1	PLACE: Via Videoconference
2	DATE: Wednesday, April 14, 2021
3	TIME: 6:00 p.m 8:03 p.m.
4	DOCKET NO: E-100, Sub 165
5	BEFORE: Commissioner Daniel G. Clodfelter, Presiding
6	Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell
7	Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland
8	Commissioner Lyons Gray
9	Commissioner Kimberly W. Duffley
LO	Commissioner Jeffrey A. Hughes
L1	Commissioner Floyd B. McKissick, Jr.
L2	
L 3	IN THE MATTER OF:
L 4	Generic Electric - 2020 Biennial
L 5	Integrated Resource Plan Reports and
L 6	Related 2020 REPS Compliance Plans
L 7	
L 8	VOLUME 1
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC, AND
3	DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC:
4	Lawrence B. "Bo" Somers, Esq.
5	Duke Energy Corporation
6	Associate General Counsel
7	410 South Wilmington Street
8	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601
9	
10	FOR DOMINION ENERGY NORTH CAROLINA:
11	Andrea Kells, Esq.
12	McGuireWoods LLP
13	501 Fayetteville Street, Suite 500
14	Raleigh, North Carolina 27601
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	APPEARANCES Cont'd.:
2	FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC AND ON BEHALF OF
3	THE STATE AND ITS CITIZENS IN THIS MATTER AFFECTING
4	THE PUBLIC INTEREST:
5	Margaret A. Force, Esq.
6	Special Deputy Attorney General
7	Munashe Magarira, Esq.
8	Assistant Attorney General
9	North Carolina Department of Justice
10	114 West Edenton Street
11	Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
12	
13	FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
14	Lucy E. Edmondson, Esq.
15	Layla Cummings, Esq.
16	Robert B. Josey, Esq.
17	Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission
18	4326 Mail Service Center
19	Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	EXAMINATIONS	
3	CATHY BUCKLEY	
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson	20
5	CATHY HOLT	
6	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson	25
7	Cross Examination by Mr. Somers	28
8	BETH HENRY	
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson	31
LO	BETSY BICKEL	
L1	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson	36
L2	BRAD ROUSE	
L 3	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson	43
L 4	BILL WARD	
L 5	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson	49
L 6	ANNE deBUYS	
L 7	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson	54
L 8	DANIEL TIPPS	
L 9	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson	59
20	DAVID ERB	
21	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson	63
22	BRENDA NEWBERRY	
23	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson	69
24		

1	E X A M I N A T I O N S (CONT'D)
2	BEN GOLDBERG
3	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson 72
4	AMY BROOKS PARADISE
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson 77
6	ANDREW MEEKER
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson 81
8	CHRISTINE TEPPER
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson 88
10	CATHY SCOTT
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson 90
12	COREY McVAY
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson 95
14	ROBERT RODRIGUEZ
15	Direct Examination by Ms. Edmondson 99
16	
17	EXHIBITS
18	IDENTIFIED/ADMITTED
19	No exhibits of record.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Good evening.

Let's -- Madam Court Reporter, if you will open the record, and we will all come to order, please.

I am Commissioner Dan Clodfelter and I will be presiding at the public witness hearing this evening. Joining me are the following Commissioners, and as I call your name, will you raise your hand so folks who are watching on YouTube can identify you on the screen? We have with us tonight Commission Chair Charlotte Mitchell; Commissioners ToNola Brown-Bland, Lyons Gray, Kim Duffley, Jeff Hughes, and Floyd McKissick, Jr.

We will now call for hearing Docket Number E-100, Sub 165, which is In The Matter of the 2020 Biennial Integrated Resource Plan Reports and the Related 2020 Renewable Energy Portfolio Compliance Plans for Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy Progress and Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion Energy North Carolina.

Before we proceed this evening, and as required by the State Government Ethics Act, I will remind all the members of the Commission of our duty to avoid conflicts of interest, and at this time I

will inquire whether any Commissioner has a known conflict of interest or appearance of such conflict with respect to these proceedings. If so, if you'll please give me a signal.

(Pause).

Madam Court Reporter, please let the record reflect that no such conflicts were called out or identified by any of the Commissioners.

Ladies and gentlemen, North Carolina General Statute § 62-110.1(c) requires that the Commission develop, publicize and keep current an analysis of the long-range needs for electricity in the State of North Carolina. In order to help the Commission meet this requirement, we conduct an annual investigation into the Integrated Resource Plans prepared by each of the principal electric utilities under the Commission's jurisdiction. In addition to this review, Commission Rule R8-67(b) requires those same electric public utilities to file a plan for their renewable — meeting the Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard, REPS Compliance Plan we call it, and that has to be included as part of their reports.

The Integrated Resource Planning which you'll hear referred to throughout these proceedings

in shorthand sometimes as IRP is intended to identify those electric resource options that can be obtained at the lowest cost to ratepayers consistent with safe, adequate and reliable service. The Utilities' resource plans must consider conservation, efficiency and load management as well as supply-side alternatives in the selection of resource options.

The Commission does not approve or disapprove the Utilities' Integrated Resource Plans. It takes those plans into consideration in its own long-range plan for electric service in North Carolina and also in the Commission's determination of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity to construct new electric generating facilities and in other proceedings where approval of utility programs or investments is required by the Statutes.

On May 1st, 2020, Virginia Electric and Power Company/Dominion Energy North Carolina filed its 2020 Integrated Resource Plan and its REPS Compliance Plan.

On September 1st, 2020, Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Carolinas filed their 2020 Integrated Resource Plans and their 2020 Renewable Energy

Portfolio Compliance Plans.

The Public Staff's participation as a party in these proceedings is recognized pursuant to General Statute § 62-15(d). And, in addition, the participation of the North Carolina Attorney General is recognized pursuant to his notice of intervention filed under General Statute § 62-20.

The following parties have petitioned to intervene and have been granted right to intervene as parties in this proceeding by the Commission; the following parties: North Carolina Sustainable Energy Association; Vote Solar; Carolinas Clean Energy Business Alliance; NC WARN, Inc.; the Center for Biological Diversity; the Carolina Industrial Group for Fair Utility Rates; the Carolina Utility Customers Association; the Tech Customers; Broad River Energy, LLC; the City of Asheville and Buncombe County; the City of Charlotte; the Sierra Club; the Natural Resources Defense Council; Electricities of North Carolina, Inc.; the North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency; and the North Carolina Municipal Power Agency, I.

On February 2nd of this year, the Commission issued an Order scheduling a public hearing to be

conducted by remote technology on March 16th, 2021, for the purpose of taking non-expert public witness testimony with respect to the Integrated Resource Plans and the Compliance Plans. That Order stated that members of the public desiring to testify must register in advance, no later than 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, March 11th, by contacting members of the Public Staff. The Commission's Order also required the three Utilities to publish notice of this hearing in newspapers having general coverage in their respective North Carolina service areas.

The Public Staff received more than 200 requests to testify at the originally scheduled hearing. The Commission was very pleased to have this level of public interest, but determined that accommodating such a very large number of witnesses via remote technology on a single date presented logistical and technical challenges that simple could not be overcome. Therefore, the Commission issued an Order on March 12th, 2021, stating in part that persons registering to testify for the March 16th hearing would instead be heard on a series of sessions over several dates in April and in May.

On March 26, 2021, the Commission issued an

Order establishing six dates in April and in May for the purpose of hearing the public witness testimony from persons who have previously registered with the Public Staff, and the Commission directed the Public Staff to evenly schedule the witnesses across the six evenings and then notify each person of the date he or she is to present testimony.

And that brings us to tonight, which is the first of the six such hearing dates and to the process that we will be following this evening to receive testimony.

Over the past 12 months the Commission has conducted several public hearings using remote technology. We have learned that such hearings can potentially take longer and sometimes be more complicated than hearings that are conducted in person. So in the interest of being able to hear from everyone who is scheduled to testify this evening, I urge each of the parties who are going to testify and those who are participating via Webex to respect and to abide by the following procedures.

First, the Public Staff has grouped the witnesses and has scheduled each group of witnesses for a specific date. The group scheduled for this

date are the only witnesses the Commission will receive testimony from this evening. And once all the registered witnesses have testified for this evening, we will recess the hearing and resume the next session at a later date.

Second, public witnesses as they call in will be on the telephone line but they will not be on the Webex video screen. They are visible on YouTube. However, anyone participating in or observing tonight's hearing can watch the Commission and the representatives of the parties and the Companies via YouTube. The link to the YouTube video is available on the Commission's website. If you have not connected that link or found that link, go to the Commission's home page www.ncuc.net and you will find a link to the YouTube video.

Third, the Public Staff will call this evening's witnesses in the order in which they registered to speak with the Public Staff. When your name is called as a witness - please listen up - when your name is called as a witness, at that point our meeting technician will unmute your telephone line. When you hear two beeps on your telephone line that means your line is now live and that we can hear you.

I will then ask you at that point to take an oath of affirmation. Your testimony must be under oath. We will not be asking you to swear on the Bible because obviously we simply can't physically make a Bible for you to put your hand on this evening, so I'll ask you to take an oath of affirmation.

After you've taken the oath, the Public Staff attorneys will ask you a few preliminary introductory questions. They will ask you your name, your address and your electric service provider. Immediately after those questions you may proceed to make your statement to the Commission. You will have five minutes to present your testimony. Due to the number of witnesses we have this evening and the requirements of the technology, I just don't have the latitude to allow you to go beyond your allotted time.

Commissioner Brown-Bland has agreed to assist me this evening in helping keep time. She will be keeping time on the five minutes. You will hear an audible ringing tone when your time is up and I will have to ask you at that point to stop. It just takes us time to get the next witness up in sequence and it's going to be a long evening as it is, so I've got to ask you to cut your remarks short if you haven't

finished. If you have something to say and you can't get it in in the five minutes, please remember that you are still free to file a written statement with your views, and your evidence, and your opinion by filing that with the Clerk in this docket. Those will be received and made part of the record in the case.

Let me also suggest to you that if you have something to say that you believe has already been covered by an earlier speaker, you may simply refer to that earlier testimony and say you support the earlier witness, and that will allow you to use your five minutes to make different points or to make new points that haven't already been said.

If you have more information again that you wish the Commission to consider or if you have documents that you wish the Commission to review, please remember again that you can file a supplemental written statement with the Clerk.

You will be speaking to the Commissioners whom you'll be able to see and I hope you can see us now on the YouTube link. After you've completed your statement don't immediately hang up. The Commissioners or the attorneys for the parties or for the Public Staff may have questions they want to ask

you. So please don't hang up your line until I have let you know that no one has any questions to ask you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

When you've completed your statement and you've answered any questions that have been asked to you by Commissioners or by the parties, your phone line will then be placed again on mute by our meeting That's Mr. John McCoy. He's really -technician. I'm chairing this meeting but he's really running the meeting when you get right down to it. John McCoy is sort of managing all of us tonight. He does a very good job of it. He will put you back on mute when you're done. You are free, if you wish at that point, to disconnect your line and end the call. If you're free -- if you want to stay on and continue to listen, you're also free to do that. However, please keep your background noise quiet and keep your devices muted.

