From: Elizabeth Bonzo-Savage (ebs\_nc@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 4:58 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Elizabeth Bonzo-Savage 2041 Bethesda Church Rd Madison, NC 27025 ebs\_nc@yahoo.com (336) 427-2420

From: Tara Blomquist (tblomquist@northstate.net) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 4:46 PM

**To:** Statements

Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Tara Blomquist 511 Valleybrook Dr Jamestown, NC 27282 tblomquist@northstate.net (336) 454-5967

From: Cathy Weathers (cw889@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 4:36 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Cathy Weathers 4409 Currie Ct. Raleigh, NC 27613 cw889@hotmail.com (919) 420-0010

From: Marcia Chabot (jmchabot711@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 4:08 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Marcia Chabot 22 Great Aspen Way Black Mountain, NC 28711 jmchabot711@gmail.com (828) 669-8842

From: Linda Allind (allindlh@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:44 PM

To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Many energy companies are embracing renewable energy because they know it is safer, healthier, and in the long run more economical. Hurricane Florence has highlighted some of the unsafe, environmentally risky practices, such as coal ash pits, that Duke has employed in the production of energy from coal. This needs to stop.

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Linda Allind 106 Friburg Rd New Bern, NC 28562 allindlh@gmail.com (252) 637-9655

From: Jane Church (janechrch@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:38 PM

Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Statements

Dear NCUC,

To:

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Jane Church 211 Cedar Berry Ln Chapel Hill, NC 27517 janechrch@yahoo.com (919) 703-3632

From:

Janey Mcmillen (janeymcmillen@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:34 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Janey Mcmillen 806 Knollwood Dr Apex, NC 27502 janeymcmillen@hotmail.com (919) 303-5596

From: M Rees (peg.rees@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:32 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

M Rees Weaver Dairy Rd Chapel Hill, NC 27514 peg.rees@gmail.com (919) 999-9999

From: Susan Parr (nasusrapp@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:13 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Susan Parr 322 Midland Dr. Asheville, NC 28804 nasusrapp@gmail.com (770) 286-0162

From: Cassandra Barnhart (sandy\_barnhart@med.unc.edu) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:02 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Cassandra Barnhart 16 Leeds Ct Mebane, NC 27302 sandy\_barnhart@med.unc.edu (919) 656-9566

From: Cassandra Barnhart (sandy\_barnhart@med.unc.edu) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:01 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Cassandra Barnhart 16 Leeds Ct Mebane, NC 27302 sandy\_barnhart@med.unc.edu (919) 656-9566

From: Donald Smyth (donaldsmyth@mindspring.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:00 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Donald Smyth 320 Kenmure Dr Flat Rock, NC 28731 donaldsmyth@mindspring.com (704) 564-8905

From: Lisa Rademacher (Irademacher87@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 2:44 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Lisa Rademacher 513 Carriage Woods Cir Cary, NC 27513 Irademacher87@yahoo.com (919) 904-2692

From: Andrew Wagner (andrewhwagner@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 2:29 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Andrew Wagner 106 Thoresby Ct Cary, NC 27519 andrewhwagner@gmail.com (919) 625-6054

From: J Furniss (jfurniss@citcom.net) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 2:08 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

J Furniss 118 Morningside Dr Brevard, NC 28712 jfurniss@citcom.net (828) 884-0000

From: Mark Rosenberg (2016marksmail@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 2:03 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Mark Rosenberg 3406 E Highway 27 Lincolnton, NC 28092 2016marksmail@gmail.com (555) 444-1234

From: Lois Arnold (larnold102@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 2:01 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Lois Arnold 102 Skippers Ct Moyock, NC 27958 larnold102@outlook.com (757) 969-2522

From:

Ruth Landa (rkl@embarqmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 1:19 PM

То:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Ruth Landa 371 Fearrington Post Pittsboro, NC 27312 rkl@embarqmail.com (919) 545-0008

