |  | Col [c] |
| 2 | Rate base before pro forma adjustments |  | 14,580,739 [1] | 67.6169\% | [2] | \$ | 9,859,050 [1] |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Pro forma rate base before working capital adjustment |  | 15,995,329 [3] |  |  |  | 10,815,553 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Long-term debt ratio |  | 48.0000\% [4] |  |  |  | 48.0000\% [4] |
| 7 | Calculated long-term debt ( $\mathrm{L} 4 \times \mathrm{L6} \mathrm{)}$ | \$ | 7,677,758 |  |  | \$ | 5,191,465 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | End of year cost of long-term debt |  | 4.0449\% [4] |  |  |  | 4.0449\% [4] |
| 10 | Annualized interest expense (L7 x L9) | \$ | 310,561 |  |  | \$ | 209,992 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Incurred interest expense |  | 315,466 [5] | 67.0949\% | [6] |  | 211,661 |
| 13 | Less interest on customer deposits |  | $(8,643)[7]$ |  |  |  | $(7,971)$ [7] |
| 14 | Net interest expense |  | 306,823 |  |  |  | 203,690 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Increase / <decrease> to interest costs (L10-L14) | \$ | 3,738 |  |  | \$ | $(1,669)$ |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Statutory tax rate |  | 23.1693\% [8] |  |  |  | 23.1693\% [8] |
| 19 | Impact to income taxes (-L16 x L18) |  | (866) |  |  | \$ | 387 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Impact to operating income (-L19) | \$ | 866 |  |  | \$ | (387) |

NC-2201
Second Settlement
[1] Smith Exhibit 1, Page 1, Line 12
[2] NC Retail Allocation Factor - Calculation: L2, Col [c] / L2, Col [a]
[3] Calculation: L4, Col [c] / L2, Col [b]
[4] Smith Exhibit 1, Page 2, Line 1
[5] Cost of Service, E-1 Item 45a, Total Other Interest Expense, Line 702
[6] NC Retail Allocation Factor - Net Book Plant
[7] Smith Exhibit 1, Page 1, Line 7
[8] NC-0104-2019 Tax Rate, Line 10

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-2300
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Adjust cash working capital for present revenue annualized and proposed revenue For the test period ended December 31, 2018

## E-1 Item 10 Adjustments Requirement

Provide the detail work papers showing calculations supporting all accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustments in the rate application to revenue, expense, investment, and reserve accounts for the test year and a complete detailed narrative explanation of each adjustment, including the reason why each adjustment is required. Explain all components used in each calculation. Index each calculation to the accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustment which it supports.

## Detailed Narrative Explanation of Adjustment

This pro forma adjusts cash working capital to incorporate the impact of the other pro forma adjustments. It also calculates the additional cash working capital required as a result of the proposed increase in rates. The adjustment is in accordance with the Commission's March 21, 2016 order in Docket No. M-100 Sub 137.

## October Update

Reflects changes for October updates to actuals

## November Update

Reflects changes for November actuals

December Update
Reflects changes for December actuals
January Update
Reflects changes for January 2020 actuals
February Update
Reflects changes for February 2020 actuals and revised E\&Y Lead Lag Study

## Settlement Update

Reflects changes for settlement adjustments flowing from other proformas

## Second Settlement

Reflects changes for settlement adjustments flowing from other proformas




## Duke Energy Progress, LLC <br> Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219 <br> Cash Working Capital for NC Retail Operations - Lead Lag Summary <br> For the test period ended December 31, 2018 Dollars in Thousands


[1] NC Retail Allocation Factor - Net Book Plant

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-2304
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Adjustment to Cash Working Capital - Input Worksheet
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

| Line No | Description |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rate | Ratio | Weighted |
| 1 | Debt | 4.04\% [1] | 48.00\% [1] | 1.9416\% [2] |
| 2 | Equity | 9.60\% [1] | 52.00\% [1] | 4.9920\% [3] |
| 3 | Total ROR (L1 + L2) |  |  | 6.9336\% |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Statutory tax rate | 23.1693\% [4] |  |  |
| 6 | Statutory regulatory fee percentage rate | 0.1297\% [5] |  |  |
| 7 | Uncollectibles rate | 0.24\% [6] |  |  |

Notes:
[1] Smith Exhibit 1, Page 2
[2] Debt Rate x Debt Ratio
[3] ROE x Equity Ratio
[4] NC-0104-2019 Tax Rate, Line 10
[5] NC-0103 - NCUC Statutory Regulatory Fee Percentage Rate, Docket No. M-100, Sub 142
[6] NC-0105 - Development of Uncollectibles Rate


Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

## Detailed Narrative Explanation of Adjustment

This pro forma adjusts depreciation expense and income taxes for the amortization of deferred costs related to Asheville Combined Cycle. The Company is seeking a deferral of depreciation, property taxes, incremental O\&M and return associated with the Asheville Combined Cycle from the date the plant is estimated to go into operation, December 2019, until rates are effective in September 2020.

## The impact to operating income was determined as follows:

The impact to depreciation expense reflects an annual level of amortization of deferred costs related to Asheville Combined Cycle, including a return on investment. Deferred costs are being amortized over a three year period.
The impact to income taxes is determined by multiplying taxable income by the statutory tax rate.

The impact to rate base was determined as follows:
The impact to working capital is determined by including the regulatory asset balance in rate base and offsetting it with one year of amortization. In addition, the asset is offset by associated ADIT.

## December Update

Updated NC-3403, NC-3404 and NC-3405 for actuals through December 2019.
Updated NC-3405 for the estimated amount to go in service through February 2020.