Let me touch on a couple of points about that that will help us avoid problems with technology this evening. These are things we've learned over the course of the last year. If you are using a portable phone, a cell phone, or a smart phone as your telephone link tonight to talk to us, please be sure your device is fully charged or that you have access

to a way to charge it during the course of the evening. Given the large number of speakers we have this evening and how long it takes to get through each speaker, it could be very well late into the evening, so those of you who are late on the list, don't run out of power before we get to you and call on you.

If you are watching the hearing on YouTube and you're also connected by your telephone line, please remember to keep your computer audio on mute to avoid feedback on your telephone line. And if you're using your computer to dial in for audio and to speak to us over the computer audio that you have for the hearing, please keep your computer audio on mute except when you've been called on and you're actually speaking to us.

And last of all, please be sure that the volume level on your telephone or the device that you're using is set high enough so that we can hear you. This is a problem we've had in some prior public hearings.

With those preliminaries out of the way, I'm now going to call upon counsel for the parties to announce their appearances for the record, and I'll begin with the three utility companies.

MR. SOMERS: Good evening, Commissioner
Clodfelter. Members of the Commission, this is Bo
Somers. I am Deputy General Counsel for Duke Energy
Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress. Thank you.

MS. KELLS: Commissioner Clodfelter, this is
Andrea Kells with the Law Firm of McGuireWoods
appearing on behalf of Dominion Energy North Carolina.
Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you,

Mr. Somers and Ms. Kells. Are there any intervenors
who are appearing tonight?

(No response)

If not, we'll take appearances from the Public Staff. No one wants to be recognized. So, Ms. Edmondson.

MS. EDMONDSON: Yes. I am Lucy Edmondson from the Public Staff on behalf of the Using and Consuming Public. Also appearing with me are Layla Cummings and Robert Josey.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you all.

Let me ask counsel for the Companies and for the

Public Staff, are there any preliminary motions or

other matters that we need to address before we open

the hearing and start the testimony?

```
MR. SOMERS: (Shakes head no).
 1
 2
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,
 3
    you're on mute.
 4
              MS. EDMONDSON: I believe the Attorney
 5
    General is also on here.
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I saw Ms. Force on
 6
 7
    the screen but I didn't hear her speak up. Ms. Force,
 8
    do you wish to put in an appearance?
 9
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I saw her
10
    internet fade.
11
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                        Well --
              MR. SOMERS: Commissioner Clodfelter?
12
13
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yes.
              MR. SOMERS: I also saw Munashe from the
14
15
    AG's office on earlier. I don't know if he's there
16
    and wants to enter an appearance.
17
              MR. MAGARIRA: Good evening. Munashe
18
    Magarira from the Attorney General's Office.
19
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Glad to have you
20
    with us this night.
21
              MR. MAGARIRA: Thank you.
22
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Are there any
23
    other intervenors who wish to make a formal appearance
24
    at the hearing tonight? If so, speak now.
```

1	(No response)
2	Hearing none, Ms. Edmondson, we'll get
3	started for the evening and you may call your first
4	witness, please.
5	MS. EDMONDSON: The first witness is Brendan
6	Johnson. And it the IT has indicated that they do
7	not see him on the line.
8	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let me ask,
9	Mr. Brendan Johnson, are you with us this evening?
10	(No response)
11	And, Mr. McCoy, you do not have Mr. Johnson
12	on the list of attendees?
13	MR. McCOY: No, sir.
14	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,
15	please move to the second witness.
16	MS. EDMONDSON: Yes. The second witness we
17	have is Cathy Buckley. And they do indicate that she
18	is on the line.
19	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Buckley, can
20	you hear me?
21	MS. BUCKLEY: I can, sir.
22	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That's great. Let
23	me you're the guinea pig here and then everyone
24	else can listen and learn.

1	CATHY BUCKLEY;
2	having been duly affirmed,
3	testified as follows:
4	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You are now sworn
5	in and Ms. Edmondson will have some questions for you.
6	MS. EDMONDSON: Yes.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
8	Q Please state your name for the record.
9	A Cathy, C-A-T-H-Y, Buckley, B-U-C-K-L-E-Y.
10	Q And your address, please?
11	A 710 Independence Place, Raleigh.
12	Q And who is your who provides you electricity?
13	A Duke Progress.
14	Q Please make your statement.
15	A Thank you. Good evening, Commissioner
16	Clodfelter, Chair Mitchell, and Commissioners.
17	I'm Cathy Buckley, Director of Statewide
18	Organizing at the North Carolina Alliance To
19	Protect Our People And The Places We Live, APPPL,
20	on whose behalf I testify now. Several APPPL
21	board members will speak at subsequent hearings.
22	Thank you for this opportunity to
23	testify for me and for my many fellow
24	registrants. Based on the questions you raised

at the hearing in the 2019 rate cases, I became convinced that we have a competent, concerned, well-informed Utilities Commission, perhaps the incentive for Duke to ensure that energy policy comes increasingly from the Legislature. I was also very impressed by Public Staff, by the Attorney General's Office and other intervenors, but most of the Duke technical staff. I think if they led company policy we would have a very different IRP.

I remember thinking as a kid that many things in the grown up world were upside down. Now, I am a grown up and things are more upside down than ever. In a Legislative hearing this year, Public Staff reiterated that its mandate is economics, not environment. So, should we ignore the \$7 billion cost of Hurricane Floyd, the incalculable cost of lost lives? Our society's economy and environment divide is upside down. The combined salaries of you, our seven North Carolina Utility Commissioners, comes to less than 10 percent of that of Duke Energy's CEO, while thousands of customers have their electricity shut off because they cannot afford

to pay. This is upside down.

I clearly remember a moment, I was about 10, sitting on the living room couch with the latest Life Magazine. In it was an article on what the GI saw when they first entered the concentration camps. I could not believe my eyes. I still remember thinking how could anyone do this and why couldn't someone stop them. And now we have created a gas chamber in our very atmosphere. On a human scale that space seems infinite, yet the area where our weather is created is less than 10 miles high; the distance between Durham and Chapel Hill. On average, we are pumping 110 million tons of poisonous greenhouse gases into this chamber every 24 hours, 365 days a year.

During my allotted five minutes tonight, the equivalent of the weight of the Empire State Building in climate wrecking poisons will have been released into our atmosphere through human activities. We have already lost well over half of the populations of ocean-living vertebrae. We are on track to lose half of all land-based species this century.

I was very concerned after reading the Duke Carolinas' IRP at the last of urgency. There was no excitement about taking on the most daunting energy revolution ever. This is not a job for those wishing to move slowly while defending the status quo. We have stalled far too long. Whether we break up Duke or lessen its monopoly powers, the stakes are too high to leave this revolution in their hands.

Please reject these Duke and
Dominion IRPs. See that they adhere to Governor
Cooper's Clean Energy Plan and President Biden's
aim of net zero carbon in electricity production
by 2035. These goals recognize the urgency. We
all - rural, urban, republican, democrat, all
colors, all creeds - as JFK said in his 1963
American University speech "We all inhabit this
small planet. We all breathe the same air. We
all cherish our children's future."

Let us strive from our highest, most authentic shared values so that no child born this year will ask as a 10-year old in 2031 'how can anyone do this and why didn't someone stop them'. Let them say instead 'WOW! I must

```
be magic. Everything changed when I was born'.
 1
         Thank you.
 2
 3
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you,
 4
    Ms. Buckley.
 5
               Are there any questions for Ms. Buckley?
 6
               (Pause).
 7
               I don't see any questions. So, Ms. Buckley,
 8
    thank you for being with us this evening.
 9
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You are free to
11
    stay or go as you choose.
12
                    (The witness is excused)
13
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,
14
    call your next witness, please.
15
              MS. EDMONDSON: The next witness is Cathy
16
    Holt.
17
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: John, do we have
18
    Ms. Holt?
19
              MS. HOLT: Can you hear me? I'm on the
20
    phone.
21
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yes, I can.
                                                      Thank
22
    you. Ms. Holt, will you please take the oath?
23
                          CATHY HOLT;
24
                   having been duly affirmed,
```

## 2 COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,

testified as follows:

3 proceed.

1

4

- DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
- Ms. Holt, please give your name and address for the record.
- A Okay, yes. My name is Cathy, C-A-T-H-Y, Holt
  H-O-L-T. My address is 306 Stonewall Avenue,
  Swannanoa, North Carolina 28778.
- 10 Q And who is your electric provider?
- 11 A Duke.
- 12 Q Is it Duke Energy Carolinas?
- 13 A Oh yes.
- Q Or Duke Energy Progress? All right. Would you go ahead and make your statement, please?
- 16 A Yes. Thank you so much for this opportunity.
- 17 I'm understanding that Duke's IRP calls for 50
- new fracked gas plants, 9.6 gigawatts, and this
- would be locking North Carolina into a fossil
- fuel future. I want to emphasize the fact that
- even though they may not emit much carbon,
- fracked gas, methane, entering the atmosphere is
- 23 86 times more potent as a greenhouse gas in
- the -- in a 20-year timeframe than is carbon

dioxide. So this is -- this would represent some people feel as equal a threat to a coal-fired plant because there are routine emissions of fracked gas at every step of the way. Fracked gas is not only harmful to the atmosphere, it is also wrecking the water system, water supplies of the communities where the fracking is occurring.

I want to note the fact that only

14 percent renewables are called for in Duke's

IRP by the year 2035. If you compare that with

the national average of other utility companies,

which is 19.8, you can see quite a significant

difference. Although neither of those represents

what the previous speaker called for which is

really truly a revolution in our energy

production and consumption.

I want to add that there are going to be significant increases in ratepayer bills, and these could be avoided by choosing a plan that would feature energy efficiency as the most cost-effective way to provide energy or to reduce the demand, and renewables are cheaper already and scheduled to become even more so within the next five years. That is to say the cost of

battery storage is going down.

So instead of Duke's plan what we need is to focus on decreasing greenhouse gas emissions 70 percent by 2030, so that includes fossil gas as well as coal or any other means that is generating greenhouse gas emissions, maximizing energy efficiency and accelerating the shut down of coal plants to the goal of zero in operation by the year 2030 or sooner.

So we need to boost the renewables, the share of renewables in the North Carolina energy mix up to 66 percent by 2030, and this is feasible to be done with existing technology.

I'd like to note that plants that run on fracked gas will soon be stranded assets when the cost which is already so much lower for solar and wind and other renewable forms of energy, when those costs drop even further.