# Oct 02 2018

# Threatt, Linnetta

From: Olga Pader (olgapader@frontier.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 1:09 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Olga Pader 262 Mine Valley Trl Franklin, NC 28734 olgapader@frontier.com (828) 369-2457

From: Catherine Tata (cwtata@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 1:00 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Catherine Tata 9515 Yearwood Ln Charlotte, NC 28214 cwtata@gmail.com (980) 237-0705

From: Lynn Corrigan (Ivcorrigan@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:17 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Lynn Corrigan 4417 Serene Ln Charlotte, NC 28216 Ivcorrigan@yahoo.com (704) 393-9318

From:

Daniel Rose (frequency13@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:24 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Daniel Rose 2054 Craig St Winston Salem, NC 27103 frequency13@aol.com (423) 504-0513

From: Michele Clark (uncmicha@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 11:11 AM

To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Michele Clark 109 Shadowood Dr Apt V Chapel Hill, NC 27514 uncmicha@gmail.com (919) 260-0895

From: Jane Fellows (janeafellows@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 10:42 AM

To: Statements

Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demandresponse programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Jane Fellows 1108 Alabama Ave Durham, NC 27705 janeafellows@msn.com (919) 949-9090

From: Jane Fellows (janeafellows@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 10:41 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Jane Fellows 1108 Alabama Ave Durham, NC 27705 janeafellows@msn.com (919) 949-9090

From: Steve Breckheimer (sbreckheimer@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 10:14 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Steve Breckheimer 1393 Macedonia Rd Saluda, NC 28773 sbreckheimer@gmail.com (828) 749-9104

From: Deb Ramsey (debforlite@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 10:07 AM

To: Statements

Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Deb Ramsey 709 Villas Court Asheville, NC 28806 debforlite@gmail.com (828) 989-8283

From: Jon Wexler (jonnywex@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message -

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 10:01 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Jon Wexler 1100 W NC Hwy 54 Byp Apt 39E Chapel Hill, NC 27516 jonnywex@gmail.com (404) 547-8708

# Oct 02 201

# **Threatt, Linnetta**

From:

Pat Zook (patmzook@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 9:48 AM

То:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Pat Zook 211 Maple Ave Carrboro, NC 27510 patmzook@gmail.com (919) 619-1261

From: Doug Minick (doug.minick@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 9:45 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

Doug Minick 6711 Woodmere Dr Raleigh, NC 27612 doug.minick@gmail.com (919) 630-7924

From: Ashley Council (councilaeg@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 9:24 AM

To: Statements

Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Ashley Council 307 N Dotger Ave Charlotte, NC 28204 councilaeg@gmail.com (704) 724-4703

From: Judith Howell (judiannhowell@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 9:07 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Judith Howell 353 Fairway Ln Apt H Spruce Pine, NC 28777 judiannhowell@icloud.com (828) 385-9449

From:

Sarah Raite (goddess52352@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 9:01 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Sarah Raite 51 Starnes Ave Asheville , NC 28801 goddess52352@yahoo.com (315) 555-1212

From:

Carmen Jimenez (cjz\_pr@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 8:54 AM

То:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs-for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Carmen Jimenez 4129 Lake Lynn Drive Raleigh, NC 27613 cjz\_pr@yahoo.com (919) 900-1410

From: Barbara Everhart (barbara.everhart2255@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 8:42 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Barbara Everhart 6304 Fox Hunt Rd Indian Trail, NC 28079 barbara.everhart2255@gmail.com (704) 882-9978

From: Alicia Grimes (grimesalicia7@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 8:21 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Alicia Grimes 832 New Kent Pl Cary, NC 27511 grimesalicia7@gmail.com (252) 532-6043

From: Victor Savich (eiasvjs@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 7:13 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Victor Savich 15 Pinecroft Ln Fletcher, NC 28732 eiasvjs@yahoo.com (828) 687-2887

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.