## January Update

Updated NC-3403, NC-3404 and NC-3405 for actuals through January 2019.
Updated NC-3405 for the estimated amount to go in service through February 2020.

## February Update

Updated NC-3403, NC-3404 and NC-3405 for actuals through February 2020; updated NC-3402 and NC-3403 to exclude O\&M from Asheville CC deferral; NC-3406 updated to include the actual level of inventory on hand at Asheville CC when it became operational (01/31/2020)

## Rebuttal

Updated NC-3406 after discussions with PS on O\&M annualization methodology for new plant. Updated NC-3403 to correct ADIT formula for March 2020.
Updated NC-3405 for Other Production Plant in service balance due to updated April forecast. Liquidated damages were removed from the calculation as no settlement is expected near term.

## Settlement

Adjusted NC-3401 to account for the Public Staff/Company settlement of the Asheville production displacement O\&M and to remove Asheville deferral and associated ADIT from rate base (annuity factor method);
Added NC-3402-1 to account for the amortization calculated on the annuity factor method

## Second Settlement

Reflect changes in ROE and debt rate

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-3400
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Second Settlement
Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)


Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)

| Line |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Description | NC Retail |  |
| 1 Impact to Income Statement Line Items |  |  |  |
| 2 | Average Annual Combined Cycle O\&M | \$ | 2,613 [1] |
| 3 | Production displacement adjustment per Public Staff |  | $(4,072)$ |
| 4 | Impact to O\&M (L2) | \$ | $(1,459)$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Annual levelized amortization expense | \$ | 8,897 [2] |
| 7 | Impact to depreciation and amortization (L6) | \$ | 8,897 |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 | Statutory tax rate | 23.1693\% [3] |  |
| 10 | Impact to income taxes (-(L4+ L7) x L9) | \$ | $(1,723)$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |
| 12 | Impact to operating income (-L4-L7-L10) | \$ | $(5,715)$ |
| 13 ( |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |
| 15 Impact to Rate Base Line Items |  |  |  |
| 16 | Estimated level of inventory at Asheville CC at operational date | \$ | 3,488 [1] |
| 17 | Impact to materials and supplies (L16) | \$ | 3,488 |
| 18 |  |  |  |
| 19 | Regulatory asset at Sep 1, 2020 (L6) | \$ | - |
| 20 | Less first year of amortization (-L7) |  | - |
| 21 | Impact to working capital investment (Sum L19 through L20) | \$ | - |
| 22 (Sum |  |  |  |
| 23 | Deferred tax rate | 23.1693\% [3] |  |
| 24 | Impact to accumulated deferred income tax (-L21 x L23) | \$ | - |
| 25 |  |  |  |
|  | Impact to rate base (L17 + L21 + L24) | \$ | 3,488 |

[1] NC-3406 Asheville Combined Cycle - Average O\&M and Inventory Balances [2] NC-3402-1 Asheville Combined Cycle Amortization Expense
[3] NC-0104-2019 Calculation of Tax Rates - Statutory Tax Rate, Line 10

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Second Settlement
Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)
Expected Balance of Deferred Costs at September 1, 2020 - Asheville Combined Cycle - NC Retail

| Line No. | Description | Other |  | Transmission [2] |  | Total NC Retail |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Deferred Cost of Capital | \$ | 16,335 | \$ | 475 | \$ | 16,809 |
| 2 | Deferred Depreciation |  | 11,062 |  | 94 |  | 11,156 |
| 3 | Deferred O\&M Expense |  | 1,770 |  | - |  | 1,770 |
| 4 | Deferred Property Tax Expense |  | 1,040 |  | 20 |  | 1,060 |
| 5 | After-Tax Return on Deferred Expenses |  | 668 |  | 16 |  | 684 |
| 6 | Total expected deferral balance in Regulatory Asset (Sum L1 through L5) | \$ | 30,874 | \$ | 605 | \$ | 31,479 |

[1] NC-3403 - Asheville Combined Cycle Deferral Calculation -Defer From In Service Date to Rates Effective Date - Other Production - NC Retail, Line 13
[2] NC-3404 - Asheville Combined Cycle Deferral Calculation -Defer From In Service Date to Rates Effective Date - Transmission NC Retail, Line 13

Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

Asheville Combined Cycle Amortization Expense

| Line <br> No. | Item | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annuity Factor |  |
| 1 | Amortization period recommended by Public Staff in years | 4 |
| 2 | Payment per period | 1 |
| 3 | After tax rate of return (L18) | 6.48\% |
| 4 | Present value of 1 dollar over number of years with 1 payment per year | 3.4270 |
| 5 | 1 plus (interest rate divided by two) | 1.0324 |
| 6 | Annuity factor (L4 x L5) | 3.5380 |
| 7 | Deferred costs | \$ 31,479 1/ |
| 8 | Annuity factor (L6) | 3.5380 |
| 9 | Annual levelized amortization expense (L7 / L8) | \$8,897 |


|  |  | Capital Structure $2 /$ <br> (a) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Cost } \\ \text { Rates } \end{array}{ }^{2 /} \end{aligned}$ | Overall Rate of Return <br> (c) | Net of Tax <br> Rate <br> (d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After Tax Rate of Return |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Long-term debt | 48.00\% | 4.04\% | 1.94\% | 1.49\% |
| 13 | Common equity | 52.00\% | 9.60\% | 4.99\% | 4.99\% |
| 14 | Total | $\underline{ }$ |  | 6.93\% | 6.48\% |