So, thank you so much. I really want to take a stand that the people of North Carolina deserve better than continuously increasing rate hikes. They deserve better than polluting -- air pollution inducing

```
combustion technologies which really belong to
 1
 2
         the last century, and it is time to move fully
 3
         and swiftly to a renewable energy supply for our
 4
         electricity generation. Thank you so much.
 5
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                         Thank you, Ms.
 6
           Stay on the line for just a minute.
 7
              Let's see, does anyone have any questions
 8
    for Ms. Holt?
 9
              MR. SOMERS: Commissioner Clodfelter, this
10
    is Bo Somers. I have a couple of questions if it's
11
    all right.
12
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                         That's fine.
13
    Ms. Holt, are you still with us?
               THE WITNESS: I am.
14
15
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. Mr. Somers,
16
    proceed.
17
              MR. SOMERS:
                            Thank you.
18
    CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SOMERS:
19
         Good evening, Ms. Holt. Can you hear me okay?
20
         I certainly do. Thank you.
21
         Thank you for testifying tonight. I had just a
22
         couple of questions. I'm Duke Energy's attorney
23
         and I appreciate your testimony very much and
24
         your heartfelt conviction on your views.
```

```
I did want to clarify something
 1
 2
          though about what you said. When you asked the
 3
         Commission, as I heard it, to reject Duke's IRPs,
 4
          I wanted to confirm you are aware that in the
 5
          2020 IRPs Duke presented six different portfolios
 6
          for the Commission to consider? Have you seen
 7
          those? Are you aware of that?
 8
          Six different portfolios?
    Α
 9
         Yes, ma'am.
10
         No, I am not aware.
11
         Okay. Well, I will represent to you --
12
         Please explain.
13
         Yes, ma'am. Thank you. I will represent to you
14
          that the IRPs do include six different
15
         portfolios. One of which has no new gas in it;
16
         two of which achieve 70 percent carbon reductions
17
          in compliance with the Governor's Executive Order
18
         or the Clean Energy Plan. And so I just wanted
19
         to clarify, when you're asking the Commission to
20
          reject the IRP, are you asking the Commission to
21
          reject it in its entirety or just some of the
22
         portfolios that Duke has proposed for
23
         consideration by the Commission?
24
          I would like to say that if there is one
```

```
portfolio that has been submitted which meets all
 1
 2
         of the standards set by Cooper and Biden then I
 3
         would be interested in seeing the plan. I won't
 4
          speak that I would be in support of it without
 5
         having seen it. Thank you.
 6
         Thank you, Ms. Holt.
 7
              MR. SOMERS: I have no further questions.
 8
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Does anyone else
 9
    have questions for Ms. Holt?
10
                          (No response)
11
               If not, Ms. Holt, thank you for being with
    us this evening. And again, you're free to stay or go
12
13
    as you choose.
14
                             Thank you.
               THE WITNESS:
15
                    (The witness is excused)
16
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?
17
              MS. EDMONDSON: The next witness we have is
    Christina Hendriks. I'm not sure that she is on the
18
19
    line.
20
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Hendriks, are
21
    you with us? Can you hear me?
22
                          (No response)
23
              Mr. McCoy, have you been able to locate
24
    Ms. Hendriks?
```

```
MR. McCOY: No, sir.
 1
 2
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let's move then to
 3
    the next witness, Ms. Edmondson.
 4
               MS. EDMONDSON: That would be Beth Henry and
 5
    I do believe she is on the line.
 6
               MS. HENRY: Yes.
 7
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Henry, you're
 8
    with us this evening?
 9
               MS. HENRY: Yes, I am.
10
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Welcome back.
11
               MS. HENRY: Thanks.
12
                          BETH HENRY;
13
                   having been duly affirmed,
                     testified as follows:
14
15
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson, you
16
    may proceed.
17
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
         Good evening, Ms. Henry. Would you please give
18
19
         your name, address and your electric provider?
20
         Beth Henry, 366 Stoneybrook Road, Duke Energy --
21
         Charlotte, Duke Energy Carolinas.
22
         And please make your statement.
23
         Okay. I have been testifying before this
    Α
24
         Commission for over 15 years now, because I am so
```

convinced that the climate crisis poses an existential threat to my children and grandchildren and all children.

I have read Duke's proposal and much of the rest of the docket and I have three main points I want to make. I think -- I understand, as Mr. Somers just pointed out, that there are several different proposals, but I -- I think the -- some of the assumptions in the different proposals I would challenge. And Duke seems to prefer the proposal that has a lot of new gas plants, which to me is inconsistent with Duke's statement that it's going to be net zero emissions by 2050.

I hope the Commission will not allow Duke to build a lot more gas plants, because that would be totally inconsistent with what the climate scientists are saying we have to do. And if those gas plants are built they'll have to be shut down early or Duke will have to invest in yet to be invented pie-in-the-sky technology, and I just don't think we should take a chance on that. It would be way too costly for ratepayers. And these costs are foreseeable and

avoidable.

I'm asking the Commission to require Duke to squeeze every possible ounce of power from already existing power plants, from energy efficiency, demand-side management, and purchasing power from nearby utilities.

My second main point is I think we need an evidentiary hearing, because many of Duke's statements, assumptions and analyses have been strongly and believably challenged by numerous experts that the intervenors have presented in this docket. I just think there's too much at stake for the Commission to just accept Duke's assumptions and analyses that have been so strongly converted. I mean, I could give just a couple of examples but there's so many.

I think several experts have said that Duke has understated the cost of new gas plants but overstated the cost of renewables and battery storage; Duke has understated the amount of already existing available power in its system; Duke has failed to consider DEP and DEC as one. Although I sat there and heard so much testimony about how the merger was going to

benefit ratepayers but it doesn't seem like that's really happening now. And similarly, I heard a Duke executive on NPR recently brag about how what happened in Texas would never happen here because of the regional interconnections, but Duke doesn't seem to be relying on those either.

So I really think there's just way too much at stake as far as the money that would be at risk from ratepayers to allow Duke to build out all these gas plants rather than relying on cheaper ways and less damaging ways of getting more energy.

And then, finally, my third point is I just want to urge all the Commissioners. So many folks don't understand. They think that because solar and wind and batteries are getting cheaper that the energy transition is going to happen automatically, but they don't understand that as long as utilities like Duke can get you to agree to build gas plants that they will be built even if there is something cheaper.

Thanks. I guess that's the alarm.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: It was.

```
Ms. Henry, I'm going to have to wrap you up there.
 1
               THE WITNESS: That's fine.
 2
 3
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Again, you know
 4
    how to find us and get us written materials if you
 5
    want to.
               THE WITNESS: Okay.
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: And I assure you
 8
    that those goes into the evidentiary record so
 9
    anything you didn't get to tonight.
10
               THE WITNESS: Okay.
11
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                        Let's see if
12
    anybody's got any questions for you.
13
               (Pause).
               I'm not seeing any. So thank you for being
14
15
    with us and again thank you for following --
16
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: -- this issue so
17
18
    closely over the years. We appreciate it.
19
               THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
20
                    (The witness is excused)
21
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?
22
              MS. EDMONDSON: The next witness is Betsy
23
    Bickel.
24
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                         Ms. Bickel, are
```

1	you with us?
2	(Audio feedback)
3	MS. BICKEL: I am here. Can you hear me?
4	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yes, I can but
5	we're getting an echo back from your phone. We're
6	getting repeated back to us what's been said so
7	MS. BICKEL: I just muted my computer. Now
8	how is it?
9	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you very
10	much. Let's get you the oath.
11	BETSY BICKEL;
12	having been duly affirmed,
13	testified as follows:
14	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson.
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
16	Q Would you please tell us your name, address and
17	electric provider?
18	A My name is Betsy Bickel. I live at 117 West
19	Trinity Avenue in Durham, North Carolina, and I
20	am a Duke Energy Progress customer.
21	Q And would you please give your statement?
22	A My name is Betsy Bickel. I live in Durham, North
23	Carolina and I am an unwilling hostage of Duke
24	Energy. I would actually have no problem with

Duke Energy's IRP if they did not have a monopoly on power here, because the market would quickly put them out of business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I would like to start by saying that if they want their name to reflect their business they should re-brand as Duke Energy regress as their plan is perfect for the early 20th Century. However, we are now 50 years past knowing that our climate is warming alarmingly primarily from the use of fossil fuels and that if we do not reverse course quickly and significantly in the next 10 years we will cook ourselves right out of a home. So I am appalled at the lack of intelligence, imagination and willingness on the part of this billion dollar corporation to address the needs of this time. It would be laughably short-sighted to have a business model that elevates quarterly profits over long-term survivability if it were not so deadly.

If this IRP was an English paper in my high school class, I would give it an MTB for missed the boat. An F is too generous a grade for an IRP that actively undermines our

ability to address climate change and is based on misrepresented cost of gas and renewables and over-estimated demand.

Let's address the climate crisis inherent in these scenarios. The IRP calls for more gas plants which require more fracked gas and pipelines. We all know that pipelines leak and occasionally explode. And there's considerable opposition to them such that the Atlantic Coast Pipeline has been stopped and abandoned leaving an environmental hazard all along its path. The Mountain Valley Pipeline has been delayed time and again from lawsuits and is also likely to be abandoned as it cannot be built without leaving environmental devastation in its wake.

Oil and gas miles have been shown by satellite data to leak up to 3.7 percent of the methane into the atmosphere. Any emission — this is a quote, Any emission rate greater than one percent or so is significant in terms of the greenhouse gas consequences of using natural gas. And at 3.5 percent — 3.7 percent natural gas is far worse for the climate than is coal, says

Robert Howarth at Cornell University. This is from an article at *New Scientist* which I've included in my statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I don't have to tell Duke Energy how much more warming methane is for the climate than CO2, they know that, but maybe I need to remind them that the ultimate aim is to reduce greenhouse gases, not just reduce CO2.

Now let's talk economics. Every news article I've read on this has stated that renewables are now cheaper than fossil fuels including gas. the IRP portfolio that includes renewables but costs do not seem to reflect that reality. I wonder where they are drawing their numbers from. I think it is essential that we have an evidentiary hearing to look into their numbers and analysis. I don't like to think that I'm suspicious of a multi-billion dollar corporation that pays no taxes, but I wonder if they are being transparent about the costs and benefits of the possible sources of energy. I wonder if they include the cost of coal ash clean up, gas explosions, and leaks, and more intense hurricanes and floods that are the inevitable result of more fossil fuels. doubt it.

Today, I got a letter from President Biden saying that he was committed to ending the subsidy from fossil fuels. Is that included in their cost? I doubt that. I would like to have an evidentiary hearing where experts on renewable energies can present the information that they have and look at the information that Duke used in coming up with their numbers. Thank you.

that?

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you,

Ms. Bickel. Are there questions for Ms. Bickel?