Carren

From: Beverly Dawson (beverlydawson@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 7:00 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Beverly Dawson 106 Oakstone Dr Chapel Hill, NC 27514 beverlydawson@mac.com (919) 929-0299

# Oct 02 2018

# Threatt, Linnetta

From: Roseann Ohanian (roseannohanian@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 6:51 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Roseann Ohanian 21 Ibbie Roberts Rd Alexander, NC 28701 roseannohanian@gmail.com (828) 505-2182

From: J S Weathers (casw@bellsouth.net) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 6:35 AM

To: Statements

Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

### Sincerely,

J S Weathers 4409 Currie Ct Raleigh, NC 27613 casw@bellsouth.net (919) 420-0010

From:

Warren Waters (copperbald55@frontier.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 6:20 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Warren Waters 15 Sally Cove Rd Topton, NC 28781 copperbald55@frontier.com (828) 321-4768

From:

Paul Magnuson (pmagnuson@juno.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 5:14 AM

То:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Paul Magnuson 496-17th AVE DR NE Hickory, NC 28601 pmagnuson@juno.com (828) 322-5368

From: Edith Simpson (mailedie@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 2:21 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

The coal ash spills after hurricane Florence are proof that moving out of dirty coal needs to be done NOW! This is a serious health and environmental hazard for North Carolina.

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Edith Simpson 15 Springdale Rd Asheville, NC 28805 mailedie@aol.com (828) 505-3393

From:

Susan L Barry (susleebarry@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 2, 2018 1:22 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Susan L Barry 4281 Kennedy Rd Thomasville, NC 27360 susleebarry@gmail.com (336) 472-6358

# Oct 02 2018

# Threatt, Linnetta

From: Ada Khoury (inthemoment007@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 1:04 AM

Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Statements

Dear NCUC,

To:

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet 'their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Ada Khoury PO Box 646 Weaverville, NC 28787 inthemoment007@yahoo.com {828} 713-8051

From: Patrick Jean (PatrickInNC@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 1:04 AM

To: Statements

Subject: Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke Energy is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

Patrick Jean 3910 Herman Sipe Rd Conover, NC 28613 PatrickInNC@gmail.com (828) 310-5575

# Oct 02 2018

# Threatt, Linnetta

From: David Woodsmall (dj2003@nc.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:33 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

Dear NCUC,

Every two years, Duke is required to file a long-term Integrated Resource Plan that outlines how Duke plans to meet their customers' needs over the next 15 years. While this year's plan represents a step in the right direction, Duke is still moving too slow when it comes to retiring the remaining coal plants in the state.

Renewable energy technologies, like wind and solar power, are getting cheaper every year. The costs for battery storage have also dropped so fast that storage is now a viable option.

But rather than focusing on how to use those resources, and how to develop better energy efficiency and demand response programs, Duke plans to run dirty, uneconomic coal plants for more the next 30 years.

Relying on outdated coal plants that deliver power that costs twice as much as clean sources just doesn't make sense, and fails to meet the requirement to deliver electricity in the least cost manner.

Please reject Duke's long-term energy plan and require them to submit an actual least cost plan that focuses on energy efficiency, demand response and clean sources like wind and solar.

Sincerely,

David Woodsmall 7708 Audubon Dr Raleigh, NC 27615 dj2003@nc.rr.com (919) 543-2706

From: Brian Johnson (brianj999@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 12:08 AM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Docket E-100 sub 157 2018 Integrated Resource Plans

### Dear NCUC,

Dear NC Util Commission. Why is NC stuck in the dark ages? Where are our alternative energy options? Why do you not force Duke to offer an alternative energy Rate plan allocating energy from Power produced in NC? Please push our utilities to go Coal free at least by 2030. And also to offer alternative locally produced power for those of us who can afford it. Thanks you!

### Sincerely,

Brian Johnson 105 Hanford Rd CHAPEL HILL, NC 27516 brianj999@gmail.com (919) 260-1431