1 NC-3402 - Expected Balance of Deferred Costs at 9/1/2020 - Asheville CC - NC Retail
2 Smith Settlement Exhibit 1 - Page 2
Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred cost talance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

Asheville Combined Cycle Deferral Calculation -Defer From In Service Date to Rates Effective Date - Other Production - NC Retail

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
For the test period end
(Dollars in thousands)
Asheville Combined Cycle Deferral Calculation -Defer From In Service Date to Rates Effective Date - Other Production - NC Retail

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Deferred Property Tax Expense [6] |  |  | After-Tax Return on Deferred Expenses |  |  | Deferred Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ | Total | $\underline{2019}$ | 2020 | Total | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ | Total |
| 2 | Plant in Service Dec 2019 costs (U1 12/27/ | 12 |  | 12 | 0 |  | 0 | 202 |  | 202 |
| 3 | Jan 2020 costs |  | 105 | 105 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 2,913 | 2,913 |
| 4 | Feb 2020 costs |  | 105 | 105 |  | 27 | 27 |  | 3,076 | 3,076 |
| 5 | Mar 2020 costs |  | 105 | 105 |  | 46 | 46 |  | 3,086 | 3,086 |
| 6 | Apr 2020 costs |  | 143 | 143 |  | 66 | 66 |  | 3,951 | 3,951 |
| 7 | May 2020 costs |  | 143 | 143 |  | 91 | 91 |  | 4,390 | 4,390 |
| 8 | Jun 2020 costs |  | 143 | 143 |  | 117 | 117 |  | 4,404 | 4,404 |
| 9 | Jul 2020 costs |  | 143 | 143 |  | 143 | 143 |  | 4,419 | 4,419 |
| 10 | Aug 2020 costs |  | 143 | 143 |  | 169 | 169 |  | 4,433 | 4,433 |
|  | Total Costs Through Aug 31,2020 | 12 | 1,028 | 1,040 | 0 | 668 | 668 | 202 | 30,672 | 30,874 |
| 1 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Cost of Capital [8]: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Assumed Capital Structure: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Long-Term Debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Common Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Cost Rates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Long-Term Debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Common Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cost Components: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 25 | Long-Term Debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 26 | Common Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Depreciation Rates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Book depreciation rate - Other Production - Asheville CC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 31 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | Average Property Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Deferred tax rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{[2]}$ Other Production additions that qualify for bonus depreciation multiplied by $40 \%$ and then the deferred tax rate on Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {[3] }}$ NC-3406 - Asheville Combined Cycle - Average O\&M and Inventory Balances, Line 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [4] NC-1011-Adjust for Asheville base load CWIP - Docket No. E-2, Sub 1142 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [5] O\&M during the deferral period was removed from the calculation for February supplemental filing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [6] Plant Balance column divided by 12 months multiplied by Line 31.[7] NC-0104-2019 Calculation of Tax Rates - Statutory Tax Rate, Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [8] Cost of capital rates from Docket No. E-2, Sub 1142 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [9] NC-0901- Annualize property taxes on year end plant balances - Average property tax rate-Combined NC and SC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [10] Asheville CC composite depreciation rate provided by Asset Accounting <br> [11] Adjusted to reflect a rates effective date of Sep 1, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
Dollars in thousands)
Asheville Combined Cycle Deferral Calculation -Defer From In Service Date to Rates Effective Date - Transmission - NC Retail

| Line | Description | PlantBal [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADIT } \\ & \text { Bal [2] } \end{aligned}$ | Average Inventory Bal [3] | Accumulated Depreciation | Remove CWIP in Rate Base | Rate Base |  | Deferred Cost of Capital |  |  | Deferred Depreciation |  |  | Deferred O\&M Expense [4] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ | Total | 2019 | $\underline{2020}$ | Total | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ |  |
| 3 | Plant in Service Dec 2019 costs Jan 2020 costs | 7,422 7,431 | (67) | - | (12) | - | 7,354 7,351 |  | 53 | 53 | 53 53 |  | 12 | 12 | - | . |  |
| 4 | Feb 2020 costs | 7,436 | (67) | - | (24) | - | 7,345 |  |  | 53 | 53 |  | 12 | 12 |  | - |  |
| 5 | Mar 2020 costs | 7,436 | (67) | - | (35) | - | 7,333 |  |  | 53 | 53 |  | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Apr 2020 costs | 7,436 | (67) | - | (47) | - | 7,322 |  |  | 53 | 53 |  | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |
| 7 | May 2020 costs | 7,436 | (67) | - | (59) | - | 7,310 |  |  | 53 | 53 |  | 12 | 12 |  | - |  |
| 8 | Jun 2020 costs | 7,436 | (67) | - | (71) | - | 7,298 |  |  | 53 | 53 |  | 12 | 12 |  | - |  |
| 9 | Jul 2020 costs | 7,436 | (67) | - | (82) | - | 7,286 |  |  | 52 | 52 |  | 12 | 12 |  | - |  |
| 10 | Aug 2020 costs | 7,436 | (67) | - | (94) | - | 7,275 |  |  | 52 | 52 |  | 12 | 12 |  | - |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Total Costs Through Aug 31,2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 422 | 475 | - | 94 | 94 | $-$ | - |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | After-Tax | Tax |  | Pre-Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Cost of Capital [7]: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Equity | Rate |  | Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Assumed Capital Structure: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Long-Term Debt |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Common Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Cost Rates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Long-Term Debt |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.05\% |  |  | 4.0500\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Common Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.90\% | 23.1693\% |  | 12.8855\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.95\% |  |  | $\underline{16.9355 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Cost Components: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Long-Term Debt |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9440\% |  |  | 1.9440\% | 22.4884\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Common Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.1480\% | 23.1693\% |  | 6.7004\% | 77.5116\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0920\% |  |  | 8.6444\% | 100.0000\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Depreciation Rates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Book depreciation rate - Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.90\% | [9] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Average Property Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3626\% | [8] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Deferred tax rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.1693\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{NC}-3404$
Page 1 of 2