(No response)

There's been a reference about an evidentiary hearing. Let me assure everyone who's listening or watching us tonight that the expert reports that have been submitted by the "X" intervenors are part of the evidentiary record in this case. They will constitute evidence that the Commission reviews and considers and so those do not have to be especially brought forward. Those are already in the record in this case. So thank you, Ms. Bickel, we appreciate your being with us tonight -
THE WITNESS: May I ask a question about

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

1	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You may.
2	THE WITNESS: May I ask a question about
3	that?
4	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Yes, you may.
5	THE WITNESS: Will there be a chance for
6	those experts to ask directly Duke Energy
7	representatives where they got their numbers?
8	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That has not yet
9	been determined, Ms. Bickel. The Statutes concerning
10	the Integrated Resource Plans do not require an
11	evidentiary hearing. They permit an evidentiary
12	hearing but only on specific questions that the
13	Commission wants to ask. So that we're taking the
14	public witness testimony this evening and through
15	these other hearings and then we will make a
16	determination about what additional hearings might
17	need to be conducted. So that's a question that has
18	not yet been decided.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: We hear your point
21	on that, and thank you for being with us tonight.
22	MS. BICKEL: Thank you.
23	(The witness is excused)
24	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?

```
MS. EDMONDSON: The next witness we have is
 1
 2
    Christopher Hendriks. We never received a telephone
 3
    number from him. There are two mystery numbers who
 4
    called in that we don't recognize. I think that IT,
 5
    Mr. McCoy, I think they wanted to check and see if
 6
    those people, one of those people was Mr. Hendriks.
7
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. McCoy, can you
    tell whether either one of the two unidentified
8
    callers is Mr. Hendriks?
9
10
              MR. McCOY: I have unmuted both of them.
11
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Hendriks, are
12
    you out there? Christopher Hendriks?
13
                         (No response)
14
              No response. Then, Ms. Edmondson, let's
15
    move to the next witness.
16
              MS. EDMONDSON: The next witness is Brad
17
    Rouse.
18
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Rouse, are you
19
    there? Mr. Rouse? Mr. McCoy, can you --
20
              MR. ROUSE: Yes --
21
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Are you there?
22
              MR. ROUSE: I am here. Can you hear me?
23
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I can hear you
24
    now.
          Thank you, sir.
```

1	MR. ROUSE: Okay. Good.
2	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let's get you the
3	oath.
4	BRAD ROUSE;
5	having been duly affirmed,
6	testified as follows:
7	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson.
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
9	Q Mr. Rouse, please state your name, address and
10	electric provider for the record.
11	A Yes, Brad Rouse, B-R-A-D R-O-U-S-E, 3 Stegall
12	Lane in Asheville, North Carolina, and the
13	electric provider is Duke Progress.
14	Q Would you please make your statement?
15	A I will. Yeah, I well, as I said, I'm Brad
16	Rouse, living in Asheville. I grew up in North
17	Carolina in the Town of Farmville. I went to
18	Yale, studied economics, went to Carolina, got an
19	MBA in Finance at Kenan-Flagler, and I spent then
20	22 years working in the field of utility
21	planning. One of my first projects was
22	developing the initial economic space load
23	forecasting system for Carolina Power & Light
24	which is now Duke Progress. And I went on to the

next firm that I worked for Energy Management
Associates to develop the premier software
products that are used for Integrated Resource
Planning, and you can say that I'm one of the
pioneers of Integrated Resource Planing now
retired and living in Asheville.

But I will say 10 years ago, I've been -- I've always been concerned about climate change but in the last 10 years became extremely concerned about climate change because of the -- just I didn't feel like anybody was doing anything about it to the -- near the scope of what needed to be done. And I sold my business and devoted myself full time to working on climate issues.

I am very happy to say that we've been pleased with Duke's support of the Western Carolinas Modernization Program here in Asheville and I've been a part of that effort. And I've been -- I'm also pleased that Duke has made a lot of progress.

I do see the current IRP as representing a lot of progress versus earlier IRPs and I do tend to like the 70 percent carbon

reduction scenario. But I'm going to start with that as what I would call the minimum thing that we should be doing and that really that that is not enough. And because, really I think that the 70 percent carbon reduction scenario really suggests that Duke's not really aware of the implications of the map of climate change and that we have a tight carbon budget for the planet and it requires 50 percent economy-wide reductions in carbon emissions to stay within the Paris accord goal by about 2030, 2035 at the And that 20 -- that 50 percent carbon emissions limit is -- really means that the utility industry needs to be up around 90 percent by that timeframe, not 70, because we've got to decarbonize not only the electric grid, we've also got to decarbonize transportation, industrial use of fossil fuels rather than selling commercial use of fossil fuels. that is actually two-thirds of the carbon emissions in our economy do not come from, or more, do not come from the electric sector at all but they come from these other sectors. So I think Duke is -- you know,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the electric sector needs to lead because that's what we know how to do. We know how to put renewable energy, storage in place and get that electrified quickly and then we need to move to electrify these other sectors. And what that means is that we are going to -- actually -- you know, another complaint I have about the IRP is that I think the load growth is understated by a traumatic amount because we need to electrify these other sectors. That means that electricity demand is actually going to increase probably more like 3.5 percent versus what Duke is saying is 1 percent. Duke needs to gear up for the transition to clean energy. And, you know -- so we need to green the grid or almost green the grid quickly. I think there's an argument per se in that Duke doesn't need to go to 100 percent renewable right away but instead needs to focus its efforts on helping to transition industry and the transportation system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I just -- I presented my written testimony and I think -- you know, we just need more ambition and more awareness on the part of Duke, but I do appreciate how far they've

1	come which is quite a bit compared to earlier
2	IRPs, but they just need to make another step
3	change improvement the next time around the
4	next time an IRP comes up. And the Commission
5	needs to act in accordance with really the
6	heightened degree of ambition on the energy
7	transition than what we see out of the Duke's
8	IRP. And that concludes my remarks.
9	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank, you
10	Mr. Rouse. Stay with us for any questions. Anyone?
11	(No response)
12	Mr. Rouse, thank you for being with us this
13	evening. You can continue with us or you're free to
14	go on about your business, whichever you choose.
15	Thank you.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17	(The witness is excused)
18	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,
19	next?
20	MS. EDMONDSON: Yes. The next witness is
21	Ann Perry.
22	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Perry, can you
23	hear me? Ms. Perry?
24	MS. EDMONDSON: IT has indicated that she is

```
not online.
 1
 2
              COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That she is not
 3
    with us?
 4
              MS. EDMONDSON: That's correct. As well
 5
    as -- and the next caller as well who is Dale
 6
    Stratford.
 7
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Dale
 8
    Stratford, can you hear me?
 9
                          (No response)
10
              Ms. Edmondson, let's go to the next witness
11
    then.
12
              MS. EDMONDSON: And the next one is Andy
13
    Hinds. We do not have a phone number but we can check
14
    those two mystery numbers.
15
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. McCoy is going
16
    to unmute the two unidentified callers. Are either of
17
    you Mr. Andy Hines? Is Mr. Andy Hines out there?
18
                          (No response)
19
              Ms. Edmondson, we'll move on then.
              MS. EDMONDSON: We have number 12 who is
20
    Bill Ward.
21
22
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Ward?
23
    Mr. Ward, are you there? Mr. Bill Ward, can you hear
24
    me?
```

1	(No response)
2	Mr. McCoy, is Mr. Ward's line unmuted?
3	MR. McCOY: Give me one second, just one
4	second.
5	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay.
6	MR. WARD: Hello.
7	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Ward?
8	MR. WARD: This is Bill Ward. Can you hear
9	me?
10	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That's great. We
11	can hear you fine. Let's get you sworn in.
12	BILL WARD;
13	having been duly affirmed,
14	testified as follows:
15	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,
16	proceed.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
18	Q Mr. Ward, for the record, will you give your
19	name, address and electric provider, please?
20	A I'm Bill Ward, 107 Forest Ridge Drive, Chapel
21	Hill. Duke Energy Carolina.
22	Q And please make your statement.
23	A My name is Bill Ward. I'm a volunteer for Orange
24	County and one of the principal authors of Orange

County's most recent "Greenhouse Gas Inventory".

Electricity is becoming the dominant form of energy in our society. It can be generated from multiple sources with minimal impact to the environment, readily distributed with minimal infrastructure to point of use and transformed into heat like mobility and other societal uses.

As our society becomes more dependent on electricity, the health of our environment becomes more dependent on the carbon-free generation of that electricity.

In September 2017, the Orange
County Board of County Commissioners resolved
that Orange County would only use carbon-free
energy by mid-century. To achieve this
commitment Orange County is dependent on Duke
Energy Carolina generating electricity with
carbon-free sources. It is dependent on
residents and businesses choosing to transport
themselves using carbon-free energy including the
replacement of internal combustion engine
vehicles with electric vehicles. It is dependent
on homes and businesses transitioning from

natural gas to electricity for space and water heating. It is dependent on industry using electricity as the energy source for their processes. In other words, carbon-free electricity generation is the foundation for Orange County's transition to carbon-free energy by mid-century.

As electricity plays a larger role in transportation, space and water heating and industrial processes and other applications, the increased demand must be met with carbon-free generation. The carbon-free technologies for generation and storage of electricity are available and cost-effective today as demonstrated by Synapse Energy Economics analysis of Duke's latest IRP and they can be substantially deployed by 2035 as envisioned by President Biden.

Unfortunately, in the most recent IRP, Duke plans, they've outlined scenarios that build new gas-fired plants while at the same time plans that slowly implement renewable energy sources and storage technologies. Duke is planning to be at 15 percent renewables in 2035,

while the national average is already at 20 percent. Duke's delays in achieving 100 percent carbon-free energy will compound the deleterious health effects of climate change, according to health experts that have recently testified before the U.S. Congress.

To mitigate the damages of climate change, the North Carolina Utility Commission should act now to facilitate North Carolina's transition to a carbon-free society. To fulfill this obligation, the Utility Commission should direct Duke to deploy low cost, carbon-free electricity generation as soon as possible. Thank you for the opportunity.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you Mr. Ward. Let's see if anyone has any questions for you.

(No response)

If not then, Mr. Ward, thank you for being with us this evening. We appreciate it.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, thank you.

(The witness is excused)

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?

MS. EDMONDSON: Yes. Witness 13 is Brian

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

1	Smith.
2	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Brian Smith,
3	can you hear me?
4	(No response)
5	Mr. McCoy, do we have Mr. Smith with us?
6	MR. McCOY: No, sir, it does not look like
7	it.
8	MR. BIRCKBICHLER: Hey John, this is Dan
9	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let me try this
10	one more time. Brian Smith?
11	MR. BIRCKBICHLER: We have duplicate
12	callers. We need to unmute Caller Number 26 as well.
13	He has the same first six as Caller 13.
14	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Is Caller 13 with
15	us? Brian Smith, one last time.
16	(No response)
17	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?
18	MS. EDMONDSON: Number 14 is Cathy Walsh.
19	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let's give it a
20	try. Ms. Walsh, are you there?
21	(No response)
22	Mr. McCoy, do we have Ms. Walsh?
23	MR. McCOY: No, we do not.
24	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Cathy Walsh?