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
Dollars in thousands)
Asheville Combined Cycle Deferral Calculation -Defer From In Service Date to Rates Effective Date - Transmission - NC Retail

| Line |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{\text { No. }}{}$ | Description |
| 1 |  |
| 2 | Plant in Service Dec 2019 costs |
| 3 | Jan 2020 costs |
| 4 | Feb 2020 costs |
| 5 | Mar 2020 costs |
| 6 | Apr 2020 costs |
| 7 | May 2020 costs |
| 8 | Jun 2020 costs |
| 9 | Jul 2020 costs |
| 10 | Aug 2020 costs |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | Total Costs Through Aug 31,2020 |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | Cost of Capital [7]: |
| 16 | Assumed Capital Structure: |
| 17 | Long-Term Debt |
| 18 | Common Equity |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | Cost Rates: |
| 21 | Long-Term Debt |
| 22 | Common Equity |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | Cost Components: |
| 25 | Long-Term Debt |
| 26 | Common Equity |
| 27 | Rate |
| 28 | Depreciation Rates: |
| 29 | Book depreciation rate - Transmissio |
| 30 | Average Property Tax Rate |
| 32 | Deferred tax rate |


| Deferred Property Tax Expense [5] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ | Total |
| 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 |
|  | , | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 18 | 20 |


| After-Tax Return on Deferred Expenses |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ | Total |
| 0 |  | 0 |
|  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 |
|  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 3 | 3 |
|  | 3 | 3 |
| 0 | 15 | 16 |


| Deferred Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{2020}$ | Total |
| 55 |  | 55 |
|  | 67 | 67 |
|  | 68 | 68 |
|  | 68 | 68 |
|  | 68 | 68 |
|  | 69 | 69 |
|  | 69 | 69 |
|  | 69 | 69 |
|  | 70 | 70 |
| 55 | 549 | 605 |

14
16 Assumed Capital Structure
17 Long-Term Debt
19 Ros
21 Long-Term Deb
22 Common Equity
24 Cost Components
25 Long-Term Deb
27 Rate
29 Depreciation Rates:
30 Book depreciation rate - Transmission
32 Deferred tax rate

1] NC-3405 - Asheville Combined Cycle - Plant in Service - Costs by Month
2] Transmission additions that qualify for bonus depreciation multiplied by $40 \%$ and then the deferred tax rate on Line 32
3] Not estimating incremental inventory for the transmission additions.
4] Not estimating incremental O\&M for the transmission additions.
[5] Plant Balance column divided by 12 months multiplied by Line 31.
[6] NC-0104-2019 Calculation of Tax Rates - Statutory Tax Rate, Line 10
7] Cost of capital rates from Docket No. E-2, Sub 1142
8] NC-0901 - Annualize property taxes on year end plant balances - Average property tax rate-Combined NC and SC
9] NC-0802 - Adjustment of Depreciation Expense to Reflect Plant in Service for 12 Months Ended December 31, 2018, Transmission Other depr rate
[10] Adjusted to reflect a rates effective date of Sep 1, 2020

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)
Asheville Combined Cycle - Plant in Service - Costs by Month

| Line <br> No. | Year | Month | System <br> Other <br> Production |  | System Transmission |  | NC Retail Allocation | NC Retail Allocation | NC Retail Other Production |  | Retail mission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2019 | 12 | 491,258 | [1] | 12,438 | [1] | 61.5278\% [2] | 59.6699\% [3] | 302,260 |  | 7,422 |
| 3 | 2020 | 1 | 564,413 | [1] | 12,453 | [1] | 61.5278\% [2] | 59.6699\% [3] | 347,271 |  | 7,431 |
| 4 | 2020 | 2 | 564,413 | [1] | 12,462 | [1] | 61.5278\% [2] | 59.6699\% [3] | 347,271 |  | 7,436 |
| 5 | 2020 | 3 | 564,413 | [1] | 12,462 | [4] | 61.5278\% [2] | 59.6699\% [3] | 347,271 |  | 7,436 |
| 6 | 2020 | 4 | 767,067 | [4][5] | 12,462 | [4] | 61.5278\% [2] | 59.6699\% [3] | 471,960 |  | 7,436 |
| 7 | 2020 | 5 | 767,067 | [4] | 12,462 | [4] | 61.5278\% [2] | 59.6699\% [3] | 471,960 |  | 7,436 |
| 8 | 2020 | 6 | 767,067 | [4] | 12,462 | [4] | 61.5278\% [2] | 59.6699\% [3] | 471,960 |  | 7,436 |
| 9 | 2020 | 7 | 767,067 | [4] | 12,462 | [4] | 61.5278\% [2] | 59.6699\% [3] | 471,960 |  | 7,436 |
| 10 | 2020 | 8 | 767,067 | [4] | 12,462 | [4] | 61.5278\% [2] | 59.6699\% [3] | 471,960 |  | 7,436 |
| 11 | Total | ect Cost | \$ 767,067 |  | \$ 12,462 |  |  |  | \$ 471,960 | \$ | 7,436 |

[1] Estimated amounts provided by Asheville Combined Cycle Project Management
[2] NC Retail Allocation Factor - DPALL
[3] NC Retail Allocation Factor - DTALL
[4] Forecasted amount updated as of rebuttal is based on actual amounts in service through March 31, 2020 and the expected plant impacts of $\$ 202,654$ estimated to close to plant in service in April 2020 after Unit 8 was placed in operation on April 5, 2020. The amounts no longer include the offset of liquidated damages due to lack of settlement or recovery of those dollars.
[5] Adjusted the Asheville CC project costs to exclude approximately $\$ 208,000$ of Task Force consulting expenses noted in PS DR 125-5 from rate base
Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Amortize deferred cost balance related to Asheville Combined Cycle
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

For the test period ended December 31, 2018
Asheville Combined Cycle - Average O\&M and Inventory Balances

| Line No. | Account |  | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { FF Lee CC } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { HF Lee CC } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & \text { FLee CC } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Sutton CC } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Sutton CC } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Sutton CC } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { SLee CC } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total | Asheville CC stimated O\&M |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0546000 - Suprvsn and Enginring - Ct Oper | \$ | 92,198 | \$ | 100,617 | \$ | 100,007 | \$ | 232,804 | \$ | 179,490 | \$ | 110,939 | \$ | 457,215 | \$ | 1,273,270 | \$ | 141,527 |
| 2 | 0548100-Generation Expenses - Other Ct |  | 119,879 |  | 116,758 |  | 132,531 |  | 148,997 |  | 153,474 |  | 173,147 |  | 61,930 |  | 906,716 |  | 100,783 |
| 3 | 0548200 - Prime Movers - Generators - Ct |  | 65,911 |  | 99,916 |  | 10,918 |  | 502 |  | 11,945 |  | $(5,327)$ |  | 103,633 |  | 287,498 |  | 31,956 |
| 4 | 0549000 - Misc - Power Generation Expense |  | 1,381,785 |  | 1,743,750 |  | 1,317,717 |  | 1,315,850 |  | 1,015,091 |  | 886,985 |  | 1,937,135 |  | 9,598,313 |  | 1,066,872 |
| 5 | 0551000 - Suprvsn and Enginring - Ct Maint |  | 177,498 |  | 184,128 |  | 116,985 |  | 230,797 |  | 165,793 |  | 132,238 |  | 180,865 |  | 1,188,304 |  | 132,082 |
| 6 | 0552000 - Maintenance of Structures - Ct |  | 1,547,782 |  | 906,408 |  | 1,376,132 |  | 935,485 |  | 1,046,433 |  | 1,044,128 |  | 1,586,405 |  | 8,442,773 |  | 938,431 |
| 7 | 0553000 - Maint - Gentg and Elect Equip - Cl |  | 1,388,188 |  | 1,451,269 |  | 1,728,401 |  | 1,075,199 |  | 888,315 |  | 1,130,820 |  | 2,184,052 |  | 9,846,244 |  | 1,094,430 |
| 8 | 0554000 - Misc Power Generation Plant - Ct |  | 713,674 |  | 917,999 |  | 566,782 |  | 861,489 |  | 845,555 |  | 1,080,399 |  | 1,850,331 |  | 6,836,229 |  | 759,861 |
| 9 | 0570100 - Maint Stat Equip - Other_Trans |  | - |  | 1,136 |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 5,860 |  | 6,996 |  | 778 |
| 10 | Total O\&M | \$ | 5,486,914 | \$ | 5,521,982 | \$ | 5,349,473 | \$ | 4,801,124 | \$ | 4,306,096 | \$ | 4,553,328 | \$ | 8,367,427 | \$ | 38,386,344 | \$ | 4,266,720 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | MW Capacity (Per Duke Energy website) |  | 920 |  | 920 |  | 920 |  | 625 |  | 625 |  | 625 |  | 750 |  |  |  | 588 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | Dollars per MW Capacity |  | \$5,964 |  | \$6,002 |  | \$5,815 |  | \$7,682 |  | \$6,890 |  | \$7,285 |  | \$11,157 |  |  |  | \$4,266,720 |

15 Average per MW capacity
16
17
18 Actual level of inventory for Asheville CC at the time the plant becomes operational (01/31/2020)
$\$ 5,135,089$

| NC Retail |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { NC Retail } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allocation |  |  |  |
| 61.5278\% | [2] | \$ | 87,078 |
| 61.5278\% | [2] |  | 62,010 |
| 61.5278\% | [2] |  | 19,662 |
| 61.5278\% | [2] |  | 656,423 |
| 61.1093\% | [3] |  | 80,715 |
| 61.1093\% | [3] |  | 573,469 |
| 61.1093\% | [3] |  | 668,799 |
| 61.1093\% | [3] |  | 464,346 |
| 59.6699\% | [4] |  | 464 |
|  |  |  | ,612,965 |

[1] Direct Operation and Maintenance expenses, excluding outage costs, provided by Regulated Utility Finance
[2] NC Retail Allocation Factor - DPALL
4] NC Retail Allocation Factor - E1ALL
[5] Estimated Inventory level provided by Supply Chain/Asset Accounting
[6] NC Retail Allocation Factor - PTDG
7] Per www.duke-energy.com

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Narrative
Settlement adjustment to remove certain items
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

## E-1 Item 10 Adjustments Requirement

Provide the detail work papers showing calculations supporting all accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustments in the rate application to revenue, expense, investment, and reserve accounts for the test year and a complete detailed narrative explanation of each adjustment, including the reason why each adjustment is required. Explain all components used in each calculation. Index each calculation to the accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustment which it supports.