1	(No response)
2	Ms. Edmondson?
3	MS. EDMONDSON: I've also IT has
4	indicated to me Number 15, Dargan Gilmore is also not
5	online.
6	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let me just ask if
7	anyone out there listening to us on the telephone line
8	and wants to speak on a telephone line, if anybody is
9	Mr. Dargan Gilmore?
10	(No response)
11	Ms. Edmondson?
12	MS. EDMONDSON: Number 16 is Anne deBuys and
13	I do believe she is online.
14	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Success.
15	Ms. deBuys, are you with us?
16	MS. deBUYS: Can you hear me?
17	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I can hear you.
18	You can hear us, so let me give you the oath.
19	ANNE deBUYS;
20	having been duly affirmed,
21	testified as follows:
22	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,
23	proceed.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:

Q Ms. deBuys, would you please, for the record, give your name, address and your electric provider?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A Certainly. My name is Anne, A-N-N-E, deBuys,
  D-E-B-U-Y-S. I'm at 16 Sevan Court in Asheville,
  North Carolina, and I'm a Duke Energy Progress
  ratepayer.
- Q And Ms. deBuys, would you please make your statement?
  - Α Commissioners, thank you for the opportunity to speak tonight in favor of clean energy. Other speakers have been quite eloquent, and I'll try to keep my statement peasy, but I want to say I support the Order from the North Carolina Utilities Commission that requires Duke Energy to retire all six of its coal plants by These plants emit over 27 million tons of carbon dioxide pollution annually. It sounds like maybe even more from an earlier testimony. This makes Duke Energy the largest climate polluter in the State of North Carolina. Coal burning plants in North Carolina release dangerous levels of soot, sulfur dioxide, nitrous oxide, and heavy metals, as well as carbon

dioxide, and they discharge wastewater laced with mercury and arsenic into rivers and lakes across our state. In addition, Duke's toxic coal ash has poisoned drinking water sources at all of its coal plants.

Pollution from coal burning plants causes serious health effects, I don't need to tell you, and contributes to four of the five leading causes of death in North Carolina - cancer, stroke, heart disease and upper respiratory disease. North Carolina ranks fourth in the nation in hospital admissions for heart attacks and mortality from coal pollution. Coal plants are the dirtiest and the most expensive way to produce energy in North Carolina.

By comparison, solar energy production already costs less than coal production in North Carolina. Duke Energy continues to operate all six of its old, polluting coal plants at a net loss wasting billions of dollars. A recent study from the Energy -- from Energy Innovation showed that North Carolina could boost renewable energy to 66 percent by 2035 while at the same time

decreasing cost to ratepayers.

So I just want to conclude by saying that I think Duke Energy's IRP needs to be changed to adhere to North Carolina's climate goals. It needs to reduce actual greenhouse gas emissions 70 percent by 2030 or do better than that. Just thinking about the testimony just now that we heard, I'm trying to see who it was. It might have been Brad Rouse or it might have been Bill Ward. At any time rate, we should be doing -- it was Bill Ward -- we should be doing better than that truthfully, and entirely eliminate fossil fuels by 2050. We need to go to zero. So thank you very much for your time and attention. That concludes my remarks.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you, Ms. deBuys.

Does any Commissioner or attorney for the parties have questions for Ms. deBuys?

(No response)

No questions, Ms. deBuys. Thank you very much for bearing with us this evening. We appreciate it.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(The witness is excused)

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?

MS. EDMONDSON: Yes, IT has indicated to me that the next witness that's online is all the way to number 26. That witnesses 17 through 25 are not -- have not called in.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: They do not show any callers for 17 through which?

MS. EDMONDSON: Twenty-five. I know that 22, Ms. Dawn Comfort indicated to us that she would not be able to attend, but it does not appear that the other ones have called in on the phone numbers that they provided to us.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I know we have two phone numbers that are not showing on your list but they are on the line. I'll tell you what we're going to do is, we're going to go on through the sequence of names and at the very end I'll ask if either of them are any -- we'll go back through the list and see if either of those two unidentified phone numbers are any of the people who we passed.

MS. EDMONDSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: So then your next witness will be Daniel Tipps?

1	MS. EDMONDSON: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Okay. Mr. Tipps,
3	are you there?
4	MR. TIPPS: Yes. Can I be heard?
5	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You can be heard
6	just fine, so let's swear you in.
7	DANIEL TIPPS;
8	having been duly affirmed,
9	testified as follows:
10	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,
11	please proceed.
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
13	Q Good evening, Mr. Tipps. For the record, would
14	you please state your name, address and electric
15	provider?
16	A Yes. I am Daniel Tipps, D-A-N-I-E-L T-I-P-P-S.
17	My address is 396 Patton Cove Road, Swannanoa
18	28778, and I'm a consumer of Duke Energy
19	Progress.
20	Q And would you please make your statement?
21	A Yes. Thank you to the North Carolina Utilities
22	Commission for holding this hearing. My name is
23	Daniel Tipps. I'm a sophomore at the University
24	of North Carolina in Asheville and I'm currently

working towards my Bachelors of Environmental Studies degree. I am here tonight because I'm very concerned about Duke's IRPs, particularly because of the proposed rate hikes.

Affordable housing in Asheville is extremely hard to find and I know many students at UNC-A who struggle to afford housing. I know many students here who moved into an apartment they could barely afford and then were shocked at what their electricity bill was to power basic necessities like heat, washing machines and dryers. A rate hike would make housing even more inaccessible and may contribute to the rising houseless population in Asheville.

I am also concerned about the proposed increase in fracked gas plants in some of the IRPs. I was shocked to learn that Duke has proposed over 50 new fracked gas plants.

Fracked gas is not a long-term and cost-effective energy source. According to the Energy

Innovation Policy and Technology, LLC, solar is now the most cost-effective source of energy and can potentially reduce prices for consumers.

This information can be found at

energyinnovation.org. This is one of the thousands of reputable sources that agree that renewable energy is now cheaper than non-renewable and will continue to become cheaper. Renewable energy is what Duke Energy's consumers deserve.

On another note, Duke's current proposal potentially meets North Carolina climate goals in only two of its six scenarios. If one of the IRPs that do not meet these goals are accepted, then Duke Energy will balk North Carolina into non-renewables for decades to come and rates will constantly increase. Duke will be criticized and will weaken its image of being a leader in the North Carolina energy sector.

I believe Duke Energy can be a leader of change and innovation in the energy industry and be proud of its contribution to equitable access to clean energy. If Duke wishes to uphold its image of being considerate and caring to its consumers, then the IRPs that do not align with North Carolina climate goals must be rejected.

I'd like to finish my statement by

```
stating that I fully support, agree with and
 1
         affirm every single thing that was said in each
 2
 3
         previous statement so far in this hearing,
 4
          including statements made by Ms. Buckley,
 5
         Ms. Holt, Ms. Bickel, Mr. Rouse and Ms. deBuys.
         It is obvious that the IRPs do not align with the
 6
 7
         North Carolina climate goals and are
 8
         misrepresenting Duke's consumers and putting them
         and our environment at risk. The testimonies
 9
10
         given tonight accurately represent our needs and
11
         wishes.
                   Thank you for your time.
12
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                         Thank you,
13
    Mr. Tipps.
14
               Are there any questions for Mr. Tipps?
15
               (Pause).
16
               Seeing none, Mr. Tipps, you're off the hook.
17
    Good luck on your degree.
18
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
19
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thanks for being
20
    with us tonight.
21
                    (The witness is excused)
22
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?
23
               MS. EDMONDSON: Yes. Witness 27 is Corey
24
    McVay.
```

1	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Corey McVay, are
2	you out there?
3	(No response)
4	Mr. McCoy, do we have
5	MR. McCOY: No, sir.
6	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Corey McVay?
7	(No response)
8	All right. Ms. Edmondson?
9	MS. EDMONDSON: The next witness is Dave
10	Erb, Number 28.
11	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Erb, are you
12	with us?
13	MR. ERB: Can you hear me?
14	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I can hear you
15	just fine. Thank you. That's good. So let's get you
16	sworn.
17	DAVID ERB;
18	having been duly affirmed,
19	testified as follows:
20	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,
21	proceed.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
23	Q Good evening, Mr. Erb. Would you please state
24	for the record your name, address and electric

provider?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A My name is David Erb. The last name is spelled E-R-B. And my address is 22 Sandon Drive, Asheville 28804, and my electric provider is Duke Energy Progress.
- Q Please make your statement.
- Thank you. I've spent a 40-year career as an engineer focused primarily on energy and emissions. And I'd like to start by reiterating what a number of the previous speakers have said that renewables are not some pie-in-the-sky Solar and wind are winning competitive wholesale power supply bids all across the country. In many cases, those installations include storage so you actually can have solar energy at night. And we have an advantage here in the southeast that particularly in Duke territory, that we don't need storage at this point. We already have quite a bit of pump storage hydro in the system because we need it to support our high nuclear fraction. That can just as easily be dedicated to storing solar and wind as well as nuclear and emphasize that way or other -- employ it that way.

I spent a good portion of my career as a college professor and a good portion of that advising senior projects. And one of the biggest problems with engineering senior projects is getting students to have a sense of urgency to convince them that the whole year is really not that long. To do that I would point out the empirical evidence. There's a rule in engineering called the 80/20 rule. For people who don't know about it, it's an empirical observation that you get 80 percent of results in a long-term project with the first 20 percent of the time and other resources. And that's relevant here because we pay a lot of lip service to being at net zero by 2050, and that sounds like it's a long ways off but the fact is that the 80/20 rule means that we only have six years to get 80 percent of the way there. We've got to pick that low hanging fruit really fast. fact, new fossil plants are going to be stranded as soon as they open; almost as soon as they open if we build them. We also pay a lot of lip service

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We also pay a lot of lip service to the notion that we can't put all our eggs in

one basket, that we need a diverse generating mix, and I totally agree with that statement. But we've got a system which is -- that has a very high fraction. I don't know the exact number, but it's probably close to 90 percent nuclear and fossil. And if you're going to diversify that generating portfolio, you've got to stop investing in more nuc and fossil otherwise you will never diversify. That's not advanced engineering math. That's just fractions that we teach in elementary school.

So as a shareholder in Duke

Energy, a partial owner of the Company, I really
do not want my company investing long-term
capital in what is going to turn out to be
short-term investments.

As a Duke Energy ratepayer, I don't want some future Utilities Commission being put in a position where they're asked to bail out that shortsighted management decision to build these fossil resources at a time when they shouldn't have been built.