Detailed Narrative Explanation of Adjustment

Supplemental Rebuttal Update
Adjustment to remove certain expenses agreed to in the partial settlement and stipulation.

## Second Settlement

Adjustment to reduce deommissioning expenses to settlement

[1] NC-3802 - Settlement adjustment to remove certain Sponsorships cost
[2] NC-3805 - Settlement adjustment to remove certain Outside Services
[3] NC-3803 - Settlement adjustment to remove amounts defined by Public Staff as Lobbying
[4] NC-3804 - Settlement adjustment to remove certain BOD expenses
[5] NC-0104-2018 Calculation of Tax Rates - Statutory Tax Rate, Line 10
[6] DPALL

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Settlement adjustment to remove certain items
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(Dollars in thousands)

| Line No. | Description | Total Carolinas |  | NC Retail Allocation | Total NC Retail |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Impact to Income Statement Line Items: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Remove O\&M related to Sponsorships | \$ | (38) [1] | 61.528\% [6] | \$ | (23) |
| 3 | Remove O\&M related to Outside Services |  | (52) [2] | 61.528\% [6] |  | (32) |
| 4 | Remove O\&M related to Lobbying |  | $(2,429)[3]$ | 61.528\% [6] |  | $(1,494)$ |
| 5 | Remove O\&M related to BOD |  | $(2,086)[4]$ | 61.528\% [6] |  | $(1,283)$ |
| 6 | Impact to other operation and maintenance expense (Sum L2 through L5) | \$ | $(4,606)$ |  | \$ | $(2,834)$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Adjust nuclear decommissioning expense |  |  |  | \$ | $(8,700)$ |
| 9 | Impact to Depreciation and amortization expense (L8) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Taxable income (-L6) |  |  |  | \$ 11,534 |  |
| 9 | Statutory tax rate |  |  |  | 23.1693\% [5] |  |
| 10 | Impact to income taxes (L8 x L9) |  |  |  | \$ | 2,672 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Impact to operating income (L8-L10) |  |  |  | \$ | 8,861 |

NC-3801
Second Settlement

Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Settlement adjustment to remove certain items
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(in Thousands)

## Settlement adjustment to remove certain Sponsorships cost

| Line No | Item | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Remove sponsorships and donations related to chambers of commerce | \$ | (37) |
| 2 | NC retail percentage |  | 61.528\% |
| 3 | NC Retail Adjustment to Other O\&M | \$ | (23) |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Taxable income (-L3) | \$ | 23 |
| 6 | Statutory tax rate |  | 23.1693\% |
| 7 | Impact to income taxes (L13 x L14) | \$ | 5 |

[1] DPALL

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-3803
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Settlement adjustment to remove certain items
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(in Thousands)

## Settlement adjustment to remove amounts defined by Public Staff as Lobbyinc

| Line No. | Item | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Remove Stakeholder Engagement O\&M charges related to lobbying |  | (\$1,343) [1] |
| 2 | Remove State Government Affairs O\&M charges related to lobbying |  | (94) [1] |
| 3 | Remove Federal Affairs O\&M charges related to lobbying |  | (992) [1] |
| 4 | Total lobbying costs to be removed from O\&M expense (L1 + L2 + L3) |  | $(2,429)$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 | NC retail percentage |  | 61.528\% [2] |
| 7 | Public Staff adjustment to remove lobbying expense (L4 $\times \mathrm{L} 5$ ) |  | $(\$ 1,494)$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 | Taxable income (-L3) | \$ | 1,494 |
| 10 | Statutory tax rate |  | 23.1693\% |
| 11 | Impact to income taxes (L13 x L14) | \$ | 346 |

[^5]2/ DPALL

Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Settlement adjustment to remove certain items
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(in Thousands)

## Settlement adjustment to remove certain BOD expenses

| Line No. | Item | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Total Board of Directors (BOD) cash compensation | \$ | 421 1 |
| 2 | Percentage of exclusion per Settlement |  | 50\% 2 |
| 3 | Adjustment to BOD compensation (-L1 x L2) | \$ | (210) |
| 4 | BOD insurance charged to DEP | \$ | 3,514 3 |
| 5 | Percentage of exclusion per Settlement |  | 50\% 2 |
| 6 | Adjustment to BOD insurance (-L4 $\times$ L5) | \$ | $(1,757)$ |
| 7 | BOD and executive members expenses allocated to DEP | \$ | 2374 |
| 8 | Percentage of exclusion per Settlement |  | 50\% 2 |
| 9 | Adjustment to BOD and executive members expenses (-L7 x L8) | \$ | (119) |
| 10 | Total adjustment to BOD compensation and expenses (L3 + L6 + L9) | \$ | $(2,086)$ |
| 11 | NC retail percentage |  | 61.5278\% 5 |
| 12 | Adjustment to BOD expenses - NC retail (L10 x L11) | \$ | $(1,283)$ |
| 13 | Taxable income (-L12) | \$ | 1,283 |
| 14 | Statutory tax rate |  | 23.1693\% |
| 15 | Impact to income taxes (L13 x L14) | \$ | 297 |

[^6] 2/ Recommended by Public Staff.
3/ Company Response to Public Staff Data Request No. 71, Item 1.
4/ Company Response to Public Staff Data Request No. 72 , Item 2.
5/ DPALL

Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Settlement adjustment to remove certain items
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(in Thousands)