And as an air-breathing, water-drinking, topsoil-dependent human, I don't

think we should be using technology that was developed in the late nineteenth century to build the grid that's going to be -- still be around after the middle of the 21st century. We should be using present-day technologies like wind and solar.

I want to thank the Commission for all of your very hard work. I do know how hard you work to deal with competing interests in these sorts of hearings. And I want to thank you for the opportunity to speak. And that's the end of my statement.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Erb, thank you for taking time out of your evening to share with us. We appreciate that, too.

Are there any questions for Mr. Erb?

(No response)

Mr. Erb, you are free to go or stay if you wish.

(The witness is excused)

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Kim Mitchell, I promised you I would check in with you when we've been going about an hour and fifteen minutes and see how you're doing. We're not done but there are seven

```
remaining speakers on the list. And so if you'd like
 1
    we can take a short break.
 2
 3
               COURT REPORTER: (Shakes head no).
 4
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: You're good to go
 5
    through?
 6
               COURT REPORTER:
                                (Motions a thumbs up).
 7
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
 8
    Ms. Edmondson, let's go to the next witness.
 9
              MS. EDMONDSON: Yes.
                                     The IT staff has --
10
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Excuse me.
11
    should have asked my colleagues. I was rude enough --
12
    as an old lawyer, I know the court reporter is the
13
    important person but I should have asked my colleagues
14
    as well whether anyone really needs a break right now
15
    or if you can plow on.
16
               Let's move on. Ms. Edmondson, call the next
17
    witness.
18
              MS. EDMONDSON: Yes, IT staff indicated to
19
    me that they might -- that they wanted to go back and
20
    check for Corey McVay, that they might have him on the
21
    line.
22
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                        Do we have
23
    Mr. McVay? John McCoy?
24
                          (No response)
```

```
I tell you what, Ms. Edmondson, we'll come
 1
 2
           I'm going to go back through one last time.
 3
    let's go to the next witness.
 4
              MS. EDMONDSON: All right. Then it will be
    Brenda Newberry, Number 29.
 5
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Newberry, are
 6
 7
    you there?
 8
              MS. NEWBERRY: Hello, did you hear me?
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I hear you now.
 9
10
    Thank you very much, Ms. Newberry. Let's get you
11
    sworn.
12
                       BRENDA NEWBERRY;
13
                   having been duly affirmed,
                     testified as follows:
14
15
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,
16
    proceed.
17
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
         Yes. Ms. Newberry, would you please state for
18
19
         the record your name, address and electric
20
         provider?
21
         Brenda Newberry, N-E-W-B-E-R-R-Y. My address is
    Α
22
          15112 North Springs Drive, Charlotte 28277, and
23
         Duke Energy is my provider.
24
         Please make your statement.
```

A Thank you. So this is my first time speaking at one of these hearings and I have really learned a lot and concur with so much of what everybody said.

personal story just in that I grew up in South Carolina and enjoyed all outdoor activities like swimming and playing in the woods. And then in 2016, I was fortunate to live in Taos, New Mexico and teach children groups to ski for two winters at Taos Ski Valley Resort. And by spending every winter day outdoors in the beautiful southwest mountains and having two extremely low snowfall years, I experienced the potential cost of disappearing winters.

When I moved back to Charlotte in 2018, I was more aware of climate issues and have been studying the problem and possible solution for about three years now, and I understand the risk to all of our biosystems. So the risk is not just recreation but life on the planet.

Making necessary changes is going to take deep system changes and collective personal behavior changes to accomplish what is in front of us and

reducing the use of fossil fuels is one of the most significant impacts we can make.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

When I read the Duke IRP to prepare for this hearing, I attempted to chart a set of goals laid out by Duke Energy side by side with the Colorado Excel Power as an example of another power company and then the North Carolina climate goals, and even another set of ideal transition goals that are more bold than any of the above and have a better probability of achieving the results we really want. chart got complicated very quickly. And I just want to, for now, point to the need for Duke Energy's IRP to close coal plants by 2030 at the latest, to add no fossil fuel infrastructure, meaning no new fracked gas plants and shift instead to solar, wind and storage, and to reach that 70 percent clean energy goal by 2030 as specified in Governor Cooper's Clean Energy Plan. I also think the plan -- overall, we need to take into account the financial cost of climate impacts if we don't make these changes, and into the health impacts of air pollution on the physical and mental health of residents.

1	And I just want to end with
2	thanking the Commissioners for holding these
3	public hearings.
4	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you,
5	Ms. Newberry.
6	Does anyone have a question for
7	Ms. Newberry?
8	(No response)
9	Ms. Newberry, thank you for being with us
10	this evening.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	(The witness is excused)
13	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson,
14	that will take you to the next witness.
15	MS. EDMONDSON: Witness 30 is Ben Goldberg.
16	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Goldberg, are
17	you out there?
18	MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, hello. Can you hear me?
19	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I can hear you
20	just fine. So let's get you sworn in.
21	BEN GOLDBERG;
22	having been duly affirmed,
23	testified as follows:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS EDMONDSON:

MS. EDMONDSON: Mr. Goldberg, would you please state for the record your name, address and electric provider?

- A Yes. So my name is Ben Goldberg,

  G-O-L-D-B-E-R-G. My address is 2507 West Woodrow

  Street in Durham, North Carolina, and my

  electricity provider is Duke Energy Carolinas.
- Q Please make your statement.

A Okay. Hello, my name is Ben and I'm from Durham,

North Carolina, and I am a Duke Energy Carolinas

customer. I would like to thank the Commission

for holding this hearing.

As a 13-year-old watching the destruction of my future due to climate change, I see this IRP as a plan that is complicit in the destruction of our home and our future. Duke Energy needs to step up and become a leader in renewable energy and affordable electricity.

We're in the middle of an existential climate crisis. We can't continue to build new fossil fuel infrastructure. We need to transition to clean energy as fast as possible to make sure that life on earth will have a future.

The only scenarios in the

Integrated Resource Plan have slightly reasonable climate goals and rely on technology that has not been created yet. By slightly reasonable climate goals, I mean 70 percent CO2 reduction by 2035, which is still significantly less than President Biden's goal of 100 percent CO2 reduction by 2035. It's unacceptable for Duke Energy to base a climate plan on imaginary technology that does not exist yet when existing technology works perfectly to achieve even more ambitious goals. We can't gamble with the future of our planet.

None of the Integrated Resource
Plan scenarios have no coal and no gas by 2035.
We need to cut emissions as fast as possible and
that means shutting down coal and gas plants as
fast as possible. All of the scenarios outlined
in the IRP will increase customer's monthly bills
through no fault of their own.

Duke Energy includes no transition plans for the workers and the communities impacted by coal plant closures.

Duke Energy ignores methane emissions in its plans. Methane is a greenhouse gas that is 84 times more potent than carbon

dioxide, as I'm sure Duke Energy knows.

Duke Energy's base scenario with the carbon policy only proposes about 15 percent renewable energy by 2035. That's an increase of only 0.6 percent per year and it's still less than the -- than the industry average right now. Duke Energy is planning to build over 9.6 gigawatts of new quote, unquote, natural gas capacity by 2035 in multiple scenarios outlined in the IRP.

We need to stop building new fossil fuel infrastructure and switch to clean energy, not receiving more CO2 in our atmosphere. It's not even economical for Duke Energy to build new gas and then shut it down. Duke Energy needs to be legally bound to fall back on clean energy and not build new gas and coal. Building and investing in clean renewable energy will create thousands of local jobs which are desperately needed during this unemployment crisis, and it will also lower customer's rates while protecting our home.

Duke Energy needs to embrace renewable energy fully and this IRP definitely

1	does not do that.
2	As a 13-year-old looking at this
3	Integrated Resource Plan, I realize that this
4	plan does not protect our future or the future of
5	generations to come. To protect utility
6	customers, our planet and our future, this IRP
7	needs to be rejected. Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you,
9	Mr. Goldberg.
L 0	Are there any questions for Mr. Goldberg?
L1	(No response)
L 2	Thank you, sir, for being with us this
L3	evening. We appreciate very much your coming and
L 4	stating your views.
L 5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
L 6	(The witness is excused)
L 7	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?
L 8	MS. EDMONDSON: Yes, the next witness,
L 9	Witness 31, is Amy Brooks Paradise.
20	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Paradise?
21	MS. PARADISE: Can you hear me?
22	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I can hear you
23	fine.
24	AMY BROOKS PARADISE;

1	having been duly affirmed,
2	testified as follows:
3	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson.
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
5	BY MS. EDMONDSON:
6	Q Ms. Brooks Paradise, please state your name,
7	address and electric provider for the record.
8	A Amy Brooks Paradise. I live at 16416 Beech Hill
9	Drive in Huntersville, and my energy provider is
10	Energy United.
11	Q Please make your statement.
12	A Thank you. Greetings to all the good people here
13	this evening and thank you for the opportunity to
14	speak.
15	My name is Amy Brooks Paradise and
16	I work with GreenFaith, a global faith
17	environmental and climate justice network. I'm
18	also a pastor and a parent. At GreenFaith we
19	understand that people and the earth are sacred
20	and at risk due to global climate change caused
21	by the use of fossil fuel.
22	In my work, I hear stories
23	everyday, like the people in the Village of

Kwasasi in Lamu, Kenya who are suffering from

24

proximity to coal plants. Or the high rate of suicide among farmers in India who can no longer support their families because the land is depleted.

Closer to home, we are all aware of the expanding fire season in California, the bizarre freeze in Texas, and the increasing number of hurricanes moving closer still. I am sure we are all aware of the Colonial Pipeline burst that spilled 1.2 million gallons of gas into the Oehler Nature Preserve.

I looked up pipeline spills in the U.S. and found this quote, For natural gas alone, the Pipeline Hazardous Material Safety

Administration has collected data on more than 3,200 accidents deemed significant since 1987.

If you do the math, that's two accidents a week for the last 33 years, just of natural gas. Not a good option. And how do we account for the damage to the earth, the water, the air, and the resources vital to life on earth? When do we consider the suffering of the people when their lives are disrupted or loved ones die? And what about the number of illnesses and lives lost due

to the burning of these fossil fuels once they actually make it to their destinations? These are the additional costs we are all paying when we rely on fossil fuel to meet our energy needs and they are the costs we will continue to pay when we write and approve any plan that centers fossil fuel for the foreseeable future.

My point is simply this: When will we have the courage to say no and find the moral, social, economic, and political will to back it up?

I think the number of people who are scheduled to speak demonstrates clearly that we do not want to continue to live with the fear of damaging pollution and a future cut short. So often when we think about changing our energy grid we think about what we will lose, but what if we spent more time talking about what we would gain. If we prioritize less harmful energy sources that would become cheaper through broad use, that would contribute to a healthier air, land, water and food for all of us. What if we could in the process create a more just, safer and healthier world, new jobs and new ways to

make our planet and our future more promising for all of us?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

My family has made personal financial commitments to this future by installing solar panels on our home and driving an electric vehicle. I am asking you as part of this community to do your part. In my opinion, I think all of us are asking that the Commission be bold and not approve any plan that centers the continued development and use of fossil fuel.