## Settlement adjustment to remove certain Outside Services

| Line No | Item | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Remove outside services costs agreed upon | \$ | (52) |
| 2 | NC retail percentage |  | 61.5278\% |
| 3 | NC Retail Adjustment to Other O\&M | \$ | (32) |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Taxable income (-L3) | \$ | 32 |
| 6 | Statutory tax rate |  | 23.1693\% |
| 7 | Impact to income taxes (L13 x L14) | \$ | 7 |

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Settlement adjustment to remove certain items
For the test period ended December 31, 2018
(in Thousands)

## Settlement adjustment to adjust nuclear decommissioning expense

| Line No | Item |  |  | Annual Expense |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Base rates |  |  | \$ | 16,537 [1] |
| 2 | Joint Agency Asset Rider |  |  |  | 3,054 |
| 3 | Total Nuclear Decommissing Expense |  |  | \$ | 19,590 [2] |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Proposed \% exclusion |  | 25\% |  |  |
| 6 | Reduction to expense (L1 x -L5) | \$ | $(4,134)$ |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | NC Retail Adjustment |  |  | \$ | $(8,700)$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Taxable income (-L6) |  |  | \$ | 8,700 |
| 11 | Statutory tax rate |  |  |  | 23.1693\% |
| 12 | Impact to income taxes (L8 x L9) |  |  |  | 2,016 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Impact to operating income (-L6-L10) |  |  | \$ | 6,684 |

[1] Direct Testimony of Shana Angers, pg 6
[2] Annual NC Retail amount of nuclear decommissioning expense as approved in Docket No. E-2, Sub 1142

Duke Energy Progress, LLC
NC-4000
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Narrative
Adjust Rate Base for EDIT
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

## E-1 Item 10 Adjustments Requirement

Provide the detail work papers showing calculations supporting all accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustments in the rate application to revenue, expense, investment, and reserve accounts for the test year and a complete detailed narrative explanation of each adjustment, including the reason why each adjustment is required. Explain all components used in each calculation. Index each calculation to the accounting, pro forma, end-of-period, and proposed rate adjustment which it supports.

Detailed Narrative Explanation of Adjustment

Supplemental Rebuttal
As agreed with in settlement, this pro forma reflects an adjustment to rate base based on Public Staff levelized EDIT rider methodology.


Duke Energy Progress, LLC NC-4001
Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219
Page 1 of 1
Adjust Rate Base for EDIT
Second Settlement
For the test period ended December 31, 2018

| No. | Description | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Impact to Rate Base |  |
| 2 | Adjust Rate Base for EDIT per Public Staff | 538,063 [1] |

[1] Per Dorgan Supplemental Exhibit 1, Schedule 2-1, Page 1 Line 16, column (b).

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC

## SUMMARY OF PROPOSED REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS

## DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219 FOR THE TEST PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

(Thousands of Dollars)
$\qquad$
\$
408,933
7,381
$(2,091)$
$(147,058)$
Description

Annual EDIT Rider 2 - Year 1 giveback

Subtotal
Description

Regulatory Asset and Liability Rider

Net Revenue Increase
$(152,348) \quad$ Smith Second Settlement Exhibit 4

Sum L3-L17
Reference

Smith Second Settlement Exhibit 1
Smith Exhibit 3

Smith Exhibit 5

Smith Exhibit 2 Second Settlement

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC
Smith Exhibit 3 Reconciliation of Revenue Requirement
(Thousands of Dollars)

| Line <br> No. | Item | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Revenue requirement increase per Company application |  | 585,961 |
| 2 | Revenue impact of Company adjustments through Settlement |  | $(173,156)$ |
| 3 | Revenue impact of supplemental updates through May |  | 25,406 |
| 4 | Revenue impact of Second Supplemental 9.75 and 52/48 |  | $(48,774)$ |
| 5 | Revenue requirement increase per Company Second Supplemental Filing | \$ | 389,438 |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Updated Proformas: |  |  |
| 8 | Ex 1 Adjust ROE from 9.75\% to 9.6\% |  | $(10,508)$ |
| 9 | Ex 1 Adjust D/E Ratio from 52/48 |  | - |
| 10 | Ex 1 Adjust Debt rate from $4.11 \%$ to 4.04\% |  | $(4,043)$ |
| 11 | NC0400 Annualize revenues for customer growth |  | (910) |
| 12 | NC1100 Amortize deferred environmental costs |  | $(2,816)$ |
| 13 | NC0700 Adjust O\&M for executive compensation |  | (26) |
| 14 | NC2200 Adjust synchronized interest expense |  | $(2,243)$ |
| 15 | NC2300 Adjust cash working capital under present rates |  | (125) |
| 16 | NC2300 Adjust cash working capital under proposed rates |  | 3,395 |
| 17 | NC3400 Amortize deferred balance Asheville Combined Cycle |  | (73) |
| 18 | NC3800 Remove certain Settlement Items |  | $(8,732)$ |
| 19 | NC4000 Adjust Rate Base for EDIT |  | 45,575 |
| 20 | Total Revenue impact of adjustments | \$ | 19,495 |
| 21 |  |  |  |
|  | Revenue Requirement per Smith Exhibit 1 Second Settlement | \$ | 408,933 |

# Duke Energy Progress, LLC <br> DOCKET E-2 Sub 1219 <br> <br> North Carolina Retail Operations <br> <br> North Carolina Retail Operations <br> <br> Smith Second Settlement Exhibit 4 <br> <br> Smith Second Settlement Exhibit 4 <br> <br> CALCULATION OF LEVELIZED FEDERAL PROVISIONAL EDIT RIDER CREDIT <br> <br> CALCULATION OF LEVELIZED FEDERAL PROVISIONAL EDIT RIDER CREDIT <br> For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2018 <br> (in Thousands) 