I join in asking you to say no. And I ask Duke and Dominion to go back to the drawing board to re-envision how they might redeploy their financial resources and political leverage to create the kind of plan our community needs, and I believe you can deliver if you make that your goal. We may not at this point have everything we need to get there, but we do know that when we can work together we can accomplish So let's be bold and hold one another much. accountable and capable to do the best job we can for all people. It is the way forward and our best hope for the future. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you,

```
Ms. Paradise. Let's see if anyone has questions for
 1
 2
    you.
 3
               (Pause).
 4
               All right.
                           I'm not seeing any. So again,
 5
    thank you for staying with us and being with us
 6
              We appreciate it.
    tonight.
 7
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
 8
                    (The witness is excused)
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?
 9
10
               MS. EDMONDSON: The next witness, Witness
11
    32, is Andrew Meeker.
12
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Do we have Andrew
13
    Meeker?
14
              MR. MEEKER: Hi, can you hear me?
15
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: I can hear you
16
           Let's give you the oath.
    fine.
17
                        ANDREW MEEKER;
18
                   having been duly affirmed,
                     testified as follows:
19
20
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
21
         Mr Meeker, for the record, please state your
22
         name, address and electric provider.
23
         My name is Andrew Meeker, last name is
    Α
24
         M-E-E-K-E-R. I live at 45 Stoneridge Road in
```

Durham, North Carolina 27705, and I am a ratepayer of Duke Energy Carolinas.

Q Please make your statement.

- A Sorry, I didn't hear that, it broke up.
- Q I'm sorry. Would you please go ahead and make your statement?
- I'm going to proceed then. So I would like to thank the Commission and the staff for taking my testimony. And I also really want to uplift and appreciate all the other testimonies, which I think brought up a lot of really important points that I would like to be able to expand upon. And I also apologize, my concentration is not at best as earlier this morning I got my second dose of the Covid vaccine and I'm beginning to feel its impact as this call is proceeding.

So I'm going to direct my comments mainly towards Duke Energy as I'm subject to their rates in order to meet my basic needs, but I expect most of the comments would apply very well to the other utilities being considered, whose IRP's are being considered.

So I'm calling because I care deeply about life on earth in general. I am also

calling because I have a new motivation as I'm going to become a parent for the first time sometime within the next three months. I not only want a liveable future for myself and the one I love, but I also want to ensure that I can be alive on this planet for my child as long as I'm able to be. And I also want to know that my child will live in a world that is not only environmentally safe but where meeting their basic needs does not require harmful consequences for themselves or their neighbors.

So I think as other folks have spoken to in their testimonies, we're all quite aware of and heard the consequences posed by climate change fueled by the burning of fossil fuels, but I recently heard in an interview with a climate and energy policy expert that energy generation from burning fossil fuels reduces life expectancy in this country by about two years due to air pollution alone. That's not counting the other impacts of climate change. And this really struck me because that's two years that I could be a parent to my forthcoming child which is on the hook based on decisions made by Duke

executives concerned about their corporation's profit margin. That's two more years that my child will be able to enjoy our Piedmont home here in central North Carolina. And that's -- this is a very rough math, but that's roughly two million years of life across the population in North Carolina, due to air pollution alone, again not counting the increasingly detrimental and far-reaching consequences of climate change.

So I believe I was a UNC masters student in a class of Commissioner Hughes when I learned how roughly how the rates were set for the state sanctioned energy utility monopoly.

And I believe Duke is able to recoup a return on investment and a significant return on investment only when it builds out new energy infrastructure. And if I understand this correctly, it creates kind of a perverse incentive for Duke Energy to expand out expensive and unnecessary infrastructure, and so it's no wonder that it would propose such massive expansion of fracked gas generation while our globe is on the brink of climate and economical chaos.

ratepayers across the State are being subjected to the pollution from those generation plants and we're paying a premium on it in order to increase the wealth of Duke's stockholders. And so I'd ask the Commission how is that permissible as the body that is in charge of looking after the wellbeing of ratepayers across the State.

And so I believe that we need an Integrated Resource Plan for our state utilities that is based on science and not necessarily -- and what science says is necessary for us to have a livable planet and not based on how Duke can kind of leverage these perverse incentives for our state's utility regulation.

And so people have referenced

Cooper's Executive Order 80 and Biden's plan, and
there's also in 2018 the IPCC, the

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, that
had a special report on kind of moving out what's
required to keep the globe below 1.5 degrees

Celsius warming that would be a lot of
consequences would happen beyond that, and it's
net zero by 2050, and I believe Professor Erb

spoke to that. We need to have the vast majority of that low-hanging fruit happen immediately. And I've heard various numbers thrown out, but about 80 percent by 2030, because that last 20 percent is going to be the most difficult to transition to renewable energy and would take the following 20 years. And so it's unconscionable to me that Duke would consider not immediately retiring a coal plant when they haven't even been able to safely clean up their coal ash dumps across the State.

I appreciate an earlier caller who outlined so clearly that she's a coal -- (Timer).

I have a friend in Goldsboro who has to live with the harmful impacts of a coal ash dump in their back yard, and that's just one of several across the State.

As a parent, I will expect my child to be able to clean up after themselves before they can create another mess. And then again, I would also expect my child never to do anything that would be so harmful to our neighbors.

1	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Meeker, I'm
2	going to ask Mr. Meeker, I'm going to have to ask
3	you to wrap up because you've gone over your time.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: We appreciate it.
6	You might get a question or two. We'll see if you get
7	a question or two, but we appreciate your coming to
8	speak tonight.
9	THE WITNESS: I appreciate the Commissioners
10	and staff for taking my testimony.
11	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let's see, are
12	there any questions for Mr. Meeker?
13	(No response)
14	Not even from Commissioner Hughes.
15	Mr. Mooker thank was ware much and congretulations on
	Mr. Meeker, thank you very much and congratulations on
16	your upcoming family addition.
16 17	
	your upcoming family addition.
17	your upcoming family addition.  THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. Thanks
17 18	your upcoming family addition.  THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. Thanks to the Commission.
17 18 19	your upcoming family addition.  THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. Thanks to the Commission.  (The witness is excused)
17 18 19 20	your upcoming family addition.  THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. Thanks to the Commission.  (The witness is excused)  COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?
17 18 19 20 21	your upcoming family addition.  THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. Thanks to the Commission.  (The witness is excused)  COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?  MS. EDMONDSON: Witness 33 is Christine

1		MS. TEPPER: Yes, this is Christine.
2		COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you.
3		CHRISTINE TEPPER;
4		having been duly affirmed,
5		testified as follows:
6		COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson.
7	DIRECT	EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
8	Q Ye	s, Ms. Tepper, for the record please provide
9	λo.	ur name, address and electric provider.
10	A My	name is Christine Tepper. I live at 104
11	La	keview Drive in Greenville. And my electric
12	pr	ovider is Greenville Utilities Corporation.
13	Q An	d would you please make your statement?
14	A Ye	s. Thank up for this opportunity. And I'm so
15	im	pressed with everybody that has spoken before
16	me	. This is my first time speaking before such a
17	Co	mmission. What I have to say is very short and
18	si	mple in that I believe that Duke Energy should
19	pu	t more focus on transitioning to clean
20	re	newable energy sources like wind and solar
21	po.	wer, as well as energy efficiency programs when
22	it	is essential for our planet and all creatures
23	gr	eat and small that greenhouse gases be reduced
24	if	not eliminated entirely. It is a crime

1	against humanity and a sin against God's creation
2	to plan for a massive build-out of new fracked
3	gas burning power plants. Please, please stop
4	this assault on life. Thank you for listening.
5	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you,
6	Ms. Tepper.
7	Are there questions for Ms. Tepper?
8	(Pause).
9	Seeing none, Ms. Tepper, thank you for being
10	with us this evening. You are free to stay or go.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	(The witness is excused)
13	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?
14	MS. EDMONDSON: Yes, it does not appear that
15	Witness 34, Andy McGlinn has called in.
16	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. McGlinn, are
17	you there?
18	(No response)
19	If not then, Ms. Edmondson?
20	MS. EDMONDSON: And we have two numbers I
21	think at the end we're going to need to check, but the
22	last one, Cathy Scott, 35, I do believe she's on the
23	line.
24	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: All right.

```
Ms. Scott?
              MS. SCOTT: Hello. Hi.
 2
 3
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Great.
                                                 Let's give
 4
    you the oath.
 5
                         CATHY SCOTT;
 6
                   having been duly affirmed,
 7
                     testified as follows:
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
 8
 9
         Ms. Scott, for the record, would you please state
10
         your name, address and electric provider?
11
         Yes, my name is Cathy, C-A-T-H-Y, Scott
12
          S-C-O-T-T, 53 Mount Olive Church Road, Asheville,
13
         North Carolina 28804, and my provider is Duke
14
         Energy Progress.
15
         And would you please make your statement?
    Q
16
               First of all, thank you to all of the
17
         Commissioners who are on this call and for
18
         holding these public hearings. I am really glad
19
         that you're here because as Commissioners you are
20
          in a pivotal position. You evaluate Duke Energy
21
         Progress' business plan. You decide is the plan
22
          sound; is it responsible; will it deliver the
23
         goods; on balance, will the benefits and services
24
          it provides to its customers outweigh any harm
```

that it causes to its customers and to our shared environment; is Duke Energy doing the job it was contracted by the State of North Carolina to do. That's your responsibility, continuously evaluating every two years, is Duke Energy up to the job we've asked it to do.

I have been speaking at Duke

Energy IRP hearings for over a decade and I don't
think Duke is showing itself to be up to the job.

I think their business plan is delusional.

Any electric utility that's not moving off fossil
fuels as fast as they can is not working with
reality. Reality tells us the fossilized
materials we use as fuel have to be left
underground. We need a clean source of fuel to
generate electricity.

We know that both nationally and on a state level timelines have been set for reaching zero emission goals. Duke Energy is set to run head long into those goals. Where will that leave the Company and its shareholders? What will be the value of the fossil-fueled facilities that their IRP has been building? Where will we, Duke Energy's electricity

customers, be when our public utility crashes?

How will the State of North Carolina make up the time lost when our public utility was not engaged in research and development around clean fuel, battery storage, and a modern grid?

I hope you, the Commissioners, will feel a renewed sense of responsibility to all of us North Carolinians who are counting on you. Please look at this IRP with new eyes. See if it is taking us where we need to be this year and every year from here on out.

Thank you very, very much for holding this hearing. And I appreciate the work that you do as Commissioners. And I just can't emphasize enough what an important position you are in in setting the course for the future. Thanks.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you,
Ms. Scott.