Line

## No.

Item

| Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | Revenue | Revenue | Revenue | Revenue | Revenue |
| Requirement | Requirement | Requirement | Requirement | Requirement | Requirement |
| (a) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (b) | (c) |

Annual EDIT used to offset interim rates
Monthly EDIT used to offset interim rates
Estimated number of months of interim rates to compliance rates per NCUC Order
EDIT used to offset interim rates
Return component
Amortization component

Preliminary NC retail Unprotected Federal EDIT regulatory liability
Reduction for amount flowed back during Interim Rate period (L6)
Total NC retail Unprotected Federal EDIT regulatory liability to be amortized (L7-L8) Annuity factor
Levelized rider EDIT regulatory liability (L9 / L10)
One minus composite income tax rate
Net operating income effect (L11 x L12)
Retention factor
Levelized rider EDIT credit (L13 / L14)
Total NC retail NC State EDIT and Deferred Revenue regulatory liability to be amortized Annuity factor
Levelized rider EDIT regulatory liability (L16 / L17)
One minus composite income tax rate
Net operating income effect (L18 x L19)
Retention factor
Levelized rider EDIT credit (L20 / L21)
$(211,591) 5 /$
$(17,633)$
$4.0 \quad 5 /$
$(70,530)$
$(11,629)$
$(58,901)$
(b) (b)
(b)
(b)
(c)
$(403,750) 1 /$
$(58,901)$

| $(344,849)$ | $(344,849) 1 /$ | $(344,849)$ 1/ | $(344,849) 1 /$ | $(344,849) 1 /$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4.2922{ }^{2 /}$ | $4.2922{ }^{21}$ | $4.2922{ }^{2 /}$ | $4.2922{ }^{2 /}$ | $4.2922{ }^{2 /}$ |  |
| $(80,343)$ | $(80,343)$ | $(80,343)$ | $(80,343)$ | $(80,343)$ | (\$401,715) |
| 76.8307\% 3/ | 76.8307\% 3/ | 76.8307\% 3/ | 76.8307\% 3/ | 76.8307\% 3/ | 76.8307\% |
| $(61,728)$ | $(61,728)$ | $(61,728)$ | $(61,728)$ | $(61,728)$ | $(308,640)$ |
| 0.765471 4/ | 0.765471 4/ | 0.765471 4/ | 0.765471 4/ | $0.7654714 /$ | 0.765471 |
| (\$80,641) | (\$80,641) | $(\$ 80,641)$ | (\$80,641) | (\$80,641) | (\$403,205) |

$\begin{array}{cc}\$(134,312) & 1 / \\ 1.8800 ~ & 2 /\end{array}$
$\operatorname{lin}^{1.81,443)}{ }^{2 \prime} \quad 1.8800$


Dorgan Supplemental Exhibit 1, Sch 2-1(b), Federal EDIT amounts from Line 2, NC EDIT and Deferred Revenue balances are from Lines $3+4$.
Calculation of Levelized Factors, Line 6.
One minus composite income tax rate of 23.1693\%.
Maness Stipulation Exhibit 1, Schedule 1-2, Line 14, Column (d).
Will be trued up with actual months of interim rates and approved offset amount if different in compliance filing

> Duke Energy Progress, LLC
> DOCKET E-2 Sub 1219
> NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL
> Smith Second Settlement Exhibit 4
> Calculation of Levelized Factors


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing SECOND SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN G. DE MAY, DYLAN W. D'ASCENDIS, KARL W. NEWLIN AND KIM H. SMITH was served electronically or by depositing a copy in United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, properly addressed to the parties of record.

This the $31^{\text {st }}$ day of July 2020.
/s/ Kiran H. Mehta
Kiran H. Mehta
Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP
301 S. College Street, Suite 3400
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202
Telephone: 704.998.4072
Kiran.mehta@troutman.com

ATTORNEY FOR DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC


[^0]:    1 See, Second Agreement and Stipulation of Partial Settlement, July 31, 2020, at 9. I refer to the 9.60 percent ROE as the "Stipulated ROE", the 52.00 percent equity ratio as the "Stipulated Equity Ratio", and the 6.93 percent overall Rate of Return as the "Stipulated Rate of Return". Ibid.

[^1]:    3 See Settlement Exhibit DWD-1. Rebuttal Testimony of Dylan W. D'Ascendis, at 182. Ibid.

[^2]:    9 Source: Regulatory Research Associates. "Top Third" includes Above Average/1,2,3 and Average $/ 1$; "Average" includes Average/2 and Average/3; "Bottom Third" includes Below Average $/ 1,2,3$. The "Top Third" group includes 18 of 53 jurisdictions, or about one-third of the total. See Settlement Exhibit DWD-1

[^3]:    -- Some totals may not foot or compute due to rounding.

[^4]:    1] NC-0402 - Calculation of Customer Growth and Usage Revenue Adjustment
    [2] NC-0202 - NC Billed Fuel Factors (with EMF and EMF Interest Increment/Decrement for approved cost factors), Line 8
    3] NC-0105-2018 Uncollectibles Rate, Line 4
    4] NC-0103 - NCUC Statutory Regulatory Fee Percentage Rate - Adjusted, Docket No. M-100, Sub 142, Line 3
    [5] NC-0104-2019 Calculation of Tax Rates - Statutory Tax Rate, Line 10

[^5]:    1/ Based on Company response to Public Staff Data Request No. 31, Items 3 and 4.

[^6]:    1/ Amount from 2018 Proxy Statement page 30, allocated to DEP.