Are there questions for Ms. Scott?

(No response)

Ms. Scott, thank you for being with us this evening.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(The witness is excused)

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson, I'm going to suggest that at this point we take a very short break and let Mr. McCoy and you see if we can identify whether any of the witnesses that we passed over earlier when we called them because they weren't with us, if any of them have appeared and are now available. And then we'll come back and if you've found any of those witnesses, if they've shown up now or joined us late, then we'll take those witnesses. If not, we'll conclude the hearing for the evening.

So let's -- it's 7:43, everyone who's on

Webex -- if you're on the phone stay on the phone so 14 Mr. McCoy can try to identify you and see if you are

15 one of our registered speakers. If you're on Webex,

16 please put your phone on -- your video on -- stop your

17 video and mute your audio. And we'll come back at

7:50 p.m., 7:50 p.m. Okay. Thank you. 18

(A recess was taken from 7:43 p.m.

until 7:50 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let's check in, Ms. Edmondson, and see do we have any of the callers that we missed earlier. Do we have any of them with

24 us?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

1	MS. EDMONDSON: I believe we've identified
2	two. I'll check with Mr. McCoy. I believe we have
3	Corey McVay and Bob Rodriguez. And I think there's
4	one more unidentified.
5	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: All right.
6	MR. McCOY: That is correct.
7	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. McCoy, while
8	you are attempting to identify that third line
9	Ms. Edmondson, we said we would take them in
10	the order of the sign up so let's go to Mr. Rodriguez
11	first and let's get Mr. Rodriguez unmuted.
12	Mr. Rodriguez, are you there?
13	(No response)
14	Mr. Rodriguez, if you're there I cannot hear
15	you.
16	Mr. McCoy
17	MR. McCOY: Sir, he just dropped off.
18	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: He dropped off?
19	MR. McCOY: Yes, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Let's see if Corey
21	McVay is there. Mr. McVay, can you hear me?
22	MR. McVAY: This is Corey McVay, can you
22	MR. McVAY: This is Corey McVay, can you hear me?

1	Thank you. Thank you for sticking with it.
2	MR. McVAY: Thank you all.
3	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. McVay, let me
4	give you the oath and then we'll hear your testimony.
5	COREY McVAY;
6	having been duly affirmed,
7	testified as follows:
8	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson.
9	MS. EDMONDSON: Yes.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
11	Q Could you please state your name, address and
12	electric provider?
13	A Yes. My name is Corey McVay, C-O-R-E-Y M-C-,
14	capital V-, A-Y. My address is 300 Smith Knolls
15	Road, Fairview, North Carolina, zip code 28730,
16	and the provider is Duke Energy Progress.
17	Q And would you please make your statement?
18	A Hello. My name is Corey McVay and I'm a resident
19	of Asheville, North Carolina and a customer of
20	Duke Energy Progress.
21	I'd like to thank the North
22	Carolina Utilities Commission for holding this
23	hearing to allow a space for the public to make
24	their voices heard.

I've grown up in western North
Carolina and I'm currently a student at UNC
Asheville pursuing a degree in applied math. My
family has been a customer of Progress Energy,
now Duke Energy Progress, for my entire life.

I'm asking that the Duke team go back to the
drawing board for their IRP and truly put more
effort into choosing sustainable, renewable and
equitable solutions to tackle the energy needs of
the next 15 years and beyond.

The current proposals only potentially meet North Carolina climate goals in two of the six scenarios, and none of the scenarios meet the national goal for carbon-free electricity by 2035.

Progress can be made towards these goals if renewable energy such as solar PV is implemented across the region, a solution that is not only better to the environment, to the air we breathe, the water we drink, and the food we eat, but is also ultimately much more cost-effective.

As mentioned in multiple previous statements, Duke plans to draw only 15 percent of its energy from renewable sources, paling in

comparison to the current national average of 19.8 percent renewable energy. With the addition of more reliable renewable energy sources to the grid, Duke's customers can potentially be relieved of some economic burden as well.

In my family, I can say that to save money on our monthly Duke Power bill we would put on extra layers and blankets in the winter before ever turning the heat on and rarely turn the air conditioning on in the summers. I know in many other families serviced by Duke these tough decisions aren't simply about comfort, especially in the wake of a global pandemic.

The proposed rate hike is just one of the many ways in which customers will suffer if the current plan is approved. Duke has the responsibility to honor customers' needs and the environment in which they provide services with their future plans.

I ask that the North Carolina
Utilities Commission send Duke back to the
drawing board to devise more scenarios that
includes significant renewable energy sources,

reduction of greenhouse gases, closing down current coal and gas plants, and table the scenarios that include any of these new fracked gas or coal plants. I implore you to place the necessary importance on customers' needs and wishes without unnecessary rate hikes.

And I would also like to add that I fully support all of the previous statements that have been made this evening. Thank you for your time and consideration.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you for bearing with us tonight while we worked through the technology.

Let's see if there are any questions for Corey.

## (No response)

Thank you. And as again with your colleague at UNC Asheville, good luck on your studies.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. Have a good evening.

(The witness is excused)

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?

MS. EDMONDSON: I think Mr. Rodriguez may be

24 back with us.

```
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can you hear me now?
 1
 2
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Mr. Rodriguez, is
 3
    that you?
 4
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's me. Can you guys
 5
    hear me?
 6
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: That's great.
 7
    We've got you. You're coming in good now. So let's
 8
    swear you in.
 9
                       ROBERT RODRIGUEZ;
10
                  having been duly affirmed,
11
                     testified as follows:
12
               COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson?
13
              MS. EDMONDSON: Mr. Rodriguez, I've never
14
    known you to miss an IRP hearing so I'm glad you're
15
    here.
16
               THE WITNESS: (Laughing).
17
    DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:
18
         Would you please give me your name, address and
19
         electric provider?
20
         Yes, ma'am, Ms. Edmondson. My name is Robert,
21
         last name Rodriguez, R-O-D-R-I-G-U-E-Z. My
22
         address is 2400 Countrywood Road. That's all one
23
         word, Country and Wood together, Road, Raleigh,
24
         and my provider is Duke Progress.
```

Q And please make your statement.

A Well, first of all, thank you all very much for the opportunity to speak. And I'm actually setting my timer to count down, which is sort of a metaphor in terms for all of us. Really, for who is the clock counting down, for whom the bell tolls, for whom the clock tolls.

And I'll just simply say that I'm a concerned citizen. I'm also a person of faith. I think as a moral aspect of this, but I'm also a business person and I'm also a member of the North Carolina Interfaith Power and Light and the Interfaith Creation Chair of the Triangle, among other things. But the key thing is I'm just going to -- I will submit some written statements with more details but I want to focus in on just three things.

And I think the key -- the first thing is that I have looked at the IRP and I must say that the work that Duke has done to be able to bring down CO2 in the last 10 years, I think from 2005 to 2019, something in the order of a 38 percent reduction, that is admirable.

I think of the scenarios that have

been presented the one that I find we have to be going at least the starting point is the 70 percent reduction and that would be in my mind the high winds, simply because wind technology both offshore and onshore is a proven technology. It works. And I would just click -- I just checked on the internet to see how many suppliers are out there and my last count was something over 40, greater than that, so it's something that's off the shelf we can get going. It can be done and is being done all over the world.

My concern is that in addition to that scenario we still have a thing called incidental gas -- incremental gas, excuse me, and that exposure to fossil fuels is the thing that really concerns me. The fact that in one of the scenarios you had the analysis where you had a carbon pricing. Well, I think the carbon pricing that was done, and this was on page, I think 153, 151, for \$5 to \$7 per ton is woefully inadequate. I've seen numbers and I'm going to reference the Scientific American where the Biden Administration was using numbers of around \$51 per ton. Also, \$1500 a ton per methane and

\$18,000 per ton for nitrous oxide. I've also seen work from The Guardian. Also, in articles from The Guardian from author Dana Nuccitelli talking about costs in the area of \$100 to \$200 per ton. But the one that got my attention was the work that done by the University of Chicago, an article by Louise Lerner, L-E-R-N-E-R, and this was on September the 9th of 2020, last year, by the work of Dr. David Archer where he contends strongly that the price for carbon is something closer to \$100,000 per ton. And this is taking into effect the greater damage that will be caused by beaches lost and other catastrophes. But the other aspect of it is that by the very nature of financial modeling that the discount rate kind of pushes, shoves everything to the front. But the fact of the matter is ideally we're going to be living here more than a hundred years or 200 years or 500 years or a 1000. That's the point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So what I am saying is that my deep concern is that what we're going to do is set up a thing called, like as the Rocky Mount Institute said, is a situation for grid

defection, that is, the price of carbon is factored into electricity: (a) those communities who don't have the wherewithal, the financial wherewithal to protect themselves - either get off the grid entirely, or significantly reduce their exposure are going to be in trouble; or (b) that we're not going to -- that this price is going to cause people to leave or those communities are going to be taking the brunt of the additional costs.

So the things I would recommend tonight would be a doubling down on energy efficiency. I think that has been underutilized in the IRP. And just to give an example, putting our money where our mouth is for our own home we've been able to reduce permanently about 40 percent by just doing weatherization, insulation, sealing of crawl spaces, and we've also added mild solar generation capability as well. So we had something in the order of a 50 percent reduction let's say for a house built in 1977.

So, in summary, I don't envy the challenges you have but the thing is we have to

1	do better, and as the time is running out here,
2	this is kind of a metaphor in terms of that. You
3	all have a great responsibility
4	(Timer).
5	and I don't envy it but I wish
6	you to think for seven generations and think for
7	those come behind us. Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you.
9	Are there questions for Mr. Rodriguez
10	tonight?
11	(No response)
12	Mr. Rodriguez, thanks for sticking with us
13	so we could get you connected.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
15	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Ms. Edmondson, I
16	think that completes the callers we have, correct?
17	MS. EDMONDSON: That's my understanding.
18	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Then we will end
19	for the evening. Let me say to those who might be
20	following us on YouTube that if you want to continue
21	to follow these hearings on YouTube, go to the
22	Commission's website and you'll find the link there.
23	The next session of the public witness testimony will
24	be this coming Monday, that's the 19th if my calendar

```
1
    memory serves me correctly, and we'll again start at
 2
     6:00 p.m. So if you're interested in following us on
 3
     YouTube it will be, the next session will be Monday
 4
     the 19th at 6:00 p.m.
 5
               Unless the parties have some further
 6
    business we need to take up?
 7
               (Pause).
 8
               Seeing none, then we are adjourned for the
 9
     evening.
10
               Thanks everybody for your cooperation and
11
     working through this remote technology. I think it
12
    was done very well. I appreciate your attendance. I
13
     thank everyone.
14
                (The proceedings were adjourned)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

## CERTIFICATE

I, KIM T. MITCHELL, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

## <u>Kim Mitchell</u>

Kim Mitchell